SA CLIMATE READY PUBLIC FEEDBACK DOCUMENT

General Overview

Feedback in this document includes all responses pertaining to the SA Climate Ready Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP) between *January 25th, 2019* and *August 20th, 2019*. Responses were provided via survey additional comments, emails, website comments, in person meetings, social media, phone calls, and more. Comments are included exactly as they were provided and have not been paraphrased, shortened, adjusted, or altered in any way.

Comment/Response	Date
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	1/24/2019
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	1/24/2019

Comment/Response	Date
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	1/24/2019
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	1/24/2019

Comment/Response	Date
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	1/24/2019
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	1/24/2019
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels.	1/24/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
Carbon neutral means no plastic, gasoline, solar panels, natural gas, electricity, cars, bicycles, shoes with rubber, nylon, etc., no processed food, wind turbines, on and on ,and on. People in government should educate themselves on the technical aspects of how they are going to change nature and quit making statements that include idiotic rhetoric like "carbon neutral".	1/25/2019
Spelling errors in the very first sentence are a bad omen, but par for the course. Good job mayor! As an example of the awfulness of the plan as written, please consider that the above topics make their appearance on PAGE 35! That means the first 34 pages are pretty much worthless. They're actually worth than worthless, they're detrimental because they converted someone like me who wanted to like and support the plan into disliking it. Setting aside my editorial complaints, the analysis is also flawed, specifically with water consumption on page 39. Why is there no economic impact assessed? Reduced per-capita use will drive SAWS to raise fees to maintain sufficient revenue to fund operations. This is a negative incentive to conservation because I pay whether I use or not, so I might as well use more water. How does low water use benefit air quality but energy efficiency programs not benefit air quality?	1/25/2019
It would be also helpful to have people rank these six items in their order of importance. They are ALL important, but priorities will have to be made. If that's the case, I choose educating and EMPOWERING (not enabling...HEB enables bad behavior by passing out plastic bags) citizens to understand climate change, how they are contributing to the problem, and what they can do to make a difference. It all starts with education.	1/25/2019
The climate has changed over millions and millions of years. It will do what it will do, no matter what humans do.	1/25/2019
I believe in taking action for climate change, but cannot be more against the equity concept. It's awful. As the keystone of the CAAP, it's a HUGE mistake. It, by itself, is enough to make me not support the plan. I'm an upper middle class white guy and am very tired of being told I've been discriminating against people my whole life. I got to page 17 and had formed an overwhelmingly negative opinion. You lost me. I cannot support this plan - and I'm a believer.	1/25/2019
Resiliency is the new catchword when it comes to climate change. It's a way to throw money at the problem rather than getting to the root cause of the problem. We need to ensure that San Antonio and its citizens are carbon neutral. Teach people what their carbon footprint is. Most people are clueless. They must understand that in order to care about the future in store for their children and grandchildren.	1/25/2019
Lots of political based rhetoric about racism, social inequality, etc. - stick to the issue at hand. San Antonio was established 300 years ago 40 miles away from the edge of a semi arid desert. Regardless of what man does or does not do, the climate will change, and we could find ourselves further into or away from that desert. Just as coastal cities may go underwater in the future regardless of humans. Its kind of like building your house on the rivers edge. It's really pretty, until it gets washed away. Educate yourself on silicon tetrachloride and the manufacturing of solar panels as well as their true carbon footprint. Any guess why they are all made in China? Read a book called "Playing God In Yellowstone".	1/25/2019
Spell check. Proof read. Seriously. San Antonio is simply not very good at getting the details right. Raise your game. First sentence: 'fasted'? Footnote 6 is in a paragraph about economic impact. The cost of non-attainment in ozone is $27.5 billion, not $190 million - which is the dollar value of lives. https://www.sanantonio.gov/SAT/Media-Center/News-Releases/News-Article/ArtMID/17746/ArticleID/10973/THE-COST-OF-NONATTAINMENT (Not that I actually believe Nivin's work, but the city says that...) The Intro is far far too long and mostly fluff. I'm 12 pages into the "Action Plan" and thus far - no action! I'm about ready to quit and give up. The plan is awful. I tried reading it. I stopped and page 17 and skipped ahead to page 35 to finally get to the "action". As written, I will recommend to my Councilman to vote against the plan. It needs thrown out and wholly re-worked.	1/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
- We are claiming that scientific analysis is informing our focus, however the goals for GHG emission reductions in this plan do not align with the recommendation of scientists to reduce global temperature rise by 1.5 deg to 2 deg C. (Page 11) -The term brain power was just used on page 10-11. Maybe consider using another term here. (Page 11) -Could rephrase bottom of left side of page to say "not used to draw strong conclusions" (Page 22) - I think that we have had summer nights in the past where the temperature never dropped below 80 deg F, but I could be wrong. May want to double check. (Page 27) - I recall that we have had days in the past where the maximum temperature exceeded 110 deg F, but I could be wrong. May want to double check. (Page 27) - Somewhere in this section, I'd like to see a discussion of the triple bottom line, what that means,and why businesses should care. May also be good to provide more information on hard costs (dollars) associated with climate impacts (i.e. costs incurred by businesses after Hurricane Harvey), and examples of dollars that businesses can save through mitigation measures. We had representatives from the local business community involved in the CAAP process; it would be nice if they could provide anecdotes/case studies to make the business case for climate action and adaptation. (Page 30) - I think it would be good to address the supply-side as well. As climate impacts the availability of natural resources (palm oil comes to mind), businesses will be forced to pay higher costs for the supplies they need for production, or will be forced to find alternative resources, or simply won't be able to produce the goods that they provide. In addition, shortages (caused by climate-related consequences such as droughts, floods, fires, etc.) could wreak havoc on the economy. Also, this is kind of morbid, and I'm not sure how to sugar-coat it, but if climate change causes human extinction, there won't be anybody left to buy stuff - maybe there's a nicer, less scary way to say that...while still making a strong point. (Page 30) - I'd like to go beyond the "green image" and dig into what some companies are doing to produce actual results and metrics that show they are making an impact. Some conscious consumers want proof of results, not just fluff. Many companies are serious about the metrics in their Corporate Sustainability Reports. San Antonio-based companies like USAA, HEB, and Whataburger may be able to provide some good examples. (Page 30) - Would also be nice to highlight how local businesses are getting involved, providing examples of real results, not greenwashing/marketing fluff. (Page 31) - Spell out the CDP acronym (Page 31) - Need to back up the verbiage under "Attracting and Retaining Employees" (Page 31) - TWG members and speakers from Public Citizen have brought up concerns that the 3% annual decrease in emissions actually is not in line with the Paris Agreement. (Page 33) - Clarify the last sentance on the page, gard to understand what it means (Page 34) - Under the Consumption section: We should also implement policies that motivate employers to offer telecommuting and flexible work schedules to reduce vehicular traffic. (Page 35) - If the straight-line 3% annual reduction may not be enough, then it shouldn't be the minimum target. (Page 37) - There are existing rating systems, green building codes (IgCC), and programs (like ENERGY STAR, BSAG) that could be adopted/required instead of developing a new rating system. I don't think that spending time and resources on researching and developing another rating system should be one of our top priorities. (Page 38, Strategy 5) - We could also adopt the IgCC. (Page 38, Strategy 6) - I think our goals should be more aggressive, in line with the Architecture 2030 Challenge for planning - 50% reduction in energy use in existing buildings by 2030 (Page 38, Strategy 7) - PACE is hidden too far away in the implementation strategies in the back of the appendix. I think it should be directly mentioned here. Can we put Bexar County down as the lead agency? It's a city plan, but Bexar County really should take the lead on PACE. If they won't, then the city can, but there will likely be building owners outside city limits, in Bexar County who will be interested in using the program. (Page 40, Strategy 28) - Consider clarifying that this means all new and existing buildings. (Page 42, Strategy M3) - How were these risks ranked? Based on their likelihood of occurence? (Page 45) - Where is the graph explaining the low emissions and high emissions pathways? It would be good to indicate that page number here for reference. (Page 46, High Emmissions Pathway)	1/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
- I think that PACE should be specifically mentioned in the Community Mitigation Strategies table on p. 40. Also, I feel like this implementation table deserves a place in the Implementation section instead of back here in the Appendix. (Page 77) - The SA 2030 District wasn't specifically mentioned in the draft plan, so I suggested adding a reference to the district on page 73 of the Implementation Strategies. Would also be appropriate to mention the SA 2030 District on page 38 in the Mitigation Strategies table.	
All City, State, and Federal buildings should be required to use solar panels. Homeless people need to be shown compassion and need access to electricity and shelter. Lets follow California's lead and take high emissions vehicles off the road like the plan discusses.	1/25/2019
The plan is not forward thinking or aggressive enough.	1/25/2019
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	1/25/2019
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	1/25/2019
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no	1/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	1/25/2019
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	1/25/2019
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no	1/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	1/25/2019
- Let's consider reusing old buildings. - Support water quality and health of our rivers.	1/26/2019
- P7 "Yanaguana" is a Pajalat word that translates as "land of spirit waters." Let me know if you want a reference. - P9 "Pan-American" not "pan-american." - P10 Text states: rising ozone pollution "could result in 19 additional deaths in Bexar County." Do you mean per year? Pull quote in red: "San Antonio can continue to prosper,": Replace comma with period. - P11 "The challenge of a city climate commitments is": singular "commitment" - P14 "The City of San Antonio was committed to prioritizing equity..." Recommend making present tense. Even though we are talking about past plan development, it reads stronger as a current abiding commitment and holds the "why" of the sentences that follow. - P15 Period after "heatwaves." - P16 Comma after "vivir" and also after "live in." - P17 Do not capitalize ethnicities based on color. Lowercase w, ie. "white workers." - P18	1/26/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Again: Lowercase “white” and “black.” Capitalization is required for identities such as African-American and Latino. - P22 Strike comma: “high emissions, when compared” - P26 “City-Ownded Landfills” - P30 Add: “buy” in “choose to by brands.” - P31 “Setting GHG emissions reductions”: Recomend strike “s” from either “emissions” or “reductions.” Uppercase B on “Improve the Brand” and T on “Their purchases.” Rewrite sentence starting “In particular” for sake of clarity. Subject is “generations” but becomes “their” (business leaders). - P45 Recommend change “exacerbated exposure” to something more accessible (ie. heat stress, stroke, and hospitalization). - P66 “GHG Mitigation Potentia” Under REQUIRED INVESTMENT: “,all” and “.investment” - P73 “Identify and allocating” should be “Identify and allocate” - P75 Add hyphen to include “throw”: “pay-as-you throw” Missing “l”: “reuse of building materias” - P79 “potential to install energy energy use”?	
What controls are in place to determine which parts of the community receive priority?	1/27/2019
Regarding Transportation: Create programs for business to promote work at home environment. Incentivize big business to send their employees to work at home by offering a tax break. As more business send their employee home this will create a trickle down effect on traffic relief. Less car on the road equal less smog emissions. Create program for those working poor who make too much money to qualify for programs such as "Mi Casa Verde" but do not make enough to carry the cost to improve their home. Increase the solar program and rain catch program. go solar where you can. Continue to invest to local parks and expand.	1/27/2019
I’m writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I’m very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren’t sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	1/27/2019
I’m writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I’m very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding.	1/27/2019

Comment/Response	Date
The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	1/27/2019
I'm so disappointed in our city's lack of insight and urgency in getting our environment on track before it's too late!	1/28/2019
Educate american car drivers that every time they buy and electric car they support child slave labor in the Congo cobalt mines and that the US has no cobalt with which to make batteries within the 50 states. Hydrogen engines are perhaps the only safe non child slave labor alternative.	1/28/2019
One for all and all for one. Let's work together to ensure every San Antonian has a fair shot at a thriving life.	1/28/2019
I don't know how you can call this a plan for our city. Where is the direction and vision for our SUSTAINABILITY??? I'm not only disappointed but DISGUSTED. Way to drop the ball! You have our lives in your hands. We have to majorly cut our carbon footprint! We can not depend on coal. What part of climate change and global warming do y'all not understand? That's just the beginning!	1/28/2019
Maybe enable incentives for people who are currently and pro-actively going greener in certain or every aspects	1/28/2019
The city should require all businesses to recycle.	1/28/2019
-I'm very interested in climate change and support the plan in using renewable energy. I wish my daughter would be more resourceful and recyle more. - I'm very excited the City is doing this and I will go online to read more.	1/28/2019
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding.	1/28/2019

Comment/Response	Date
The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	1/28/2019
Add vapor recovery units to all gas stations. Have fewer kids....less people=less competition for resources.	1/29/2019
Keep CPS Spruce Plant operational as long as it produces lowest cost energy. Do not use climate or other environmental activists speculative agendas to force early retirement of a viable, paid-for asset.	1/29/2019
Trees take in Carbon Dioxide and put out oxygen. Volcanos emit 5 times and sometimes more than all the cars and factory in the world.	1/29/2019
I think that CPS should employ education outreach specialists who go into different campuses around the city to educate children (K-12) on clean energy, ways to reduce energy usage, practice good habits, ways to properly recycle and reduce waste, etc. I'm sure that there are currently positions that do exist of this nature but as a high school teacher, I want to help my students become better citizens and prepared adults.	1/29/2019
We need a campaign about all the dangers to people, the environment, pets, birds, and insects that the use of leaf blowers cause. They stir up dust, bird droppings, squirrel droppings, and allergens and blow them all over passerbys and the user. The city and SARA MUST stop using leaf blowers, most of the time it is for no reason other than to "look busy." Rakes and brooms have been proven to be faster than using a leaf blower and they create virtually zero noise and no pollution. Our insect population is dwindling, thanks in part to the hurricane-like winds which kill insects and beneficial insects in our soil. Not to mention the noise of leaf blowers is, for some reason, extremely hard to deal with.	1/29/2019
I rated the CPS Energy and Vehicle question low because that impacts all citizens directly. Lower vehicle emissions cost money to the consumer. Working with CPS Energy cost money to the rate	1/29/2019

Comment/Response	Date
payer.	
Remember our large population of low income homeowners when promoting liberal environmentalists agendas that will raise utility bills, taxes and other demands on their scarce income.	1/29/2019
Protecting ecosystems means reducing plastic pollution, plastic bag use, reduce or eliminate pesticides and chemicals used on public properties and parks, and refraining from using leaf blowers, which emit as much carbon dioxide in one hour as a pickup does driving 400 miles.	1/29/2019
This is a complete waste of tax payers money. I love where it says the city is going to contribute but the reality the city does not make money they get there funds from the citizens. This is a complete waste of resources for an ideology not science.	1/29/2019
Please put large clear stickers with graphics about what to put in your blue and green carts. It is incredible what people put in their carts. Somehow you need to get people to care, and most people do not care about sustainability or the environment. Also, if you could get cable companies, ATT, CPS, etc to stop dropping the cut pieces of line they leave under the telephone poles each time they work on them. It just goes straight into the storm drains and into our river. Also, need more grates on our storm drains to catch trash before it reaches the river.	1/29/2019
1. If everyone installs solar and we meet 100% of our daylight energy needs with renewables- do we have the infrastructure to ramp up production after dark or on cloudy days either through battery farms, Nuclear or natural gas? 2. Do we have the electric generating capacity to power electric cars if 25% of San Antonio vehicles are electric in 10 years?	1/29/2019
I did not see price tags, only $, $$ or $$$. Where is the money going to come from as clean energy is expensive. Also, there is no such thing as a clean energy, the power to recharge the batteries has to come from somewhere.	1/29/2019
I believe San Antonio can continue to lead in renewables by mandating a building requirements to add solar panels to new home constructs with tax rebates given to homeowners to offset initial costs. Builders could be given incentives, like access to new incorporated communities in SA. With a percentage having to be reallocated to the city grid during peak hours. It could be scaled out from the city center with more incentives in the city due to lack of new home starts.	1/29/2019
There are too many other important issues than to bother with a moot issue!	1/30/2019
Climate change is hoax perpetrated by the government to steal our hard earned money.	1/30/2019
I am 56 years old. In the 1970, the big hoax was that we were experiencing the beginning of an ice age. In the 1990's, the big hoax was global warming. Now the big hoax is "climate change". It is just weather, so please stop your Sky is falling hoax.	1/30/2019
Promote water conservation.	1/30/2019
The city should only spend money and time on making the city more energy efficient and recycling and trash disposal. Do not waste money or make rules for climate change. I am glad the president pulled us out of the Paris accord and annoyed that my city stayed we would do it as response. I agree there is climate change but not in the extremes politicians want to go to to help. These things dont make enough difference just wasted money and time.	1/30/2019
CAAP says nothing about encouraging domestic electric car purchases/use as a goal. This is disappointing as fossil fuel consumption causes much pollution in our city.	1/30/2019
Bloomberg's plan!?! We are becoming far too much like New York and California as it is. Do we really want to drive out businesses as they have done? San Antonio is becoming a city I no longer recognize. Let's stop trying to be California and take a calm, realistic, and educated approach by reviewing the history of our climate changes. Some of what is now accepted as fact by the "elites" is simply not true. Let's not just be a small town follower, but an educated leader. Yes, we need to improve our infrastructure, but not for climate change. Instead, we need to make the improvements we should have done years ago with the bond funds approved by the voters.	1/30/2019
Now please don't be like France, banning cars and piling on your people taxes. Or you might have a Yellow Vest movement on your hands. But let the people have options. And please make miles of sidewalk have bike lanes. Cars are not friendly. And rarely do people use sidewalks to walk for an hour or more straight. You might as well make a bike lane on the sidewalk when there is no room on the road. Or when the area is known to be hostile toward bicyclists. And something as simple as planting more trees will help in the summer. I went to a friends house outside the city, surrouned by trees, in the middle of summer, and the heat of summer was tolerable. But when going to a new neighborhood with no trees, summer heat is almost unbearable. More trees please.	1/30/2019

Comment/Response	Date
More shade and protection from the scorching sun in Texas summers.	
Nothing but wealth redistribution	1/30/2019
“Climate change” is a hoax.	1/30/2019
We should always work to be prepared for emergencies but they shouldn't be labels climate change emergencies. We should work on conservation of green spaces and reducing trash because it is the right thing to do not because of climate change	1/30/2019
Yes I believe that we should improve our infrastructure, but not due to climate change. We have a long history of flooding due to poor engineering. Unfortunately when we vote on bond issues for infrastructure improvements, the money just goes into the general fund to be wasted on pet projects instead of its intended use.	1/30/2019
There is so food in this area of Texas. Pecans, Prickly pear, nopales. Please allow these indeginous foods to have their space. It's food in the wild. And the poor can go outside to a park and fill bags of pecans. Please protect this resource. Food may be hard to come by for many more people in the future	1/30/2019
Caring for natural disasters is not the same as climate change. Caring for the environment is not the same as climate change. Eliminate the climate change because it is bogus.	1/30/2019
I have lived in San Antonio for almost 70 years. The climate in San Antonio is the same climate that we’ve had during those 70 years. Some years have colder winters, some have warmer summers, some are wetter and some are dryer. This money making scheme which you refer to as “changing climate” is called WEATHER! Please save my taxes for something really important, like our safety. This city wastes way too much of the taxpayers money on a lot of nothing. How much is this survey costing me?	1/30/2019
Allow the city to bypass HOA rules to allow home owners to Zero Scape their lawns to conserve water. The city is running out of water and we need to take action now. The water supply cannot sustain the growing population of San Antonio.	1/30/2019
I do not agree nor support the premise of “global warming” or the updated moniker “climate change”. I absolutely do not agree with the attempt to saddle citizens and businesses with crippling regulations. This has always and will continue to limit personal freedoms and prosperity while increasing government control over our lives.	1/30/2019
Overall, I think the overuse of the word and the concept of "equality" makes this look more political trickery than honest planning. Also, buying into the unproven theory that global temperatures will simply continue to rise indefinitely and that man is the primary cause of "climate change" is ludicrous. I believe in recycling efforts and in being smarter about how we dispose of things but I definitely do not want to live in a nanny state or a welfare state. I'm more afraid of that than I am of "global warming".	1/30/2019
We have had flooding issues since long before “climate change “ came to be thought of as an act of man instead of an act of nature.	1/30/2019
There is a fine line the city needs to take. One is extreme socialism, taxing your people to poverty, and protecting the enviroment, water, and natural resources. The city in southtown is expensive. Tourists come to San Antonio for the cheap prices. I go to S. alamo and there are some restaurants where sandwiches are 12-14 dollars. The river looks amazing in southtown all the way to the mission library. I go there miking all the time. I wish the city focused more on the area it has within loop 1604 and within loop 410, and not too much outside those loops. We are going to hit Bandera at this rate. Maybe it's time to start looking up into high-rise apartments if there is no more space within those loops of highways around the city. I like Via, but sometimes their drivers are aggresive on the road. And the experience in the bus is not good. People have fallen from acceleration from the bus driver. I almost fell at one recent trip with VIA. And those buses get filled sometimes at certain hours of the day when I need to be somewhere at a particular time. Confluence park is awesome. I like the information it has about the semi-arid ecosystem. And the river again is nicely paved with trails. I only wish that trails were made on the streets in the sidewalks. That way people can ride their bikes on the sidewalk when one feels unsafe on the road with aggressive drivers. Thanks for reading this.	1/30/2019
It would seem that with increased warming, declining rainfall, and a booming population, one thing that must be addressed is assuring we have a portable water supply that will meet the demands. I recommend you get SAWS on board. Maybe there is a way to better capture and use the expecded increase in flooding. Maybe we should more vigorously explore desalination. Maybe	1/30/2019

Comment/Response	Date
developing additional above ground reservoirs should be undertaken. We have already had to ration water to prevent the Edwards from becoming too low. It's going to continue to happen and happen with more frequency if the environmental changes that are forecasted occur. Thanks, Gilbert Pyka	
Asked if Council had seen trailer for Ted Bundy movie. Reminds him of the situation with the … … Requested that Council make the state tell them the oil industry owns them. Everything Council can do is the bare minimum and is not enough. It's too late to stop climate change. We are morally responsible to do as much as possible. Basic decent thing to do is not be responsible for furthering climate change. He takes responsibility as a teacher to do everything he can.	1/30/2019
Fossil fuel industry must be dismantled. Council must put pressure on CPS. CAAP does not prohibit CPS from using coal. Climate plan's goal of carbon neutrality is 30 years from now. Emissions must be decreased in 10-15 years. CPS needs to accomplish a number of goals. We should vote yes on this plan, but should also take necessary action in the short term. Ask yourself – are you doing everything in your power for our children to have a livable planet?	1/30/2019
Member of Mom's Clean Air Force. Calling on Mayor and Council to protect future of children by voting yes on CAAP. Include in CAAP that all coal plants are shut down and by 2040 all coal plants shut down. San Antonio is not alone. We should keep children in mind when they consider the CAAP.	1/30/2019
Her impression of CAAP is a tremendous gathering of experience and knowledge has taken place. Council should vote in favor of CAAP and let it serve as a foundation. Global warming is not a new phenomenon. CAAP is not the beginning of the City's recognition of climate change. Joined environmental activists when she first moved to San Antonio. Best route to being climate ready is by transforming relationships without power relationships coming into play.	1/30/2019
A problem well-defined is already half solved. People generally don't like solutions to global warming and don't really understand the problem. Multiple publications have published numerous pieces about climate change. Provided handout from Brookings Institute – San Antonio ranked 13th city most at risk due to climate change.	1/30/2019
Voting yes on CAAP is the least we can do. City should take bolder steps and say no to the state.	1/30/2019
CAAP is least we can do. Actions we take now to address climate change will have far reaching effects. Dollar for dollar cost is not the only thing to consider. Professor at UTSA. He and his students plan to be around in 2050. What world will we leave? Don't do the bare minimum.	1/30/2019
Has been working for more than a year on the CAAP. Strongly urges Council to adopt, promote, and implement the plan as soon as possible. Costs are great, but costs of doing business as usual are enormous. Showed map showing climate change in next century. San Antonio can reduce greenhouse emissions before CAAP mandates. Best housing for the future is highly energy and water efficient. Housing of the future should be available to all affordably. Costs should be bundled and come out of whole process, not just the person who is living there. Must shape a new way of doing business. Many things are co-benefits of actions we can take now. Lots of adaptation plans will also produce green energy and green jobs. Encourages Council to think about what we can do in their neighborhoods – distircts 2,3,4, and 5. Former professor of Councilman Pelaez.	1/30/2019
Honors original people of this land. Longtime environmental journalist, Sierra Club employee. Member of Climate Action SA. Huge obligation to address climate change. CAAP doesn't get us where we need to be. Arguments against CAAP – what if we do things other cities don't? It doesn't matter – we have a moral obligation. Urges Councilwoman Gonzales and others to really consider costs associated with the CAAP. It prioritizes resources for historically underserved populations.	1/30/2019
On Steering Committee for CAAP, member of Climate Action SA. Expect their presence in opposition to risks we face. Fascinating to be here while Mayor and Council commended Tricentennial commission and Leadership SA planning for next 300 years. Timing appropriate – climate action necessary to prepare for another 300 years.	1/30/2019
Issue advocacy fellow at MOVE Texas. Appalled at actions of local officials regarding climate action. Commit to CAAP, shut all coal plants by 2025, and take a number of other steps. It is imperative that Council members know that voting against CAAP is committing violence.	1/30/2019
Shut down all coal plants by 2025. Support CAAP. Provide enforcement. Change is coming, either from regulation or climate incident itself.	1/30/2019
In support of CAAP and taking as many measures as possible to progress into 21st century. Political science student at Trinity. Originally from Houston. During Harvey, flooding in her suburb. Her home	1/30/2019

Comment/Response	Date
was safe, but there are real life consequences. We will not survive as a species. We can and must do better. The people who will be working on Council campaigns care.	
Urging Council to vote yes on CAAP. Become carbon neutral by 2040. Climate change is a reality. A vote against CAAP is a vote against reality, the environment.	1/30/2019
Doug Melnick presented last week. San Antonio chosen as a Bloomberg City, but so were others. Austin approved climate plan in 2014; working on second now. Other cities and countries are taking on their share of responsibility, and it's time for us to do ours.	1/30/2019
Environmental science and geoscience student at Trinity. Family in Houston has lost home three times in flooding. During Harvey, family had to be evacuated by boat. Family and friends have lost their jobs due to climate change. Plan to move away from carbon energy by 2050 is too far away. We need more reasonable goals. Everyone now and in the future will be affected by climate change.	1/30/2019
Economics and public policy student at Trinity. We can do more. Goals are too far in the future. City's good points are clouded by environmental goals that are too far in the future. Go net negative by 2050, net zero by 2040, move away from gas by 2030, and away from coal by 2025. Act now.	1/30/2019
Young San Antonians wondering whether there will be a livable San Antonio in the future. Plan has to consider all aspects of life in San Antonio. Disappointed in process of CAAP development – class neutral, top-down. Higher rent compounds stress. Sprawl is car- and carbon-heavy. Must be serious and visionary. Green program can be jobs program. Connect SA, Mayor's Housing Task Force, Climate Action SA should be part of master plan.	1/30/2019
I'm surprised to not see SAWS on the Project Team. With increased heat, decreased rain and a booming population, sourcing water for the future would seem to be a problem that should be addressed too.	1/30/2019
To put it simply, good stewardship is great, but we should not spend money on this and burden our taxpayers unless there are guaranteed results. What needs to be done to prepare for climate change? I can't think of any problems in San Antonio if the temperature goes up or down a few degrees in the extreme. How much exactly is in the budget for this and where does that money come from? Mars is experiencing climate change as well. It relates to the sun more than humans. San Antonio leaders better have some guaranteed results for dollars spent. This city has a huge waste, fraud and abuse problem to deal with before we attempt to impact global problems.	1/30/2019
This is a waste of taxpayers dollars	1/30/2019
I hope that you use common sense in establishing guidelines for this effort, a lot of false hype is done by those people who have made themselves rich on this. Look at the life expectancy of wind turbines, solar panels and what do you do with the stuff when it crashes. Please look at Georgetown's example of cost increase, what do you do on days when the sun doesn't shine or the wind doesn't blow. Don't tell me you are going to try to control cow farts like liberal California.	1/30/2019
I never hear this when I see the big earth movers and bulldozers spewing black smoke into the country side. Or when the smoke comes in from Mexico or the drive thru windows at your local restaurant. So take care of those first then talk to us.	1/30/2019
While the goal "Reduce Transportation Consumption" is very important to increase transportation options and promote cleaner, more efficient vehicles I feel there should be a specific goal to reduce the number of vehicles (cars and trucks) in the city together with the number of miles traveled. If the climate efforts are real, the city will stop hindering people to walk, bike or scoot around town. We need less parking spots for cars, less lanes and more room for people. If every street would look like Houston street all climate plans would be easy to achieve.	1/31/2019
Need input from citizens, local community-based organizations, bike and pedestrian advocates about what is going in their neighborhoods on environmental injustice particularly in regards to lack of bicycle infrastructure, bike lanes, or dangerous areas that should be safer for bikes, also pedestrians should address areas where sidewalks and safer crosswalks are needed. Some areas in downtown such as the roundabout on N Main are not as safe for pedestrians, UTSA downtown campus, and Southtown.	1/31/2019
We need to educate our youngest and best: the fact that everything we throw away never really disappears need to be discussed and practical alternatives and concrete solutions need to be	1/31/2019

