

City of San Antonio



Parks Bond Committee Meeting Minutes

San Antonio Public Library
Central Branch
600 Soledad St.
Monday, November 14th
6:00 PM

Bond Committee Members

A majority of appointive members, other than ex officio, shall constitute a quorum.

6:10 P.M. - Call to Order, Board Room

Co-Chair Brian Dillard called the meeting to order at 6:09pm.

- Attendance of Committee Members
- Head count of general attendance: 44
- Claudia Mancillas, Catholic Charities of San Antonio for Translation Services

I. Opening Comments and Overview of the Meeting Agenda

Co-Chair Brian Dillard called the meeting to order and invited Claudia Mancillas from translation services to announce the translation services available. Mr. Dillard outlined the evening stating that Mike Frisbie, the Director of Transportation and Capital Improvements would review the upcoming tour and the unfunded McAllister Park project. Following Mr. Frisbie, the committee will have a discussion of proposed projects, proposed changes, and possible action.

Mr. Dillard outlined the four things the Committee was tasked with: serve as an advisory committee, obtain community input, consider Parks and Recreation projects, and recommend a list of Parks projects totaling \$116 million to City Council. Mr. Dillard stated that the Committee cannot recommend funding a new project without proposing a corresponding reduction. The recommendation should consider the 2017 Bond Guiding Principles, and reach consensus to recommend a proposed list to Council. The Committee, should it wish, could provide a prioritized list of projects should there be any savings from the bond.

Co-Chair Dillard invited Mike Frisbie to begin the staff presentation. Mr. Frisbie explained he wanted to talk about three things: the tour, the unfunded McAllister Park project, and the Phil Hardberger Land Bridge. The tour will be December 3rd from 9am until 2pm. Four committees

will have their tour on December 3rd and everyone will meet at the Cliff Morton Development and Business Center, also called the One Stop. Tour details will be sent out. Right now it appears the tour will cover the top 7 requested projects and it is possible to stop at Old Spanish Trail.

Mr. Frisbie reminded the Committee that when making proposals to add or remove a project, they should have a recommendation for where the money is coming from if adding a project and where the money will go if removing a project. Mr. Frisbie informed the Committee that the City Attorney, Andy Segovia, is in attendance because motions and actions will be made during the meeting.

Mr. Frisbie reminded the Committee that on October 17th, the Committee made the decision to separate McAllister Park and Capitol Little League and address the money later. Staff is researching places for Capitol Little League. It is expected the city will need 25 to 30 acres of land to build the fields for Capitol Little League. Ideally it would be great to end up with funds for Capitol Little League and McAllister Park. McAllister Park is nearly 1,000 acres and has a lot of needs. Council District 8 has discussed proposing a \$2 million dollar move from the Phil Hardberger Land Bridge to McAllister Park with the stipulation that the remaining \$5.5 million at Hardberger Park cannot be further reduced. Staff put together a potential motion to move \$2 million from Hardberger Park Land Bridge to McAllister Park with the condition that the remaining funding for Hardberger Park will not be further reduced.

II. Bond Committee Discussion

Doug McMurry (CD8) made the motion to move \$2 million from Hardberger Park to McAllister Park as read by Mr. Frisbie. Marcco Barros (CD1) second the motion. Mr. McMurry stated he was reluctant to make the motion but in the spirit of compromise, he will move ahead with the motion.

Ken Brown (CD10) stated he does not support the motion. He stated the motion appears as if they were a part of some back room deals to get funding for McAllister Park. Mr. Brown made a substitute motion to defund the Landbridge and distribute the funding to the have-nots. Grace Rose Gonzales seconded the motion.

Charles English (CD2) stated he is very offended that staff brought the first motion to the table, as if it were done in the back room. He believes staff should not bring any type of motion to frame the debate over Hardberger Park. Mr. English added that if motions will be made in the back room, then they do not even need a committee to make a decision.

Co-Chair Brain Dillard interjected and requested that Mr. Frisbie explain how staff came to the decision to bring a proposed motion to the Committee. Mr. Frisbie stated that staff addresses requests from the Committee in different ways. They addressed the concerns over the lack of funding for McAllister Park similar to the way they proposed separating McAllister Park and Capitol Little League. Co-Chair Dillard explained that the Committee vote unanimously when staff made the first suggested proposal. He added that the accusations of corruption are not productive.

