

# San Antonio, Texas

## Limited Tax Bonds New Issue Report

### Ratings

#### New Issue

General Improvement Refunding Bonds, Series 2014 AAA

#### Outstanding Debt

Limited Tax Bonds AAA

Municipal Facilities Corporation Lease Revenue Bonds AA+

Starbright Industrial Development Corporation Contract Revenue Bonds AA+

Public Facilities Corporation Lease Revenue Bonds AA

### Rating Outlook

Stable

### New Issue Details

**Sale Information:** \$53,690,000 General Improvement Refunding Bonds, Series 2014, expected to price via negotiated sale during the week of Nov. 17.

**Security:** Annual property tax levy, limited to \$2.50 per \$100 assessed valuation (AV).

**Purpose:** To refund outstanding debt for interest cost savings.

**Final Maturity:** Feb. 1, 2025.

### Key Rating Drivers

**Strong Financial Flexibility:** San Antonio's financial performance has been pressured recently, although its reserves have remained solid. Fitch Ratings favorably views the city's recently enhanced reserve policies and its two-year budget strategy, which has expanded its planning horizon.

**Mixed Debt Profile:** The city's debt profile is mixed, characterized by a high overall debt burden, balanced against moderately rapid limited tax bond amortization and ample and growing debt service capacity within the current tax rate. The city's capital plan is aggressive but will allow the city to address its sizable deferred capital needs.

**Military Remains Key Sector:** Although the local economy has diversified notably, the military remains a major economic factor. This is evidenced by very large recent investments and additions to troop strength resulting from base realignment and closure decisions that have benefited the city.

**Stable Economy:** The recessionary contraction of the local economy has reversed course, and the city's unemployment rate continues to be well below state and national averages. Population growth remains rapid, aided by affordable home prices and ample developable land.

**High Starbright Debt Service Coverage:** CPS Energy (CPS; electric and gas system revenue bonds rated 'AA+' by Fitch) payments to the city provide very high debt service coverage for the Starbright Industrial Development Corporation's contract revenue bonds.

**PFC Lease Revenue Bond Differential:** Although important to the city's economy, the leased asset (the convention center) financed by the city's Public Facilities Corporation (PFC) lease revenue bonds is not considered essential to the city's core governmental operations according to Fitch's published criteria. Its non-essential nature leads to a two-notch distinction between the PFC lease revenue bonds and the city's limited tax bonds.

### Rating Sensitivities

**Shift in Fundamentals:** The rating is sensitive to shifts in fundamental credit characteristics, including the city's strong, albeit reduced, financial reserves. Additional significant reductions in reserves, even if planned, could result in negative rating pressure.

### Related Research

[San Antonio, Texas \(July 2014\)](#)  
[San Antonio City Public Service, Texas \(November 2014\)](#)  
[San Antonio, Texas \(July 2013\)](#)

### Analysts

Jose Acosta  
 +1 512 215-3726  
[jose.acosta@fitchratings.com](mailto:jose.acosta@fitchratings.com)

Rebecca Moses  
 +1 512 215-3739  
[rebecca.moses@fitchratings.com](mailto:rebecca.moses@fitchratings.com)

**Rating History — Limited Tax Bonds**

Rating	Action	Outlook/ Watch	Date
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	11/13/14
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	7/24/14
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	7/3/13
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	5/29/13
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	10/3/12
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	7/23/12
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	3/22/12
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	7/8/11
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	3/17/11
AAA	Affirmed	Stable	6/11/10
AAA	Revised	Stable	4/30/10
AA+	Affirmed	Stable	3/1/10
AA+	Affirmed	Stable	6/16/03
AA+	Upgraded	—	10/26/99
AA	Assigned	—	10/13/92