Comment/Response	Date
proposed, immediately.	
I think it's incredibly important that we support and protect our natural ecosystems. Too many forested areas are being destroyed in my neighborhood and being replaced with shopping centers. If we are going to keep building, I request firm action from the city to make sure we are keeping as many trees/green spaces as possible and utilizing environmentally friendly engineering. Please, I demand immediate action on this matter. My councilman and district director of zoning and planning ignore me.	1/31/2019
The current plan is a good start but doesn't go far enough.	1/31/2019
How much will the mitigation and adaption stragies cost? What will be the regulatory and financial burden on our city? It's easy to spend other people's money and place hardships on the community for various causes, but have you done a cost/benefit analysis? Will the strategies make a difference?	1/31/2019
Probably, educating people so they will believe that the problem is real and that we need to take action will be the biggest challenge, but perhaps the most important part if the plan is to succeed.	1/31/2019
Increase nuclear power for the city. Solar and wind are just thoughts and prayers and are just the green coating for natural gas.	1/31/2019
Work to have leaders in the business community endorse and affirm their participation to adapt to these plans. Without the support of communities that think profit now is greater than prosperity later we will be plagued by apathy and denial. Education is paramount to this effort and I trust the city will be open in embracing the science that supports the need for action.	1/31/2019
climate ready?? the climate will do what it wants no one has control.	1/31/2019
Be sure that when educating citizens you rely on solid science and not increase the hype about Global Warming or Climate Change. Show real statistical data and historical facts.	1/31/2019
There is no climate change it's just a money grab.. Been living in San Antonio for over 60 years and there hasn't been any changes in the climate...	1/31/2019
If we meet the goals under paragraph 1, the goals and strategies under paragraph 2 will come almost automatically. We should also help our community to fight climate change before we help them to adapt to it.	1/31/2019
Public health should reach out and gain input from local community-based organizations and citizens about what is going in their neighborhoods on environmental injustice, and if the existing CPS coal plant is causing pollution that exceed dangerous levels and cause asthma and diseases. Also public health should provide more educational material on adapting health-wise to rising temperatures especially in extreme summers and very cold winters. Need input from local community-based organizations about what is going in their neighborhoods on environmental injustice, and if the existing CPS coal plant is causing pollution that exceed dangerous levels and cause asthma and diseases.	1/31/2019
Plant more shade trees	1/31/2019
The most valuable community members are precisely the ones that are not being engaged in a pro-active manner. Everyone needs to be summoned, invited and made to feel included and important within the system of solutions that are being discussed and implemented.	1/31/2019
Local food in food deserts please!!! We can do better for our people.	1/31/2019
Tax the wealthy and ensure commercial properties are paying into the system fairly. Use more low impact development for projects.	1/31/2019
All these things you want to implement will make people suffer more and very costly and not sustainable, you will be living in the dark	1/31/2019
Please avoid selling a program using our children, elderly, and minorities. If you have a worthwhile program it should be good for all. Try to sell the program and not politicize it.	1/31/2019
I'm missing a particular strategy for public transportation which is essentially the backbone of any climate action plan. Also, the city is sending out wrong signals while trying to push through this plan. Why are there still plans to widen the highways that are separating and destroying our beautiful downtown instead of narrowing them or putting them underground. We're taking away the integrity of the city while increasing traffic problems. As every traffic studies student learns in the first semester, widening a road will increase congestion.	1/31/2019
There is no attention to water and severe drought. How will SAWS be held accountable for water sustainability? Also we need to more rapidly move toward carbon neutral. Our health is at stake and that of our grandchildren. Thank you to the city of San Antonio for your leadership on this	1/31/2019

Comment/Response	Date
extremely important Climate Action Plan.	
Need more information on how public transit will address increasing access particularly in areas where people need to take the bus to access grocery stores, and food pantries, etc to increase food security. What is going on for buses to improve efficiency and less wait time in bus stops. Also provide cooling mist or shade in bus stops for summers. Also more information is needed about how vehicles can transition to electric or reduce emissions through EPA order for San Antonio to reduce carbon emissions (with inspection regulations, etc.)	1/31/2019
Climate change will always be (remember climate cooling from the 70s?). This entire program is a huge waste of our time and money. But....it is big business.	1/31/2019
The engagement with schools, nursing homes, hospitals, universities and public parks and venues needs to be constant, information needs to be shared at these places. We need to communicate effectively the feeling of urgency and commonality of our vulnerability and simultaneously, highlight the absolute importance of every single individual's participation and awareness of the power of their choices and actions and how it affects the wellbeing of the entire community.	1/31/2019
This is the most insane proposal I've ever seen. You idiots are out of your minds. Leave our city alone!	1/31/2019
I hope the implementation is strong. I know we can do this.	1/31/2019
Not long ago the popular crisis was global cooling. Now everyone is preaching "climate change". Given the current temperatures in the Midwest, I'm sure many people wish we could control the earth's temperature and warm things up! No one seems to know with certainty how much man impacts the climate. We shouldn't implement all these strategies until/unless we can prove they will be measurably effective!	1/31/2019
Hi. I was a member of the Water and Natural Resources technical working group. I really only have one comment. Several places in the report, it mentions that expected temperatures in San Antonio by the end of the century will be 6 to 10 degrees F warmer. It needs to be made clear if that projection is based on meeting the goals of the Paris Accord, or if that is the projection if we do little or nothing. I'm assuming that is the range of model projections for the business as usual scenario, or for just a modest reduction in CO2 emission. Making it clear that meeting the objectives of the Paris Accord could mitigate the expected temperature increase to something much less than 6 to 10 degrees could help people to understand the need for action. Thanks for your efforts, Jim Winterle Edwards Aquifer Authority	1/31/2019
The Paris climate accord is an attempt to punish the United States, by stifling our economy---which built the greatest economy and country in the world. You Liberals have drunk all the Koolaide. Check with your Liberal buddy (the mayor) to the north in Georgetown Tx to see how his "brilliant" plan is NOT working and how much extra it's costing the citizens of that city.	1/31/2019
Our cleanest energy comes from the nuclear power plant. Solar and wind are a joke and they take up huge amounts of land. Nuclear is safe and proven. Educate the people on nuclear so they are not mislead by non scientists.	1/31/2019
Utilize a social pressure system like the Re-Works program to have business and community leaders affirm their commitment to adapting this plan.	1/31/2019
Fossil Fuels is the only way to keep up with electrical demand. Extreme heat, ya'll ever heard of, the past, as in its happened before and will happen again, just as such extreme cold ya'll need to read up on history as far back as you can to understand that we don't have a climate problem. Ultimately God created everything so what He created we cannot destroy, He will do that in his own time.	1/31/2019
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the	1/31/2019

Comment/Response	Date
plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	1/31/2019
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	1/31/2019
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the	1/31/2019

Comment/Response	Date
plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	1/31/2019
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	1/31/2019
Dear SA Climate initiative: I am so proud you exist, you are proposing change and are acting towards your goals. I would love to help you voice your message in Spanish, share my books with you and get youth involved in this effort. Please contact me via email. Thanks and Godspeed! Lina Cuartas	1/31/2019
I'm a neighbor, from New Braunfels. And I want y'all to work more effectively, more quickly to being green. 100%. We have this one precious planet. One. And we know, for a fact, that fossil fuels are killing our planet as we know it. Please. Please choose this temporarily more difficult choice. Please. Set an example for the rest of us in Texas, in the USA, in our world. You don't have to read their well written form letter below, but it does further explain my concerns.	1/31/2019

Comment/Response	Date
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	1/31/2019
Provide free solar powered charging stations for electric vehicles.	2/1/2019
RE: "reducing vehicle miles traveled through transforming and integrating existing transportation networks." Reducing VMT should be partially achieved by supported higher concentrations of dwellings and businesses in close proximity to one another and to high quality alternative modes of transportation, to shorten trip lengths and make it easier for people to choose alternative modes.	2/1/2019
Please include maintaining our existing trees and planting new trees to help our city remain cool and livable.	2/1/2019
While the administration and a group of non-believers challenge climate change, the reasonable people in America believe and trust in the scientists who have researched and conclude that climate change is divestingly close. Future generations will be faced with multiple problems if nothing positive to reduce or stop the elements creating the problems.	2/1/2019
More locations for EV charging.	2/1/2019
Let's utilize our City Libraries, Youth Summer Camps, and target low income communities with more tree planting by the Parks & Rec. Dept.	2/1/2019
Stress the importance of green infrastructure and the mitigating value of preserving and planting trees. Target neighborhoods where there are low numbers of trees and areas of tree canopy for increasing trees/canopy. Implement requirements to have solar panels on roof tops for both new residential and commercial properties.	2/1/2019

Comment/Response	Date
nuclear power needs to be considered to replace coal generated electric power. natgas, gasoline ALWAYS need to be option for private transportation. hybrids (gas/electric, natgas/electric) ALWAYS need to be option for consumer transportation. freedom of choice for consumers. natgas/hybrids (elect, natgas) are best solution for fleets.	2/1/2019
I have no faith CPS energy will act on this survey owing a Chevy Volt with Solar on my home I continue to encounter a failing EV system in SA. Charge stations are not repaired or online. Shame CPS energy	2/1/2019
Will be interested to see what the educational platform is.	2/1/2019
Plant trees everywhere to reduce the heat island effect in the city.	2/1/2019
COSA should make a transformational investment in urban forest planting and management to plant a very large number of trees, and then keep them alive. This will cost a lot of money but will save COSA even more money, and generate extra tax revenue long term. If you valuate the trees as appreciating capital assets in the city's accounting system, you might even be able bond the savings and tax revenue they will generate, or at least demonstrate how much of a great bargain a better urban forest will be for tax payers.	2/1/2019
Taking an active role in protecting our ecosystems means keeping and growing tree canopy. Yes! Please!	2/1/2019
Increase the city's efforts to plant more trees and to enforce the current tree ordinance. Additionally, the legislature must be discouraged from interfering with the ability of local communities to enforce local laws.	2/1/2019
The most urgent equity issue I see in my work is the supply of urban trees. With few exceptions, they grow in the wealthiest neighborhoods and are missing from the poorest, where people can use their infrastructural services the most.	2/1/2019
To ensure equity means targeting areas with low tree canopy counts and physically planting native shade and fruit trees.	2/1/2019
Implement programs that stress the importance of preserving and increasing urban tree canopy and vegetation overall.	2/1/2019
Promote the preservation of existing trees for new development. Ensure new development plants trees to meet the city tree canopy goal of 40%. Identify neighborhoods with low tree canopy coverage and/or aging trees and develop programs to provide trees for planting on private properties.	2/1/2019
Don't allow developers to build in flood prone areas.p and ruin people's lives like in Houston	2/1/2019
San Antonio is going through a period of significant growth. We have an opportunity, through green and region appropriate planning, regulations, and construction, to take that growth and use it to propel San Antonio forward as a model for what sustainable cities can look like. Working to make sure developers include features such as trees, green rooves, solar, efficent materials, and permeable surfaces add short term cost but result in long term gain in terms of both quality of life and mitigation of climate change and (and in the case of permeable surfaces, climate driven disasters like flooding and hurricanes). Driving forward with mass and alternative transportation is another critical piece of this puzzle. As frustrating as the scooters have been, we need to take lessons about expanding pedestrian thoroughfares and adding designated bike/scooter lanes rather than scrapping them all together. These things do have a financial cost, but the cost of climate change caused disasters and scarcity will be much greater. We can pioneer solutions or continue to be part of the problem, there is no neutral path.	2/1/2019
No longer allow developers of shopping centers and car dealerships to create seas of asphalt. First because of the heat island effect and second because of the tremendous run off during rain events. Educate young children about man's destruction of the planet and also about what steps they can take to help preserve and possibly even restore what's left. Vote for candidates that believe that science is real and are environmentally proactive. Never vote for a climate change denier. Plant non-invasive species of trees, lots of them. Reduce consumption of animal products, as this has a huge environmental impact.	2/1/2019
Repair roads and improve city services! Stop wasting our tax dollars!	2/1/2019
Promoting and restoring green infrastructure is low hanging fruit. Problematic that COSA (DSD) is not able to enforce even those requirements they are allowed to and sometimes technically required to in the ETJ where the bulk of growth is happening due to under-resourcing. Because they are an enterprise fully funded with development fees they lose resources when construction	2/1/2019

Comment/Response	Date
slows but seem unable to gear back up as fast as the building industry does thus they are generally chronically underresourced and heavily invested in the construction community. This may complicate the regulatory relationship though there are great, committed, professional, principled people that work at DSD.	
We must prioritize plants as a strategy for resilience. From carbon sequestration and stormwater mitigation to heat island mitigation and air quality, a healthy urban canopy and supporting understory plantings are the backbone of our urban infrastructure. This network of assets is dangerously low or unhealthy in many parts of our city, and we need to take action now to ensure they can do the work necessary under climate change.	2/1/2019
We need to increase our cities tree canopy by creating innovative outreach programs that help our citizens understand the value of more tree shade, and expanded root systems to support our soils, and mitigate flooding. Giving trees away is not good enough anymore when you have communities like Pecan Valley, and Highland Hills and many more that have dying, mature Ash trees in need of removal, including new trees to be installed. This is truly a community effort, and hopefully non-profits will be a part of a critical challenge to prepare for drastic & dynamic climate change.	2/1/2019
STOP THE MANDATING OF ELIMINATING GASOLINE, NAT GAS AS PART OF TRANSPORTATION ENERGY SOURCES. MARKET IS ALREADY MOVING TO HYBRIDS (GAS/ELECTRIC), (NATGAS/ELECTRIC) TO REDUCE CONSUMPTION OF GASOLINE. FLEETS CAN MOVE TO NATGAS AND HYBRIDS FROM DIESEL. COAL CAN BE REPLACED BY NUCLEAR ENERGY.	2/1/2019
EPI center should be self sufficient using only solar, wind turbine and rain water collecting it should be a model plan infastructure for San Antonio. Completely off grid or connected to generate power back to grid.	2/1/2019
This climate plan seems hypocritical because we are rolling it out as we cut down 15 acres of trees at Phil Hardberger Park to store mulch. We need an emergency injunction for anymore trees being cut down. I can't buy climate ready if we are cutting down all of these trees.	2/1/2019
The equity screening mechanism is only shown in the appendix of the draft document. It should be throughout the document as part of the chart next to each strategy. I feel that the mechanism would be lost in just living in the appendix.	2/1/2019
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/1/2019
On NPR's Science Friday Feb 1st, '19, featured trees, forestry management, tree farms by individuals, nonprofits, & corporate, etc. It was a very good program.	2/2/2019
Our biggest opportunity is increasing public transit and educating the community about carbon impacts and the costs associated with climate change. Much of the population is actually very antagonist towards those who care about these issues and it shows a strong lack of understanding.	2/2/2019
City codes need to be updated to reflect the need to expand green infrastructure, riparian buffers, wetlands and other green spaces to help promote climate goals and flood resiliency	2/2/2019
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it.	2/2/2019

Comment/Response	Date
While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
The CAAP itself documents why all of the above are critical	2/3/2019
Nuclear power should be an option!	2/3/2019
On page 26 of the CAAP it mentions that the city is installing LED traffic lights and I presume the city will continue to do this, which is great and also I read in the CAAP that the city will continue to install streetlights with LED lights, but having some of them retrofitted with solar panels would also be awesome. In terms of actual vehicle transportation I think bringing back the trolley system downtown, but greener (solar powered, air- compression braking) would help with public transportation and be fun for locals and tourists to ride on. Other than that I think Via Primo is great, but maybe more buses are needed or they need to make more stops, but not take a long time to get to the final destination. Also, I have seen on school buses that run on propane that it is green as symbolized by the green bird on it, so maybe have the Via buses run on propane. CPS should show more support to people, who want to put solar pannels on their houses because or else they'll just have to build more and larger solar farms in the future that will be taking up land space. Maybe the city can hire/contract with people that are LEED ceritified to go out ans acess buildings to let building owners and business's know what their options are to upgrading their buildings to being more energy efficient. For promoting more efficient vehicles on the electric car side CPS or someone else could offer advice on the process and cost of installing solar panels on their roofs. Maybe promote some of the E-waste recycling options that San Antonio has more, like through Best Buy and other retailers as well as the state program of manufacturers taking back their products (TVs and laptops) to have them be recycled. Also I believe there are some recycling facilities near by that actually recycle materials, but if they are city owned invest in them to improve technology to recycle better and more products. If not maybe offer them subsidies if they choose to invest in such technologies. Terracycle for the city would be cool, but probably limited to several areas since it wouldend up being expensive. The only way that I know the city protects ecosystems is by turning them into parks, so I guess continue to do this, but more so on the recharge zone of the aquifer, which is on the North side because it can't continue to be built upon. I am looking foward to the land bridge. I hope it works in that wildlife will be comftorable in crossing it. The community outreach from CPS and SAWS is really good and should definitely be continued and perhaps even expanded.	2/3/2019
I definitely believe with climate change there will be more flooding with ground being so parched that it won't readily absorb water and I could envision more fires occurring with more intense heat. Air quality has always been a problem (ok, maybe like for the past few decades), so we should definitely crack down on that with greener buildings, transportation and CPS relying primarily on solar and wind power. We should for sure protect our ecosystems for their intrinsic value.	2/3/2019
The most important statement in the plan is the goal of carbon neutrality by 2050 and the annual 3% straight line reduction because it is clear, measurable, and the science supports the need. The failure of the plan is the emperor has too many clothes. There are simply too many, 100 times too many good ideas and no focus. Given the plans own statistics on GHG the FOCUS ought to be on TWO things. Moving to green energy production as fast as possible and moving transportation to	2/3/2019

Comment/Response	Date
electric vehicles as fast as possible. Those two actions account for the preponderance of the problem. It is not that all the other mitigation and adaptations are not important. But if we do not achieve those two, the rest will not matter.	
"Climate Change" hypocrisy= 1. Bloomberg and others flying their private jets here for a conference 2. 157 City officials flying to D.C. asking for Federal money after bashing the President of the United States 3. 15 acres bulldozed at Phil Hardberger Park unnecessarily. 4. Releasing criminal ILLEGAL aliens into our community	2/3/2019
1. I saw that there are some revisions in building codes being planned for better energy efficiency standards. However I feel these can only go so far, given the projected increase in San Antonio's population by over a million residents in the coming decades. We're still going to need a lot more energy in net even though everyone is burning less. I think what is needed where some cities in California are requiring the installation of solar panels on all new residential housing. There are probably two ways of doing that, where every house either has their own system if suitable,or a buyin to a solar farm is included in the price of the house (Rutland Vermont, I think has such a system). The latter can also be done for apartment or condo complexes where available rooftop or land for panels may be limited. There is tremendous advantage also as locally generated power doesn't suffer the transmission losses so there is a gain in efficiency over a centralized system. The costs are also not that great when done in initial construction, we just had a 3.6Kw system installed for $14K before rebates, and its pretty economical when a large scale subdivision includes it. (I imagine the initial home buyer could probably claim the tax rebate but that's something that would have to be worked out.) That amount of money is only about a 5% increase in an initial house price of $300K also and would be recouped early on in electricity savings by the homeowner. It requires some marketing but is a big win for the environment and economically for CPS, without the capital cost of new generating capacity, renewable or otherwise. 2. I saw the thought of converting street lights to LED also. This can be further enhanced as shown in the weblink: https://enphase.com/en-us/blog/enphase-energized-street-lighting?utm_campaign=Homeowner%20Newsletter%20January%202019&utm_source=hs_email&utm_medium=email&utm_content=69322496&_hsenc=p2ANqtz-9sub8Uha_HY0TO1oKx5-m9T1XzylnB0-ojJN1M4hR2hoKoEMZNZvkocF2MPn6fAmL-9a8csw6zXVTDhw9afljnHgS7gQ&_hsmi=69322496 which describes putting a solar panel on the light poles, so that power is generated all day. The system there is the type, where the DC-AC conversion is through a microinverter that is on the panel itself, so no need to have the DC current piped separately to a "string inverter" which is needed to service the panels separately, Great technology.	2/3/2019
I believe the city should first start mitigating and then begin to do both at the same time because we mitigate in order to not have to adapt, although at this point in climate change we will probably have to do both at the same time in order to survive. Overall, the plan seems to be pretty comprehensive, although having some of the dates pushed earlier for achieving a certain portion of the plan would be better, so seeing more completed some time in the 2020s and 2030s would be better, although perhaps not as realistic, but with all of the really bad stuff for climate change happening around 2050 the earlier we/the city start and complete the mitigation part of the plan the better. I guess under the mitigation strategy number 17 with material reuse and circularity the only way I can see this improving is having more donation bins for clothing and perhaps even larger items placed around more areas in San Antonio. The city itself could have their own clothing drive where they will send around trucks to pick up the bags of clothing, like what was done with the bags of food that the city picked up at one point (or at least I thought it was the city, but it could have been the food bank). So yeah this pick up could happen once or twice a year and then more frequently if necessary. I think a program/recycler like Terracycle will help with reducing-landfill construction waste. The recharge zone of the aquifer should continue to be protected from current and future development as in no further building should be done over it (on the Northside of San Antonio). Wow tackling airport carbon will be pretty hard, especially if we are talking about the airplanes themselves. I have seen some solar airplanes, but probably not the size of passenger planes (commenting on M8). I agree with M11 that roadway peojects GHG is bad mainly with the cement sidewalks. In response to number five in the adaptation section all of the via bus stops should already have a little roof to cover the people waiting for the bus to come and I know not all of them do, so that should definitely be improved on.	2/3/2019
Hold polluters accountable!	2/3/2019
San Antonio can thrive with a bold move towards a sustainable metro area. Key to the change is	2/4/2019

Comment/Response	Date
changing the transportation model in the metro area. Current plans focus on increasing single occupancy automobiles. To grow the area must shift to a fully electrified system in partnership with neighboring counties. Development of electrified or hydrogen based mass transit along with pedestrian zones in San Antonio and the neighboring communities. Continuing support for residential solar, EV charging areas supported by solar/wind and promotion for fuel cells using biogas from metro area landfills can all make San Antonio a model city and a wonderful place to live, work and visit throughout the 21st century	
Involve clubs (adults and students), and retirement groups in helping restaurants (and themselves) recycle. Take those groups to a landfill and let them see what's happening.	2/4/2019
This is a waste of City resources. Stop immediately!	2/4/2019
Aggressively encourage business and residential solar paneling. Determine if smaller, localized wind -farms are viable; if so, aggressively encourage use.	2/4/2019
Recycling needs to be done right without shipping plastic to other nations for disposal. It is a global concern, not just local.	2/4/2019
We will always need fossil fuels.	2/4/2019
I believe in the theory of climate change and we as citizens need to do anything and everything we can do to prepare for it. I worry about my children and grand-children!	2/4/2019
Some areas such as equity and education are important but it is more important to make meaningful changes to the energy mix, infrastructure resilience and emergency preparedness	2/4/2019
Make smart rules and people will adapt: no more plastic bags at supermarkets. Stop allowing businesses to use styrofoam unless y'all set up recycling for it.	2/4/2019
This is a waste of City resources. Stop immediately!	2/4/2019
Focus on emergency response and bounceback for big ticket items: resiliency of cellular, electrica l, water and sewage, transportation area-wide (includes partnering at the AACOG-level)	2/4/2019
Green infrastructure and ecosystems are extremely important to me.	2/4/2019
Address flooding before extreme heat.	2/4/2019
It's most important that the City prepare for the inevitable!	2/4/2019
Climate change is all a bunch of bunk. See this article for the true facts. https://www.westernjournal.com/global-warming-myth-debunked-humans-minimal-impact-atmospheres-carbon-dioxide-climate/?utm_source=facebook&utm_medium=conservativetribune&utm_content=2019-01-22&utm_campaign=manualpost&fbclid=IwAR3hUBNjVAirnXGajU47ji4qeJzO7ad9viu3cCDRaDcHwqWXImh8WxzPz2c Also, all those solar panels that you are advocating is going to wind up causing a worse environmental hazard in the end. See this article for the truth on this. https://www.nationalreview.com/2017/06/solar-panel-waste-environmental-threat-clean-energy/	2/4/2019
The page numbers aren't very visible on some pages. Page 10, paragraph 3, is that 19 deaths a year? $170 million over what span of time?	2/4/2019
It is simply not true that CO2 is causing the world to warm. There is no correlation between CO2 and temperature, CO2 increased from 1945 to 1975, but the global temperature decreased. CO2 increased from 1975 to 1998 and the temperature increased. CO2 increased from 1998 to present but the temperature has remained the same. So while CO2 increased, temperature sometimes decreased, sometimes increased, and sometimes stayed the same. You are wasting city tax dollars, that are needed to solve real problems, on an imaginary problem. The whole effort needs to stop.	2/4/2019
Continue efforts to plant and/or protect trees. Increase canopy cover. We need storm water retention.	2/4/2019
Very adamant that this plan should be done.	2/4/2019
I'm very upset with how the City is spending money and nothing changes in the government. I do not believe in climate change.	2/4/2019
I am interested in this and I support what the city is doing.	2/4/2019
	2/4/2019
Congratulations, on the Bloomberg Award presented to the city by the Office of Sustainability in step with our elected officials, our residence in general believe climate change is REAL, also they believe something does need to be REAL in our efforts to prepare for it, and that is the confidence that your department's efforts help bring with this recognition. May I suggest, that by using Resources for an Ecological Approach to our climate our REAL climate	2/4/2019

Comment/Response	Date
challenges adopt a sustainable approach by planting trees with local non-profits. As we know TREES really are the answer for our most vulnerable urban dwellers that may live in older communities, with older infrastructure, and older model homes, with mature trees, especially Arizona Ash that require removal, and, or in pre-tree ordinance communities where the two tree required trees per new lot were never planted. In using the existing city Tree Mitigation Fund to help increase our city tree canopy, a more engaged approach with local, and National non-profits, and local businesses to help train youth and adult programs to work with community groups would help re-leaf our city. Yes, tree programs do exist, yet we do need a door-to-door targeted approach into lower-income communities to be more bringing tree canopy coverage into their neighborhoods. I have worked on similar programs that helped bring pride, and joy in planting trees into targeted neighborhoods, and over time it does transform the perception of their environment, as it also helps to improve their quality of life for generations to come. My interest is to help in any way necessary, and please let me know how I may help.	
The Food Policy Council wondered if in the Crop Diversification recommendation, we could mention the example crops of pecans, amaranth, and figs. That may help our coming proposals for intercropping these drought tolerant crops.	2/4/2019
More bike lanes, please!!! Also, more tree plantings Also, a commitment by CPS to go fossil free much sooner than planned. 100% by 2025, please. Divest all public investments from companies involved in fossil fuel extraction.	2/5/2019
Education concerning our natural ecosystems and the impact that each one of us has on our environment beginning as early as Pre School is a distinct priority to create an informed citizenry to enact real and significant change.	2/5/2019
Are the homeowners in district 8,9 and10 going to pay for all of this. No to light rail. Business and builders downtown are going have to pay their fair share. Stop the downtown free ride.	2/5/2019
The promotion, restoration and long term protection of our Green Infrastructure and Ecosystems is not an option, it is a must and can do for us all.	2/5/2019
Implement gardening/healthy cooking instruction in all public schools, introduce local fresh produce salad bar into all public schools, and implement healthy food policies into all public places, including schools (no more sugar, gift certificates to unhealthy food vendors, etc., please!!!), and increase the number of local parks in neighborhoods wherever possible	2/5/2019
Should change City Tree Ordinance to include fruit trees for meeting tree canopy.	2/5/2019
Don't bankrupt the taxpayers just to reinforce this unproven climate change theory that is pushed on us.	2/5/2019
Our response to the effects of Climate Change as concerned citizens of our city and of our planet is the most important issue facing us today. San Antonio needs to lead in this respect, not barely tag along. Our leaders, Mayor, and city Council members need to search out comments from the public and hold each of us accountable for the legacy we are creating for our children today. Don't let this issue slide due to politics, as this is a bipartisan challenge.	2/5/2019
We need increase our urban forests. I propose planting trees in unpaved medians and along streets to reduce the heat map of San Antonio. Additionally, we should encourage the planting of community gardens and fruit trees. We should increase the size of our city parks and try to link green spaces by land of through increased tree canopies and land bridges.	2/5/2019
Do not exempt those special interest groups for tree or landscape ordinance. If everyone follows the rules, we have no problem.	2/5/2019
The homeowners tax payers in district 9-10 need to see more projects in our districts for all the taxes we pay. Don't use the airport improvements as pay outs for our districts. Why should San Antonio taxpayers pay light rail so non residents can work in San Antonio and not pay for anything. Restrict airport operations on high ozone days. Close down cement plants and rock quarry's that pollute the air. If a baseball stadium is to be built it must be In district 9 or 10. Require gas stations to Install emission capture on all pumps. Convert all buses to natural gas. Convert All heavy city trucks to natural gas.	2/5/2019
It is not the city's place to tell residents what to eat or what to drive. Not eating red meat is going to save the planet? Really???	2/5/2019
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste	2/5/2019