Phoebe Gonzales (CD5) requested clarification of the substitute motion made by Ken Brown. There was conversation between Mr. Frisbie and Mr. Brown over the technical term of a second motion or a substitute motion. The City Attorney interjected and stated that the key is to have a discussion about the motions on the table.

Mr. English (CD2) requested the City Attorney to confirm that as a member of the Committee he is able to add his feelings regarding back-room deals and that it is not fair for the Co-Chair to dismiss his opinion.

Chuck Saxar (CD8) stated the reaction to the motion concerns him. Mr. Saxar stated that District 8 and staff could foresee a conversation going around the room taking money from each district for McAllister Park. District 8 thought that the Land bridge had the capacity to be built with less money, and rather than taking it from everywhere, the \$2 million could come from Hardberger Park. While agreeing to release \$2 million from staff appropriations, they also realized that the Conservancy had already spent \$1 million on design and has raised \$10 million in pledges that are tied to the passage of the bond. If the park does not receive the funding, then the money raised will go out the window. The proposed motion was intended to eliminate the committee going around the table and taking money from the other districts. District 10 wanted to save the Committee a lot of frustration and agree to use their appropriations to fund McAllister Park.

Phoebe Gonzalez (CD5) stated that Hardberger Park has received \$74 million since its creation. She added that there are districts across the City that have not received anywhere near that amount of money. Ms. Gonzalez did not like the way the motion was proposed- it was done in the back room and it included the stipulation that the remaining \$5.5 million could not be touched. She stated it is absurd that the district believes they have not gotten enough.

Co-Chair Casso said that this conversation is the political process in which these decisions are made. Conversations are had so people can prioritize and move decisions.

Olga Martinez (CD3) said she agrees with Mr. Brown and Mr. English. She stated there are 10 Council Districts in the City. She said there are a lot of parks in other districts and when she adds up all the money it isn't right. She believes the funding should be even.

Chuck Saxar (CD8) asked Mr. Frisbie to talk about rough proportionality. He added that the requested funding will finish the park that was planned in 2008. The Park could have built the bridge first but instead they build other amenities. People from all over the city come; it is not a district park, it is a regional park. They just did a survey of 1,032 people and of those surveyed 41.6% of the people were from districts other than 8 and 9. There were people from 25 cities, 3 states, and 2 countries. Mr. Saxar added that Phil Hardberger park is a destination park. He is a firm believer in parks and pocket parks, don't build a house and then not finish it.

Mr. Frisbie said that district 10 is light in the bond distribution, but that is partly because of the \$10 million fire station in district 10 on the facilities side. The funding should have been split in district 2 and district 10 because the station mainly serves district 2. The proposed motion was a way to increase funding for district 10.

Charles English (CD2) asked Mr. Frisbie if Hardberger Park is a regional park. Mr. English was under the impression that the park was not a regional park. Co-Chair Casso stated that Mr.

English would be put in line to ask questions.

Co-Chair Casso requested Xavier Urrutia, Director of Parks and Recreation, to address the history of Hardberger Park, and how the land ended up in its current state. Mr. Urrutia said that a previous bond committee and voters supported the opportunity to preserve and restore 300 acres of land in an area that was quickly developing. The Master Plan process included some overarching goals including preservation, restoration, education and recreation. There was the goal of preserving the South Texas natural heritage landscape, and the land bridge not only connecting the land but a symbol of the land being one. The land bridge is not just about getting across, but it is about connecting the land scape. Co-Chair Casso stated she believes it is important to remember that they would be rejoining the land to the way that it was. It is important to remember that we put a road through the land for our own use.

Myrl Britten (CD6) stated he thinks it is good that all the committee members are passionate about their projects. He finds it concerning that in the middle of the staff presentation a motion came up, and that motion assumes that 74% of Hardberger Park's money will not be touched. The motion just came up before any decision was made, and then another motion was made and the committee is tied up in a knot. Mr. Britten suggested that both motions be removed from the table so the committee can continue with a normal process. He stated that the current process is not in line with Robert's Rules of Order. Co-Chair Dillard stated that the point of the motion was to allow for a discussion. Mr. Britten stated that the only motion that cannot be dictated by the Co-Chairs is a motion for adjournment. He added that if the Co-Chairs wish to follow this path, they are setting the wrong tone for the meeting.