**Rating History — MFC Lease Revenue Bonds**

Rating	Action	Outlook/ Watch	Date
AA+	Affirmed	Stable	11/13/14
AA+	Affirmed	Stable	7/24/14
AA+	Affirmed	Stable	7/3/13
AA+	Affirmed	Stable	5/29/13
AA+	Affirmed	Stable	10/3/12
AA+	Affirmed	Stable	7/23/12
AA+	Affirmed	Stable	3/22/12
AA+	Affirmed	Stable	7/8/11
AA+	Affirmed	Stable	3/17/11
AA+	Affirmed	Stable	6/11/10
AA+	Revised	Stable	4/30/10
AA	Affirmed	Stable	3/1/10
AA	Affirmed	Stable	6/16/03
AA	Upgraded	—	10/26/99
AA-	Assigned	—	10/13/92

**Rating History — Starbright IDC Contract Revenue Bonds**

Rating	Action	Outlook/ Watch	Date
AA+	Affirmed	Stable	11/13/14
AA+	Affirmed	Stable	7/24/14
AA+	Affirmed	Stable	7/3/13
AA+	Assigned	Stable	5/29/13

**Rating History — PFC Lease Revenue Bonds**

Rating	Action	Outlook/ Watch	Date
AA	Affirmed	Stable	11/13/14
AA	Affirmed	Stable	7/24/14
AA	Affirmed	Stable	7/3/13
AA	Affirmed	Stable	5/29/13
AA	Assigned	Stable	10/3/12

**Related Criteria**

[Tax-Supported Rating Criteria \(August 2012\)](#)

[U.S. Local Government Tax-Supported Rating Criteria \(August 2012\)](#)

**Credit Profile**

San Antonio is the second largest city in the state and seventh largest in the U.S., with an estimated population of 1.4 million for 2014. Prominent sectors in the local economy are military and government employment, domestic and international trade, convention and tourism, medical and healthcare, financial services and telecommunications.

**Solid Financial Reserves**

The city's financial profile remains solid, as evidenced by the maintenance of unreserved or unrestricted fund balances in excess of 18% of spending since fiscal 2006, well above its 9% fund balance policy level. Additions to fund balance had been enabled by strong sales tax growth and positive CPS payment trends, along with management's aggressive cost controls in the form mainly of annual personnel reductions. However, in recent years, the moderate planned use of reserves to balance budgets has reduced the city's financial cushion. Fitch expects any future planned drawdowns to be more modest.

**Two-Year Budget Strategy**

The city's two-year budget strategy, in which a portion of reserves in excess of its fund balance policy is designated for the next year's spending (the two-year reserve), has expanded its planning horizon. A sizable \$68 million of such reserve was budgeted for use in fiscal 2013, equal to 6.8% of spending. Greater than projected sales tax receipts and significant budget carryforwards allowed the city to utilize only \$31.3 million, or slightly less than one-half of the allocation.

Sales tax receipts grew by a solid 5.2% in fiscal 2013, exceeding the budget's 1% growth estimate above fiscal 2012 actuals. As a result of use of a portion of the two-year reserve, the unrestricted fund balance declined to a still-strong \$178.2 million, or 18.4% of operating expenditures and transfers out. A portion of this fund balance, \$88.2 million, is designated as the city's 9% reserve. Another \$47.2 million of the fiscal 2013 fund balance is designated as the city's two-year reserve.

**Fiscal 2014 and Current Year's Budget**

The city's unaudited fiscal 2014 results point to a \$15.7 million general fund surplus (equal to 1.6% of spending). These results were aided by conservative projections for sales taxes (1.7% above actual fiscal 2013 receipts) and CPS revenues (1.4% above prior year). On an unaudited basis, sales tax receipts posted growth of 6.5%, and CPS revenues increased by a large 13%, fueled by a very cold winter and a rate increase. The positive performance allowed the city to maintain its 9% reserve and increase its two-year reserve to \$63.2 million (equal to 6.4% of spending).

The city increased its fund balance policy requirement from 9% of spending to 10% in the adopted fiscal 2015 budget, which Fitch views favorably. The budget increases general fund appropriations by a manageable 5.8% due to growing public safety spending and enhanced funding for streets and capital projects. The budget is funded at the existing property tax rate, assumes sales tax receipts grow by 2.8% and CPS transfers decline by 3.4% and utilizes the entire two-year reserve of \$63.2 million (6% of spending).