Comment/Response	Date
with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions — as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan — aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now — and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan.	
While I appreciate the need to spread awareness about climate change science, if it's done incorrectly or dishonestly it does more harm than good for the cause, in my opinion. To present a slide introducing your initiative as climate change focused, then have the next slide discussing near term weather events, is at the very least, misleading, if not downright deceitful. The actual explanation of climate change is far less convenient to explain in a sound bite, but to chose to mislead constituents in place of the truth is not an adequate alternative.	2/5/2019
Everyone is entitled to their opinion, but climate change is real and people need to get on board. It's real and only getting worse. I support this plan.	2/5/2019
Back in my hometown, residential solar panels were really pushed. However, solar panels are not cost effective for me. But I do support these ideas.	2/5/2019
I've been a scientist for 50 years, climate change is statistically proven but not proven any other way. Do we have a plan if it turns out we are wrong about climate change?	2/5/2019
I've been in San Antonio since the 60's and our vehicles were very different. Nowadays, everyone is driving a big truck or SUV. These big vehicles are very unnecessary, people do not need a giant vehicle.	2/5/2019
I support better mass transit, bus routes, and a city rail system.	2/5/2019
I feel like my wife and I are too far from downtown to be heard.	2/5/2019
My opinions on climate change are positive and I support this.	2/5/2019
It's recommended to plant trees. How about putting a stop to moonscaping and tearing down every tree in sight? You can start with the developments on La Cantera and UTSA roads. And when will the mayor and city council members and their families and their staff start riding the bus, walking, scooters, etc.? Or is this plan just for the peons in San Antonio? You see, these plans are unattainable unless leadership (which is truly hard to find in San Antonio) leads the way. Otherwise the peons wise-up and realize leaders are a bunch of hypocrites. I look forward to seeing Mayor Nirenberg and his cronies standing at a VIA bus stop in the cold, rain, heat and dark of night. LOL!	2/5/2019
I just wanted to give you an update regarding your request for inputs to the SA Climate DRAFT plan. Since last week's presentation, I have asked my environmental savvy members and executive leadership to provide me their insights into the plan. They have and I have sorted through it all. Unanimously, the comments show, we cannot support the plan. I will be drafting up our letter as requested and will send to you both. Bottom line: 1. There is no scientifically reliable reasoning used by the SA Climate committees and steering group. This is an environmentalist, with some city council member support, plan 2. There is lots of implied "socialism" in some of the inequality statements. Why is it even in the plan? Needs to be a level playing field without the emotion 3. It appears this plan will lead to unreasonable taxation, both overt and covert to pay for it 4. There is no cost analysist associated with this plan, just a let's do it and worry about the cost later attitude. Huge concern, cost of electrical power transition. Understand the coal issue, but not LNG. Plus, technology is just not here yet for solar and wind power storage. Businesses and industry need uninterrupted power 24/7 5. We, and residents, need specific costs, and scientific, details for each proposed action to collectively assess the impact to businesses, industry and the community There is much more, but I just wanted to give you the gist of what I got from my members. Also, I just	2/5/2019

Comment/Response	Date
read the SABJ on this issue and although it is not scientific data, their poll results show, 68% of San Antonians do not want this plan. I also have included for you this link for a short video explaining the error in recent alarmist thinking: https://youtu.be/mqejXs7XgsU I have had members state, the city should implement this plan first, at their expense, and demonstrate this plan is feasible. Personally, I think we need to redo this plan where we can sit together (limit group numbers) to effectively discuss and plan, without fear of reprisal or being ignored, and use common sense to develop a sound and workable plan. What we have now, is unacceptable. Our letter will be coming to you shortly.	
The real problem with the plan is no specifics towards how the individual will be able to afford the shift	2/6/2019
Since much of the air pollution reduction will be placed on the backs of individual citizens, they should be highly educated and empowered to take affirmative meaningful action.	2/6/2019
As for transportation...& attending meetings all over the city; with current Hwy gridlock; accident rates & more folks out on the roads...people please stop meeting for the sake of meeting! Use webex; video conferencing and save yourself the trips by linking up with today's technology! It's here use it! Step into the new wave new age of meeting to meet with a purpose! Save our enviroment; roads and sometimes people lives...by the way where's our public transportation that's time wise and on time!!!	2/6/2019
Need a definition of "vulnerable". Even the average home and vehicle owner would not have the money to make the required changes to their car and home. Especially given that in the past CPS and the City have encouraged the increased use of natural gas (this was also done as a way of being more energy efficient). But now the homeowner is required to change all these items back over to electric?	2/6/2019
Everyone should share a proportional burden to improve and sustain the community .	2/6/2019
You can hope for the best; but we are still using too many throw away items instead of washing dishes...keep our environment safe ... It helps us all in the long run	2/6/2019
Please increase electric vehicle chargers throughout the city. Level 2, and 3 along highways and common shopping areas. Increase electric vehicles with city fleet	2/6/2019
Stop the waste; stop printing excessive paperwork; stop throwing unnecessary paper plates; cups; utensils; etc. Stop wasting gasoline; time and resources; driving across town for meetings...use video conferencing whenever possible.	2/6/2019
Hi. I'd like to congratulate you for the great work you're doing. I believe that every single section enlisted is of paramount importance but I also think they should be backed by stronger laws. Waste and resource management is of great importance for going circular but it has to be implemented through education and law enforcement, not just by good will. Toxic materials such as PVC should be banned. I'd like to help in any way I can to share my knowledge on the subject. Also, streets and transportation need to improve. I recently moved to San Antonio and ended up buying a car because it was impossible for me to go anywhere without it (even walking is mostly out of the question) and that is also a social problem that needs to be fixed. Thanks so much for your efforts!	2/6/2019
Hypocrites bulldozing 15 acres at the park. 157 city employees take a plane to D.C.!	2/6/2019
Our out-of-touch elitist hypocrite mayor should do something about people “illegally” using fireworks at least twice a year within the San Antonio city limits. Talk about environmental pollution! I couldn't see the house across the street New Year's eve this year due to smoke, etc. The mayor wants it both ways and it never works. Shut down the illegal fire works or shut up about pollution, etc. Perhaps placing a service charge of about 25 percent on the sale of fireworks in Bexar County would work with the proceeds used to buy trees to replace those destroyed on La Cantera Parkway and UTSA Blvd, with more falling every day. Again, the mayor wants it both ways. Out-of-control developers with no oversight and then he wants more environmental protections. Sorry, it doesn't work! Get a clue, Nirenberg! Push yourself from out behind you desk once and a while, hop on a VIA bus and tour IH-10 west and see the moon-scape.	2/6/2019
My primary concern was in regards to how this would directly impact individuals, such as myself, as the plan has goals set, but doesn't speak to the expectations that would be placed on individuals (lacking detail). So let me run through my specific case and ask you to respond regarding my concerns. I'll be turning 55 this year, and as such, much of my future thinking is in regards to financial security as I reach retirement age. By the time these energy plans are being implemented, I will most likely be living off a fixed income of TRS retirement and SS benefits. In	2/6/2019

Comment/Response	Date
planning for this stage of life I have purchased an older home and made sure to own quality vehicles that I keep well maintained through the dealership to maximize the usable life of the vehicles. The home: In the past we, as home owners, were encouraged to utilize natural gas over electric when possible. We were told that this would put less strain on the CPS infrastructure and be more economical. As such, I have a gas range, gas central heat furnaces, gas drier, and gas hot water heater. All of these units are relatively new (central A/C & furnaces less than a year old). To replace all of these items, and the contracting work to increase the electrical supply required for this would be quite expensive: Central A/C & heat system replaced- $20,000 Appliances replaced- $4,000 Electrical system upgrade- $8,000 I have also looked into the cost of solar panels to offset the increased cost this would have on my utility bills. Due to the direction my home faces, angle of the roof system, and mature trees, the contractors estimate about $36,000 to install solar to cover approximately 60% of my energy usage. hen we have the issue of the vehicles: Heavy duty F350 dually diesel and a Jaguar XJR. Although an electric equivalent of this truck is currently unavailable, I will assume that the cost would be similar to a new truck of this size $83,000. And the new all electric Jaguar is $70,000. By the time this plan is fully implemented I would be looking at a total outlay (in today's dollars) of $221,000. I would not be able to sell off this property as it would not be energy compliant. I would be on a fixed retirement income and would not have a fraction of the cash required to make these upgrades. And on a fixed income I would not have the resources to acquire or pay on a loan to cover these expenses. So my question to you is this: How as an individual would I possibly be able to move to a zero carbon footprint? It would appear that this plan would basically make my current property valueless and at the same time be cost prohibitive for me to move into compliance.	
Plant a tree? Have you seen the moon-scaping on UTSA Blvd and La Cantera Parkway? How about the new Security Service Credit Union headquarters on IH-10? There's not one shred of vegetation left! Stop ripping out trees by the thousands for a change! I didn't see anything about synchronizing the traffic signals all over the city. Cars emit more pollutants at idle than when moving along. Broadway is a good example of horrible traffic lights. When will Mayor Nirenberg and his city staff along with his family start using VIA bus service on a regular basis? A true leader would set the example. Or is this plan just for the peons, developed by the hypocrite elites to control people and really has nothing to do with the environment? I look forward to seeing Nirenberg standing at a filthy VIA bus stop with no shelter from the rain, heat, cold, wind, etc. If he and the other greenies on the city council want to increase bus riders, then every bus stop should have a shelter from the elements along with a bench, trash bin and well lit during darkness. Otherwise this plan is just a bunch of bull.	2/6/2019
You know I the 59s and 69s we all recycled. It was a way of life.	2/7/2019
Collaborative work between industries well help achieve our San Antonio sustainability goal.	2/7/2019
Please switch to renewable energy now!	2/7/2019
Policies must be rational and reasonable. Instead, these issues have become extremely politicized and polemic. Does carbon neutral equate to Stone Age living conditions? Will carbon neutral pivot resulting in more nuclear power plants or just less grid network energy for the average (as opposed to the wealthy) citizen? in short, fundamentally the loss of this world is not based on man; God has determined it. The answer is found in the gospel of Jesus Christ, not the gospel of Mother Earth. It is found in the holy word of the Creator, not the created! Or have you not heard that the Apostle Paul said, “professing to be wise, they became fools.”? Or have you not read that Jesus said, “heaven and earth shall pass away, but my words shall not pass away.”?	2/7/2019
People not caring about the environment is the problem. If they're not gonna care, they're going to ruin it for the rest of us. I'm more concerned about the health of the planet overall and in the future than boo hoo-ing because we didn't care until it started directly effecting us.	2/7/2019
Outstanding!	2/7/2019
Tackling outreach programs might be difficult but reaching out to schools and Universities might help instil some practices that they might not be exposed too. Younger generations are our future and exposing them to these resources will become a norm in their adult life. Reaching out to large companies and industries in our community will also help contribute different resources.	2/7/2019
Demonstrate eco habitats Gardens Grasp using very little water. Plant more trees to address the	2/7/2019

Comment/Response	Date
epidemic of loneliness with community incentives.	
I want a Green New Deal for San Antonio.	2/7/2019
Recycling outreach: importance of recycling and how to. People don't care to sort correctly and there should be a way to fix that. The fine for diapers in the blue bins is good. Why doesn't Central Market have recycling containers like Whole Foods? Ban Styrofoam. Enforce standards for clean cars. Your coal-roller is not more important than the rising sea levels. I see older, smoking vehicles every day. Stronger fine for littering. Lightrail to Austin, please. Time the lights better so we spend less time idling. Plant more trees in medians. Less concrete and sprawl. Strengthen downtown density to reduce the necessity of urban sprawl and reliance on cars. I would love to have a downtown SA that looks more like NYC than Stone Oak.	2/7/2019
I didn't see anywhere in the plan that discussed our city's illegal dumping problem, which affects the health of ecosystems. One major site that I have notified 311 is on Quintana Road at Leon Creek (between Cassin and Plumnear Road). There is also illegal dumping that occurs off the road as you walk down the slope towards Leon Creek. (A point of reference to get to the creek is across/near Elva's Pallets at 8664 Quintana Road. You can also take a walk down Salado Creek at Comanche Park and find plenty of tires in the creek. There needs to be more free public events for the community to dispose of larger household items and hazardous materials throughout the year. Many citizens might not be able to get to certain landfills and therefore illegally dump at the closest secluded spots in their neighborhood. Businesses also need to be heavily fined for illegally dumping materials. I caught a business dump truck dumping on Quintana Road, called the police, provided the information and no action was taken. Illegal dumping and action plans to deter it and solutions to help the community dispose of waste properly needs to be added to this plan. The plan mentions reducing commercial waste. How will this be done? My suggestion is to require all food establishments to properly use recycling services for all items that are recyclable. For example, there are many establishments that use plastic plates and bowls to serve salads for dine in guests but there are no bins for recyclable items. Some examples are Subway, Whataburger and Chick-fil-A. Many food establishments also sell sodas in either plastic bottles and/or aluminum cans. All these recyclable items get placed in the trash. The city should require every food establishment, gas station and corner store to recycle, since these places all sell products with items that are recyclable. This will keep tons of recyclable products out of our landfills.	2/7/2019
As a preventive medicine physician, my highest priorities are related to decreasing air pollution (fine particulates and ozone) that make our community sick now (and kill some) not just in the future: Close CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025. End the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030. Then to mitigate health effects related to climate change in the future: Achieve net-zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and Achieve net-negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/7/2019
Consider having solar powered charging stations at bus stops for homeless. Maybe they don't have to be specifically at bus stops but having those would help a lot. There's a lot of judgement towards myself and other homeless people when we come into cooling centers. We even get kicked out of places that say they are welcoming to homeless. Sometimes all we need is somewhere warm (during winter) or cool (during summer). A lot of homeless people have phones or laptops but no one lets us charge them in their facilities. Also, if we could have solar powered hot showers, that would be incredible. We're only allowed extremely cold, timed showers at shelters. If there is any money or resources given to shelters, please let that be communicated to the homeless. Haven for Hope is given a lot of money but our restrooms and facilities are only stocked during inspections. It's all for appearances. You wouldn't recognize the place on any other given day.	2/7/2019
Please promote more readily available means of recycling to people who do not have access. For example, most apartment complexes do not have recycling as an option. Perhaps more easily accessible ways to being recycling to central facilities or make recycling a more viable option for complexes.	2/7/2019
The environment is hugely important for human survival. We should be taking reform much more seriously.	2/7/2019
I'll be doing some research this weekend.	2/7/2019
We need to improve access/transportation options besides cars. My neighborhood largely lacks sidewalks and crosswalks. I have two grocery stores within a quarter mils, and no safe way to walk or bike there. At the same time, less impervious ground cover will address flash flooding issues and	2/7/2019

Comment/Response	Date
help mitigate the metro heat island effect.	
Good	2/7/2019
Regenerative Agriculture is extremely important for sequestering carbon and promoting Biodiversity and Healthy Ecosystems. Whatever can be done to promote this is another big step in the right direction. see Rodale Institute for regenerative crop production or Savory Instituted for regenerative grazing. I'll also throw in Mark Shepard's "Restoration Agriculture" as a model. We need to be cautious about sprawl. It runs counter to the creation of an efficient city. More concrete = more heat island. More sprawl = more vehicle use, including carbon and heat generation. Please look into the "Growth Ponzi Scheme" via Strong Towns USA to learn about the financial implications of sprawl. High-exposure buildings like the SA Airport, public sports venues, schools and churches should be equipped with highly visible (and attractive) solar features to promote solar energy awareness. Transportation planning should just be about streets for cars, but also paths dedicated to other transportation options that are separate from roads. I don't mean bike-lanes, I mean trails to foster bikes and scooters. Low Impact Development (LID) is a must! We need to protect our water quality and maximize transfer of surface water into groundwater. Let's also widen stream setback requirements. While we are at it, we can incentivize the creation of walk/bike/scooter trails outside of the riparian zone.	2/7/2019
Climate change and sustainability are the most important issues of our time. Please do what you can to pass the CAAP!	2/7/2019
What a fine plan. Thanks.	2/7/2019
More needs to be done about flooding. Funds aren't being used properly for this issue.	2/7/2019
People should not be allowed to sit in their car with the car running.	2/7/2019
Go down Dellhurst, cross Scales and there's a ditch there before you get to Salem St. Grocery carts and water collect there causing a lot of flooding.	2/7/2019
No hard numbers as goals in the draft. The draft is not an accurate depiction of emissions pollution because it is CPS that delivers the energy that businesses and residents use. If CPS's source of energy was cleaner, our energy usage wouldn't matter as much.	2/7/2019
What a fine plan for our city. Let us go forward and unite around these important issues.	2/7/2019
As we both know this is just economic redistribution hidden in unprovable science. 1) provide a consise therory with supportive data regarding this urgent problem. 2) show a dataset that preceeds accurate weather data (1880's ?) of couse you can't , our lifetime is a grain of sand so none of that… it used to be …stuff works 3) no real change in storm severity, or frequency since the limit of recorded weather unless you go back to the little ice age or the dinosaur days. -In the past less reporting stations , inaccurate data with nonstandard data acquisition. -It would be fun to debate your team allowing both sides to present their data, of course that would sink the "climate equity plan" or as it has been all along a scheme to extract monies from the percieved evil rich and give it to the poor. -A true Diservice to Science is the setting up of a group like yours that relies on untested or unproved nonsense.	2/7/2019
This presentation is absolutely horrible. It is 90% scare tactics, 8% unrelated discussions, and 2% actual information about the plan. The 2% useful information isn't all that explicit as to how you plan on doing this. For example "CARBON-FREE VEHICLES Transition to carbon-free transport by implementing strategies to accelerate the adoption of electric or other carbon-free personal vehicles, trucks, transit, and freight to reach 100% penetration by 2050". How exactly does the city of San Antonio plan on implementing this? Realize that the EU is experiencing some rather sizeable resistance to this particular portion of the plan. From an experienced point of view, this sounds like taxation of some sort to force citizens to go with the city's plan.	2/7/2019
We need to hear from residents that live near CPS coal plants, present their stories to the city council of how their health has been impacted negatively suffering from asthma, lung cancer, or other disease and health related issues and how urgent the matter is for CPS to close ALL of their coal plants in 10 years as an urgent time frame. Also I want to hear how Connect SA and VIA can contribute to the Climate Action Plan in regards to addressing transportation and how to improve public transit with our growing population and we need a BRT (bus rapid transit) system that works from VIA and that VIA should switch to entirely 100% electric buses not just CNG buses. The roads needs to be fixed as there is infrastructure problems as well.	2/7/2019

Comment/Response	Date
I would like to comment upon the cost of the plan, specifically, the idea that addressing climate change is somehow too expensive a proposition. To this, I say that we already pay these costs. The city does not just happen, it exist in a state of continual renewal--roads are paved and repaved, buildings demolished and built. Every day, we work towards and pay for this renewal--through energy bills, taxes, and the simple act of living and being in this city. Thus, the cost of adapting to our changing climate is best framed not as "new" cost, but simply a reimaging of the present costs. Obviously, everything has a concrete price tag… but we are already paying for something, we should make sure we pay for something great.	2/7/2019
I oppose initiatives that raise our property taxes.	2/8/2019
While I conceptually support these ideas the thought of overbearing government intervention and wasted taxpayer money leads me to place a low score on each item. I nor others can afford the costs of policies that are driven by wishes and not economics. Look at the news about the failure of Georgtown Texas's effort to "go green" and the large increase in costs to the consumer and their city is now trying to renegotiate a economically damaging deal.	2/8/2019
California is requiring vehicles to get higher mpg. We could do that. San Antonio (Bexar Co) should also require vehicle emission inspections.	2/8/2019
If you're going to build, avoid costly planned obsolescence.	2/8/2019
Same as above. This is a one sided feel good poll that is designed to elicit the response you are looking for. No one is against protecting these things but it must be based on sound financial principles.	2/8/2019
It is disturbing that we would have to adapt to the changing climate. We need to fix what is broken and if we are no longer able to fix it we need to prevent it from shattering any further.	2/8/2019
Government control and regulation too often drive up costs of housing, further exacerbating affordability issues. Ironically, in contrast to an aggressive, intrusive and costly climate agenda, the city's so-called "soft touch" regs on e-scooters are manifestly failing to maintain order or public safety — which should be the first priories of any responsible municipality.	2/8/2019
Hold landlords/property managers accountable in making their properties affordable & energy efficient.	2/8/2019
I would like to see San Antonio ban the use of plastic bags in all stores. Unfortunately recycling is not the top priority of many individuals, so I feel removing this product will make a huge difference in our community. People will adapt.	2/8/2019
Reducing private vehicle emissions are something most of us can help with. Inspections should be required. It is not that onerous an expense. If you can afford a car, you can do that.	2/8/2019
Please look into green roofs and hydroponic farms on buildings to ease heat on city and to promote vertical space expansion to battle CO foot print and clean the air in the city.	2/8/2019
"Every single catastrophic scenario considered by the IPCC AR5 (WGII, Table 12.4) has a rating of very unlikely or exceptionally unlikely and/or has low confidence. The only tipping point that the IPCC considers likely in the 21st century is disappearance of Arctic summer sea ice (which is fairly reversible, since sea ice freezes every winter)." Judith Curry at Congressional testimony Wednesday February 6, 2019 "I think these people don't really want to make progress in addressing climate change, but rather are using the issue as a club to enforce their tribalism and/or achieve social justice objectives." above is a quote ….might I add, your group is mostly political rather than scientific I think you'd agree	2/8/2019
Solid, keep it up!	2/8/2019
Love it- thanks for sharing!	2/8/2019
Climate change is a made up agenda item to make a few people/companies rich at the expense of others. Climate change is a natural phenomenon controlled mainly by the activities of the sun and by the natural wobble of the earth on it's axis.	2/9/2019
We must move much faster than this plan calls for, we have 12 years.	2/9/2019
Stop wasting people's money! Only implement items that save money-- solar panels on buildings, light colored roofing to help save money on cooling...etc. If we go into a global cooling cycle will we be forced to walk back the false global warming fixes to rewarm the earth? Research real science and speak to scientists that were not paid by government to prove global warming is a "man made" event that only we can fix. If you find a real scientist you will find out that waving tree limbs don't make the wind, melting icebergs won't flood anything (place icecubes in a glass and	2/9/2019

Comment/Response	Date
fill glass with water...let ice melt to find out that the water level did not change). I always wonder what people did 40,000 years ago to stop the global warming back then that resulted in the advancement of the glaciers.	
Adapt doors so heating & cooling stays inside (for example, HEB's doors automatically slide open & usually stay open as people move in & out. Convenient? Yes. Conserves energy? No!	2/10/2019
The US , Texas and San Antonio regional economy is premised on cheap, plentiful oil and gas. Do not cut off the hand that feeds our citizen's affordable lifestyle . . . their jobs.	2/10/2019
The city's budget is HUGE, and we should prioritize spending that eliminates our carbon emissions. Insulate leaky homes. Install solar panels on every rooftop possible. Get rid of the remaining coal plant. Make public transportation desirable (cheap, fast, and plentiful). Launch public education campaigns that teach people why they need to care and what they can do. Reign in developers who only have profits in mind. I haven't been to Portland, Oregon, but I hear it's one of the greenest cities in the U.S. Find out what they're doing and copy, copy, copy.	2/10/2019
Reduction in the amount of oil production would also help worldwide.	2/11/2019
Free heaters and air conditioners	2/11/2019
Education on climate for young children	2/11/2019
I am all eco friendly	2/11/2019
Doing nothing solves nothing, it only makes it worse.	2/11/2019
Great presentation	2/11/2019
We need to step aside from ourselves and look at the damage we're doing. There's an appalling amount of food waste. So much of it could be given to the homeless instead of ending up in landfills. More places for homeless people to shower/have access to electricity would be nice.	2/11/2019
Thank you!!	2/11/2019
Waste of money	2/11/2019
You could spend Trillions of dollars and make all sorts of changes to human behavior and it would have .00001% change in the temperature. What happened to Global cooling of the 1970's. Who will financially benefit? Another waste of money. This is more liberal propaganda. How about seeing where pollution is causing a problem? China is a huge problem, so whatever we do will have no impact. Racial discrimination and segregation are in the past. Liberal policies are what has taken men out of the house and kept people in poverty. Minorities are given greater opportunity and are given advantages as never before. Most companies have minority set asides to buy from "disadvantaged" companies. This entire draft is liberal bilge.	2/11/2019
2 priorities for this San Antonio resident: 1) If you are serious about reducing carbon emissions from electricity production, help CPS make residential solar energy more affordable. It's too expensive for most of us and CPS' assistance program has not been taking new applications for a long time now. 2) Keep acquiring land in the region to prevent it from being developed. That will keep the area from becoming one massive urban hotspot. It also will benefit the natural environment and the mental health of people who live here in other important ways.	2/11/2019
We need to focus on things that will get people out of their cars, giving them alternatives to having to drive wherever they go. Even parking lots in large malls and strip centers can be impossible to walk from one side to other, you have to get in the car, park & get out again to go to a nearby store. We need WAY more side walks and bike lanes (bike lanes that connect to each other & go somewhere vs just piece meal). More park and ride commuter service from major residential to major employment centers is needed. We need to shut down coal fired plants as soon as possible as they are no longer financially or ecologically responsible. NG, solar, and wind should be used and acquired at every opportunity. The long we hold on to 19th century technology the more it will cost us currently and in the future.	2/11/2019
Comments on the draft report: SA Climate Ready: A Pathway for Climate Action & Adaptation The SA Climate Ready report has an important role to play in our thinking and our conversations about the effects of climate change on San Antonio. It should not only describe the various dimensions of the City's climate action and adaptation plan, but it must also do an effective job of educating readers from a broad cross-section of the public about the causes and effects of climate change and the strategies that can be employed to respond to it. And, importantly, it must motivate San Antonians to support the proposed mitigation and adaptation strategies. To motivate, the SACR report must communicate the urgency of the need for climate action now.	2/11/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Further, because the various economic, infrastructural, and political issues associated with an effective response to climate change can seem overwhelming, the report must seek to combat any sense of hopelessness and inertia on the part of the part of the public by providing clear and compelling examples of "do-able" strategies that can be more or less readily implemented or that have already been successfully implemented in other places. Clearly, a lot of hard work and thought have gone into the development of the report. There is much good information in it, and it is attractively packaged. However, in its present form, the report will not have the impact that it needs to have and will not be as effective in educating and motivating the broader public as it could and should be. The improvements suggested below concern only the four substantive chapters that follow the introduction. The critique of the other chapters and the appendices is left to others. Note: the following comments assume that some version of the "Challenges" chapter will be moved to follow the introduction as the first of the four substantive chapters, with the "Climate Equity" chapter being moved to later in the report. Grounding the Response: Climate Equity. This chapter is out of place in its current location in the report. Climate equity is an extraordinarily important topic, and the discussion of the effects of climate change on San Antonio's most vulnerable populations is one of the strengths of SACR report. However, it is odd and confusing that, in a report dealing with the response to climate change, the first data plots that one encounters are about median income, car ownership, and neighborhood poverty rates, as important as these data are in another context. A 7-page chapter on climate equity should not be the first major section after the introduction, but needs to come later in the report. The current equity chapter (pp.12-19) should follow either the revised "Challenge" chapter or the revised mitigation and adaptation chapters. Wherever this chapter ends up, it is not clear that the three plots (median income, car ownership, neighborhood poverty) are useful in this context. They document the economic inequity that exists in San Antonio, to be sure; however, the space that they occupy can be used much more effectively by increasing the size of the map on p. 19 (so that it can be more easily read) and pairing it with a map of San Antonio's heat island. Such a pairing would be a dramatic, easily understood illustration of the vulnerability of low-income San Antonians to the increased temperatures associated with the changing climate. The text on the effect of climate change on vulnerable populations (p. 28) in the "Challenge" chapter is good and, in some ways, more effective and to the point than the discussion in the current version of the climate equity chapter. If the "Challenge" chapter is moved as suggested, this text will provide a very clear and cogent discussion of climate equity early in the document and set the stage for the equity chapter that comes later. The Challenge and Response. A revised version of this chapter should be the first of the four substantive chapters. Instead of describing "baselines" for mitigation and adaptation, this chapter should begin, after the first couple of paragraphs in which mitigation and adaptation are defined, with a compelling description of the problem, its anticipated local effects, and the consequences of inaction. The relevant material is already present in the report, on p. 27 ("Climate Projections") and p. 46 ("Expected Impacts"). A (perhaps expanded) version of the discussion on p. 47 of the "costs of doing nothing" should also be included here. Suitably revised, this existing material can provide a compelling, understandable statement of the problem ... what climate change means / will mean concretely for San Antonians. The problem thus having been defined, the sections on what the effects of climate change mean for business and vulnerable populations can follow (in that order). The discussion of the sources and magnitude of greenhouse gas emissions in the San Antonio area, to which the first 3-3.5 pages of the current text are devoted, should be moved from this chapter to the chapter on mitigation, where it logically belongs. If this chapter is revised, as suggested, to focus on the effects of climate change on San Antonio, it should be re-titled to something along the lines of "San Antonio's Changing Climate" (phrase used p. 27). The "response" is addressed in the chapters on mitigation and adaptation and need not be mentioned in the title of this chapter. Mitigation. As recommended above, the material on the GHG inventory and sources belongs in this chapter and should be followed by a discussion of the six strategies to reduce emissions (cf. p. 35). It is not obvious that all of the information given about GHG emissions is needed to make the case that they must be reduced.	