Marc Whyte (CD10) stated that District 10 appreciated the sentiment of the motion, with the idea behind the motion being that McAllister Park needs money. However, District 10 does not feel right taking the money and then holding everyone else hostage having to fund Hardberger Park at the same time. He stated that what the committee needs to determine if they are going to fund Hardberger Park. Mr. Whyte said there is a lot of merit for the bridge at Hardberger Park, but there is also a lot of merit in funding other projects. He said the Committee needs to decide if they want to fund Hardberger Park, or use the money for another project. He concluded by asking the Committee to remember McAllister Park in the discussion and to find \$2 million for the Park.

Ken Brown (CD10) said he does not want his motion to seem like an attack on the land bridge. He added that some of the comments made about Hardberger Park are hard to accept; for instance, that they want to finish the park. He stated there are parks in every district that have been started but not finished to the original design. Some of these things that he heard are hard to stomach. One of them is that they want to finish the park. The park will be around for hundreds of years, there is time to finish the bride. The Park should get back in line and let other parks receive the money. Mr. Brown added that there are other places that funding could make a bigger impact. He said there is no "need" at the park. Funding for the bridge is a want. There are projects that are needs; there are parks without water, restrooms, shelter and parking lots.

Grace Rose Gonzales (CD7) said that one thing she has asked for but has not seen is the number of acres at each park. City staff stated that the acres were given in attachment B of the follow-up memo. She wants the list of the number of acres because in District 5, there is a bundle of five parks making up 65 acres and they are getting a million dollars. McAllister Park has 900 acres and there is only one bathroom. There are so many needs at the parks across the city; and believes

the Committee should look at needs when making the decision.

Charles English (CD2) wanted to speak about the divided Hardberger Park. He stated the state decided to address a concern for the quality of life by building the road before the city bought the park. Mr. English said that TxDot saw that the rural community had a need, and decided to build a road to increase accessibility. He asked why the City is not seeking money from TxDot for the bridge. If the committee is concerned about quality of life, then they should consider the people who are home bound and cannot make it to a civic park. Mr. English stated that the Co-Chairs seem like they are sidelining certain projects. He believes that every park should be a part of the 300 year celebration, not just the bridge. He thought staff said Hardberger is not a regional park. Mr. Frisbie said the City considers Hardberger Park a city wide park, just as they did in the past two bonds. Mr. Frisbie said that TxDot's funding stream would not be related to a park crossing; rather TxDot would be focused on vehicle crossings.

John Kent (CD9) said that he remembers when the road was Warzbach Road, not Warzbach Parkway. Mr. Kent clarified that the land was divided prior to the land becoming a park.

Margaret Kanyusik (CD8) stated that the City purchased the land for \$350 million; the Voelcker Foundation turned and gave that money to medical research. She added that great cities have great parks and they are trying to make Hardberger Park a great Park that will bring people to the City, and then other people will come to visit the Park. Ms. Kanyusik stated that the bridge is going to provide five things: educational opportunity, contain an environmental element of catching water, provide health and wellness opportunities, assist with wildlife management, and be an economic generator. For anyone who lives in an apartment, this park is their backyard, and they can enjoy it for free.

Phoebe Gonzalez (CD5) stated she gathered three points from Ms. Kanyusik's comment. First, children can learn from other parks. Also, Hardberger Park is not the only park that can make San Antonio great. Ms. Gonzalez does not want kids to think they are not great because they don't have the bridge in their area. As far as the deer, she gets it deer get hit. She added that the bridge is really cool, and is not asking to take away all the funds, she is just not happy with the way it was brought up. Ms. Kanyusik asked why is the bridge the project that everyone is trying to defund for other projects. Ms. Gonzalez answered her question by saying that is where the conversation started.

Valeria Hernandez (CD5) said that we as a city are only as great as our weakest and neediest communities. If there are areas that are under served, then the City is not that great. She asked Mr. Saxer (CD8) how the \$11 million will go away if it is all contingent on the bond money. Mr. Saxer responded that the Conservancy thought they would be in a better position to receive bond money if they started to fund raise before the bond. The Conservancy went ahead with the paying for \$1 million for the design. For the remaining \$10 million, they have gone out and fundraised, and donors stated their donation would be contingent on the bridge being built. He added that the plan for the bridge originally costs \$50 million, and they found a way to get the bridge to \$25 million.

Co-Chair Casso requested to table the first motion and the substitute motion to have other conversations. The Co-Chairs' plan for the evening was to go around the table, district by district, for their proposals. Doug McMurry (CD8) stated he would table the original motion. Ken Brown

(CD1) stated he does not know what the benefit would be from going around the room, and would not remove his motion from the table. Mr. Brown added that the only way to fund a project is by un-funding part or all of another project. Ms. Kanyusik stated that Mr. Brown should give the Committee some credit, as they might come up with ways that are not all Hardberger Bridge.