The financial cushion is budgeted to remain solid at \$178 million, or 17% of spending, despite a planned \$16.4 million (1.65% of spending) use of fund balance. Portions of the projected ending fund balance are allocated for the city's enhanced 10% financial reserve (\$103 million) and two-year reserve (\$32.6 million, equal to 3% of spending). Fitch notes that the city typically outperforms its projections.

### General Fund Financial Summary

(\$000, Audited Fiscal Years Ended Sept. 30)

	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Revenues	835,409	857,493	890,262	898,162	917,522
Expenditures	764,205	816,690	863,882	885,578	923,543
<b>Net Change</b>	<b>71,204</b>	<b>40,803</b>	<b>26,380</b>	<b>12,584</b>	<b>(6,021)</b>
Transfers In/Other Sources	13,750	36,581	14,603	18,877	17,341
Transfers Out/Other Uses	(83,995)	(54,255)	(39,113)	(47,640)	(42,669)
<b>Net Income/(Loss)</b>	<b>959</b>	<b>23,129</b>	<b>1,870</b>	<b>(16,179)</b>	<b>(31,349)</b>
Total Fund Balance	206,507	229,636	232,692	216,513	185,164
As % of Expenditures, Transfers Out and Other Uses	24.3	26.4	25.8	23.2	19.2
Unrestricted Fund Balance	190,407	199,110	226,646	209,710	178,208
As % of Expenditures, Transfers Out and Other Uses	22.4	22.9	25.1	22.5	18.4

Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.

### Large Capital Needs

Voters approved a \$596 million general obligation bond authorization in May 2012, the largest in the city's history. The bond authorization is intended to address the city's substantial deferred capital needs. According to management, all future debt will be sized and timed to maintain the city's current debt service tax rate assuming modest tax base growth. The city plans to issue about \$160 million of its remaining \$214 million bond authorization in summer 2015. The city plans to seek similarly sized authorizations every five years.

### Overall Debt Profile Pressured

The impact of the 2012 bond program on the city's direct debt profile should be manageable given its declining debt service schedule, above-average payout rate and expansive tax base. But the city's overall debt burden remains elevated at \$5,844 per capita and 8.9% of market value due to the presence of 12 overlapping school districts. The 10-year principal amortization rate for property tax-supported bonds is above average at 64%.

### Starbright Bonds' High Coverage Levels Expected

The contract revenue bonds, whose proceeds financed the acquisition and conveyance of the site for a Toyota manufacturing plant, comprise a modest part of the city's debt portfolio. The 'AA+' rating on these bonds reflects the strength of the revenue stream from which bond repayments are made (i.e. CPS payments), the very high debt service coverage and the solid contract and legal covenants of the transaction.

### Debt Statistics

(\$000)

This Issue	53,690
<b>Outstanding Debt</b>	
General Obligation Bonds	1,155,330
Certificates of Obligation	283,870
Tax Notes	38,070
Public Property Finance Contractual Obligations	17,500
<b>Municipal Facilities Corporation</b>	
Lease Revenue Bonds	32,855
<b>Starbright Industrial Development Corporation</b>	
Contract Revenue Bonds	20,890
<b>Public Facilities Corporation</b>	
Lease Revenue Bonds	550,374
Less: Self-Support	23,535
Less: Refunding	56,290
<b>Direct Debt</b>	<b>2,072,754</b>
Overlapping Debt	6,172,103
<b>Total Overall Debt</b>	<b>8,244,857</b>
<b>Debt Ratios</b>	
Direct Debt Per Capita (\$) <sup>a</sup>	1,469
As % of Market Value <sup>b</sup>	2.2
Overall Debt Per Capita (\$) <sup>a</sup>	5,844
As % of Market Value <sup>b</sup>	8.9

<sup>a</sup>Population: 1,410,782 (2014 estimate). <sup>b</sup>Market value: \$92,723,753,000 (fiscal 2015). Note: Numbers may not add due to rounding.