Comment/Response	Date
The tables in both this chapter and the adaptation chapter are a problem: they are too complicated and "fragmented" and overwhelm the reader with excessive information presented in a language that only a technocrat could love. Put them in an appendix and use the space that they currently occupy to expand on the six strategies listed on p. 35 with specific examples for each strategy, chosen for clarity and compellingness. Make the abstract specific and concrete and give the reader an idea of what can be done by citing what has already been done in other places. For example, I suspect many ... perhaps most ... readers will have no idea about what is meant by "circularity" and "circular economy." Having a glossary entry for these terms is not sufficient. This "pillar of climate action" should be illustrated with specific examples such as might be found on web sites devoted to promoting a circular economy. Here are the links to two such sites, one maintained by the U.S. Chamber of Commerce Foundation, the other by a not-for-profit organization in Denmark: www.uschamberfoundation.org/circular-economy-toolbox-categories/food-energy-water-and-land 3 stateofgreen.com/en/partners/state-of-green/news/10-examples-of-circular-economy-solutions/ And so on for the other strategies. Narratives, with examples and graphics, are much more effective than complicated tables loaded with too much information shrouded in the fog of "technocratese." If the tables are retained, they need to be carefully reviewed to ensure that the specific "sub-strategies" are correctly mapped to the top-level strategies. For example, Community Mitigation strategies 20 and 21 (Urban Heat Island and Climate Sensitive Design) have to do with "Reduce Building Consumption" rather than with "Promote Biodiversity and Healthy Ecosystems." Similarly, Municipal Mitigation strategy M4 (Cool/Green Roofs) is misplaced under "Reduce Transportation Consumption." (This appears to be a simple slip in constructing the table, whereas the misplacement of strategies 20 and 21 appears to reflect some conceptual confusion.) Adaptation. The above comments on the mitigation text also apply to the discussion of adaptation strategies: relegate the table to an appendix and use the space saved for narratives describing various adaptation strategies that can be employed with examples, where possible, of strategies that have already been successfully put in place in other cities or in San Antonio. Some examples that come to mind are Philadelphia's "Cool Homes Program" or San Antonio's own "Under One Roof" program, the Heat Health Watch Warning Systems in place in various U.S. cities and in Europe, etc. I imagine that the material supplied by the various report working groups contains lots of examples like the above that could be incorporated in the body of the report and that would be much more effective than the current table in illustrating, understandably and compellingly, how San Antonio can adapt to the changing climate. If this table is retained in an appendix, the column "Mitigation Benefit" should be removed. The many red "thumbs down" symbols convey the wrong impression. It would be valuable to devote a page of the report to the urban heat island and to strategies that can be used to mitigate it. The UHI is a readily understood and illustrated concept, and readers can easily envision the implementation of the mitigation strategies (vegetation, green roofs, white roofs, high albedo pavement, etc.). Properly written, a page or sidebar discussing the UHI would neatly bring together the themes of mitigation, adaptation, and climate equity. In sum • The chapter on climate equity should not be the first substantive chapter in the report. Move it. • The chapter titled "The Challenge and Response" should be the first of the four substantive chapters and should be revised to focus on the actual and projected effects of climate change on San Antonio and re-titled along the lines of "San Antonio's Changing Climate." The word "response" should be dropped from the title. • The mitigation and adaptation tables are too complicated and confusing and overwhelm readers with too much "fragmented" information presented in dry "technocratese." Move them to the appendices. • The tables should be replaced with text and graphics describing examples of various mitigation and adaptation strategies that can and have been employed. Well-chosen examples will enable readers to envision what mitigation and adaptation will mean, in practical terms, for San Antonio. Many suitable examples are likely to be found in the material submitted by the working groups	

Comment/Response	Date
during the development of the report. • Illustrate the proposed strategies with concrete, understandable examples. Few readers will understand ... or want to take the time to understand ... what is meant by "Increase Circularity" or "Promote Biodiversity." The information given in the tables is of limited use here. • The report is, on the whole, beautifully packaged. However, the packaging sometimes gets in the way of the report's effectiveness and seems more appropriate for a glossy brochure targeted to tourists than for a report on a plan to respond to climate. This comment applies particularly to graphics, most of which fall into the "feel good" category and do not illustrate the problems that report addresses or the solutions that the report proposes. Some examples: instead of the photo of the sunlight shining through the house cutout on p. 17, why not use a figure from the August 2018 presentation by Treviño and Burford on San Antonio's "Under One Roof" program? Or: why is a photo of the Gustav Fountain at the Pearl paired with a plot comparing SA's GHG emissions with those of other cities? Speaking of GHG emissions, why is there no photo in the report of CPS's Spruce plant, coupled with an indication of how much CO2 (5.9 million metric tons) the plant introduces into the atmosphere each year? (The problem of transitioning from fossil fuels as an energy source is difficult, but the report doesn't really deal with it head-on.) Or: the full-page photo on p. 29 adds nothing substantive to the report. Get rid of it and use the space regained for text and graphics that convey useful information. Or: in the Adaptation chapter, why not have photos of a flooded low-water crossing or of the aftermath of the Bastrop fire? Bottom line: the use of photos in this report needs to be re-thought—not all, but most graphics should be chosen to illustrate climate change-related problems or solutions. • Devote a page to the urban heat island effect and ways to reduce it.	
1. More efficient public transit options and education of options 2. Get china to stop polluting (by percentage, SA is fine).	2/12/2019
A change of a few degrees over the next 100 years is a small impact for an inland city. I have doubts as to what impacts this is referring to, and worry it's just another welfare program disguised as saving the world.	2/12/2019
I find it interesting that the biggest monetary contributor to the implementation of the plan (according to the chart that I viewed) is CPS Energy. I'm pretty sure I just read an article in the Rivard Report about how they are barely solvent and are reluctant to change. Shutting down a coal plant is great, but the real damage being done is through the source of electricity they are providing. I agree that they could be the catalyst for a host of green jobs and the way forward, but I'm not sure they can or will do it with their current board of trustees. I would love to see them implement some green jobs training initiatives on a large scale in higher education. They should have invested in wind energy decades ago and they would be in a much better financial situation. Can't they work with the UT System to get access to those wind farms they own in West Texas or to build some offshore and share power rights with Corpus Christi? They need to get creative! And again, not gonna happen with the current leadership. I'm dubious. I'm also sad that Bus Rapid Transit is the best SA Tomorrow could come up with after all this time. Come on!	2/12/2019
The plan should consider using Biochar as an approach to carbon sequestration.	2/12/2019
Considering nuclear energy should be an option since it is a form of carbon free energy	2/12/2019
I commend the tremendous effort that's been put into this plan. I am joining the game late, having moved here recently, so I appreciate that my comments are completely out of context. A few specific comments: -Water should not be free: it should be free to those who cannot afford it, but it should be priced progressively to discourage overuse. -Trees are mentioned only twice in the entire document. P. 21 calls out trees in the adaptation circle, and p. 50 lists tree canopy as an action item. Increasing canopy deserves more focus. It's a winner for CO2 sequestration, for providing shade, and for limiting runoff. (And is arguably cheaper and better than fancy hydraulic engineering solutions, aka 'flood-proofing', that are proposed). -Replacing impervious cover with permeable surfaces is missing from the document. Seems like a major oversight. -Irrigation and landscaping are missing from the document. While moving towards less water-intense landscapes may may not be a huge saver of water (and thus energy), it is very important from a social perspective. See below. Broadly, the document is admirable in its discussion of the environmental justice issues surrounding climate change. But is the purpose of the document to convince people that action is necessary on social grounds or to discuss possible policy and technical solutions? To my mind, it does both, and therefore does not achieve either as well as it could.	2/12/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Finally, in my experience, there are two ways for change to happen: peer pressure or financial incentives. I'm sure you all have seen beautifully written, beautifully illustrated, uplifting action plans like this fall flat. I fear this plan will do the same. Where's the buy-in? How are you really going to get businesses to do this? And, how will you get citizens to change their behavior? People don't change their behavior because they feel bad for disadvantaged communities. Outside of the environmental community (which I am proudly inside of), no one really cares about a city's emissions of CO2. People care about their lives, and they will only make changes if they save money (e.g., through city policies), or because they start to think their neighbors are changing their behavior. Appealling to equity (intergenerational or societal) generally doesn't work. That being said, this is an important start! I truly will be cheering you all along and getting involved as I'm able.	
It will be hard to do enough - all of these things are critical to an effort to slow the rate of change that is coming.	2/13/2019
All of these are critical but without education AND money it wont happen. I'd like to see more on implementation and commitment from the City.	2/13/2019
Factory farming causes the MOST pollution and contributes the MOST to our carbon footprint, yet little messaging comes from the city or state to move towards a more plant-based diet/lifestyle (veganism). More people eating more meat has a drastic negative effect on our environment and on the health of our citizens. PLEASE don't continue to ignore this, but still act like you are addressing the REAL and MAJOR issues of climate change!!!	2/13/2019
More information is needed in terms of the cost to (1) implement these strategies versus (2) the cost of not implementing these strategies. Many of the above rely heavily on market forces. I like incentives over regulations.	2/13/2019
Paleoclimate data evidence no direct causal linkage of CO2 and temperature	2/13/2019
The CAAP committee should offer seminars and workshops at high schools and colleges/ universities around San Antonio in order to promote the expansion of understanding how climate change can directly impact the community and Eartn as a whole.	2/13/2019
I'm tired of Big Brother trying to make my decisions for me and especially forcing strategies that cost me more $. This should be optional!	2/13/2019
All of these items are, of course, important. My evaluation is on what should come first.	2/13/2019
We should do less adapting and more addressing of the causes of climate change. Change your approach!	2/13/2019
I feel like your questions don't tell me what you are really driving at and how much it will cost me	2/13/2019
Be careful of going Green just looking at Georgetown who went 100% green their customers now have average bills of $1200.00!!!. I think we need to let our Utility company run their company as they have for years offered low rates to our citizens. I think the city can help by doing the following: 1. Promote installing electrical charging stations through its area and working with other cities to help promote purchasing electrical vehicles. 2. City to start buying electrical buses and all city cars to be electrical by 2035.. 3. Work with builders to not allow them to clear cut land and to make the lots they use bigger lots to stop so much damage to environment. Also make them pay for infrastructure when they build huge subdivisions. 4. Increase the number of parks in Bexar County. 5. Smart technology to reduce traffic. 6. Electric rail to Austin. 7. Raise code on Commercial and Residential house to be more energy efficient. 8. Keep giving rebates to customers who want to add Solar roofs. Please note the City gets a large amount of money from our City Owned Utility. If you take away Gas plants they will not be able to sale energy in the market which will take away the amount of Money they give to the city and they WILL have to RAISE rates. This will be a double hit as customers rates will increase and the fuel cost will also rise the people of San Antonio will NOT stand for high utility bills!	2/13/2019
Informing, educating & helping people change there methods of use & waste is more beneficial to mankind than having government regulations that will cost us more in the long run. Ex: the city provides the recycle & green waste bins for free yet the trash bin is a bit pricey. I see the (city)governments thinking here of charging for the trash bin so maybe they will cut down on waste & start separating the waste properly. not everyone sees what your trying to do here. we need more education & information to try to direct us towards that way of thinking. its a lifestyle that needs to be taught, not just slap a fee to it.	2/13/2019
Items that I feel need to be enhanced in the CAAP. 1. Education of the public. None of this will	2/13/2019

Comment/Response	Date
happen without it. For example, the scooters are a bit of a nuisance as they now operate BUT how is it that we dont see them as an awesome step in the right direction. And this jibes with the City's consistent comments about how we dont need parking downtown because there will be alternative transportation. Is the only "alternative" driverless vehicles. The scooters are forcing us to think more about how to make room for alternative transportation. 2. Demonstration of buy-in from all stakeholders. Where is the money? Where are the MOU's? 3. Deeper involvement from Development Services Center. This can no longer be perceived as the place where businesses go to move obstacles. It needs to be more evenly handled to address these impediments to a greener approach. 4. Benchmarks that hold the current administration responsible.	
Factory farming causes the MOST pollution and contributes the MOST to our carbon footprint, yet little messaging comes from the city or state to move towards a more plant-based diet/lifestyle (veganism). More people eating more meat has a drastic negative effect on our environment and on the health of our citizens. PLEASE don't continue to ignore this, but still act like you are addressing the REAL and MAJOR issues of climate change!!! We should do less adapting and more addressing of the causes of climate change. Change your approach!	2/13/2019
Assuming CO2 were the primary driver of climate, San Antonio's contribution is de minimis	2/13/2019
Public transportation - highly needed Walkways that are safe and green. Bike routes away from cars and roads.	2/13/2019
I think this state should have no junk yards by the state.	2/13/2019
Fix the pot holes! Make traffic more efficient by synchronizing the lights! Did I mention-fix the pot holes!	2/13/2019
I'm interested in hearing about removing gas powered vehicles from city streets. I assume there will be exceptions for those who live out of the city and drive to SA for work.	2/13/2019
With hybrid R&D technology I would think/hope most carbon fueled vehicles would be nonexistent in 30 years. If not, shame on us!	2/13/2019
Doesn't give us enough time to build more and greener electric production facilities.	2/13/2019
I don't see such a plan happening without massive federal, state and local grants and loans especially for those who are low income. It's not an impossible goal but it's an extremely complex one that needs to be in sync with the state and federal governments for maximum effectiveness.	2/13/2019
Hopefully the public transportation options would be so great that the need for a car wouldn't be an issue.	2/13/2019
Solar and wind are very pricey, require lots of land to build and to store the energy is not developed yet. But city wants CPS a billion dollar plant to begin converting over to these methods. The CPS plant is only 8 years old and now we add more money to it to convert to energy sources that are not cost effective.	2/13/2019
Stated that climate action impacts housing in many ways. Asks council to commit to close coal plants by 2025 – Spruce Units on Caleveras Lake. He said it was disgusting including the visual pollution of the plant itself. He said the plant runs at a lost for the last several years. At the rate the city does business, shooting for 2025 will get it done by 2040. Work together for the children.	2/13/2019
He said he is a school teacher and asks Council to support his students future on climate plan and cite and release. His students stand to benefit from common sense policies like cite and release. The negative effect of climate change and not having cite and release impact people of color disproportionately. Power is unequally distributed in this country. Make a just, carbon neutral, future for the children.	2/13/2019
SA climate action plan, climate + housing justice: home is where the heart is, it when your work is meaningful. What happens when that heart is disenfranchised. Talked about gentrification, lack of secure housing and meaningful work. As climate change worsens our homes are being threatened. Asking Council to vote yes for the Climate Ready Plan.	2/13/2019
They help people protest their property appraisals and will be helping low income people with housing. It doesn't make a difference if we build all the homes we want if people aren't able to live in a healthy environment. As their business grows they will help people in other ways by helping them stay in their homes. Asks that council preserve current neighborhoods before anything else. He wants to be able to give employees raises that won't just so they can afford the costs of climate impacts. Asking Council to consider preservation and protection in all the votes that you take. Vote yes for CAAP	2/13/2019
Said that strep throat can escalate very quickly as bacterial infections do. Said this was an allegory	2/13/2019

Comment/Response	Date
for climate change. People who don't have homes can't take care of themselves. Some of his students are homeless and he sees the effect that it has on them. Asking Council to support the CAAP and even more.	
Vote YES to CAAP: District 1 resident. She recently got priced out of her home at Soap Works, she previously was priced out of Portland. It was devastating to have to move from Soap Works because of the San Pedro Creek project. Being relocated is traumatic. Asks council to consider how San Antonio is contributing to the global future. What happened to her and her family at Soap Works is happening all over San Antonio.	2/13/2019
Asks the Council to vote yes to the CAAP. Said that the Council has stated that they appreciate public input, that you care about what the community wants but stop when certain experts start talking. Climate change is real. There is a local and a global responsibility to solve these issues of climate impacts and housing.	2/13/2019
Right now, Feb 13, 2019 giant trees are being plowed over in back of Cavender Land Rover on IH-10 & Hausman Road. How can there be an environmental plan that allows air filtering trees to be mowed over like weeds throughout San Antonio? Nirenberg is an idiot! And all you folks who support this plan that doesn't contain strict controls for tree preservation are idiots and just wasting people's time and money.	2/13/2019
Don't waste my money on legacy items. The scooters that are now spread all around town are a waste of our money. If your truely concerned with our environmental conditions then think big. Much bigger than what your proposing to where it's an inspiration for our students in this city to answer with solutions and skills. We the people are the greatest resource to utilize so use our money empowering us with knowledge and hiring, invitation to volunteer with improving our neighborhoods and beautiful city. The bottom line is education for all ages will help. Start there.	2/14/2019
It's very difficult to evaluate these priorities in a vacuum that doesn't take into consideration cost or the economic impact on San Antonio's different communities, particularly its least fortunate.	2/14/2019
Create new public-funded jobs in construction to reduce building energy consumption, for example retrofitting private homes with solar tiles	2/14/2019
This is all so important… For our children for the earth	2/14/2019
I feel climate change theories are not scientifically supported. The climate change scare is a ploy to extort money from thosecthat choose to buy into the bunk. Our world goes through cycles that are caused by the earths rotation process.I also believe that this is money driven. If our eco system is so important, that why all the sudden push for building and bringing in of nrw residents?	2/14/2019
Busses that have high fees,scooters ,and such benefit a small group,the elderly need to have more areas where there is bus stops,the poor cant afford high bus fees either ,cars need to a tool in the lives of citizens to use as needed,having affordable parking is also to benefit the citizens ,san antonio is growing ,but is it a good thing	2/14/2019
Follow the money to see who is pushing this agenda. You treat carbon gas like a pollutant and it is the life blood of plants, animals and humans. This is a political boondoggle.	2/14/2019
We also need to prioritize buying recycled products. We keep pushing stuff in to the recycling stream, but aren't pulling them out. This is where the governments can really help. They need to prioritize recycled materials - everything from paper to steel - in all their bids, with a double priority for material produced in Bexar County (create jobs!).	2/14/2019
I really do not believe our climate is changing.	2/14/2019
I am not a climate change finatic. I believe in conservation, but planet isn't going to die if cows fart. Plan is hyper!	2/14/2019
A changing climate is called weather. I am all for improving the efficiency of the energy we use and utilizing cleaner energy as the processes improve, but I would like to see what these new initiatives are going to cost the consumer. I would like to see a cost to benefit analysis. Do they still do those?	2/14/2019
"Promote" and "support" includes the allowance of taxes and monetary penalties by any future administration, separate from whatever the current administration interprets.	2/14/2019
No time to waste!	2/14/2019
Educate the kids in the schools and they will teach/nag the parents about recycling, environmental issues, etc.! City depts. need to work with school districts on this. Also, improve education in apt complexes about recycling for residents, especially ones new to SA.	2/14/2019
The link above didn't open, but I already have opinions.	2/14/2019

Comment/Response	Date
I don't think we need to be part of a global agreement that doesn't help us. There's no incentive to be gained by joining up with this contract, so don't bind us to it. If this is for San Antonio, then that needs to be the inspiring motivation. Tell us all about it through our sources here at home. Open centers for the people to gather safely at & receive information. Broadcast NEWS of our city on all new stations. Use Twitter and other social media to reach San Antonians about accomplishments and goals. Friendly competition is always in the American spirit in challenging ourselves and producing outcomes that are positive for all. Inspiring our young to also take part by encouraging them to take care of their hometown and each other. This is a city that has the heart of a small town and we lost that along the way somewhere.	2/14/2019
There is a lot of jargon and political-speak in here that I worry is obscuring perhaps the real concern: yes of course we need to have infrastructure that can respond to as much weather uncertainty as possible...but the tone of these questions seems to bely some other agenda.	2/14/2019
Careful to go slowly so as not to break the bank for low income.	2/14/2019
Trees are bulldozed in order to build,habitats are eliminated for profit,really like anyone cares ,its all about making money ,dont pretend you care about anything else	2/14/2019
Looking at the past, shows we really have no clue what affect we have on climate. Global warming? Why was it changed to Climate Change? Complete waste of time and tax payers money. If a private person wants to fund this, then make their name public and let them pay.	2/14/2019
I don't think any of these things should be done under the Climate Change Hysteria but I think as logical good stewards of our city and our state and our resources we should recognize things that we can do to improve the world we live in.	2/14/2019
Low income citizens will be disproportionately impacted by climate change. We have to make sure they are central to all efforts.	2/14/2019
This is an absolute power grab by radical liberal political operatives trying to control every aspect of our lives.	2/14/2019
It might be better NOT to buy local produce, etc. This just stimulates more irrigation. Perhaps it is more responsible to buy produce, etc. from the midwest, where the climate and soils are more conducive to farming.	2/14/2019
Over the top planning such as this is not going to serve public NOW when we desperately need 1604 widend. Cars are here to stay.	2/14/2019
Sounds like a bunch of psuedo science double talk in order to tax us more.	2/14/2019
Climate is always changing. This includes extremes in temperature, rain or lack thereof, storms, hurricanes and other natural occurrences. Your questions on this poll are biased. Of course we all want energy efficiency, secure resources, save our environment, etc etc. what we don't all believe in though that NATURAL changes in the climate are all man made. Case in point, the Ice Age (intense global warming not man made), volcanoes spewing gases and ash, etc. I'm sure you'll use this poll of people supporting a clean environment to push for your ridiculous climate change agenda of wiping out use of fossil fuel and ridiculous "carbon credits".	2/14/2019
"Educate" includes any unscientific idea, or fad, of the day, not envisioned by the current administration. "Taking an active role" includes demands, commandments, and government mandates for individual actions not envisioned by the current administration.	2/14/2019
Significant restrictions need to be placed on development of undeveloped areas; the current tree ordinance needs to be strengthened and rigorously enforced with stiff fines and penalties with teeth such as restricting their ability to obtain licenses for future development for violations. Currently, builders and developers act with impunity. Require any developer to undergo yearly training on importance of Low Impact Development and strategies for maximizing preservation of native flora and fauna w/in any development. Likewise, pass city ordinances requiring LID standards for all development and requiring a certain percentage of any development be dedicated to green spaces. Also, consider requiring use of permeable surfaces for pavement (sidewalks, driveways, parking lots) to decrease runoff. Prohibit use of potable/drinking water for outdoor watering. This will encourage rainwater capture from roofs, etc. and use of greywater systems, as well as use of natural flora.	2/14/2019
The economic impact of this plan is glaringly absent. In a competitive economy, most of these provisions seem destined to relegate San Antonio to a second class economy, unable to compete with even other cities in Texas that take a more strategic view toward economic development and environmental stewardship. Please don't do this to San Antonio.	2/14/2019

Comment/Response	Date
I appreciate the thoroughness of the thinking that went into the plan. It seems to be a plan for more researching, analyzing, and talking to the public. Where is the timeline for starting to take actions? What actions have already been taken and what are the results? I saw 'food security' mentioned on this questionnaire. For example, an action to create public-funded jobs to pick citrus, pecans, and other food that rots on trees in private yards so it can be distributed free through schools, food banks, homeless service organizations, senior centers. Pay people to work in public gardens rather than hope for volunteers. Pay seniors to administer these programs. You would have the personnel who could negotiate with groceries and other businesses who might be negatively affected by loss of sales if free food were given away, but that's what I think your role is.	2/14/2019
Our elected officials do not understand the importance of a multi modal transportation system. Via needs to be more important and citizens need to understand that bus rapid transit and leaving their car at home is so important. On top of that we need bicycle facilities protected bicycle facilities A comprehensive safe bicycle facility system works in other cities. It can work in San Antonio, too !	2/14/2019
Making so called green buildings is ludicrous and will cost billions. My concern is that thought has not gone into what all these so called green program entail and how they will negatively impact citizens. Part of being so called green you will need to severely limit growth and that wont happen because the politicians want money. I call the green deal a farce. No new laws, no electric cars, no green buildings. Limit new residents, limit new building. No trains, subways or other city transportation. No new laws forcing recycling, no ordinances targeting residents on how we should keep our homes (ie, mowing, edging, cars, items in yards). Quit pushing agendas on us.	2/14/2019
If you watch this Ted Talk by Bjorn Lomborg, you will see your priorities are wrong. He is a "climate scientist" who believes man is creating the climate to change, so he is on your side. Remember, if you say there is a "scientific consensus" on climate change, then that's not science. Science is determined by facts and I don't see any. The actions you propose taking will be cause minimal affect. If you just want to pat yourself on the back, then go ahead. https://www.ted.com/talks/bjorn_lomborg_sets_global_priorities?language=en If you want to do what's right, the project should be stopped and focus on a different priority. Look beyond San Antonio if you want to serve the world.	2/14/2019
We need to focus on helping protect our natural green infrastructure through preservation. Our society relies too much on technology to help solve our issues with our natural environment. Technology is a tool to make better informed decisions and offers little to solve any real natural issues such as air and water quality. There is only one way to help nature/Earth restore itself and that is only through practicing natural means and methods. For example, planting and preserving more trees is a zero-to-low cost natural way to improve air and water quality throughout our community. "The one who plants trees, knowing that he will never sit in their shade, has at least started to understand the meaning of life." – Rabindranath Tagore	2/14/2019
See my comment above. You apparently won't be happy until you totally destroy our economy and make everyone under the thumb of the government.	2/14/2019
Buildings are where we lose the most energy and a lot of it is cultural, not engineering. Because men have to wear suits and ties, every woman wears sweaters and shawls. If we set our temperatures warmer and relaxed our dress code, we could save money and energy!	2/14/2019
Why are we wasting money on nonsense... there are real and immediate problems to address first before you start chasing rainbows..	2/14/2019
No specifics are provided in the plan. Many ideas that can, at any time, be interpreted as providing for government mandates and vastly increased taxes and penalties to force social compliance which increase the cost of living. Any increase in the cost of living influences any projection of city growth. Reference the methodology used by all businesses that analyze expansion plans. Reference the methodology used by individuals on plans to relocate and retire. (Florida versus NY, Texas versus California.) Plans, "educate", "promote" and other verbs used in this document will not cause a change to human behavior, ie citizens using mass transit versus individual transportation. The only way to create a change in human behavior will be through government mandates by future administrations. This plan allows for any future administration to ban vehicles from roadways, mandate the purchase of certain cars and banning citizen choice of products. The basis for developing the "output" of GHG includes the methane output of closed landfills but does not then decrease the result through the use of methane capture for energy	2/14/2019

Comment/Response	Date
production. 20 pages of the 43 page document is extremely repetitive of broad generalities, non-specific ideals, bumper sticker thickness information, generalities designed to use emotion rather than data for gaining approval, and the use of economic data (like jobs, race, social justice, etc) to garner emotional support for an environmental agenda that is supposed to save the environment. If you want to create jobs, solve racial issues and social justice, develop plans to address those. The document states the plan is to solve environmental issues. Jobs, race and social justice issues are more proportionally solved by other means rather than secondary or tertiary "trickle down" solutions by an environmental policy.	
I don't believe in this effort and I don't think it is a good use of taxpayer funds. I believe the resources that would be helping with this would be better utilized tackling transportation issues (traffic) in many parts of our city. I'm also concerned that some of the proposals may add unnecessary expenses to businesses in San Antonio that employ our citizens, and that they may even discourage new companies from re-locating to our city.	2/14/2019
The electrical grid needs to be decentralized with localized power captured via solar panels, windmills, etc. Likewise, the water system could be decentralized using technology that extracts water from the air (there are various new technologies in use today).	2/14/2019
"Climate change" was a substitution for "global warming," which is political term for unsubstantiated and inexact claims that are historically minuscule compared to the age of the earth's ecosystems. Just be honest and say, "weather," which changes all the time.	2/14/2019
The San Antonio Manufacturers Association (SAMA) has reviewed the SA CAAP. Our review resulted in unanimous agreement, we cannot support the plan as written. We do agree our community needs to continue to be good stewards of our environment and look for ways to improve it for future generations and projected population growth. Our regional manufacturers have done a superb job in environmental stewardship. Additionally, SAMA continues to educate and inform our members on their responsibility to be aware of environmental issues and the importance to being environmentally compliant, using EPA, TCEQ, SAWS, CPS Energy and City of San Antonio guidelines, regulations, conservation programs and subject matter expert presentations. As requested, we are providing suggestions to make the CAAP better and be more inclusive of the business community. Additionally, we are recommending more time to develop a more comprehensive, and unbiased, plan that is acceptable to all. We should not rush a plan of this nature since there is a lot at stake for businesses and residents of our community. It is also recommended to trim the list of organizations involved in developing a new, or improved version of this plan so an even playing field can be offered to the business community. Our suggestions are: 1 As written, 84 pages is too long. Recommend cutting the plan in half, or less, by eliminating references to social inequality or emotionalism. Why is it even needed? 2 The plan should focus on the climatic issues we face with published, by unbiased climate scientific experts, specific and detailed facts, studies and analyses. This will provide scientifically reliable reasoning and help all understand the "why" we need this plan. Current plan conveys "we have to do this period." 3 Need to include specifics on what type of infrastructure changes are required for buildings, roads, etc. and what are the specific costs going to be for them. 4 Inclusion of where the funds will come from to pay for the total costs is required. Cost analysis by line item needs to be included and would assist in gauging total costs and priority listing. 5 Statistical cost analysis is needed for residential, commercial and industrial entities so all can validate what they think they can afford, or not, to support this plan. 6 Regarding renewable power conversion, detail calculations of its effect on power costs is required. Uninterrupted power is needed by businesses 24/7/365. Manufacturers suffer greatly from power outages. 7 LNG as a fossil fuel power source needs to be included in the plan. It is a viable option and needs to be in the citys portfolio of power sources for our community. 8 There is much anti-business sentiment in the plan. Suggest a complete business economic impact study be done on all businesses and include brief synopsizes illustrating what we have and what the city is willing to lose if the CAAP is implemented. There is concern our industry, oil and gas companies and other businesses are being villainized with little regard to the economic benefit they provide to our community.	2/14/2019
Don't work, sorry.	2/14/2019