The City Attorney addressed the Committee and clarified that the chair has the ability to request the motion to be tabled. He clarified that the motion to table the first two motions mute for other discussion.

Doug McMurry (CD10) thinks it is a good idea to table the two motions. Mr. McMurray made the motion and Margaret Kanyuik (CD10) seconded the notion.

Shawn Price (CD1) asked for some clarity on why Mr. Brown would like to move forward with his motion. Mr. Brown stated that he does not believe the tour would change any ones' opinion on wanting to fund projects in their district. He believes the committee has already spent a lot of time discussing Hardberger Park and he would like to finish that discussion.

John Kent (CD9) said that the round robin discussion would benefit from making a decision on Hardberger Park. This way, the Committee knows if they are going to defund Hardberger or not. If they are going to defund Hardberger Park, they should do that now so they know what funding they will have.

Grace Rose Gonzales (CD7) said she has also been on bond committees in the past and it is difficult to decide which projects to fund. The Committee members have to look at their own districts, but also consider the city wide impact of the projects. She believes Mr. Brown's motion is important because it begins loosening up the money.

Co-Chair Casso challenged the Committee to think outside of their districts and not think about only what is right in their backyard, but rather what is best for the entire City of San Antonio. It is not either or, on funding the regional or council district parks, but it is how we can do it all. Co-Chair Casso called to table to discussion. The voted ended in a 14 to 14 tie, therefore the motion failed.

Co-Chair Casso said that if the Committee is going to defund a project, the money has to have somewhere to go.

Bill Baily (CD9) asked if the current motion on the table was to defund the entire bridge. Mr. Brown clarified that his motion was to defund the entire Hardberger land bridge.

Phoebe Gonzalez (CD5) made a substitute motion to keep the funding where it currently stands; McAllister Park has no funding and Hardberger Park has \$7.5 million. The motion is intended to allow everyone participate in the discussion of how to fund McAllister Park. The motion was seconded by Jacob Valenzuela (CD7).

Charles English (CD2) stated he looked up Roberts Rules and he does not believe that the Committee is even close to following Roberts Rule. There was a substitute on the table, and now

there is a substitute to the substitute so he suggests he could now make a fifth substitute motion. Co-Chair Casso stated she is following the City Attorney's direction in terms of how to proceed with the different motions.

Marc Whyte (CD10) said that Ms. Gonzalez's motion could be obtained through the original plan of defunding Hardberger. If Hardberger is fully defunded, then part of the plan of where to put the money could go back to Hardberger Park.

Phoebe Gonzales (CD5) stated that her issue with Mr. Brown's motion is that she does not want to defund Hardberger, she does not want to take away all of their money. She does not want to be limited by Mr. McMurry's motion that limited access to the remaining funding.

Olga Martinez (CD3) stated she is looking at the Street's and Roads Committees list of project and sees that the Street Committee also have \$7.5 million allocated to Hardberger Park. Ms. Martinez asked staff if the land bridge will actually cost \$15 million. Mr. Frisbie answered by saying the bridge really will cost a total of \$25 million, with \$15 million coming from the bond and \$10 million from private funding.

Co-Chair Casso suggested taking a 5 minute break to give to Co-Chairs some time to figure out a way to quickly address the two motions on the table.

The meeting was called back to order.

Nicolas Rivard (CD2) proposed a third motion to move \$2 million from Hardberger to McAllister without the stipulation of preserving the remaining \$5.5 million. Ken Brown withdrew his original motion, and Marc Whyte (CD10) was the second to the motion.

Doug McMurry (CD8) wanted to clarify Mr. Rivard's motion. He asked if the motion was to remove \$2 million from Hardberger Park and add \$2 million to McAllister Park. He asked if the \$2 million could be allocated somewhere else because there are other places they should look at.

The motion passed with a vote of 22 in favor and 7 opposed.

Co-Chair Casso stated that it was 8pm and it would be the chairs recommendation to keep the meeting going in order to entertain some proposals for the districts at this meeting. The Committee agreed to keep working. Co-Chair Casso called on District 3 first to hear their proposals.