Audited fiscal 2013 pledged revenues totaled \$293.3 million and covered the bonds' maximum annual debt service by a very high 177x. Because the city relies on CPS payments (accounting for 32% of expenditures and transfers out in fiscal 2013) for operations, Fitch expects coverage to remain very high.

### **PFC Lease Revenue Bond Differential**

The PFC lease revenue bonds, issued in 2012, financed a major expansion of the city's convention center. The leased asset, the convention center, is not considered essential to core governmental operations by Fitch and serves as the basis for the two-notch distinction from the city's 'AAA' rating on its limited tax bonds. Also, the bonds' somewhat weak legal provisions do not include a mortgage interest for the trustee in the event of non-appropriation.

The non-appropriation of base rental payments requires the city to vacate the leased asset by the end of the last fiscal year for which lease payments were funded. Fitch notes that the primary planned repayment source, the 2% expansion hotel occupancy tax, can only be used for convention center expansion costs by state statute, minimizing the incentive for the city to withhold any annual appropriation.

### **Well-Funded Pension Plans**

Civilian and certain public safety employees participate in an agent multiple-employer defined benefit pension plan administered by the Texas Municipal Retirement System (TMRS). Recent changes to TMRS's valuation methodology and the elimination of automatic repeating cost-of-living adjustments increased the pension's funded ratio to a high 86.9% as of Dec. 31, 2013. TMRS's valuation is based on a 7% discount rate, which Fitch considers reasonable. Firefighters and police participate in a single-employer defined benefit pension plan that was similarly well funded at an estimated 87% as of Oct. 1, 2013, using a Fitch-adjusted 7% investment return assumption.

Retiree health benefits for civilians are provided by the city and are funded on a pay-as-you-go basis. Retiree health benefits for firefighters and police have been financed on a prefunded basis since 1989, resulting in a notable funded position of 40% as of Oct. 1, 2013. The combined carrying costs for the city's tax-supported debt, pension and other post-employment benefit obligations totaled a moderate 17.3% of fiscal 2013 governmental expenditures. Fitch notes that a healthcare and benefits taskforce has recommended that the city review public safety healthcare and retirement benefits for potential cost savings.

### **Military Still Key Within Broad Economy**

Although the local economy has diversified notably, the military remains a major economic factor. This is evidenced by very large recent investments and additions to troop strength resulting from base realignment and closure decisions that have benefited the city. Recent employment gains have been led by the professional/business services and construction sectors. Energy sector employment has also expanded considerably due to surging oil and gas activity within the nearby Eagle Ford Shale. As a result, the city's unemployment rate declined to 4.7% in September 2014, down from the 5.9% level recorded a year prior. The city's unemployment rate compares favorably with state and national averages of 5.0% and 5.7%, respectively, for the same period.

### Property Value and Sales Tax Trends

(\$000, Fiscal Years Ending Sept. 30)

Fiscal Year	Taxable Assessed Valuation	% Change	General Fund Sales Tax Receipts <sup>a</sup>	% Change
1998	29,422,285	—	118,992	—
1999	31,253,551	6.2	126,473	6.3
2000	33,315,479	6.6	135,130	6.8
2001	36,033,321	8.2	136,811	1.2
2002	39,587,584	9.9	140,084	2.4
2003	41,535,547	4.9	138,962	(0.8)
2004	44,536,796	7.2	148,500	6.9
2005	46,481,974	4.4	162,786	9.6
2006	49,868,955	7.3	177,806	9.2
2007	56,767,702	13.8	189,753	6.7
2008	65,954,867	16.2	196,306	3.5
2009	72,541,141	10.0	187,415	(4.5)
2010	72,743,220	0.3	188,741	0.7
2011	71,007,547	(2.4)	200,245	6.1
2012	70,681,198	(0.5)	219,648	9.7
2013	71,419,599	1.0	231,000	5.2
2014	75,198,528	5.3	246,116	6.5
2015	79,769,661	6.1	253,371	2.9

<sup>a</sup>Fiscal 2014 sales tax receipts are unaudited. Fiscal 2015 sales tax receipts are budgeted.