Comment/Response	Date
An efficient public transportation system is the sign of a truly progressive city. Automobiles should be used only in special cases. Lots of education will be needed in order for the general public to think that way.	2/15/2019
I am so grateful our city is taking these measures. I don't if we will meet the goal but it is all a step in the right direction. A healthy environment is a healthy economy is healthy people. We can't effectively operate and ignore our natural systems.	2/15/2019
Set up a contest with possible scholarship incentives for all schools art and science on an annual basis that helps bring awareness and/or comes up with the best ways our community either micro/macro can make an impact on climate. Such as, better public transportation, bike friendly communities with their own bike lanes figured into city planning. Artist may tackle the grossness of littering, air pollution, impact on wild life, slogans/catch phrases for awareness. Get the zoos and wild life preserves involved with the schools. H.E.B. Where are YOU?! Recognize outstanding local business who are active in this community project. Bill Miller's where are YOU?! Spurs where are YOU?!! Whataburger where are YOU?! Have a before and after contest for citizens and street blocks and neighborhoods that make the biggest visible change put that on the news to highlight SA. Plant a tree day, Pick up a park trash day, and make a mini food truck festival out of this. Schools, clubs, groups and individuals can sponsor a tree. Have judges give more community service instead of jail or fines and clean our streets and highways. SAPD give good citizen tickets with a free Whataburger/Taco Cabana coupon. Promote professional rain water reclamation systems among businesses and homes. Citations for businesses that water their lawns when it is raining or floods the streets because of over saturation or poor maintenance of sprinkler systems.	2/15/2019
waste of money	2/15/2019
HOW MANY CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS, MEMBERS OF THIS "COMMITTEE", AND CITY HALL STAFFERS RIDE THE BUS TO WORK DAILY?	2/15/2019
Create SHADOWS with the trees and high buildings reduce the impact of the adverse climate changes.	2/15/2019
I believe we need a huge education blitz, a lot of incentives and community challenges to jump start the big changes necessary to get us moving in the right direction. We need to organize our citizen talent to volunteer their expertise to expand think tank problem solving and current research, etc to assist with the mitigation and adaption strategies. The transportation response needs to be more aggressive since too much Co2 is being released by way too many cars, trucks, etc in and around the city.	2/15/2019
No more new development until current empty retail space is occupied.	2/15/2019
I have not seen any strong data from this report that warrants any change in our policies at this time and to this great of an extent	2/15/2019
waste of money	2/15/2019
HOW MANY CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS, MEMBERS OF THIS "COMMITTEE", AND CITY HALL STAFFERS RIDE THE BUS TO WORK DAILY?	2/15/2019
Create SHADOWS with the trees and high buildings reduce the impact of the adverse climata changes.	2/15/2019
San Antonio is currently doing a great job in these areas. Some improvement can be made but not through a plan such as this one.	2/15/2019
How about get rid of our Coal Plants. Ash from coal plants are not only poisoning the air but also the land and water around it!	2/15/2019
Help individual households to become more resilient and prepared so they can help themselves and their neighbors if necessary.	2/15/2019
I do not see any need at this time to make changes that would effect peoples personal lives, especially adapting people to climate changes, we have not had enormous changes other than our normal weather patterns	2/15/2019
Whatever plan is developed, we must make sure there is continuity. Somehow it should be locked in place so it isn't scrapped by a future administration.	2/15/2019
As indicated in a previous area (Educate and Enable citizens) our military can take an active role in this also. Sponsoring trees, parks, Have a military "Flash Mob" (tip the news, Facebook live) and plant trees or hit a stretch of highway cleaning trash and planting/seeding flowering plants like Bluebonnets or some kind of Monarche butterfly and Honey bee friendly plants. Educate and give incentives to farmers who use Honey bee friendly alternate systems. Highlight businesses that	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
patron these farms. Have a news segment, weekly or daily that publically recognizes both positive and the offenders. Shoutout to CPS Energy for the work they do! What's up Exon Mobile here is your chance for some public relations. Setup a research and development group to see what others in the world are doing and how to adopt all the best programs from other cities. Employ the homeless to clean the streets, furnish reusable bags and safety vest and an area supervisor who collects the filled bags. Get our businesses to stop using plastic bags, restaurants give water on request only, promote going away from single use plastics like straws. HEB and others should give discounts to customers who use their own reuseable mesh bags. Amarillo's grocery stores do 5 cents for every mesh bag the customer fills (Advertise this).	
The bias in the climate change hoax is failing to admit there is a creator of the heavens & universe and mankind. This is a scare tactic. Does anyone remember the dust bowl of the 1950's. The climate changes called seasons. The greatest climate change was described in the Bible known as The Great Flood. Your basis is flawed by not recognizing that God created each of us in His image... male and female. Explain the invisible attributes.This in itself points to a creator. No matter where you start, one must explain the beginning. Where did it start? You are discriminating by dividing people into groups. This plan is expensive and government controlling. Good bye San Antonio.	2/15/2019
Waste of money	2/15/2019
HOW MANY CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS, MEMBERS OF THIS "COMMITTEE", AND CITY HALL STAFFERS RIDE THE BUS TO WORK DAILY?	2/15/2019
Create SHADOWS with the trees and high buildings reduce the impact of the adverse climate changes.	2/15/2019
Other major cities are taking leaps and real strides towards 100% renewable energy. What are we doing??? I'm so unpleasantly surprised that you have not taken steps towards, or even the lead, in guiding this city to a clean, green future. When you were running for council I was so excited because I researched you and your very qualified in environmental issues. You are MIA!!!	2/15/2019
Thank all of you for your hard work. I am proud that San Antonio has created a draft climate action plan that when implemented will move all of us towards a carbon neutral sustainable city. I appreciate all of the work that has already been done on the plan and am encouraged by the strategies listed. I am also encouraged to see the potential for partnering with Austin and neighboring communities to promote a more sustainable region. Too bad our federal government is not on board!!	2/15/2019
THE VISION: DEFINING CLIMATE EQUITY SA Climate Ready is part of bigger shift towards normalizing and institutionalizing equity within our city government. The City's Office of Equity is working across departments to identify opportunities to increase equity in city services, programs, and policies. The CAAP's climate equity approach will guide the city's consideration of equity in its climate policies and programs to achieve more environmentally and economically just outcomes for San Antonians. Equity is an unfair and unreasonable way to implement a new policy or ordinance to the city. A "diagnostic questionnaire" is inadequate to require and burden other sectors and citizens of our city to carry the load for others. Neighborhood Poverty "People who live in high-poverty neighborhoods have less access to jobs, services, high-quality education, parks, safe streets, and other essential ingredients of economic and social success that are the backbone of strong economies." 12 In 2015, San Antonio's White population had the lowest concentration of people living in high poverty neighborhoods, while both the Black and Latino populations had over 8% of their populations living in high poverty neighborhoods. You have not identified these "high poverty" neighborhoods, and I question how high they are, certainly they are receiving many social services the city, state and federal provide. Is this wording being used to engage regulations on those who can pay? is this wealth distribution language? I also find the bias language unfit for the discussion of "climate action" Overall this CAAP is overreaching and requiring too much in cost to implement the changes. I am not in support of this sweeping ordinance that would burden all of San Antonio	2/15/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
I have been concerned about my impact on our environment for as long as I can remember! Let's leave a better world for our grandchildren. Implementing this plan is one small step we can make towards this goal. I support as should you. Thank you. Daniel L It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
I have been concerned about my impact on our environment for as long as I can remember! Let's leave a better world for our grandchildren. Implementing this plan is one small step we can make towards this goal. I support as should you. Thank you. Daniel L It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
I have been concerned about my impact on our environment for as long as I can remember! Let's leave a better world for our grandchildren. Implementing this plan is one small step we can make towards this goal. I support as should you. Thank you. Daniel L It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
If we want our city to be attractive to companies and families, we need to keep it clean and moving! It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
This will affect all of the families of San Antonio including yours. Please think before you vote and we will also! ?? It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
This is the most important issue of our lifetimes and our ability to act in accordance with what the science is telling us is imperative if we want to protect a livable planet. Please, I urge you not to cave to special interests who lack the imagination and courage to help set a new and essential course for San Antonio. My children. my students, my neighbors -- I want to assure we are all protected from climate chaos. The truth is, San Antonio is late coming to this issue and we do not have time to lose. Please vote for a strong climate plan. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
My husband and I have called San Antonio home since 1981.We raised our children here and are watching our beautiful granddaughter grow up here. San Antonio belongs to its residents, NOT to Big Oil or Big Coal! It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
As a preventive medicine physician, I strongly support limiting production of air pollution that sickens, hospitalizes, and even kills some of our citizens. This air pollution (fine particulates) is caused by burning fossil fuels, especially coal. We should close our coal-fired CPS plant by 2025 and promote transportation options other than internal combustion vehicles. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
Do not spoil our city for our kids! It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
I have come to love San Antonio. I am asking you to protect it for future San Antonio generations. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
Climate change is the National emergency not immigration! It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
Stay strong San Antonio and make a statement so others will follow!! It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
Improving air quality and moving towards sustainable energy sources is very important to me. I want to breathe clean air and know that we are doing our part to make the world a better place by starting locally. The sooner the better! It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
Improving air quality and moving towards sustainable energy sources is very important to me. I want to breathe clean air and know that we are doing our part to make the world a better place by starting locally. The sooner the better! It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
Let's join the 21 century and expert scientific opinion and phase out oil and gas and concentrate on renewables. San Antonio is the perfect place for solar panels with our abundant sunshine. We can offer incentives to the public and businesses...We can make these changes...we can do this. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution.	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
We must do our part to get off fossil fuels. Our children depend on it. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
I applaud the Mayor and City Council for protecting future generations by protecting our air, water and climate. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy:	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
-closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
The health and lives of San Antonians are at risk because of fossil fuels and greenhouse gas emissions. CPS must set aggressive goals for reducing emissions in the achievable near-term. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
San Antonio has a commitment to its residents and their future. Keep Washington style politics out of our city and do the right thing for future generations. NO lobbyists! It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
Now is the time to act with conviction. Do not let your legacy be one of weakness and poor leadership. Do not pander to the energy and fossil fuel industry. They will be fine, we will not. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
SA deserves this plan and a cleaner future! Stand strong for the people! It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free.	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
SAN ANTONIO, NEEDS TO PREPARE FOR THE FUTURE!! WE THE PEOPLE SUPPORT THEIR PROGRESSIVE POSTIVE PREPAREDNESS FOR THE NEXT GENERATION!! It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution.	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
The achievement of these goals is now more important than ever as our cities' population growth soars. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
PLEASE ACT RESPONSIBLY. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy:	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
-closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
It's becoming crystal-clear (and disastrous for some) that immediate action to curtail the worst effects of climate change are necessary now! While we're at it, discontinue packaging and bagging with single-use plastics, connect wildlife corridors, forget the border wall, end gerry-mandering, administer an IQ test to candidates for state and national office with disqualification for those who don't score at least 75%, and impose a sharp tax increase on income for businesses and the ultra-wealthy plus severe penalties for any of then who choose to move overseas. If I thought even one (or some) of these were on the threshold of the near-term possible, I'd sleep much better tonight and begin to regain faith in an obviously self-serving, dysfunctional system. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
Please adopt a plan to restore our air and water to that place treasured by those who lived here thousands of years ago-Yanaguana! It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
We need to act now and try to save what is left of this world now. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
Listen to what is right. Be strong, don' permit pressure from your fellow legislators control your personal decision making. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
I don't want to breathe your poison and I don't want my children to breathe your poison anymore. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough.	2/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
Clean up your act! Stop polluting. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/15/2019
Provide BOTH sides of the argument in this debate. Manmade global climate change is a hoax.	2/16/2019
Each year the world spends over $250 billion to try to decarbonize industries and national economies, while other serious needs are underfunded.	2/16/2019
Reduce plastic bags that are non biodegradable. Have all grocery, corner and all other retail establishments revert to paper bags. Plastic and styrofoam cups should be removed from the retail arena. Outlaw straws and cup caps.	2/16/2019
The city needs to focus on core city services and not political grandstanding. I reject your premise that the city is in a battle with climate change. This is a total hoax and a means for progressives to control every aspect of our lives.	2/16/2019
Manmade climate change is a hoax.	2/16/2019
Suppose we take a step back and “reconsider” our commitment to fighting climate change?	2/16/2019
You wish to impose draconian measures on the citizens of San Antonio and reduce our quality of life to spread misery and increase gov't dependency. The private sector will always look for ways to be more efficient without the iron fist of gov't bureaucrats, many of whom have never had a real job. This is not California where people are now defecating on the streets. That is where you're driving us if your plans are ever implemented.	2/16/2019
Manmade climate change is a hoax. Many scientists have come forward with evidence that the	2/16/2019

Comment/Response	Date
studies were skewed and a political ploy to tax Americans and give our sin tax to 3rd world countries which is also known as INCOME REDISTRIBUTION which is another way of saying SOCIALISM.	
The planet's climate is always changing. I don't see that city government can do anything about it unless it can control the shifting of the magnetic poles, solar flares, earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, ocean currents, the jet stream, etc. The concern for carbon emission is also exaggerated. We are a carbon based life form. Plants love carbon. It makes them grow faster and stronger. They convert carbon to oxygen, which benefits us. I believe the current concern has more to do with using the excuse of global warming/climate change to control people more, to infringe on their rights more, and to reach into their pockets more. This plan is a waste of money and time. The only redeeming value is the stated policy of planting more trees and adding more green spaces to the city. There are a number of inventions on the horizon which will provide cleaner, cheaper energy if governments at all levels will get out of the way and allow the free market to function as it should. The city can also stop polluting our water with the industrial waste product fluoride which is linked to several health issues. Competition for utility and water services would also bring improvements in these two areas.	2/16/2019
Today, 193 of 194 national heads of state say they believe humans are causing dangerous climate change. The IPCC of the United Nations has been remarkably successful in convincing the majority of the world that greenhouse gas emissions must be drastically curtailed for humanity to prosper. The IPCC was established in 1988 by the UN's World Meteorological Organization and the United Nations Environmental Program. Over the last 25 years, the IPCC became the "gold standard" of climate science, quoted by all the governments of the world. IPCC conclusions are the basis for climate policies imposed by national, provincial, state, and local authorities. Cap-and-trade markets, carbon taxes, ethanol and biodiesel fuel mandates, renewable energy mandates, electric car subsidies, the banning of incandescent light bulbs, and many other questionable policies are the result. In 2007, the IPCC and former Vice President Al Gore shared the Nobel Peace Prize for work on climate change. But a counter position was developing. In 2007, the Global Warming Petition Project published a list of more than 31,000 scientists, including more than 9,000 PhDs, who stated, "There is no convincing scientific evidence that human release of carbon dioxide, methane, or other greenhouse gases is causing or will, in the foreseeable future, cause catastrophic heating of the Earth's atmosphere and disruption of the Earth's climate." At the same time, an effort was underway to provide a credible scientific counter to the alarming assertions of the IPCC. The Nongovernmental International Panel on Climate Change (NIPCC) was begun in 2003 by Dr. Fred Singer, emeritus professor of atmospheric physics from the University of Virginia. Dr. Singer and other scientists were concerned that IPCC reports selected evidence that supported the theory of man-made warming and ignored science that showed that natural factors dominated the climate. They formed the NIPCC to offer an independent second opinion on global warming. Climate Change Reconsidered I (CCR-I) was published in 2009 as the first scientific rebuttal to the findings of the IPCC. Earlier this summer, CCR-I was translated into Chinese and accepted by the Chinese Academy of Sciences as an alternative point-of-view on climate change. Climate Change Reconsidered II is a 1,200-page report that references more than one thousand peer-reviewed scientific papers, compiled by about 40 scientists from around the world. While the IPCC reports cover the physical science, impacts, and mitigation efforts, CCR-II is strictly focused on the physical science of climate change. Its seven chapters discuss the global climate models, forcings and feedbacks, solar forcing of the climate, and observations on temperature, the icecaps, the water cycle and oceans, and weather. Among the key findings of CCR-II are: - vDoubling of CO2 from its pre-industrial level would likely cause a warming of only about 1oC, hardly cause for alarm. - The global surface temperature increase since about 1860 corresponds to a recovery from the Little Ice Age, modulated by natural ocean and atmosphere cycles, without need for additional forcing by greenhouse gases. - There is nothing unusual about either the magnitude or rate of the late 20th century warming, when compared with previous natural temperature variations. - The global climate models projected an atmospheric warming of more than 0.3oC over the last 15 years, but instead, flat or cooling temperatures have occurred. The science presented by the CCR-II report directly challenges the conclusions of the IPCC. Extensive peer-reviewed evidence is presented that climate change is natural and man-made influences are small. Fifteen years of flat temperatures show that the climate models are in error.	2/16/2019
Seems like the biggest threat to SA from climate change is lack of water as the climate gets hotter	2/16/2019

Comment/Response	Date
and drier. There's really not much discussion of that specifically in the items above or that I saw in the City's plan. I'd like to see a focus on rainwater capture and re-use instead of just channeling it into storm drains and down the river.	
The questions are vague without much true detail. Is difficult to provide and honest answer if the question asked is unsupported by sincere intent.	2/16/2019
We've waited too long to get serious, we can't wait another day. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/16/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/16/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/16/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient.	2/16/2019

Comment/Response	Date
We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/16/2019
A Climate Plan is necessary to get San Antonio on track to total clean energy production ASAP. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/16/2019
We must fulfill our obligation to future generations and do whatever we can to curb activities that are creating catastrophic climate change! It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming	2/16/2019

Comment/Response	Date
target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
We are running out of time. Change is always hard. But we have adapted and changed throughout history- we have New faster cleaner cars, we pollute less and we reuse more. We can't stop here. We have to continued to be on the front line of technology paving the way for cleaner future. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/16/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/16/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy	2/16/2019

Comment/Response	Date
should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/16/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/16/2019
This proposed plan is VERY impressive: in its comprehensiveness, and in its readable form that makes it easy for our citizens to absorb.. I am especially impressed with the plan's recognition of the serious need for improving equality for all our citizens, so that all of us will benefit equally from the actions identified in this plan. I am 81 years old and remember the improvements in our nation's climate made by passing laws on reducing exhaust emissions and on limiting the production of tobacco smoke. I am, however, very worried about how the profit motive appears to be the source of the denial of this serious problem. The city of Pittsburgh can be studied as a historic example of how cleanup can be done to clean up an environment.	2/16/2019
I am a long time San Antonio metro area resident and graduate student at UTSA. I wanted to write to you to urge you to adopt a climate ready/ climate action plan on April 11th. Having a strong climate action plan is going to be extremely important to deal with the long term and short term effects of global climate change. San Antonio in particular is going to subject to increasing city temperatures, increasing heat wave frequency and furthermore increased flooding events. San Antonio as a city is going to grow rapidly and I hope that this growth is going to be sustainable in the long term. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/16/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual	2/16/2019

Comment/Response	Date
reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/16/2019
We must act now to halt the damage of climate change before it's too late, for our children and our grandchildren and the coming generations to come! It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/16/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution.	2/16/2019

Comment/Response	Date
San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
As an advocate on our environment in this place we call Earth, we must do our part in preserving our climate for future generations. Texas has 5 coal plants, one to many, it is time we embrace a more clean environment and it starts with you and me. Thanks, Genevieve Trinidad. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/16/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/16/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another	2/16/2019

Comment/Response	Date
area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/16/2019
As a professor over the years, I have been very involved in gathering information and being in discussion about sustainability. I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. We can unite and make a brighter future for all of us.	2/16/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming	2/16/2019

Comment/Response	Date
decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I have lived in District 10 for 5 years now. I wrote you two years ago to support a robust climate action plan. Two years ago, city council approved measures to be Paris Climate Accord compliant. The IPCC released a report last year that outlined the need for accelerated reduction in greenhouse gases. City policy should be informed by the most up to date science such as was released by the IPCC. The military understands that climate change is a threat multiplier and a national security issue, bases across the country are moving to energy independence by adopting clean energy on bases. Insurance companies are suing cities for failing to prepare for a changing climate and divesting from fossil fuels, they see the writing on the wall and have assessed the risk of a changing climate. Locking in energy use by burning of fossil fuels is stifling innovation, it is now less expensive to build a wind farm than it is to continue running a coal plant. San Antonio just closed one coal plant, we have two remaining. Climate change intensifies flooding and draughts. We have already spent more than a billion dollars in flood infrastructure, how much more will we need to spend? Finally, climate change harms children by threatening their basic needs. Enough is enough, we need innovation, security, and safety when it comes to climate change and our current ways will not get us there. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/16/2019
First and foremost, vote yes on the SA Climate Ready plan. However, the plan needs to be more strict because the ramifications are dire. Scientists warn that global temperatures must be kept below 1.5 degrees Celsius to stop catastrophic climate change. That requires broad transformation to reduce carbon emissions. These transformations can and must be achieved by the City of San Antonio. I urge CPS: 1) that ALL coal power plants be shut down by 2025; and 2) Ensure that our electricity production is	2/16/2019

Comment/Response	Date
carbon-free (no fossil fuels) by 2030. Science shows that deep cuts in climate pollution are needed in the coming decade to keep climate change to a manageable level. This will only be possible locally if CPS Energy switches from burning coal and natural gas to clean, affordable wind and solar energy. The plan needs stronger goals for CPS Energy. As temperatures rise and weather events become more extreme, working conditions for San Antonio workers are likely to become more dangerous, especially for people who work outside,such as construction workers, landscapers, and roofers. Additionally, people who work in healthcare and social services, like nurses and social workers, will likely experience higher workload demand. Finally, San Antonio has a history of economic and racial segregation and those historical inequities MUST be acknowledged. We know that those who contribute least to climate change are most impacted by it. The SA Climate Ready plan MUST to commit to equity by centering the needs of vulnerable communities in the implementation of the climate plan.	
The above questions are poorly worded and since none of the links to the plan work, how can we be expected to answer it knowledgeably?'	2/17/2019
All these efforts need to be incentives (instead of restrictions) and be directly linked to scientific (including social science) methods to fix specific issues at hand. It should not be feel good measures that cannot be linked to results.	2/17/2019
There should be an explicit acknowledgement of the importance of reducing meat consumption and the adoption of plant-based diets will be to combating climate change. The closest thing is the phrase "including low-carbon food production and processing" but that's really ambiguous. The plan should go further than this in having educational events about the ecological necessity of moving towards plant-based diets. The plan could offer economic incentives to consume, produce locally, and/or sell plant-based and USDA organic foods.	2/17/2019
Doing almost anything is better than doing nothing; encourage everyone to lower temps in winter and raise temps in summer; we will all suffer economically as climate change progresses	2/17/2019
Only the last question in this section has any validity. All the rest are based on CoSA and environmentalist zealots indoctrination irregardless of the action science, which only goes backbtob1880, not the billion or so years the Earth has existed.	2/17/2019
Change local laws that grocers hide behind to not recycle food waste. HEB refuses to allow private groups to pick up waste that can be recycled and used to keep green-belts and their wildlife healthy.	2/17/2019
Please take out the suggestion of offering rebates for chicken keeping. The land required for producing animal feed would produce the several times more nutrients if used to grow plant foods for direct human consumption. Also, animal feed would undoubtedly be grown elsewhere, which is contradictory to the goal of local food production.	2/17/2019
Scare tactics and progressive political perspectives will only continue to destroy the San Antonio/Bear County, Texas and USA economy. Get you heads out of the sand!	2/17/2019
Make contingency plans for City Wide Senior Centers to have longer operational hours during extreme heat events and to possibly open on week-ends. etc. The monthly useage reports via SAWS and CPS are good, but comparing households could be taken further, as some homes are used for business and some have individuals who are outliers (both high and low) so the average information is not meaningful. How about if you lower your usage by "X" percent then a rebate (like reduce billing if CPS can control your thermostat).	2/17/2019
Many of these goals are written incorrectly because they are making assumptions of facts not in evidence. Climate change is true, human caused climated change is not fact but a hypothesis that has only correlated (vice causal) evidence. Most CC projections have not been close to accurate. If we make changes, we need to follow a sound scientfic model, not politic positions. "Settled science" is a misnomer and dangerous to solving this challenge. It keeps people from thinking and solving real problems.	2/17/2019
My mottos have been: Nothing ventured, nothing gained. Ask for the impossible and hope for the acceptable Taking action to reduce greenhouse gases is imperative for the future of a healthy and prosperous civilization.	2/17/2019
Where's the part where all the cement plants move out to the Hill Country because we were oddly excluded from the non-attainment status? Getting rid of the largest CO2 emitters will help Bexar	2/17/2019

Comment/Response	Date
County out a lot.	
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. San Antonio's already hot; if we don't plan for heat and drought, we'll be in trouble. You have the chance to be on the right side of history. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. Items not in the plan include planting more trees (which benefits mental health, air quality, too!) and promoting the use of permeable pavement (if done right, this will keep the river in the Riverwalk, even during drought). We need to switch to renewable sources of energy (the plan could be stronger here). CPS Energy should aim to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/17/2019
Comment on Climate Action Plan: On page 18, there is a graph on lack of access to a car. I wish I did not need a car. How many San Antonians are burdened by lack of access to public transportation or safe bicycle or walking paths? Do you dare present statistics to answer that question? Also, the car dealers and banks have worked their agenda into the plan. Adaptation Strategies (p 48 pdf-24) item 4, Flood Proof Roadways — more to promote cars, and roads and pavement increase flooding. Item 26 (p 50) Increase Alternative Route Capacity; i.e. build more and wider roads for more cars. Item 29 (p 50) Address Neighborhood Ingress/Egress Routes; i.e. build more routes for cars. A car is considered an necessity because the city and county, working for Wall Street, have made the car a necessity. The corporate consultant brought in to guide creation of the plan did their job well. There is nothing in the plan for an alternative to the suburban development model or auto dependency. The "Climate Action Plan" will simply be used to greenwash the status quo.	2/17/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/17/2019
I am excited that San Antonio has adopted such forward thinking policies. As a teacher, I am thrilled that we as a city are concerned about the future, for my students as well as for my own sons. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and	2/17/2019

Comment/Response	Date
gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/17/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/17/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/17/2019

Comment/Response	Date
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/17/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/17/2019
I encourage you to strengthen San Antonio's Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. We must make large emissions reductions now. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your service and for consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/17/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming	2/17/2019

Comment/Response	Date
decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
Calling this a "plan" seems generous. The most notable exclusions are the lack of any load forecasting, analysis of the new generation and storage that will be required (quantity, potential locations, etc.) and cost estimates. How can San Antonio move forward with a plan without knowing the true cost of implementation?! Where is the load forecast for 2050? How much will the native load and population change between now and 2050? When transportation is shifted away from internal combustion engines to electric vehicles, how will this affect the native electric load? Where are the economic considerations? How much capital will need to be raised? Can San Antonio realistically raise enough capital to meet the 2050 carbon free goal? How will this affect electric rates? How will this affect the city's credit worthiness? How much business will this drive from the city? Does San Antonio contain enough real estate to meet the 100% renewable energy goal by 2050? If not, where will these generating assets be located? How much eminent domain need to be used to purchase land for solar and wind generation? How many trees will need to be cleared from the city to install the massive amounts of solar fields needed? Where are the intermediate goals for 2025, 2030, etc? The plan calls for drastic action, but leaves any actionable plans vague and far enough into the future to avoid immediate action. Does the decarbonization plan include the aviation industry? Will conversion to electric vehicles affect tourists? If not, how will San Antonio maintain the infrastructure to fuel these vehicles? If climate change presents a clear and present danger, should the city council consider outlawing air conditioning, lights past a decent hour, etc? These actions could immediately reduce GHG emissions drastically and immediately. Other Comments: Implementation strategy (pg. 72 item 3) – unclear what fuel is being switched. I assume this means fuel in the transportation sector. Implementation strategy (pg. 74 item 9) – None of the actions listed will require 100% conversion to electric vehicles as stated in the body of the report. Surely to obtain 100% electric vehicle penetration as stated in the body of the report, internal combustion engines will need to be outlawed. Why doesn't the report explicitly state this? Why doesn't the report mention expanding nuclear? Its a proven, carbon free, scalable energy source.	2/17/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero	2/17/2019

