



**UDC OHP Amendments
Task Force Subcommittee Meeting: Landmark Designation Process
July 11, 2019**

Attendance

Vince Michael
Sarah Gould
Ashley Farrimond
Sam Aguirre
Patrick Christenson
Amy Kastey
Jeff Fetzer

City Staff

D1 – Sydell Brooks
Lauren Sage
Shanon Miller
Cory Edwards
Alma Lozano
Jenny Hay

Meeting Notes

Goals: Discuss designation within new state law

Discussion Topics

- Notification requirements
- 3/4 majority requirement
- Who can initiate and how?
- Owner representation – speaking order – scheduling of meeting
- What are they initiating? Is it an appeal of staff review? Is that different than a new request?
- Folding in historic assessment process
- Application cost?
- Lifespan of application?
- Current RID
- Equity in historic preservation
- Other examples of this process in other cities

RRHS

Discussion

Balancing delays in process and ability to make a Third-Party Request

- Issues with delaying the process; motioning for continuances
- Should be accessible as well
- Current time restraints – can only delay 1, per OHP policy
- Process needs to be more stringent



- Easy to do infill, but the only option is, right now, this RRHS response; if the RRHS more difficult, then out of scale infill should be more difficult – they are reacting to things out of character; the citizens are reacting to something
- Issue of equity comes into play here – what’s designated now are areas with money; those that complete the RRHS complete a statement of significance.

Identifying properties

- Surveys done but no designation
- Historic assessments are very helpful.
- Can we organize before there is a request for a demolition?
- OHP Staff: How to do we recognize and protect when there isn’t support for a historic district.
- People are responding differently because there are fewer left
- Do they inventory cultural significance?
- Discussion of how developers could use the inventory to their benefit.
- Could the neighborhood then use the inventory to organize?
- OHP Staff: What if there is a disagreement with the survey? OHP staff explains difference between inventory and those with historic assessment (and how cultural significant is considered)
- Discussed if the inventory would be updated at a required time. There is not that requirement or capability.

Change the process?

- The RRHS would be an appeal of staff’s Assessment
- Are other cities doing something similar? What are their requirements?
- Other cities have a rating of designation

Who can initiate and how?

Discussion

- Does it need to come from the NA? Who support this? Recognized organization.
- Could there be two processes? Submitted in reaction to demo, or nothing.
- There are expedited reviews in other cities when there is a demolition
- RRHS: owner can waive 30 day notice requirement
- NCDs discussion
- Questions about and how accessibility; equity in protection of some neighborhoods, could we recommend update NCD UDC? No – because of state law limitations

Lifespan of application

Discussion

- Create a timeline
- Existing is based on availability and existing zoning code

Next steps

- Find examples of what other communities do and require for RRHS
- Find examples of expedited review in other cities