After posting strong annual gains through fiscal 2009, the city's taxable values remained flat through fiscal 2013 as new improvement values were offset by reappraisal losses on existing properties. AV rebounded with increases of 5.3% and 6.1% in fiscal years 2014 and 2015, respectively. The city projects annual new construction will increase taxable values from 1.8%–2.5% annually over the next five years, which Fitch considers reasonable.

The ratings above were solicited by, or on behalf of, the issuer, and therefore, Fitch has been compensated for the provision of the ratings.

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT [WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM](http://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM). PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2014 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings, Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion is based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at anytime for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

# RatingsDirect®

---

## Summary:

# San Antonio, Texas; Appropriations; General Obligation

### Primary Credit Analyst:

Lauren H Spalten, Dallas (1) 214-871-1421; lauren.spalten@standardandpoors.com

### Secondary Contact:

Kate Choban, Dallas (1) 214-871-1420; kate.choban@standardandpoors.com

## Table Of Contents

---

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

## Summary:

# San Antonio, Texas; Appropriations; General Obligation

### Credit Profile

US\$53.69 mil gen imp rfdg bnds ser 2014 dtd 12/01/2014 due 02/01/2025

Long Term Rating

AAA/Stable

New

## Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services assigned its 'AAA' long-term rating to San Antonio, Texas' series 2014 general improvement refunding bonds. At the same time, Standard & Poor's affirmed its 'AAA' long-term rating and underlying rating (SPUR) on the city's general obligation (GO) debt outstanding and its 'AA+' rating and SPUR on San Antonio Municipal Facilities Corp. debt issued for the city. The outlook is stable.

The ratings reflect our view of the city's:

- Strong economy that is part of a broad and diverse metropolitan statistical area, with a stabilizing presence provided by the major military installations;
- Very strong management conditions with strong financial practices;
- Very strong budgetary flexibility;
- Very strong liquidity, with total government available cash providing strong coverage of both total governmental expenditures and debt service;
- Strong budgetary performance, with reserves at more than 15% of operational expenditures; and
- Adequate debt and contingent liabilities position.

The bonds are secured by an annual ad valorem tax levied on all taxable property located within the city, which is considered to be a GO pledge.

### Strong economy

San Antonio's local economy is strong, in our view, with per capita market value at roughly \$56,600 and projected per capita effective buying income at 87% of the national average. With more than 1.4 million residents, San Antonio is Bexar County's seat and the nation's seventh-largest city. The city continues to experience sustained growth in the manufacturing, tourism, and services sectors. City officials reported that permitting activity continues to increase and that construction is ongoing. During the past few years, several new companies have moved to and around the city to participate in the Eagle Ford Shale play, which has boosted both taxable values and jobs for the city. The city's economy is broad and diverse, in our opinion, and the major military installations in the city provide a stabilizing presence for the economy. Redevelopment of the city's downtown has been a major project for the city, with a goal of having 7,500 housing units downtown by 2020. The first major grocery store for downtown is due to open in the spring of 2015, which will likely help to spur this growth. The city has also been in the process of expanding and renovating its convention center downtown; conventions are a major economic driver for the city. According to the Bureau of

Labor Statistics, the Bexar County unemployment rate for 2013 was 6.0%, which we consider low.

### **Very strong management**

San Antonio's management conditions are very strong, in our view, with "strong" financial practices under our Financial Management Assessment (FMA) methodology, indicating practices are, in our opinion, strong, well embedded, and likely sustainable. Strengths of the assessment, in our opinion, include the city's use of conservative revenue and expenditure assumptions in its budgeting process, strong oversight in terms of monitoring its progress against the budget during the year, monthly reporting to the city council, ability to amend the budget as needed, formal investment policy with quarterly updates to the council, five-year rolling capital improvement plan, and extensive five-year financial plan. The city recently revised its formal reserve policy to require the maintenance of at least 10% of operating expenditures (up from 9%) in reserve.