Olga Martinez (CD3) proposal included the following:

- Reduce World Heritage Land Acquisition by \$400,000 and fund paving at 5 Diamonds for \$400,000.
- Reduce UIW sports field at Brooks city base by \$800,000
- Increase Jupe Manor Neighborhood Park by \$200,000
- Increase Villa Coronado Park by \$600,000 for a total of \$800,000 for a splash pad, skate park, and fix a leak in the gym

Co-Chair Casso asked Mr. Uttutia to explain the original scope of the World Heritage land

Acquisition Project. Xavier explained the original scope of the project was to improve the pedestrian and vehicular approaches to the World Heritage Zone. This could include acquiring land or making improvement to existing city own land.

District 5 asked for clarification on where they can take money. They asked if the District has to take funding from a project in its own district or could it be pulled from other districts. Co-Chair Casso said that right now everything is a proposal. The Committee will review the full list and ask staff for information about the impact on the scope. Co-Chair Casso stated that ideally it would be better to take funding from within the district in order to reach an agreement. The Committee is able to propose taking funding from other Districts, but is recommended to have at least talked to the other district to come up with an agreement.

John Kent (CD8) stated that when looking to take funding for another district, they will need to consider proportionality from other bond committees.

Mr. Frisbie stated that the Committee does have the right to make motions and because Ms. Martinez's motion was all contained within District 3, it would make sense for her to make a motion.

Ms. Martinez made to motion to re-allocate funds base on her proposal. Ken Brown was the second.

A Committee member asked if the motion could be read one more time. Co-Chair Dillard stated that this clarification question is why the committee wanted to hear all of the proposals and gather a master list before making motions to re-allocate funding. Co-Chair Casso added that she wants give staff the opportunity to hear all of the recommendations and prepare information that explains how the proposals impact the proposed scope of the project. Co-Chair Casso requested Ms. Martinez to withdraw her motion.

Chuck Saxar (CD8) asked if at the next meeting staff have a spreadsheet on the screen so the Committee can see how the proposed changes impact the overall bond. Mr. Frisbie said yes, staff can have an interactive display of the proposed changes at the next meeting. He added that the current motion is dollar for dollar so there would not be much to change on the screen.

Ken Brown (CD10) stated he agreed with Mr. Frisbie. If a proposal is dollar for dollar within a district they should clean it up at this meeting.

Jacob Valenzuela (CD7) asked if the Committee approved the proposed motion, does that freeze up that money or would other Committee members still be able to touch the projects funding. Co-Chair Casso stated she is under the impression that the funds for that project would be set. She added that if there are a lot of districts that are dollar for dollar in their district, then it would be easy to deal with when it came time for motions.

Ms. Martinez withdrew her motion.

Rosa Wilson (CD2) proposed the following:

- Fund Dawson Park for \$650,000

- Fund Martin Luther King Plaza for \$750,000
- Fund Pitman Sullivan Park for \$550,000
- Reduce Hardberger Park funding by \$1,950,000

Jeanette Honermann (CD1) proposed reducing Hemisfair Civic Park funding by \$3 million and funding Old Spanish Trail for \$3 million.

Charles English (CD2) asked if Martin Luther King Plaza would be considered a City wide project or would it be attributed to District 2 when considering rough proportionality.

Marc Guerrero (CD4) made the following proposal:

- Reduce Millers Pond by \$100,000
- Reduce Spicewood Park by \$30,000
- Increase Stablewood Farms by \$130,000

Phoebe Gonzalez (CD5) stated that she read that Classen – Steubing Ranch Park had already been purchased, but there was 39 acres that would request \$3,800,000 million on the bond. Xavier Urrutia said that the purchasing of the park is in two parts. The first was funded through Edwards Aquifer Protection Funding. Phase two, which is included in the proposed 2017 bond, is to purchase 39 acres of active park land. The acquisition of the land is for \$4 million and the remaining funding is to develop the newly purchased land.

Phoebe Gonzalez (CD5) proposed the following:

- Reduce \$2 million from Hemisfair Civic Park
- Add \$1 million to the District 5 Parks Package
- Fund Normoyle Park Senior Softball League with \$1 million

Valeria Hernandez (CD5) proposed reducing \$1 million from the Zoo Parking Garage (CD2) and adding \$1 million to the District 5 Park Package.