Comment/Response	Date
electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
I'm against politicians in other countries I can't vote for having authority over me in my city. This climate conference is part of a larger attempt to remove citizens from their ability to choose for themselves. Don't fall for it, it's just UN agenda 21 crap.	2/17/2019
We need to start now!	2/18/2019
These buzz words are extremely ineffective in educating the poll taker. Of course people want these things but like #1 how much will it cost, tell us an estimated figure and then ask us again.	2/18/2019
It is not clear whether the plan will achieve the stated goals since there are no estimates of the GHG emission reductions from the various measures listed.	2/18/2019
Encourage Plant Based/vegan eating	2/18/2019
Cleaner is better. Newer ICE vehicles are virtually clean. E vehicles are environmentally destructive at both beginning and ending life stages. Carbon is good for the environment and other healthy things~trees and plants flourish. Water vapor is the significant heat trap and things like the sun and volcanoes and wildfires far outweigh current SA emissions. PRETENDING green energy is better ignores facts. A wind turbine uses more carbine in manufacturing, construction, and installation than it will EVER save, nevermind the other difficulties. Solar is incredibly destructive in the mining processes for rare earths and poisonous at endlife. No one seems to want to talk about nor consider. Just saying green is the new propoganda.	2/18/2019
i think that this is a very good direction to go in	2/18/2019
There should be more of an emphasis on humans eating plants rather than having animals eating plants and then having humans eating animals.	2/18/2019
Go team!!!	2/18/2019
Teach people about the importance of recycling and what they can do to reduce their footprint.	2/18/2019
Sometimes change is good, not everything that changes will be bad in ecosystems. No specifics. This section reeks of liberal overspending and socialism.	2/18/2019
Education is the first thing we need to provide. People need to be informed so that they would want to change. And it needs to be affordable. Without these, I don't see a city wide plan succeeding.	2/18/2019
I would comment that even if our current administration lowers federal emission/pollution standards, that San Antonio maintain high standards.	2/18/2019
This is a lie.	2/18/2019
Promotion of raising plants within the city limits on abandoned and unused lands; adjusting permit fees to reduce transportation costs to shoppers is important	2/18/2019
Hold corporations accountable as well as indivuals and public entities.	2/18/2019
Provide additional help to under served communities	2/18/2019
It's amazing that nothing was mentioned about going toward a more plant-based eco-friendly, sustainable way of eating. How about encouraging this city to get more healthy by eating less environmentally harming cattle farm animals etc. That severely affects our O-Zone, the soil, our bodies, health care costs, endangering low-paid workers who work at these factories and slaughterhouse etc.	2/18/2019
Under increase resource circularity, I think the emphasis should be more on reducing consumption and using sustainable materials. For example, at official city functions, there should be no plastic water bottles, plastic cutlery, styrofoam, excessive packaging, etc. We need to consider banning single-use plastic bags, bottles, and straws, like other municipalities have done. It's more effective to use less waste and to use renewable materials than it is to deal with mountains of non-compostsble materials like plastics.	2/18/2019
There is a dearth of evidence that "mobility as a service" has any significant impact on GHG	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
emissions, yet this plan lists it as a major strategy. Despite unattained previous goals to reduce VMT (SA 2020, SA Tomorrow Sustainability Plan), this plan again includes this as a measure without any clear plan to achieve.	
Any attempt to limit or penalize per household vehicles is ridiculous and should not be considered. It does not solve carbon emission issues, nor does it look at the specific characteristics of each home that may necessitate multiple vehicles. Public transportation in San Antonio is not a viable option for all individuals and honestly creates its own issues. There are hundreds of busses running every day that are not even at half capacity. Running busses that are not being used needs to be addressed not expanded. More incentives to use alternate energy should be offered to homeowners and businesses. Water efficiency, zero scaping, drought tolerant landscaping should be promoted and rewarded by the city.	2/18/2019
Include benefit charts, guides and recipes to plant based diets for San Antonio residents.	2/18/2019
It has been Scientifically proven that a reduction in Animal Agricultural will be the bridge to improving climate change. But a change must be made not only for the cattle ranchers but for our eating habits. Cattle Ranchers should not slaughter cows but rather use cattle poop to create healthy soil and create aquaponic farming.	2/18/2019
Animal agriculture is a major contributor of greenhouse gasses. This must be addressed. We also need to stop fracking, which is the largest emitter of pollution in this area.	2/18/2019
Does the city plan on providing incentives to those who recycle? Do you have a plan to get everyone clean water Will compensation be supplied to those that already have been affected by the cities poor chooses to their air supply by building around their community.	2/18/2019
I think we should educate the community about climate change because some individuals are new to the community and may not be able to understand what the climate is where they have chosen to live.	2/18/2019
the language needs to be at a lower level depending on the populations grade level to better understand the material at hand	2/18/2019
I'm not sure where specifically this comment on bicycle lanes occur: 1) Unless there are more separate places where bikes can safely be used without fear of drunken drivers, distracted drivers causing bicycle fatalities bike usage will remain low. As a bike rider, after a few near misses, I only tend to ride on the greenways. 2) Having had my wife (and other cyclists I know) having been bitten while riding on the south side of town, I would say that animal control and owner responsibility for their pets need better enforcement before there is less risk associated with bike usage on the south side of town. This seems to be less of a problem on the north side of town. 3) Some pedestrians ask, why bikes are not ridden facing traffic. There is not enough public outreach in educating the public. 4) Some incentives (employers, City, County??) for people to try battery assisted bicycles together with shower/changing facilities may encourage those not totally fit enough to climb some hills to use their commute time to also improve their health.	2/18/2019
To get the community to buy in to buy in to your plan there needs to be a greater advertisement and outreach to the community. Also, the website is full of great information but the readability level is hard to understand.	2/18/2019
A plant based diet is the perfect choice for water saving, resources, deforestation and output v input. Organic, community gardens provide all the nutrients we need. Thank you!	2/18/2019
More robust and specific planning for vulnerable populations.	2/18/2019
All or most resources on streets and city infrastructure not junk science --making city more attractive to business and being business friendly --get rid of progressive ideas and institutions so the above can work --once the city goes back to representing Texas and Texan culture and not leftist social justice then maybe work on improving the quality of our environment	2/18/2019
Thank you Team Climate!!!	2/18/2019
Why are we not taking more action like promoting energy efficiency products we could have advertisements promoting ways to save money through helping the environment for example a lot of washer and dryers are energy star rated why do we not promote using more energy efficient appliances or why do the bird and lime scooters need to be charged with electricity when instead they could be charged using solar technology or we could promote walking by putting in more side walks or cleaning up parks we could have more community gardens to grow more plans, vegetables, trees by growing more vegetables we could donate these fruits and veggies to the community to reduce hunger in poverty stricken areas so they have more access to better foods.	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
my main question is why are we not doing more for the community and the environment?	
Stop cutting down trees. We don't need a land bridge causing pollution.	2/18/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/18/2019
With the EPA having designated Bexar county as being a nonattainment area under the Clean Air Act, we really need to think bigger to clean up the city. Neither tourists nor residents want to breathe smog. It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/18/2019
Dear City Council, As a San Antonio citizen and resident I am opposed to this climate action plan. If this plans eliminates the use of natural gas then that would raise electric bills that many low income families could not afford. This move is due to being politically correct and not think about what is best for the citizens of San Antonio. CPS has been working towards clean burning natural gas. Please reconsider this move that would not be good for San Antonio.	2/18/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/18/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/18/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/18/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/18/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/18/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path.	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/18/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Okay. Good evening. My name is Christina Mann and I work for the Sierra Club. Thank you for holding this public input session today on the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan. Adopting a climate action plan is important for San Antonio and we are supporting adoption of this plan with some important details filled in. In my role working with Sierra Club here in San Antonio on coal and clean energy issues, I've had the good fortune to develop working relationships with many of the folks in this room on CPS Energy. One issue I shared with your staff in the past, is especially pertinent to CPS Energy's role moving forward to implement a climate plan for San Antonio. The need to have an actual plan to retire the risky coal plants, coal units by 2025 and, yes, the gas units soon after, and replace that generation with clean energy storage and energy efficiency with the cost and rate impacts evaluated, this should be done in a transparent process and procreate robust public engagement. Luckily, we can move forward and achieve incredible results together. Forbes recently reported that building new wind and solar energy is already cheaper than running existing coal in many cases. I'd like share some details about utilities across the state and country that are making huge reductions in carbon pollution (or will be) and are saving their customers money (or will be) when they implement these plans. Last year in Denton, the Denton City Council set a goal for Denton Municipal Electric to provide 100 percent renewable energy to the community by 2020. In setting these goals the Denton City Council adopted the Denton Renewables Resource Plan and an actionable plan to reach that goal. Rapid implementation is under way and Denton is acquiring solar contracts that are in line with or below average utility rates. And more Texas utilities continue to innovate. In December of 2018, New Braunfels' utility signed a 15-year contract for 255 megawatts of West Texas solar for less than $25 per megawatt hour. This is one of the lowest prices seen for solar in the country. And under in this agreement, Denton gets 75 megawatts of that power, and New Braunfels, Garland and Kerrville share in the project at different proportions. Going a little north to Indiana, the Northern Indiana Public Service Company (or NIPSCO) the formerly coal-dependent regulated utility in Northwest Indiana, much less the kind Ms. Williams described earlier, it -- with hundreds of thousands of customers, again, much less like CPS, announced that it is tentatively planning to retire all of its coal plants by 2028 and replace 1650 megawatts of its coal-burning power plant to its solar, wind, energy storage, energy efficiency and demand response. This move is expected to save their customers $4.3 billion compared to the -- running those plants through 2035. And earlier is better. The utility's analysis showed that retiring its entire fleet -- coal-burning fleet by 2023 could save customers an additional $1.3 billion. San Antonio deserves a chance to see these kinds of proposals and analyses. We will continue towork with CPS Energy throughout this climate action planning process and beyond to make that happen. Thank you.	2/18/2019
We should make vegetable gardens all around and the homeless people can help and then they can have some of the food too.	2/18/2019
Good evening. · Good evening. · My name is Kaiba White and I work for Public Citizens Texas office. · I also serve on the energy buildings -- energy and buildings working group for the San Antonio Climate Plan. · It has been a pleasure to participate in that process and I look forward to continuing this work, particularly, as it relates to CPS Energy. Decarbonizing the CPS Energy electricity supply must happen much earlier than 2050 and doing so offers an opportunity to benefit customers. Public Citizen believes that shutting down the Spruce coal plant by 2025 and phasing out the use of natural gas, electric generators by 2030 is feasible and can be done affordably. These goals should be added to the plan. Other Texas utilities and -- and utilities in other parts of the country are making massive investments in wind, solar, energy efficiency, energy storage and demand response and phasing out their use of fossil fuels while re- -- while reducing costs for customers. Austin Energy has entered into a series of recent wind and solar contracts that will bring the utility's renewable energy portfolio to 50 percent by 2020. · The utility has committed to retire its main remaining coal-burning power plant in 2022 and will have 943 megawatts of utility scale solar by 2020. Austin Energy's latest rate change in 2016 was a rate decrease and customer bills are among the lowest in Texas thanks to robust energy efficiency programs. This is possible in part because solar and wind are now the cheapest energy sources in Texas. For example, one of Austin Energy's solar contracts is reported to be about $21 per megawatt hour, a price that can easily compete with coal and natural gas generators. Excel Energy in Colorado offers another excellent example. The utility issued an all-source RFP and received hundreds of clean energy proposals at unprecedented low prices. · This included wind at $11 to $18 a megawatt hour, solar	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
at $23 to $27 per megawatt hour, solar combined with energy storage at $30 to $32 per megawatt hour. · The utility will shut down two coal-fired units and replace them with a mix of solar, wind energy storage projects and the purchase of existing natural gas capacity. · It is important to know that any natural gas generators are generally too expensive to compete with new or existing wind or solar or even energy storage. In both Austin and Colorado public involvement has been key to identifying the most affordable energy's choices. · Austin Energy engages in a regular resource planning process that is guided by a citizen task force. Excel Energy must get the approvalof the Colorado Public Utilities Commission for major investments and rate changes. In both cases a significant amount of information is shared with the public and assumptions are questioned and adjusted where appropriate. The energy market is changing rapidly and old facts are often no longer true. More public involvement will yield better results for the environment and customer bills. A public process with a task force empowered to make recommendations should be initiated immediately to take on that important work at CPS Energy. In the meantime, we call on the city council to strengthen and adopt the climate plan. Thank you.	
Okay. Good evening. My name is Christina Mann and I work for the Sierra Club. Thank you for holding this public input session today on the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan. Adopting a climate action plan is important for San Antonio and we are supporting adoption of this plan with some important details filled in. In my role working with Sierra Club here in San Antonio on coal and clean energy issues, I've had the good fortune to develop working relationships with many of the folks in this room on CPS Energy. One issue I shared with your staff in the past is especially pertinent to CPS Energy's role moving forward to implement a climate plan for San Antonio. The need to have an actual plan to retire the risky coal plants, coal units by 2025 and, yes, the gas units soon after, and replace that generation with clean energy storage and energy efficiency with the cost and rate impacts evaluated, this should be done in a transparent process and procreate robust public engagement. Luckily, we can move forward and achieve incredible results together. Forbes recently reported that building new wind and solar energy is already cheaper than running existing coal in many cases. I'd like share some details about utilities across the state and country that are making huge reductions in carbon pollution (or will be) and are saving their customers money (or will be) when they implement these plans. Last year in Denton, the Denton City Council set a goal for Denton Municipal Electric to provide 100 percent renewable energy to the community by 2020. In setting these goals the Denton City Council adopted the Denton Renewables Resource Plan and an actionable plan to reach that goal. Rapid implementation is under way and Denton is acquiring solar contracts that are in line or below average utility rates. And more Texas utilities continue to innovate. In December of 2018, New Braunfels' utility signed a 15-year contract for 255 megawatts of West Texas solar for less than $25 per megawatt hour. This is one of the lowest prices seen for solar in the country. And under in this agreement, Denton gets 75 megawatts of that power, and New Braunfels, Garland and Kerrville share in the project at different proportions. Going a little north to Indiana, the Northern Indiana Public Service Company (or NIPSCO) the formerly coal-dependent regulated utility in Northwest Indiana, much less the kind Ms. Williams described earlier, it -- with hundreds of thousands of customers, again, much less like CPS, announced that it is tentatively planning to retire all of its coal plants by 2028 and replace 1650 megawatts of its coal-burning power plant to its solar, wind, energy storage, energy efficiency and demand response. This move is expected to save their customers $4.3 billion compared to the -- running those plants through 2035. And earlier is better. The utility's analysis showed that retiring its entire fleet -- coal-burning fleet by 2023 could save customers an additional $1.3 billion. San Antonio deserves a chance to see these kinds of proposals and analyses. · We will continue to work with CPS Energy throughout this climate action planning process and beyond to make that happen. Thank you.	2/18/2019
Thank you. I'm Rey Chavez, President/CEO of the San Antonio Manufacturers' Association and I appreciate you all allowing us to talk about the plan tonight. SAMA and its members have been long concerned with environmental issues and leads by example in ensuring our manufacturing members are knowledgeable about our environment and in being compliant per national, state and local rules and guide -- regulations. Our goal is to ensure all are good stewards of our environment. We also plod forward looking at initiatives to improve our environment for future generations and project population growth; however, the proposed draft plan is short on details. Generally, why do we need this plan? We understand concerns for climate	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
change, but the plan should focus on the climatic issues we will face in the future with published and unbiased climate science experts -- by climate science experts specific with detailed facts, studies and analysis. This will provide scientifically reliable reasoning and help all understand why we need this plan. The current plan conveys we have to do it, period. Specifically, the plan does not address any cost to implement, nor does it address where the funds will come from to pay for it. SAMA is concerned residents, our industry and small- to medium-sized businesses will be the ones that will ultimately have to pay for this plan, if implemented. Statistical cost analysis is needed on the type of infrastructure changes that will be required for buildings, roads, et cetera, mentioned in the plan. We need to know if we can afford it. SAMA would also recommend including a cost analysis by line item so it can assist in engaging total costs. Regarding renewable power conversion, detailed calculations on the effect on power costs is required, how much it's going to cost residents and businesses. The bill impact is needed by all. The plan also disregards our oil and gas industry to the point of saying we don't need you anymore, without consideration of the economic impact it brings to our community. What would -- would happen if we lost 3,000 to 5,000 jobs in our community if these companies left. Lastly, we recommend more time to develop a more comprehensive and unbiased plan that is acceptable to all. We should not rush a plan of this nature just to have a plan or satisfy personal agendas. There is a lot at stake for San Antonio. Thank you.	
Good evening. My name is Melvin Ingalls and I'm a retired mechanical engineer and I'm speaking for myself. Two minutes is hardly time to make a point, but I'll try. CPS plans to have 50 percent solar and wind by 2040. But wind and solar are intermittent power sources and require affordable storage, but affordable utility storage at a utility size is -- except for pump storage, does not now exist and may not exist in the future. It's not good business to form a plan for the future depending on something that doesn't exist. If CPS is really, really serious about eliminating Co2 emissions then nuclear power is the only current plan for reliable, affordable electricity. So I would like to ask CPS to give serious consideration to increasing the use of nuclear power. Thank you.	2/18/2019
Good evening. My name is Richard Perez and I'm the President/CEO of the San Antonio Chamber of Commerce. I'm here today representing over 2100 businesses, both large and small, that together employ half a million people in San Antonio and the region. Thank you for this opportunity to providethe business community's input on San Antonio's Climate Action and Adaptation Plan. There are some mitigation strategies within the plan we believe are logical, such as engaging the local business community to determine how to best undertake the vulnerability assessment to consider wide-ranging impacts of a changing climate to business continuity, economic growth and unintended consequences; however, we are gravely concerned when there seems to be a sentiment that economic development is contrary to good stewardship of the environment. Our community has done much to improve air quality over the past 30 years and we will continue to do so; however, the business community has legitimate concerns with the plan as it stands today. Fifty percent of the mitigation strategies will be initiated by 2021. This is not a long-term plan. This will require real dollars right away. Our largest concern is that their importance does not address how we, as a community, are going to pay for the plan. CPS Energy prides itself on having a diverse portfolio which leads to affordable energy prices. Lowcost energy is a key component to sustainable economic development. The stated goal of the plan is to be carbon neutral by 2050. The San Antonio business community needs to completely understand what that means specifically to businesses. · If we are not careful, we may well be driving away jobs. Let's remember that we have some very important companies here in San Antonio that are involved in the production, refinement, sale and transportation of crude refined and component parts of oil and natural gas. These companies employ many, many people and make significant contributions to our community outside of their bi-weekly payrolls. To many, fully engaged in this document, we must understand the costs and economic impact. We urge the slowing of this process down and to make this a fast -- fact-based plan that includes implementation of costs for businesses and homeowners alike. We welcome the chance to work with you on this plan to ensure that San Antonio's economic competitiveness is not diminished but rather enhanced for our community's long-term growth.	2/18/2019
Thank you for the opportunity. My name is Al Johnson. I'm just a citizen. I spent a little bit of time in the military. I'm an optometrist by training so I have a little bit of scientific background, but I've got a tremendous interest in the science behind this -- I -- I -- I'll choose my words carefully -- controversy that we have regarding climate change. I would just ask us to think a couple of things	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
through. We hear a lot about the con- -- this concept of 97 percent of the scientists and -- consensus. I would ask us to think back a little bit about a guy by the name of Galileo. The consensus was that he was wrong. It only takes one to be right. You may remember a guy by the name of Richard Feynman, who was a very well noted physicist. A quote that I use from him, it says this, It doesn't matter how beautiful your theory is. It doesn't matter how smart you are. It doesn't agree with -- If it doesn't agree with the experiment, it's wrong. What we don't have in this arena is some experimentation. We have a lot of fluff on one side, if you will. I use that word ill-advised probably. So if you already know already by my words that I'm a, quote, skeptic/denier of climate change, I'm not that -- I don't deny it simply because I'm a denier. I've read quite well for the last 15 years about the science behind what's going on with IPCC and the -- when you have this seat it -- it doesn't go well with the rest of us. If you have to have something pulled and changed and hockey sticks and all kinds of nonsense that goes on making a statement about glaciers that are declining,when they're not, it -- it just doesn't fit well, because the facts don't sustain that. I would just ask that you would make sure that you implore your -- your people who are giving you data on climate change that we look at the science. Thank you.	
Thank you, Mr. Steen. Thankyou everyone. My name is David Fry. Thank you for pronouncing that correctly. My -- my write -- writing is suspect sometimes. If the goal was the same for those who authored the plan and those who disagree with it, if the goal was the same then I think there would be confidence that we could figure out a methodology, a better methodology to get us there. And there certainly is a better way than the current plan. But the goal of those who authored it and those who disagree with it is not the same. There are three disciplines at work: One is the economics. It would be disastrous, I think, that's why it's not documented in the plan. If it's so clear, if it's such a slam dunk that the economics would be such a gain then they would be documented. The second discipline are the politics. · There's a strategic agenda of the plan. And the third is science. There's the science much of that is not understood, much of that is not understood. It would take a scientist to understand some of the more technical aspects of climate change, but it doesn't take a scientist to see the tremendous disagreement about what is really happening here. There's tremendous disagreement in society about this. There is no clear and absolute, resolute path of what's going on with this climate change. There's agreement that climate is changing. It -- it has always done so. But to make this kind of change with tremendous disagreement to basic questions does -- will not go well. Questions like what was happen -- happening before the carbon footprint of man. What is the benchmark of that going on 5,000 years ago and perhaps more recently. What are case studies that have been poured over to know the advantages and disadvantages of what is going on. So not knowing the cost is not a good business practice, it is not a good business practice at all. So this high-level framework would not work in a business presentation, it wouldn't make it through the first pass. The intro letter to the plan says that there are some 400 mayors -- U.S. mayors who have signed onto it. I'm going to say this, to illustrate, that there are over 19,000 municipalities in the United States; 400 have signed on 18,600 have not. I would encourage CPS and all residences and businesses to ensure you know the goal of the authors and to push it back for, at least, a minimally acceptable plan. Thankyou.	2/18/2019
Yeah. Thank you very much for this opportunity, for holding this hearing, Paula Gold-Williams, appreciate that, and to the Board of Trustees for being here. I look forward to the day where every monthly meeting is an open meeting where the public has an opportunity to address you as well and this is not a special case for us. There's a reason for that, there's a reason for the community to have access to the Board of the utility that they supposedly own, and I'll just cite a couple of recent examples. We can look back at the South Texas Project, Units 3 and 4, this was a -- a proposal, we were one of the first utilities to go after nuclear power in the country and started off with an $8 billion cost we were anticipating. The community came out strongly opposed to that. We ended up with an $18 billion price tag on that and it took us over $600 million to buy our way out of that contract. We can look at Spruce. Now, we're wrestling with Spruce billion-dollar plant, you know, how -- we've got a huge stranded cost. Paula is talking about 30-40 year costs, you know, embedded in a plant like that and -- while we're looking at communities all around the country getting away from that and finding cheaper alternatives that are clean. So who we are, the people that come to you week after week, we're people that we -- you would consider fiscal conservatives. We -- we're people that want open and transparent democratic governance, and we're people who believe that our care and concern shouldn't end with our homes, with our	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
families, with our neighborhoods, but a -- but with our cities and, beyond that, with our state and with the world, and that's why we come and we look for harder end goals through this CAAP and -- I had a lot I wanted to share. I -- I've interviewed dozens upon dozens of climate scientists, climatologists, researchers over the years -- 15 years as a journalist -- and I can tell you if you're waiting for one Galileo to come along and debunk 99 doctors who just came in and said you've got a brain tumor and you need aggressive surgery now, if you want to wait, if you want to step back and wait, that's fine. But not for my child and not for my family, not for my neighborhood or my city or this world. I won't stand for that. Thank you.	
Good evening, Boardmembers, Mrs. Shellman. · I am Dr. Femi Osidele, a consultant in energy and water resources and co-chair of the SA Climate Ready Steering Committee. In April, we meet great San Antonians who will decide whether or not to adopt the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (or CAAP) as we know it. My comments today address three things: One, the rule of CPS Energy and the CAAP process; two, funding for implementing the CAAP; and three, a call to SanAntonio's business community. First, I want to acknowledge CPS Energy for funding the SA Climate Ready program thus far. As the single lightest meter of the greenhouse gases in SanAntonio, CPS Energy is taking the bull by the horns and showing great exemplary leadership among city-owned utilities. Second, regarding funding, last month former may- -- New York City Michael Bloomburg announced the award of $2.5 million in technical assistance for the CAAP implementation. This could not have come at a better time. More importantly, in his words speaks -- Mayor Bloomburg said, and I quote, It's not the federal government that is leading the charge on climate change, it's companies, and then the -- and then local governments. That last phrase places companies, all businesses, ahead of the municipal governments. Thus, my third comment begins with a shout out to the West San Antonio Chamber of Commerce. In the coming weeks, the chamber will be hosting a series of business round tables aimed at filling a critical gap in the current draft CAAP; namely, the economic challenges of its implementation. I call on others, chamber of commerce and business associations in San Antonio, to follow this bold and worthy example. In closing, the city council's decision on the CAAP was formed on two criteria: Equity andeconomics. For while the city -- while the social justice community is critical to the economics of climate change, the business community is equally critical to the economics of climate change. Thank you.	2/18/2019
Yeah. It's me again. I'm still Dr. Bill Sweet and I'm still a retired scientist. Although, I'm a little better informed on climate change after having read several new papers and reports since I last was here. Those papers and reports didn't add significantly to what was said to President Lyndon Johnson in 1964, nor to Michael Mann's testimony to Congress in 1989. These reports only increased the confidence in the conclusions. October's IPCC report and November's Fourth National Climate Assessment were both considered trusted enough to be used and cited by the city's draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan which developed personally with your money, and thank you. Tonight I'm only going to comment on a comment on the CAAP indirectly, and I'm going to go off script based on other -- which will probably be a mistake, but, at any rate. Those reports, including since 1964, have said that there's a wall, the physics is clear that there's a wall and we're rushing towards it. The Flexible Path Plan says we can't do much or we're going to wait and see. We're rushing towards the wall and if things don't develop fast enough we're going to run into the wall and the cost of that is going to be infinite. The -- the Flexible Path Plan is wholly insufficient to meet the urgency and the intensity of effort in carbon reduction as given, for instance, in the IPCC report which is easily accessible on the Internet. Read it. Make enough time to read itcarefully. It's tough reading. But the fact that you're sitting there clearly indicates that you're intelligent enough and you're well positioned to get help if you need it. But understanding means integrating its message into your professional life and decisions, and only then will you be able to discharge your responsibilities.	2/18/2019
Good evening. My name is Suzanne Scott. I'm the general manager of the San Antonio River Authority. Thank you so much to the CPS Energy Board for providing the financial support to develop the Climate Action ad -- and Adaptation Plan known as SA Climate Ready and for hosting this forum tonight. Signs of climate change are already evident. We are experiencing hotter summers, more serious and frequent floods and longer periods of drought. As a city we can either wait to see if these predictions of climate change really materialize or we can begin to accept the data and trends we are experiencing today as the best available information and set a course to protect the livability and economic vitality of our city by taking action toward creating a more	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
resilient city. The Climate Action Plan list of mitigation and adaptation strategies include many actions that are already under way, including many led by CPS Energy, including the diversification of energy sources with the use of more renewable sources. Also water and energy conservation have made huge strides in our community. Efforts are under way through SA Tomorrow and other plans to increase the use of transit, promote more walkability and create more residential density. SARA is also working to advance practices to reduce impervious cover and improve water quality and reduce threats of flooding. I think if we all can work together on some of these issues that have already started, we could go a long way in making sure that we can reach the goals and objectives of the Climate Action Plan. We need to work together as a community and target the strategies that we can agree on that we can effectively and economically accomplish and put our energies behind those. Charting a course toward a more resilient community requires a shared vision of the city that we all want to live and raise our families. It takes persistence to follow through on those strategies that have already started. I just want you to know that the San Antonio River Authority stands ready to help the -- this community in addressing these climate concerns and we have -- we would want to partner with y'all as we goforward. Thank you so much.	
Hi. My name is Meredith McGuire. I have had the privilege of serving on one of the technical working groups in the development of the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan. Having listened to a few of these talks already, I'm very tempted to go far beyond what I was originally going to say. I'd probably need -- need at least 15 minutes to do that, so I will just say this: You should not underestimate how urgent the issue is. It is absolutely imperative that all of us immediately start trying to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Keep in mind that the emissions are staying in the atmosphere for a long time. That means that if we, for example, used more energy and created more emissions last year, those emissions are going to be lasting and on top of further emissions. The heat increase, the climate chaos that could result is disastrous. I am talking about, perhaps, even sufficiently disastrous that there is no life left on earth. Now, hopefully, we don't get to that tipping point. But there is already strong evidence that climate change is going to cause San Antonio serious economic detriment to the point that, for example, the -- the extreme heat and the more frequent heat waves, for example, causing losses in our tourism industry and threatening both our civil and military aviation -- because planes literally can't take off in such high heat. We could end up with a situation in which the rainfall re- -- is reduced dramatically. There is a prediction made in a -- a story that's in the Los Angeles times recently. They reference a source that figures out what will be the climate likely here, down the road, and there they're predicting that San Antonio's going to end up with a -- by -- in 2080 by a climate comparable to that of Nuevo Laredo, Mexico. You do not want us to have to live in that climate; it is essential to start reducing the greenhouse gases now. Thank you.	2/18/2019