### **Very strong budgetary flexibility**

San Antonio's budgetary flexibility is very strong, in our opinion, with available reserves (a combination of assigned and unassigned general fund balances) at approximately \$138.6 million, or 15% of operating expenditures, at the end of fiscal 2013. The city conservatively estimates having ended fiscal 2014 with an unassigned general fund balance of about \$160 million, or about 16% of operating expenditures; however, city officials indicated that this estimate was very preliminary. Given the city's projections, coupled with a formal 10% reserve policy and a target of at least 15%, we do not expect the city's budgetary performance will deteriorate.

### **Very strong liquidity**

In our view, very strong liquidity supports San Antonio's finances, with total government available cash at 23% of total government fund expenditures and at 181% of debt service coverage for fiscal year-end 2013. Based on past debt issuances, which include the frequency of issuance and the type of debt issuance, we believe that the issuer has exceptional access to capital markets to provide for liquidity needs if necessary.

### **Strong budgetary performance**

We view San Antonio's budgetary performance to be strong overall, with a surplus of 1.2% for the general fund and a deficit of 3.5% for the total governmental funds in fiscal 2013, after adjusting for the spending-down of previously deposited bond proceeds as well as additional nonrecurring expenditures. The city's projections for fiscal 2014 indicate a surplus of about 1.4% in the general fund. The city budgets on a two-year basis and currently has no plans to significantly draw down on its reserves or materially alter its budget practices. It is common practice for the city to project budget gaps and work throughout the year to close them and maintain its historically strong financial position. Based on the proposed budget for fiscal 2015, the city has conservatively budgeted for a drawdown of about 1.4% in the general fund and a drawdown of about 2.6% in the total governmental funds.

The general fund continues to rely on contributions from the city's electric provider, City Public Service Energy; these transfers are the largest source of general fund revenue and accounted for about 33% of total general fund revenues in fiscal year 2013. While the utility has moved into a deregulated environment, we believe that its favorable and competitive position mitigates the risks with the city's dependence on these payments.

### Adequate debt and contingent liabilities

In our view, San Antonio's debt and contingent liabilities profile is adequate. Total governmental fund debt service is 12.8% of total governmental funds expenditures, and net direct debt is about 123% of total governmental funds revenue. Approximately 70% of the principal is repaid within 10 years, which we view as a credit strength. We understand that the city plans to issue approximately \$160 million of GO bonds in 2015.

San Antonio provides two pension benefit plans to its employees: the Texas Municipal Retirement System (TMRS) and the Fire and Police Pension Fund. The city conducts an annual actuarial valuation on both funds. Based on these actuarial valuations, the unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of the fire and police pension plan as of Oct. 1, 2013, was \$232.9 million, which represented a 91.8% funded ratio. The city's UAAL related to the TMRS was \$174.8 million as of Dec. 31, 2013, equivalent to an 86.9% funded ratio, which is significantly higher than the 73.3% funded ratio identified in the Dec. 31, 2009, actuarial valuation. Management attributes the reduction in the city's unfunded pension liability partly to an improving investment portfolio performance and the elimination of annual repeating cost-of-living adjustments. The combined annual required contribution pension costs and other postemployment benefit pay-as-you-go costs for fiscal 2013 were less than 10% of expenditures, and the city does not anticipate that these costs will increase substantially in the near term.

### Strong institutional framework

We consider the institutional framework score for Texas cities as strong. See Institutional Framework score for Texas.

## Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our view of San Antonio's broad and diverse economy, strong budgetary performance, and very strong budgetary flexibility, which will likely allow management to continue to address its capital needs and meet growing service delivery needs. We do not expect to change the ratings within the two-year outlook time frame given the continued diversification of the city's economic and employment base, coupled with the city's strong financial management practices.