Richard Ramos (CD6) stated that District 6 only has \$3,200,000 so they do not have much money to move around. Pipers Meadow is estimated to cost \$1,500,000 and he thinks that is a high estimate. He is going to do some research to see what can be done to lower the cost because the County owns part of the land. The only big ticket item that has been requested for District 6 is the gated skate park. Mr. Ramos asked if there are any big skate parks in San Antonio like there is in Houston. Mr. Frisbie said that there is a large skate park at Pearsall Park that cost \$1.5 million. The estimated \$4 million for the District 6 skate park assumes property acquisition. Mr. Ramos stated there has been the suggestion to place the skate park within on Tom Slick Creek Park. Staff said that if there is land, the estimate could be reduced to \$3 million. Mr. Ramos would like to defund Hemisfair by \$3 million and fund the gated skate park. Mr. Ramos also asked to see a study of the proposed Pipers Meadow Park and if there is County land available for park use.

Jacob Valenzuela (CD7) proposed the following:

- Increase Crystal Hills Park by \$500,000
- Increase District 7 parks package by \$500,000
- Fund Manacello Park for \$350,000
- Defund the San Antonio Botanical Gardens by \$1,350,000
- Increase Old Spanish Trail by \$2 million
- Defund Classen- Steubin Ranch Park Development by \$2 million

Doug McMurry (CD8) wanted to confirm that the staff will talk about rough proportionality at the next meeting. Mr. McMurry stated that there are proposals to move big chunks of money around the districts, and that can create unbalanced proportionality. He also requested to see if a project would just be completely killed with all of the requested changes. District 8 stated they do not have any requested changes. Ms. Melanie Tawil commented that there were a lot of Citizens who spoke requesting a dog park at Maverick Park and she hopes that someone finds the money for the dog park.

John Kent (CD9) asked District 7 what project would receive the \$2 million from Classen-Steubing. Council District 7 responded that the funds would be used for Old Spanish Trail. Mr. Kent asked if Old Spanish Trail is in District 7. Grace Rose Gonzalez responded that the park is in district one, but it is on the line of district 7 and would primarily be used by CD 7 high school students.

Marc Whyte (CD10) proposes removing the full funding or \$1.5 million from Perrin Homestead and adding the funding Vital Creek Linear Park for \$1.5 million.

John Kent (CD9) made the following proposal.

- Reduce \$2 million from O.P. Schnabel Park
- Increase Classen-Steubing Ranch Park Development by \$2 million

Bill Bailey (CD9) commented that District 9 has 5 or 6 parks that are all nature parks. Classen-Steubing Ranch would be the first park with baseball and soccer fields. He added the District 9 has more housing development planned.

Nicolas Rivard asked if the Committee will be able to make further proposals at the next meeting. Co-Chair Casso would like to keep the next meeting open to other proposals.

Shawn Price (CD2) asked if staff can provide a list of the proposals made at the Committee meeting this evening before the next meeting.

Co-Chair Casso asked Mr. Frisbie about the timing of the process going forward. Mr. Frisbie said that the decision process is dynamic. Staff will provide an electronic list of projects and proposed changes. Staff will also prepare information about the changes to scope and rough proportionality.

Charles English (CD2) asked that when staff reviews changes to proportionality, will staff consider parks that have not been funded in the past when determining rough proportionality.

Shawn Price (CD1) asked should decision making be based on the numbers right in front of them, or should they consider historical funding. Mr. Frisbie stated that the Committee should consider all information that is available.

Mark Guerrero (CD4) asked Mr. Frisbie when the Committee will receive information from staff. Mr. Frisbie stated that the list of proposals will be sent shortly and they can expect to receive additional scope information the week of the tour.

Bill Bailey (CD9) requested that staff reviews all of the requests and let the Committee know what will end a proposed project.

Phoebe Gonzales (CD5) asked Mr. Frisbie how to scale back on a project to make it a phased project. Mr. Frisbie said that staff will outline if the proposals were cut so much they needed to be phased in or if the project would not be able to be completed.

III. Adjournment

Co-Chair thanked the Committee for the lively discussion. Co-Chair Dillard reminded the Committee that they are still a Committee after the meeting ends. He encouraged the Committee members to talk to each other regarding proposals across districts. He also suggested they talk with one another if they were disagreeing during the meeting. Co-Chair Dillard reminded the Committee that their bus tour is scheduled for December 3rd at 9am. The next meeting is the last meeting at 6pm on December 5, 2016.

Co-Chair Casso told the Committee to review the email that staff is going to be sending and to really review the email. Additionally, she encouraged the Committee to talk to other Committee Members and City Council Members.

There being no further business, Co-Chair Luisa Casso adjourned the meeting at 8:47 p.m.