My name is Wendell Fuqua. I am with the Sierra Club. Thanks for having this public session. I urge you to incorporate public input into your regular meetings. Now, please imagine, if you will, that scientists have told us an astroid will hit the earth in 2030, that it will wreck our economy, destroy our coastal cities, ravage humanity with drought, floods and disease. What price would you place on diverting that astroid? The astroid of climate change has been identified by scientists and they now say we have about 12 years to make serious effort to divert it. Otherwise it's going to hit us and our children and grandchildren will suffer terribly. The figures in the recent report from the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change tell us this: To have a prayer of saving ourselves, we need to cut Co2 emissions 45 percent by 2030. If you'll look at the figures in the draft CAAP, 48 percent of our emissions come from our coal and gas-fired power plants. Without doing anything else -- and I'm not suggesting that we shouldn't -- San Antonio would be on track to meeting that 45 percent goal. Some argue that closing the plants would be too expensive. I ask, What is the expense of doing nothing? Our own government's Fourth National Climate Assessment states that the U.S. has experienced $44 billion, $44 billion weather disasters in the last four years; a total cost of nearly $400 billion. It's an understatement. In an understatement the report says acting sooner rather than later, generally, results in lower costs overall for both adaptation and mitigation efforts. The State of California has committed to generating 60 percent of its energy from renewable sources by 2030 and 100 percent by 2045. CPS has committed to the CAAP but still plans to burn cass --gas and oil -- and coal beyond 2040. Surely, in Texas and San Antonio, bastions of free enterprise innovation, we can match California in vision and ambition. We need to include in the CAAP a plan to close our coal and gas plants by 2030. The asteroid of climate change is on its way. You Board members set policy. You can make such a plan happen. I urge you to do so.	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
My name is Sarah Catherine Thompson. I'm a senior geology and environmental science major. And climate change, as Meredith McGuire said, is happening faster than expected. And we keep getting all of these reports saying how urgent this issue is, how our window is only 12 years, and how that window is closing, and I want to express my support for the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan. It is not too expensive. The current cost of doing business as usual is unthinkably high, and those costs are: Such as increasing loss of human life, increasing health issues, increased property damage, decreased quality of life. CPS Energy is the foremost cause of greenhouse gas emissions in San Antonio, and while the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan only accounts for emissions that occur within the city's boundaries, CPS's use of fossil fuels decreases our air quality and contributes to climate change. And for those of you who don't think the climate's changing or you don't think it matters, those of you who aren't studying what I'm studying and don't see the facts, how can you look at a city like Houston or San Antonio and see that brown haze on the horizon and think that's safe for me to breathe, I want my children breathing that, I want my children breathing more of that? How can you have a car drive by you and smell the carbon monoxide and think that's healthy for me to be breathing? Even if -- even if you did -- you don't believe all of the things that people before me have been saying, we need to find different fuel sources. We're going to run out. It's going to become expensive. Renewable energy is the only way forward. And as technology advances it's going to become more readily available, and as technology advances the availability of fossil fuels is going decrease, so why not work now on implementing fossil fuels until waiting till the last minute, waiting until so many people have left San Antonio because it's just getting hotter and more and more miserable to live here. And I don't want to live in a city that puts profit before reason. And if you put profit before sustainability all I can say is that you can't breathe and you can't eat money. Thank you.	2/18/2019
Good evening. My name is -- Excuse me. My name is Lucas Lang. I'm a practicing mechanical engineer. But I'm here to speak on my own behalf and today I'd like to actually speak to personal finance. The average commute in San Antonio is 24.6 miles per day -- excuse me -- that's miles driven per day, and that's according to SA2020.org. Now, if you attribute the IRS estimate of $.59 per mile to that, that comes out to be $5,297 per year. With -- I engineered my lifestyle to live close to work and it costs on -- on the same math about $861 per year. So the difference between the average citizen of San Antonio and my cost is about $4,400, and over the course of 35 years -- I'm 30, age 65 when I'll stop working maybe -- that would be about $155,000 worth of wealthspent on commuting. Now, just think -- let that sink in. Thedifference between -- and the only difference is how close I live to work. So what -- what I am proposing -- and really this is as specific to the plan -- is around transportation is that our government should supply incentive to citizens to live close to where they work. It's both an economic solution: Each person would, essentially, be getting a $4,000 raise if they lived closer to work. It's an environmental solution: You would reduce greenhouse gas emissions by about 84 percent if everybody lived as close to work as I do. And everybody would have extra time. So that's all I've got. Thank you.	2/18/2019
My name is Shawn Garner and I'm a citizen of San Antonio. Twelve years ago, because of the ruthless greed of the most powerful financial institutions in this country, the economic crisis ruined the lives of tens of millions of average people all over the world. So many powerful wealthy individuals and institutions are incapable of putting the long-term common good before their own personal gain. Climate change is a central issue of our time. If we don't act now its effects will be unlike anything we've ever seen. City Public Service must support theClimate Action and Adaptation Plan. CPS is a public utility that must put the long-term well being of SanAntonio, especially the most vulnerable and powerless among us, before the very interests who profit from the continued destruction of our planet. Compared to other plans adapted by other cities and states and countries,this CAAP is a mild plan. Those who will attack theCAAP before you tonight because of the short-term costscannot fathom the long-term costs of inaction both in money and, more importantly, human suffering. We must not give credence to those whorefuse to believe in the reality. It is not onlyenvironmentalists who live in our environment. Humanity has accomplished much throughout our history, and we can accomplish living in harmony with our planet but only if we put the common good and our common future first.	2/18/2019
My name is Shawn Garner and I'm a citizen of San Antonio. Twelve years ago, because of the ruthless greed of the most powerful financial institutions in this country, the economic crisis ruined the lives of tens of millions of average people all over the world. So many powerful wealthy individuals and institutions are incapable of putting the long-term common good before their own	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
personal gain. Climate change is a central issue of our time. If we don't act now its effects will be unlike anything we've ever seen. City Public Service must support the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan. CPS is a public utility that must put the long-term well being of San Antonio, especially the most vulnerable and powerless among us, before the very interests who profit from the continued destruction of our planet. Compared to other plans adapted by other cities and states and countries, this CAAP is a mild plan. Those who will attack the CAAP before you tonight because of the short-term costs cannot fathom the long-term costs of inaction both in money and, more importantly, human suffering. We must not give credence to those who refuse to believe in the reality. It is not only environmentalists who live in our environment. Humanity has accomplished much throughout our history, and we can accomplish living in harmony with our planet but only if we put the common good and our common future first.	
Good evening. My name is Judy Hummel. I'm a private citizen, a concerned environmentalist, probably, is where I am. Thank you for giving this -- us this opportunity to give our ideas. My comments address those who are concerned the proposed actions are: One, too radical or unattainable; two, are bad for business; and three, are too expensive. As for the proposed actions, setting goals is a necessary part of planning. Without goals, how do you make any plan of action or know which way to go. Not striving toward reducing greenhouse gases will be far worse than not achieving the goals completely. Will it be bad for business? Most other cities are having similar conversations about how to adjust for and combat climate change. Moving your business to another location would only provide a temporary solution at best. But no matter where you live, the predicted climate changes will be bad for businesses as well as everybody else and our future generations. Will it be expensive? Yes. Controlling greenhouse gases will be expensive, but the costs of continuing climate change will be more expensive. Sometimes it's necessary to make sacrifices to provide for the common good. Many individuals have purchased solar panels, curtailed driving, lowered heat or raised cooling temperatures, and made personal sacrifices. We can't afford not to take action. Everyone will suffer economically with continuing climate change. Doing almost anything is better than doing nothing. Thank you.	2/18/2019
My name is Alan Montemayor. I'm a San Antonio native, retired mechanical engineer. Thank you for the opportunity to speak to you today. We've heard a lot about tipping points and all of this stuff, but that seems so far away. I mean, the glaciers are -- they're not here, they're somewhere else. And we don't live at the coast so we're not so much concerned about rising sea levels. We don't live on an island, et cetera. But there's a tipping point for San Antonio. Did you know that? It's the heat optimum effect. Imagine a 102-degree August day here in San Antonio. We're having more and more of them, right? Okay. You -- What do you do? You turn down the air conditioner, you're comfortable. But that air conditioner exhausts the heat from your home outside and that heat makes it hotter all around the city. But what do we do when we run out of air conditioner? CPS Energy has to generate the power. Right? · But that -- to generate that power, they generate the heat also. So San Antonio is already five to six degrees hotter than the surrounding area. Imagine 30 days over 100 in a row. Imagine 40 or even 100 days of over 100. Imagine what that would be like in San Antonio. I don't want to live in a place like that. I'm retired. I can -- I can escape the heat of the city in August and -- July and August. Right? But a lot of people can't. So you guys are in a position to really do something about this. I ask you to support the CAAP plan. I ask that you work with our businesses to make things better, ask people to adopt the electric vehicles in their fleets. Take -- Change the CPS Energy fleet as much as possible to electric vehicles, establish good charging infrastructure around the city, support the ED ready and the solar ready initiatives that are going in San Antonio. In short, we all breathe the same air. We all sweat here in June, July and August. So please use your position to do what we need for San Antonio and bear the costs. Thank you.	2/18/2019
Good evening. My name is Peter Bella.Thank you again for making time this evening. I all -- also am somebody who is very much in support of the city's project, the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan, and it's been my pleasure to be on the Steering Committee since the plan's inception. I would also add my voice to those calling for the sense of urgency. One metric that I would like to see assured, as we move forward with the plan, comes from what is called the Paris Compliant Trajectories; that is, back in, I think it was September, Navigant, the consultant who is responsible for a lot of the technical analysis for the plan, showed the Steering Committee and the other technical working groups what are called theParis Compliant Trajectories. Bottom line, it shows that with the continuing pollution, the carbon pollution, the carbon emissions, the amount of carbon in the	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
atmosphere continues to mount and rise, and that if we want to hue [phonetic] to the goals of the carbon plan, as the mayor has set forth, with a one-and-a-half degree Celsius limit, we've got to follow trajectories, we've got to follow the paths for making reductions very quickly. And, in fact, the plans show that what is required for San Antonio is a precipitous drop. I question strongly whether the plan in its current projections meets those requirements. I would ask the city and ask Navigant to make sure that they perform an analysis that shows how closely the plan hues to that carbon reduction requirement. This is a technical requirement to meet the degree and a half Celsius goal of the plan, and I would hope that the Flex plan will also be required to meet that goal. Thank you very much.	
Good evening. And thank you to the CPS Board for giving us an audience here and -- and allowing us a few minutes to share our thoughts on this. One of the pieces that has gone missing in the discussions tonight has been the evolution of technology and its contributions to addressing climate change, and I can tell you that the company I work for -- first of all, I am a CPS customer, but I'm also the head of the Itron subsidiary here in San Antonio. One thing I can tell you is that every month and every year that goes by, we have new technology that's at our disposal. Things that we didn't imagine are available to us last year are now in service this year. Our company alone has over 150 25 partners that we work with to develop new types of sensors. Be they methane sensors for indoor/outdoor testing, you know, whether it's street lights and initiatives that would allow us to help control traffic that would reduce emissions from traffic, whether it's parking that would allow people to find their parking spaces faster or more efficiently, whether it's waste disposal containments which allows you to time when -- actually when the container is full to cart it away. There are -- All sorts of devices are coming available in the market today, and tomorrow we don't know what's going to be out there. So you have a smart team of people in place. CPS staff members are tough, but fair, and they certainly know how to utilize resources at their disposal. So I encourage you let them do their work and they will come up with the best solution possible. Thank you for your time.	2/18/2019
I'm Stan Mitchell, a numbers guy for SAMBA (San Antonio Making Bureaucracies Accountable). Your draft CAAP, if I might use that acronym, is a cost benefit analysis that omits costs. You describe what must be done with taxpayer funds to achieve your objective but fail to indicate the cost of actions you believe may respond to the dire climate environment you project. Further, you emulate City Manager Sculley who routinely omits major financing costs of proposed projects to sway the council and us taxpayers. Let's check our history with grand proposals. VIA Chairman Munoz, Judge Wolff and Mayor Castro promoted their modern streetcar project with the -- this lovely Intercity Rail Streetcar Feasibility Study not unlike your CAAP. This is nowpart of the $19 million that we wrote off as taxpayers after we joined the firefighters that petitioned for the present charter amendment requiring a public vote before starting a rail project. Mayor Nirenberg advised in today's Express-News, Light rail is a technology of the past. Second: Recall CPS's Smart Grid project for which we projected a ratepayer burden, $2.5 billion, with a B, over 20 years, more than CFO Benny Gorzel's error and omission fill projection. · Ms. Shellman and I worked to try to rec- -- reconcile those differences unsuccessfully, so we still don't know what the Smart Meter project is costing us. I will shortly distribute this analysis attached to a copy of this -- of this script when I am finished. We have seen French yellow vests protecting -- protesting imaginate climate change costs imposed by the government, an ominous sign in our environment. Three: City Manager Sculley prepared no financial profile of the SAWS Vista Ridge project before council approved it, including two years of price increases, at the December 7th, 2017 council meeting. Councilmen Brockhouse, Courage and Perry voted no. Council does not know today what Vista Ridge costs will be. We learned over the weekend that San Antonio has an off budget unfunded retiree benefits debt of $2.61 billion, with a B. How will council fulfill legal and moral obligations to city retirees while they simultaneously face CAAP's unknown financial challenges? Five: What of the rest of the world whose environment we share? · Will council reduce Co2 despite new coal industry capacity in China, India, Vietnam, Indonesia and Bangladesh? And what of India's power ministry which has concluded that a balance of unpredictable renewable and managed thermal-base load capacities are required to optimize net worth relia- -- reliability, and they cut economics. The Japanese government has just confirmed what we learned from Ms. Gold-Williams; that a balance is necessary. Ultimately, economics will prevail over ideology as an aroused public recognizes CAAP's true costs. Your CAAP currently ignores economics. Thank you for your time.	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Thank you very much for having this hearing and letting us provide input on this plan. I just want to say at the outset that I'm -- I'm very much in favor of the CAAP. I hope the council and CPS will support it. I have some criticisms of it or comments about it. I don't mean to imply that I -- I think it's a bad -- you know, a bad document. But, you know, I will say that the best thing that San Antonio City Council and CPS can do for businesses of San Antonio is to go forward with this project. Thirty years ago we knew about climate change, and we had the option of doing something about it then, and there were people saying, no. Slow down. Let's make sure that we have all of our ducks in a row, and let's -- let's be safe rather than sorry. And we've done that every -- all this time, for 30 years, and, meanwhile, the inertia of the problem has -- has increased to the point where the remedy is going to be very painful now. But despite that fact it's something that will benefit business in the long run if we prepare for the inevitable consequences which would be dramatic of climate change now, so... But in terms of the document itself, I think that it would be helpful for the public to have a sense of the priorities assigned to various categories. It's important that we be able to say what is going to actually reduce climate change or reduce our carbon footprint more than other things. The way it's ranked, categorically, it looks like, you know, all things are equal, but it's not true at all. The -- the final thing that I -- and I know I'm running out of time, that I'd just like to -- to say is that I think it's extremely important to both the city and CPS be -- take a leadership role, and it's unconscionable really that CPS is still planning to use coal in 2040. Coal should be phased out, the two units eliminated by 2025 no later and gas by 2030. The public and San Antonio is not going to appreciate the magnitude or the severity of the problem unless both the city and CPS show that they, in their own calculation, their own structure, their own planning acknowledge it themselves. Thank you.	2/18/2019
Well, good evening. Thanks for having this forum. I'm Elizabeth Kertesz, the director of the San Antonio 2030 District, and I was a member of the Energy and Buildings Technical Working Group for SA Climate Ready. I'm also a small business owner. I have a consulting business where I do green building consulting and I want to encourage you to take swift action to implement the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan to ensure our community's current and future prosperity. I -- I encourage you to strive to meet the recommendation to limit global temperature increase to no more than 1.5 degrees Celsius and make sure we tie the CAAP plan to that goal. And I encourage you to prioritize measures that create a green economy, invested measures that are going to create quality jobs and affordability for our citizens: Solar renewables, EV charging infrastructure group buying programs to help make it more affordable for people to invest in electric vehicles, and measures like benchmarking and disclosure ordinances so that property owners can get a handle on their energy use and figure out how to reduce it. And then also I think it would be good to implement more district level energy systems. We lose two-thirds of the energy that we produce at the power plant through the distribution grid, so if we could have more district level community solar projects or expand our chilled water loops we could, maybe, eliminate from that -- the distribution infrastructure where we -- we lose our power through heat loss. And I know the odds are against us. You know, it's -- they're not in our favor. Meeting the challenges of climate change, it's daunting. But we have to give it our best. And like David fighting Goliath, we can't just run away, we have to give it our best shot.	2/18/2019
My name is Robert Romeo, thank you for -- thank you for having me. I am the chairman of the Citizens Advisory Group for CPS Energy.As the chair of the CPS Energy CAC group, I have attended several CPS Energy presentations pertaining to clean energy. We have visited wind farms, solar sites, power plants and many other CPS Energy sites. We have seen the progress for clean air firsthand being made by CPS Energy. I retired from Center Point Energy in Houston and have over 32 years in the power industry. My grandfather was a power -- power house operator in the old steel mills. My dad retired from Ohio Edison where he was the plant operator. In the 1950s, I lived in the old steel town, I had to breathe dirty air every day. My dad would take me on tours of many of the old, old power plants where he worked. Today, when I walk through CPS Energy plants or when I stand in the middle of a solar farm, where there is silence, blue sky, and the power lines sending power to the grid, I can tell you firsthand that we have come a long, long way. I can also see that we are not going to do this overnight and CPS Energy is on the right track. Thank you very much.	2/18/2019
So my name's Alex Papanastassio. I'm a citizen to speak on my own behalf, I'm also a doctor and a neurosurgeon in town, and the first thing I want to say is how much I appreciate everything that CPS has done to make renewable energy a large part of the energy produced for our community. It's really shown itself to be, you know, leagues ahead of many other similar-sized cities and	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
organizations. Really appreciate that. I remember about a year or two ago I was thinking to myself, gosh, I wish that I could buy all of my energy as renewable. And it turned out -- so I went to call up CPS and found out, well, they had an option for that, but it was sold out at the moment. And then I sort of looked into home solar, but my roof wasn't quite right. And -- and so I think that there's a business opportunity for CPS, but they're relatively pricing sensitive customers like myself who would be willing to pay a lot more for their energy if it were renewable, and -- and the goal would be to have a modular type of system where you could offer it to customers like me on a, sort of, sliding scale and you could see where the market goes. And I talked to my neighbors. There's lots of folks like me who would pay double, triple what we pay for energy if it were available in renewable. But one of the things I recognized is that the money's already been put down on the coal plant that exists, so trying to shut it down just basically meanslosing that money. So the first thing I think to myself is just kind of nuts and bolts there's people like me who want to pay as much as money as they can to get renewables, and then there's probably a vast majority of the city that wants the rates as low as possible and doesn't think it's possible, you know, to do it in a cost-effective way. And so I think a way, you know, to try and meet in the middle might be to say, hey, for all of the projected increases in energy needs try and make those as much renewable as possible. And then once you got there then your next step might be to say, okay, is there some kind of modular system we could get put together so that the real, you know, go-getters that want to pay more could pay to try and reduce the amount that we use of the coal plant, you know, that we already pay for, and so, sort of, increasing the transparency of the finances in a way that the customers can see it and just let them pay and just kind of see the trade-offs of what we're all -- you know, what we're all up against. I think we're all on the same page of what our goals are and it's just a question of how to get there and keep the rates low.	
Hi, my name is Yaneth Flores. I'm an organizer of Esperanza Center. We fully support the SA Climate Ready Plan and hope that it will steer the city towards a healthier future; one in which we are committed to climate equity to transitioning into renewable energy and committed to reducing our carbon footprint. We are in the middle of a very real, very present climate crisis. If you don't believe me, allow me to take you back to August 26th, 2017, the day Hurricane Harvey destroyed my hometown. Allow me to take you back to the day my family and myself drove back into Rockport, Texas and saw what it had done to our homes, to our neighborhoods, to the very job that put me through college. Absolutely destroyed. And that was not a coincidence or mishap of nature, but very much a result of climate change. This doesn't allow for any delay. We have absolutely no time to waste. Unfortunately, it will be my generation that will experience the impact of climate change unless we act now. Unarguably, I can guarantee you that I'm one of the youngest, if not the youngest person, in this room. It will be me and it will be my friends and it will be my future children who will feel the impact of this crisis. San Antonio needs to commit to an ambitious climate plan; one that reflects the urgency of this crisis. We are acting decades late on an issue that will impact working class communities the hardest. This will impact my family the hardest. We do not have the luxury of waiting until 2050 to be carbon neutral; our planet and our health cannot afford that. The city -- We ask for the city, CPS, for San Antonio to set aggressive and thorough goals moving to no coal by 2025, carbon free electricity by 2030 and to get our city to a net zero emissions by 2040. These are the -- the realistic goals we have to live with because we are not living in a make believe world. Thank you.	2/18/2019
48 percent of the emissions in San Antonio come from electricity production. They come from CPS Energy, from coal and natural gas power plants, and that fact is obscured in both the SA Climate Ready Plan and the Flexible Path. When that fact isn't obscured, it becomes very obvious about what needs to be done. Almost 50 percent of San Antonio's emissions can be cut by transitioning to renewable energy. The first step in city wide climate action, the first systemic transition needs to come from CPS Energy. Electricity use is the foundation for modern life and the governing body of a public energy utility should recognize this and orient us towards a sustainable future instead of obscuring the facts. Let the community guide you. Many energy utilities across the country go through a public process for resource planning and rate making. No one knows everything. And the public questioning assumptions and CPS sharing information will result in a more democratically run utility, lower rates, and a healthier environment with a healthier populace. San Antonio is getting hotter. The climate is becoming more unpredictable and extreme. The storms, floods and heat waves are getting worse. You may not want to admit it, but you know, just as well as I do, that it's becoming harder to live in this city, and that the future of San Antonio and the people who live	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
here hang in uncertainty. When you go home tonight -- and this actually applies to everyone in this room right now. When you go home tonight and you greet your children or your grandchildren, when you see your nieces and nephews, when you engage with young people everywhere, I want you to truly and honestly ask yourself, Am I doing everything within my power to make sure that they have a livable planet by the time they are my age or am I sentencing them to preventable suffering and possibly an early death because of my neglect. A society grows great when elders plant trees whose shade they know they shall never sit in. Tell me, CPS Energy Board of Trustees, what kind of society do you want to leave behind?	
Good evening. I'm Mario Bravo with Environmental Defense Fund. Human beings tend to look at life through a local lens, and so we -- here in San Antonio, here in the United States we often find that looking at it through that local lens climate change is politicized and we can forget that, in most countries, climate change is not politicized. In most countries they believe scientists. In most countries they understand that a climate plan is a quality of life plan, and so it's unfortunate here that in San Antonio some people are politicizing quality of life. Recently, some local actors have purposefully misinterpreted this climate plan that are presented and they want to talk about money. They say it costs too much. So I'm going to talk about money. Here in Bexar County we have an air pollution problem and we know how much it costs. Now, why do we know how much it costs? We know, because the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality and AACOG commissioned a study and they wanted to find out what will be the economic impact of Bexar County getting an ozone non-attainment designation. What they found was it will cost us 20 -- it will cost local businesses and government $21 billion, billion with a B, and that's under our current designation. · If we don't meet the ozone standard by -- by the end of next year, it will be $28 billion because we'll automatically go to a moderate non-attainment designation. So what I want you to know is that the climate plan is a plan to reduce greenhouse gases, but when you reduce greenhouse gases you also reduce the emissions that form ozone. So this climate plan that we have presented, it's the first and only plan that we have seen, to date, that could get us out of our ozone non-attainment designation and save us $21- to $28 billion. So I ask those who are complaining about the cost of this climate plan, how are they going to save us $21- to $28 billion? This climate plan is going to improve our quality of life and save us that money. Thank you very much.	2/18/2019
Good evening. My name is DeeDee Belmares. I am a field organizer and echo madre with Moms Clean Air Force. We are the community of moms and dads across the country united to fight air pollution for the safety -- safety and health of our children I want to thank y'all for having this input session tonight giving me the opportunity to speak. I have a -- I had a couple of asks today, two asks today, but I'm actually going to add a third one, and I want to start off with, please, please, please, listen to the Brianna Barreras and listen to the Yaneth Flores and all of the other young folks that were here today, because the decision -- the decisions that you all make today are going to affect their future; and I have a six-year-old son whose future that I want to be safe and that he has air -- clean air to breathe. But my first question -- my first ask is, what we need now from CPS Energy -- and I mean now, not months from now, since the plan -- the CAAP has been released -- is for CPS Energy to determine the cost implications for the city to move from dirty, polluting, health-damaging fossil fuel use to clean renewable energy. Also keep in mind that y'all's tight line on that science says keep people -- keeping people first, please, consider allowing public comments at future Board meetings, make Citizen Advisory Committee meetings public, and video live stream all Board and Advisory Committee meetings. That's all I have. Thank you.	2/18/2019
Hello. Good evening. I'm Christina Keller and I'm an electrical engineer working in the utility scale solar industry, so, you know, I have a horse in this race. But it's not because I work in the solar industry that I care about the solar industry and about climate change, it's the other way around. I care about climate change, I see where it's going for people in my generation and younger, and that's why I care so much. I -- I feel so lucky to have a job where I can work towards something that I really believe in. And I think that's something a lot of younger people look for too is something -- to have a job that's more than just I go and work every day and make money. It's something that I can believe in and feel like, maybe, I'm making a small difference in the world. That kind of thing. So I think in terms of the economics and the future for our city, what kind of a city do we want to be? A city of the past or a city of the future? And I hope it's a city of the future that really attracts more young people, attracts more people into high technology careers, because it's really very exciting. And I see the struggle of the costs every day. I see how	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
expensive the wire is. I see how expensive the batteries are and every year in the industry we're hoping, come on, batteries, come on, batteries. And we're getting there. There are people -- armies of people working on it. And we're still a small minority, but every year it gets bigger and bigger and, as you've heard some of the other people say, it gets cheaper and cheaper. So the time is now and let's do it. And I'm really glad that CPS is a -- a public utility too, because I don't think we would have that opportunity. I probably wouldn't have even ended up here if it wasn't for the Alamo projects that I kind of got my start in that industry. So I'm -- I'm grateful and also encouraging you for the next steps. Thank you so much.	
Ladies and gentlemen, I'm Kevin Meislin just representing myself. I'm from New York so I know a little bit about regulations and high-level frameworks and big strategic plans and what happens. Let me just set the stage real quick by reminding you that -- that all city leadership and the Board members may not be the ones who are actually executing this plan. There may be others that come in. Whether they're far off to the right, far off to the left, somewhere in the center, they will be the ones implementing the plan based on what you have written here. So with that, we all love puppy dogs and children and jobs and health care, and the -- the plan says a lot about promoting and encouraging. Let me give you some examples of what that means. In New York State they doubled the cost of some personal goods to promote reduction of that use or to promote certain items and certain -- certain items so that something that costs $3 that the state of New York doesn't want you to use -- whether it's a Styrofoam cupor cigarettes or something that they deem that they don't want people to use -- they add that burden onto the -- the poorest people who need to buy those -- those goods. In England today they just reported that there's going to be a -- they're proposing a $.13 deposit fee on bottles. At 13 billion bottles that's about $1.69 billion that the people will have to give. Single mothers who are working two jobs to get food and -- and medicines and stuff to their kids are now going to have to pay an extra $.13 on each bottle just for that. And, oh, yeah, the industry says it's going to cost $2.6 billion, but we're talking about $1.69 billion that people are going to have to pay. Smart Meters. Let me -- let me back up. So the transportation into New York City. They want to discourage people driving into the city. So they don't make it cheaper for people to use mass transit, they've raised the toll costs on people who go into New York City to the point where it's cheaper to take mass transit. Just take a look at what promote and encourage means and remember that somebody else could always use that as an excuse to -- to fill their agenda. Thank you.	2/18/2019