## Related Criteria And Research

### Related Criteria

- USPF Criteria: Local Government GO Ratings Methodology And Assumptions, Sept. 12, 2013
- USPF Criteria: Appropriation-Backed Obligations, June 13, 2007

### Related Research

- U.S. State And Local Government Credit Conditions Forecast, Oct. 15, 2014
- S&P Public Finance Local GO Criteria: How We Adjust Data For Analytic Consistency, Sept. 12, 2013
- Institutional Framework Overview: Texas Local Governments

### Ratings Detail (As Of November 7, 2014)

San Antonio GO

*Long Term Rating*

AAA/Stable

Affirmed

**Ratings Detail (As Of November 7, 2014) (cont.)**

San Antonio GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
San Antonio GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AAA/Stable	Affirmed
<b>San Antonio GO</b>		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AAA(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
<b>San Antonio Mun Facs Corp, Texas</b>		
San Antonio, Texas		
San Antonio Mun Facs Corp (San Antonio) (Pub Safety Answering Point Proj)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
San Antonio (Mun Facs Corp) (Dev and Bus Svcs Ctr Proj)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
<b>San Antonio Mun Fac Corp lse rev bnds</b>		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA+(SPUR)/Stable	Affirmed
<b>San Antonio Pub Facs Corp, Texas</b>		
San Antonio, Texas		
San Antonio Pub Facs Corp (San Antonio) (Convention Center Refinancing and Expansion Proj)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
<b>Starbright Indl Dev Corp, Texas</b>		
San Antonio, Texas		
Starbright Indl Dev Corp (San Antonio)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
Starbright Indl Dev Corp (San Antonio) (Starbright Proj)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed

Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com). All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com). Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2014 Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a part of McGraw Hill Financial. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses, and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw, or suspend such acknowledgement at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal, or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain nonpublic information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription) and [www.spcapitaliq.com](http://www.spcapitaliq.com) (subscription) and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).

# MOODY'S

## INVESTORS SERVICE

### New Issue: Moody's assigns Aaa to San Antonio, TX's Series 2014 GOLT refunding bonds; negative outlook

---

Global Credit Research - 06 Nov 2014

#### Maintains Aaa on \$1.5 billion in previously issued debt

SAN ANTONIO (CITY OF) TX  
Cities (including Towns, Villages and Townships)  
TX

#### Moody's Rating

ISSUE	RATING
General Improvement Refunding Bonds, Series 2014	Aaa
<b>Sale Amount</b> \$53,700,000	
<b>Expected Sale Date</b> 11/18/14	
<b>Rating Description</b> General Obligation Limited Tax	

**Moody's Outlook** NEG

#### Opinion

NEW YORK, November 06, 2014 --Moody's Investors Service has assigned a Aaa to the City of San Antonio's, TX \$53.7 million General Improvement Refunding Bonds, Series 2014. At the same time, we have maintained the Aaa on \$1.5 billion in previously issued debt. Proceeds from the sale will refund certain maturities of the city's Series 2005 for an expected net present value savings of 11.6% and no extension of final maturity. The bonds are secured by a direct and continuing annual ad valorem tax, levied on all taxable property in the city, within the limits prescribed by law.

#### SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

The Aaa rating reflects the city's expected improved financial position at fiscal year end 2014 as well as a fiscal year 2015 budget that reflects a modest structural gap. The rating also incorporates the city's financial management that includes multiyear budgeting practices and conservative budget assumptions, strengthened financial policies, and financial flexibility that incorporates additional reserves outside the General Fund and ample taxing margin. The rating continues to reflect a strong and vibrant economy, growing taxable values, and depressed socioeconomic indicators partly due to institutional presence. Additionally the rating reflects slightly elevated debt burdens for the rating category, and, given current plans for health care benefit adjustments, manageable long-term liabilities for pension and OPEB.

The negative outlook is maintained as expected improvement in financial performance in fiscal year 2014 will mark one year of a return to balanced operations, and a demonstrated trend of solid financial performance is needed to remove the negative outlook.

#### STRENGTHS

Strong and vibrant regional economy; Taxable values returned to growth following stability during the economic downturn

Strong financial results guided by an experienced management team; FY 2014 expected to yield surplus operating performance

Strengthened financial policies

History of voter support for infrastructure improvements

Financial management includes multiyear budgeting and five year forecasting models

Annual funding of pension ARC for civilian and public safety employees

#### CHALLENGES

Operating pressures associated with nearly 70% of expenditures for first responder indicative of a large population and demand for services

Near to medium term budgets include draws on reserves consistent with historical city practice; city has significantly exceeded budget projections over the past five years

Dependence on potentially volatile revenue streams such as utility transfer and sales tax

#### DETAILED CREDIT DISCUSSION

#### RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

#### UNAUDITED RESULTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014 REFLECT AN IMPROVEMENT OVER THE PRIOR TWO YEARS

Despite recent trends, San Antonio continues to demonstrate its commitment to return to fiscal stability. In fiscal year 2014, unaudited results reflect a \$20 million surplus driven by higher than anticipated revenue collection to a total General Fund balance of \$210.8 million (20.9 of revenues). The surplus comes after two years of operating deficits which reduced the General Fund balance to a total of \$185.2 million (19.8% of General Fund revenues). The fiscal year 2015 budget reflected a structural gap of almost \$16.4 million which accounts for less than 2%. Given contingencies accommodated by the budget, officials expect to end the year with balanced operations.

#### TAXABLE VALUE GROWTH CONTINUES

Taxable values within the city grew by 6.1% to \$79.8 billion, supported by a thriving local economy. Expectations for fiscal year 2016, reflect a moderated 5% growth.

Please see our report dated July 24, 2014 for more detailed credit information.

#### OUTLOOK

Despite anticipated improvements in fiscal year 2014, the negative outlook is sustained reflecting our expectation that a demonstrated trend of improvement is needed to remove the outlook.

#### WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO UP (Removal of Negative Outlook)

Strong fiscal year 2014 results; structurally balanced fiscal year 2015 results

Ability to maintain balanced operations going forward

#### WHAT COULD MAKE THE RATING GO DOWN

Failure to return to structurally balanced operations depleting GF reserves

Trend of significant taxable value loss indicating a weakening of economic position

Downgrade of the U.S. Government's Aaa bond rating

#### KEY STATISTICS

FY 2015 Full Value: \$79.8 billion

FY 2015 Full Value Per Capita: \$57,671

2013 ACS Median Family Income as a % of the US: 82.70%

FY 2013 Available Operating Fund Balance as a % of Operating Revenues: 14.68%

5 Year Dollar Change in Fund Balance as a % of Operating Revenues: -8.32%

FY 2013 Available Operating Cash Balance as a % of Operating Revenues: 11.71%

5 year Dollar Change in Cash Balance as a % of Operating Revenues: -6.13%

Institutional Framework: Aa

Operating History: 5 Year Average of Operating Revenues/Operating Expenditures: 1.06x

Net Direct Debt/Full Value: 2.73%

Net Direct debt/Operating Revenues: 1.59x

3 year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability/Full Value: 2.47%

3 year Average of Moody's Adjusted Net Pension Liability/Operating Revenues: 1.44x

#### PRINCIPAL METHODOLOGY

The principal methodology used in this rating was US Local Government General Obligation Debt published in January 2014. Please see the Credit Policy page on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for a copy of this methodology.

#### REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com).

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

#### **Analysts**

Adebola Kushimo  
Lead Analyst  
Public Finance Group  
Moody's Investors Service

Gera M. McGuire  
Additional Contact  
Public Finance Group  
Moody's Investors Service

#### **Contacts**

Journalists: (212) 553-0376  
Research Clients: (212) 553-1653

Moody's Investors Service, Inc.  
250 Greenwich Street  
New York, NY 10007  
USA



© 2014 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

**CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. ("MIS") AND ITS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATION") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.**

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

MIS, a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MIS have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MIS for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) under the heading "Shareholder Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or

Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.