First off, my name is Joseph Powell andthank you for hosting this and taking comments; and great job to everybody involved with the CAAP. I say good job, but really should I be saying that? It's 2019. Let's see. I mean, what we need to talk about here is, like, how soon we're going to be shutting down all of the coal plants. 2025 is the number that keeps getting thrown around and I think that's a really good number. And this, of course, includes the -- like, the failing Spruce unit that are not failing anymore because, you know, you replaced the generator. And you're like, oh, it'll be $500 million to make enough solar energy that this failing unit is making. And we can just replace this generator for only $27 million, and we've already got $9 million on the insurance claim. It's, like, I have this old '96 F-150 with a straight six, inline six, best engine you ever saw, but, you know, it was, like, a rust bucket. It -- you know, it gets 8 miles to the gallon and it's, like, I'm not going to hang onto that just because, oh, we just replaced the power steering pump. You know, that's -- that doesn't make any sense. And that's kind of like what's going on here. Further on, you know, you want to --talking about equity -- and we're all like, oh, let's solve the great problem, how will we make, you know, the -- whoever pay? It's like, hey, make business pay it, you know, make the developers pay it. This city is booming. You're saying, oh, we need to have a friendly business environment. We already have a friendly business environment. · We need to start thinking about the real costs. And, you know, some people talked about the actual external costs of doing this and, you know,ways that that's been quantified. · And it's clearly more than any of these projects would cost and so I say --Hold on. I have a good part. Okay. Listen -- Okay. Listen, if we do 2025 -- if we shoot for 2025 we're going to get there by 2020 -- 2040 anyways because the city's always slow. So let's aim high, aim for the best, hope for the best for our children and San Antonians to come. Thank you.	2/18/2019
Good evening, everyone. I'm Kristi Villanueva, President of the West San Antonio Chamber of Commerce, and thank you so much for hosting this, as always. So I come here representing the members of the West San Antonio Chamber. · I'm also privileged enough to attend several of the meetings for my fellow chambers. I have participated with them and discussed what's going on	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
with the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan. I'm also a Steering Committee member, as someone mentioned earlier, and I volunteer my time through other city boards. What's important here is the Climate Action Adaptation Plan, a lot of work went into it, and these folks -- the working groups and the Steering Committee -- spent a great amount of time, as did I with them. Unfortunately, the product that came out, I was very disappointed on a personal level and as a chamber president. I didn't realize that we were not going to get to see the plan prior to it being released to the community. So that was one thing. The second thing is the fact that that plan just didn't have any substance. Everything looked the same as you read the first 50 or so pages. Once you get to the mitigation items, now, that has substance and that's something that we can work with. Our business community can work with each of those items. So I took this plan and I put it out to my members, I also shared it with my neighbors, I forced it on folks even if they didn't want to hear it, and the thing is, is it was difficult to understand. That's the problem. So we'd like for more time. As an individual I'm asking for more time. The Steering Committee needs to go back, Navigant needs to work with us a little bit more, they need to be more open-minded, and we need to have money tied to this. Because of all of this information -- that's why the West Chamber has decided to dedicate time to public meetings. We'll have six public meetings open to everyone and we're hope -- hoping to report that information back to CPS and to the city. Thank you.	
Yeah. I kind of figured that one. I appreciate the -- the last speaker --speaker before me. I think the time factor is very true. I don't know. Has anybody read the Paris Agreement? The Paris Agreement is not a federal mandate. It's an ideology. And sometimes ideologies can have biases in them, which I think this issue tends to lean towards. Are we pie in the sky, the sky is falling? Or are we pie in the sky, it's not falling? And where do we find that middle road? But what concerns me the most on this plan is the mitigation part of the CAAP.You are imposing a lifestyle, a behavioral change, not just carbon or its GFG changes, but my lifestyle has to change. I have to buy -- consider an electric vehicle to drive if I want to come down to San Antonio, and that's on Page 38. On Page 28, homeowners have to retrofit their house. There's all kinds of mitigations that have individual costs to it. Now, factor into all of that, the very beginning parts of CAAP which talk about the equity of it all. And there, again, I now will have to help someone who can't afford to make these mitigation changes, and, if I can, because I'm on the white wealthy side of things -- and that's stated in the CAAP plan. That's not -- not my words. Those are CAAPs -- then I have an added burden to meet. The time factor is huge. To roll out sucha change upon citizens who have never read this plan, who never understood what even the Paris Agreement was -- and the President of the United States pulled out of it -- what are we doing here then? Why are we here? And there's that bias behind me. But we have to really kind of be mature about it. You cannot just start to rush into something unless you've given the citizens (your stakeholders) the time to have their say. And I hope that I'm the person you're listening to and not the mayor and a ten-person council. Thank you. Thank you for your presentation aswell.	2/18/2019
The crisis presented by climate change is real, it will take concrete actions and courageous vision to have a sustanable world. CPS can lead the way by closing fossil fuel plants (coal and natural gas) and ramping up conservation, solar and wind, now is the time for action.	2/18/2019
Please continue to ensure my energy bills are affordable AND to the extent possible, you put/keep our community's quality of life as a top priority! Thank you.	2/18/2019
First off, my name is Joseph Powell and thank you for hosting this and taking comments; and great job to everybody involved with the CAAP. I say good job, but really should I be saying that? It's 2019. Let's see. I mean, what we need to talk about here is, like, how soon we're going to be shutting down all of the coal plants. 2025 is the number that keeps getting thrown around and I think that's a really good number. And this, of course, includes the -- like, the failing Spruce unit that are not failing anymore because, you know, you replaced the generator. And you're like, oh, it'll be $500 million to make enough solar energy that this failing unit is making. And we can just replace this generator for only $27 million, and we've already got $9 million on the insurance claim. It's, like, I have this old '96 F-150 with a straight six, inline six, best engine you ever saw, but, you know, it was, like, a rust bucket. · It -- you know, it gets 8 miles to the gallon and it's, like, I'm not going to hang onto that just because, oh, we just replaced the power steering pump. · You know, that's -- that doesn't make any sense. · And that's kind of like what's going on here. Further on, you know, you want to -- talking about equity -- and we're all like, oh, let's solve the great problem, how will we make, you know, the -- whoever pay? It's like, hey, make business pay it, you know, make the developers pay it. This city is booming. You're saying, oh, we need to have a friendly business	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
environment. We already have a friendly business environment. We need to start thinking about the real costs. And, you know, some people talked about the actual external costs of doing this and, you know, ways that that's been quantified. And it's clearly more than any of these projects would cost and so I say -- Hold on. I have a good part. Okay. Listen -- Okay. Listen, if we do 2025 -- if we shoot for 2025 we're going to get there by 2020 -- 2040 anyways because the city's always slow. So let's aim high, aim for the best, hope for the best for our children and San Antonians to come. Thank you.	
Dear Trustees, My name is Steve Everley, and I serve as spokesman for Texans for Natural Gas. We have hundreds of thousands of advocates all across Texas who support oil and natural gas, including 9,500 who live in CPS Energy's coverage area. The attached letter is being sent on behalf of our advocates in Bexar County, calling attention to concerns we have with the City of San Antonio's recently released draft "Climate Ready" plan, which would phase out affordable energy sources like natural gas. No fuel has done more in the United States to reduce greenhouse gas emissions than natural gas. In fact, thanks to affordable and abundant supplies of natural gas, the United States leads the world in reducing emissions of carbon dioxide. In the United States, the amount of CO2 that natural gas has prevented from entering the atmosphere since 2006 is equivalent to taking 500 million passenger vehicles off the road for an entire year. As the cleanest-burning fossil fuel, Texas-produced natural gas has also played a key role in reducing local air pollution in San Antonio, including a 20% drop in ozone since 2003. Most importantly, natural gas has kept power prices affordable for hundreds of thousands of local families. As of February 2019, the average residential energy price for CPS Energy customers was 10.8 cents per kilowatt-hour, which is lower than both the state and national averages. CPS Energy's reliance on natural gas for a large share of its fuel mix (46%) is a key reason for these low prices. Dramatically changing CPS Energy's fuel mix only raises the risk of future rate hikes. High utility bills hit low-income families the hardest, creating even more economic hardship for those who can least afford it. In any discussion about San Antonio's energy future, the cost of electricity must remain a high priority. Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments and feedback on the city's plan. Sincerely, Steve Everley Spokesman Texans for Natural Gas	2/18/2019
To the whataburger CEO: Please make the plastic straws that you hand out optional. I love "whatacatch" (to eat) and would love to see whataburger reduce the use of plastic straws which are so harmful to creatures in the oceans. See www.plasticpollutionstraws.com. Thank you for your support of the climate action plan. Regarding CPS administators and its board of trustees, it is puzzling that CPS does not offer oppurtunity for community input at its regular board of trustee meetings. The other public utilities (SAWS) does this at every meeting even though they don't have to. City Council offers this oppurtunity twice, after B sessions in the evening and during the A session in the AM. If straterfies for climate action are to become granular and affordable, the CPS must foster buy-in fro its customers and significant community stake-holdersm not just the chambers of commerce. Yes the technologies are changing and CPS needs to apply its tremendous buying power to empower its customers at every level to imlement energy conservation, water	2/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
conservation, and solar collection. and substitutions on and off the grid.	
I support the CAAP as written. I am president of the Food Policy Council of San Antonio and the plans statments on the food system and land use are admirable. I am happy to pay a small rate hike to shut down coal faster, but not a huge one.	2/18/2019
There is alot of information to lead one to implement some sort of mitigation policy. Then there are those that would not believe in these facts even when they know it to be true.	2/18/2019
Cleaning up transportation is meaningless until our power generation is largely carbon-free. CPS needs to shutter its remaining coal and replace with solar--or issue an RFP for a new ~600 MW PPA if they can't do it themselves. They need to do it in 2019. New Solar+Storage PPAs (levelized cost) are pricing cheaper than simply continuing to run coal plants that are already built. CPS needs to pursue this now as a cost-savings/at least cost-neutral measure.	2/19/2019
I believe we need to be good stewards of our environment, but we can do little to change the climate.	2/19/2019
What is this going to cost and what are the real benefits of us in SA paying this extra $ when so much of the rest of the world is increasing carbon production? Why should we PAY for it?	2/19/2019
I don't believe its our responsibility to do this and I don't want to pay for it	2/19/2019
These are noble-sounding goals, but there aren't any specifics given on how they'll be accomplished. Because of that vagueness, this survey gives implicit permission to the city to have carte blanche to create whatever rules and regulations it deems necessary without getting any additional authorizations from the taxpayers. That's unacceptable to this voter and taxpayer, and it's why I didn't rate any of the goals.	2/19/2019
When China makes meaningful changes to improve we can talk. Right now the US leads the world in conservation.	2/19/2019
The scientific evidence does not support this matter. I do believe in going greener just not for the reason you want to force upon others.	2/19/2019
Resilience should be what we do if we first fail to actually act. Mitigation first.	2/19/2019
I believe that San Antonio is going down the wrong road and we need to step back and understand what is important and what we can affect.	2/19/2019
I really don't believe the sky is falling and neither do 30,000 scientists...AND I don't want to pay for it! Our citizens should NOT be made to pay for this!	2/19/2019
Junk science and you are playing big brother with the citizens of SA - STOP IT	2/19/2019
All the above goals have the same fatal flaw as the previous set of goals: no specifics on how they'll be achieved, and no mention of whether cost-effectiveness will even be a factor in crafting a mitigation plan. Historically, governments aren't prudent spenders of taxpayers' money, and with San Antonio not providing even an estimated cost, these stated goals should inform the taxpayers to get a tight grip on their wallets.	2/19/2019
The city curently has an ordinace regarding NO ENGINE IDLING for trucks over 14,000 pounds. I think this a great start, but the city and county needs to make that ordinance effective for ALL fossil-fuel powered vehicles!! Take a drive and look around! Look at drive-thrus, schools, parking lots, driveways, etc. (Not stop lights, stop signs, traffic) Engine idling is EVERYWHERE and so many people are doing it without even knowing the consequences!! Engine idling is a ground level OZONE producer which I saw this plan talk about. To combat ground level Ozone, this ordinance needs to go into effect and be strictly enforced!	2/19/2019
CPS Energy needs to implement the plan. The City needs to require them to either plan for ~600 MW of solar (+storage) generation to replace the rest of existing coal generation. If CPS decides that they aren't capable, then the City needs to require them to issue an open-ended RFP for ~600 MW of new generation to replace. Solar+Storage will win such an RFP and put us on the right path a decade ahead. Then we can do the hard, capital-intensive projects over the longer term to decarbonize buildings (gas to electric) and make them more efficient. And every electric car will actually mean less carbon, not just switching from gasoline to coal.	2/19/2019
This initiative is a HUGE waste of our taxpayer money.	2/19/2019
1. Climate change will happen no matter what we do. 2. We need to be good stewards of our environment and reduce waste as much as possible. 3. The cost of these afore mentioned programs are not worth the gain. 4. I witnessed and have been part of two major climate change events in my life and this is the truth about them. There was nothing we could do about them and be good stewards of the environment, use our resources smartly and get off this climate change	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
folly. 5. We need to use all our resources and I am not willing to stay in San Antonio if this city adopts this type of confused and ill guided approach to governing.	
This should be done on voluntary basis ONLY!	2/19/2019
I think the tax for impervious cover should be increased, but there should also be tax breaks for those who utilize sustainable development and have low emissions.	2/19/2019
I wholeheartedly support the CAAP, but urge you to strengthen it in certain areas. First, the report needs a BRIEF summary at the beginning. Few people will wade through the tiny print and enormous detail of the entire report. Second, the report needs a more URGENT TONE. There's no sense of how little time we have left to turn this around. Third, establsh INTERIM GOALS and how to achieve them. Then, prioritize the ACTIONS most likely to meet those goals. Close the coal plants by 2025. Retrofit all municipal buildings by 2035. Eliminate combustion engines in the city by 2050 The draft shows a nice graph leading to zero emissions, but no concrete steps to make it real. Nevertheless, do not let the perfect be the enemy of the good. Polish this draft, get the city council to approve it, and LET's GET STARTED!	2/19/2019
This plan is offensive. Why don't you fill the pot holes and address congestion instead of coming up with ways to tax and spend our city into no growth. Very few will want to live here if you do this stuff to people.	2/19/2019
Where exactly will the funding for these new policies and interventions come from?	2/19/2019
Where will you get funding from, and what is the financial amount needed to reach your goals?	2/19/2019
Are there any plans to revamp or enhance the drainage infrastructure within our city to avoid what happened in Houston with flooding?	2/19/2019
There's very little, if anything we here in San Antonio, America or the world can do to prevent climate change, Pollution maybe, but climate change, No! Climate change is a natural occurring cycle. If you follow the sun's solar flare activity you can see there's a direct correlation between that and the earths temperatures. Stop believing the BS, it's nothing but another way to milk billions from the people of the world!	2/19/2019
An observation: the climate is always changing, it's called "the seasons". Every year Americans in all climes prepare for climate change without needing government's help: we know it's going to get cold in winter, so we prepare heavier clothing; we know it's going to get hot in summer, so we prepare lighter clothing. Short-term forecasts prepare us for what we can expect in the immediate future , and studying sunspot activity and knowing its effect on world-wide atmospheric and oceanic temperatures helps predict longer-term weather. Very active sun spot activity predicts global warming, and lack of activity global cooling (Google "Drop in sun spot activity a warning of global cooling"). NOTHING the city of San Antonio can enact will mitigate the influence of sun spots and the weather and climate it creates. Coming up with a CAAP Mitigation plan that can't possibly control for sun spot activity will be a huge waste of money and resources, harm our city's economy, depress the standard of living we currently enjoy by levying more taxes to pay for this project of questionable merit. Don't be so quick to spend other peoples' money based on the failed science that's been predicting global catastrophe since the 1970s: the "experts" have never been right, and they're always making excuses for why their predictions haven't been right.	2/19/2019
The new buses for the elderly, some don't have the lift gate to assist with getting into the bus and drivers are not helpful. This makes it harder for us to ride.	2/19/2019
San Antonio already has unacceptable air pollution. It's time to be more proactive implementing action and stop letting immoral capitalists lead us by the nose. Step up CPS! It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy:	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
-closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/19/2019
I sent a letter 20 yrs ago on this subject and heard nothing. Emission testing is of no use. We know what causes it. If we can remove 100,000 trucks from our streets everyday, we would make some headway. Car testing is of no value, p/u trucks crackdown would help, just the p/u's alone would negate all the cars. My suggestion will work, but a few businesses would be upset, but it is the way to go. "close all drive-thru windows only on expected bad days, close them from 1 pm to 6 pm, NO EXCEPTIONS with a 500.00 fine for the first citation, 2000.00 for the 2nd, and pull operating license for the 3rd. it would take some inspections, police should be included in surveilence. There are a few businesses that are takeout only, but they are mostly recent, give a 90 day grace period before going into effect. All businesses could handle this, there is no REAL difficulty in all of them complying. NO discussions, this has to be done to be effective, this would inconvience some people who would have to be dressed to visit, but we are talking about something that is real and not subject to debate. IF you think it through, you will see there is nothing than can be done that would make a difference, Houston found this out in a hurry and all your doing tis costing us more money and making more for auto stores, except the purchasing of testing equipment, There is no extra costs to the public with my suggestion and we know it would work. You could add a few hours or a few days, etc to be evern more effective, the capabilities are endless.	2/19/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/19/2019
Any successful “plan” must include complete reform of the TCEQ. In investigation report after investigation report the complete lack of environmental law enforcement is astounding. Allowing polluting industries to literally police themselves with no incentiving fines, no regular inspections, and no oversight has resulted in a total failure of our environmental law enforcement system. The concrete, cement & mining industry for instance is a significant factor in declining air & water quality in our city resulting in an overwhelming number of complaints to the TCEQ, by far more than any other regulated industry. Neighborhoods have been “dusted” with toxic “80% Portland Cement dust” but the TCEQ roadblocks the public & goes out of their way to protect their “customers” rather than incentivize them to comply with permit rules. NEISD has nearly double the state childhood asthma rate while being located in a hotbed of these industrial facilities yet no one seems to make the connections or cares to do anything about it. A limestone quarry mine (Vulcan Materials) on 1604 has committed over 50 pollution permit violations just since 2012 but have receive no fines and oddly show to have a “perfect” compliance rating with the TCEQ. This facility repeatedly commited the same violations over and over, has breached the water table in the Edwards Recharge Zone filling their enormous pit with water, had a record breaking stormwater investigation with incredible SW violations prompted by SAWS, neighbors complain repeatedly of sickening dust in and on their homes, and not once has this facility earned even an insignificant fine. In fact while neighbors were sickened by toxic dust and an investigation had commenced the TCEQ allowed this company to perform self audits and	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
granted them immunity for 33 violations, some consistent with an emissions event like the one they were being investigated for. At no point did the agency ever inspect the facility. Some of these violations went on for many years and even after they were "discovered" many were not rectified within the required 6 month time period. Of course this did not result in any enforcement actions either. For some insight as to why this system operates in this manner one only needs to look at the money interests in Austin. For one example, the industry lobbyist (TACA) actually has advertised "Membership Benefits" that include (get this) insulating their members from adverse rulings in the result of an inspection or audit because of their "close" relationships with the TCEQ. So all a company needs to do is join this group to enjoy immunity from consequences if they are ever caught violating environmental laws. The only way we will ever improve our air quality & environment is to put serious pressure on lawmakers to reform the broken state agency entrusted with evionmental enforcement. *evidence package to support all of this is available should anyone be interested.	
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/19/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
The proposed Climate Action Plan would reap disaster for transportation in our city. Attempting to implement various forms of social engineering in an attempt to force drivers to change behavior has already been tried in other cities, both domestically and globally, and it's failed miserably. While we sympathize with local leaders having to plan for a potential population boom in the coming years, restricting the ability of non-electric autos to enter the city and trying to force the reliance on mass transit isn't affordable or practical with the existing layout and travel patterns that our city grew up around. We're not like New York or Washington D.C. where an underground subway system shuttles people to and from fixed major employment centers. San Antonio's economy is as diverse as our people with businesses both large and small and employers and employees with various needs and limitations on both the hours they can work and how they have to get to work in a timely manner. As members of the city council already discovered, Via's bus system and the time it takes to go even short distances can take 2-3 times that of taking a car. When the bus system is already subsidized to the tune of 85% by taxpayers and when drivers witness more empty buses every time they see one, it has generated more than a little controversy and anger from commuters stuck in traffic without significant investments in expanding existing road infrastructure in a timely way. Promoting long distance bikeways and pedestrian walking trails are not viable forms of commuting in the south Texas heat or given the spread out geography of our city. Using scarce road dollars for such things that drivers do not even use or benefit from is not only unfair, it's infuriating. A study done by the Texas Transportation Institute in 2014 showed bike lanes coming in dead last as a commuting option. The data has stayed firm showing over 90% of commuters rely on their own personal vehicle to get around. Trying to get 90% of the public to switch modes would require a massive paradigm shift no one is willing to consider. Cars are and continue to be the most efficient and convenient form of personal commuting and transportation ever conceived. Author and planner Joel Kotkin in his book, The Human City, compiled mounds of data showing the anti-car policies taking hold in many cites (not just outside the U.S. but within the U.S. as well) has actually resulted in delaying family formation and ultimately contributed to a negative population rate due to the anti-family policies that result from anti-car policies. San Antonio has been welcoming of families of all faiths and backgrounds for generations. Our city cannot shut out single family homes and expect everyone to live in high density areas downtown with no yards and very little space to raise a healthy family, as many planners favor today. We implore you to scrap adopting any plan that mirrors the anti-car polices of the Paris Climate Accord. What flies in Europe, doesn't fit in Texas. We want to keep our city a welcoming, diverse, and liberty-loving city where the priority our residents favor, their own personal automobile, is not attacked with policies to force drivers out of their cars, but one that embraces this preferred option and invests in the necessary expansion of our infrastructure coupled with more prudent planning of growth to deliver timely solutions 90% of commuters can get behind. A Paris-style Climate Treaty is hostile to our values, to cars, to families, and to downtown businesses. Reject this plan and move forward with something commuters can embrace.	2/19/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
Thank you Mayor Nirenberg for your leadership on this vitally impotant issue! It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/19/2019
Dear Councilwoman Sandoval, No doubt you've already seen the following message from Climate Action SA. I know that you are informed and care about public health issues and the effects of climate change on San Antonio. I just want you to know that your constituents in District 7 are passionate about this issue as well and we support you in pursuing a bold course of action to strengthen and adopt the climate plan. Thank you, Mark Barnette I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/19/2019
I am one of thousands of people who commute long distance to work 50/50 – 100 miles per day. I have the means and ability to telecommute, but my company (Wells Fargo) does not allow it. Why don't you reach out to these companies and give them incentive to allow more qualified employees to work from home. This would reduce carbon emissions, reduce traffic congestion and be an overall benefit to the employee as well.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. I won't bore you with the standard form letter. Suffice it to say that, in the absence of a functional federal government, we need to act. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/19/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/19/2019
The San Antonio Climate Action and Adaptation Plan is a fantastic starting point for enhancing the climate resiliency of our city. As a professor of urban climatology at UTSA, it is encouraging to see the city take such a proactive stance in addressing climate change. The climate equity lens used throughout the plan is also commendable because addressing the disparate impacts of climate change will be an important component of enhancing the city's overall resiliency. Although reducing GHG emissions is clearly the most important measure for reducing global climate change, the plan seemed to underemphasize several local ramifications of climate change that are felt most immediately. For example, the Urban Heat Island (UHI), which is arguably the most tangible impact of climate change for the local residents of San Antonio, was not mentioned until page 40 of the plan. Placing a greater emphasis within the report on mitigating the UHI of San Antonio could possibly increase public support for the overall plan. Several of the UHI mitigation measures (i.e. enhancing urban green spaces) can also help reduce GHG concentrations through sequestration. Currently, I am applying for an internal seed grant at UTSA to fund a research project focused on creating a long-term climatology of the UHI in San Antonio. I would be very excited to explore the potential synergies between this research project and the UHI activities associated with the CAAP. I can foresee several potential benefits from this collaboration. Once the UHI climatology is constructed, it could help identify the areas of the city that are most heat prone and how this may be impacted by underlying equity issues. I would be more than happy to discuss this potential collaboration further if you believe it could benefit the CAAP's efforts. I am excited to see what the future months/years hold for the CAAP and am thankful for your work and dedication to creating a more climate resilient San Antonio.	2/19/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
It seems like a major area that is being neglected is sustainable development in terms of deforestation and lost green spaces. The UTSA Blvd. corridor alone has seen tremendous losses in tree groves, which obviously contributes to urban heat, air quality, and other detrimental environmental outcomes. This plan should more clearly and openly outline how the city will prevent urban sprawl and protect extant green spaces.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
I'm writing on behalf of my 11-year-old daughter and my newborn baby boy, knowing that actions we take today will either protect their future or borrow against it. I'm writing to ask that you adopt the SA Climate Ready plan on April 11 but also strengthen it, so that it protects those most vulnerable to the worst impacts of climate change as they are experienced here in San Antonio (hotter summers, more drought, worse flooding). The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade, and needed quickly, if we are to preserve a livable climate. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions isn't sufficient; as such, near-term emissions reductions goals in San Antonio's Climate Action and Adaptation Plan need strengthening in line with scientific recommendations--not politics. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already translated the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. For our children and generations hence, and for all our relations.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
Please strengthen and adopt the SA Climate Ready plan. We are already being impacted and need to be proactive in preserving the livable climate. It's not only the right thing to do, it's good economics also. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions isn't enough. Large reductions are needed immediately. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. Switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production is possible now and the plan should reflect that. Other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Change is needed now to preserve a livable climate Thank you for your consideration of my comments.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/19/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
I'm writing to ask that you adopt the SA Climate Ready plan on April 11. While it could be stronger, I applaud your efforts to push this through in a city full of O&G interests. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Personally, I pay about $15 a month extra to Arcadia Power to purchase RECs and offset my dirty coal- and gas-fired electrons, but what we really need is clean generation for San Antonio in the first place. The latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. But if you cannot, please at least pass it as it stands! Don't let a few naysayers or a few (oil-funded) vocal members of the local business community hurt the plan. Then, onward to asking CPS Energy to solicit bids for new energy to replace our remaining coal-fired generation! Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/19/2019
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	
Make more information available about replacing grass with plants that store carbon and have deep roots.	2/19/2019
CAAP should address or at least talk about how climate change could potentially reduce water supply to aquifer due to evaporation. Need to be prepared to develop new sources of water such as desalination, rainfall capture, ensure protection of recharge zone.	2/19/2019
Protecting the aquifer is essential.	2/19/2019
CAAP should mention or discuss the value of the city's Aquifer Protection Program and conservation easements in protecting the aquifer. Should also mention value of conserved lands for storing carbon.	2/19/2019
Climate change may effect which species of trees can thrive. Which trees should we plant now that will thrive 30 years in the future?	2/19/2019
Urban Heat Island is an immediate health and comfort risk/hazard. Misting systems to cool air can increase water demand. Rooftop greenspace can mitigate but creates more demand for water. Natural scapes in city parks.	2/19/2019
Reduce asphalt cover and other black or dark surfaces. Rooftops can be painted white.	2/19/2019
I understand it's just a plan, not specific, but I wish it would have had more directions for CPS Energy. More interim targets.	2/19/2019
I'm glad we at least have a plan. Business as usual will get us into deep trouble. I'm upset with people who are more concerned with tomorrow than 20 years from now. Our children's children have to survive.	2/19/2019
Plan says 2050 but sometimes things are put in place without monitoring tools and things get lost. District 5 has a lot of old buildings but can't afford to do substantial retrofits.	2/19/2019
We're still in trouble due to existing buildings. There will be low hanging fruits (i.e white roofs). In the residential sector, we need to ready for affordable housing and account for electricity bills.	2/19/2019
District 5 won't be building new buildings. Programs can be expanded to help people change to LED lightbulbs.. Etc. Bike friendly infrastructre will improve health.	2/19/2019
Health aspect of air quality is critical.	2/19/2019
Sometimes the greenest building is the one you never built. More details should be explained. What are professionals expected to do? Make information more easily available to home buyers.	2/19/2019
We need to educate people to learn how to reduce, reuse, stop wasting, use better transportation and technology.	2/19/2019
What plans are there for commercial/multi-family? In my area, there are several homes owned by elderly, homes that are falling apart. What do you do with those homes?	2/19/2019
There's not enough in the plan to help residents get carbon free. I'm interested in community solar/solar gardens.	2/19/2019
LEED for cities can provide national exposure tracked through ARC.	2/19/2019
I love the idea of good energy. I hate the idea of energy that doesn't work. Solar cells only work 25-50% of the time. Wind blows primarily during the day. (Goldilocks zone)	2/19/2019
A forte of renewable energy is that they are adjunct to the sources we already have. As energy storage develops, we can increase the scale. I've been traveling the world since 2010. People dealing with climate change are involved with the natural world.	2/19/2019
If you want to use tax money to create and EV market, fine, but make sure it works first.	2/19/2019
How will CAAP effect seniors?	2/19/2019
We just came to this meeting because we wanted to learn more.	2/19/2019
We just came to this meeting because we wanted to learn more.	2/19/2019
We just came to this meeting because we wanted to learn more.	2/19/2019
What is equity?	2/19/2019
We're all affected, what about wildlife? That's left out. Is there anything about that in the plan? I have an ecosystem concern.	2/19/2019
We need to incorporate these big corporations like HEB.	2/19/2019
We need to incorporate these big corporations like HEB.	2/19/2019
We need to incorporate these big corporations like HEB.	2/19/2019
Was this planned modeled after others?	2/19/2019
Was this planned modeled after others?	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Was this planned modeled after others?	2/19/2019
When we talk about equity, I understand it's dealing with lower economic groups. Are higher economic groups included?	2/19/2019
Air travel has huge impacts on air quality and GHG. Is that included in CAAP?	2/19/2019
In older homes, retrofitting is cost prohibited. What about people on fixed income?	2/19/2019
Now we have resources to recycle what we have. Why not have a recycle program to give away that which is still usable to those on fixed income? (i.e lightbulbs)	2/19/2019
Now we have resources to recycle what we have. Why not have a recycle program to give away that which is still usable to those on fixed income? (i.e lightbulbs)	2/19/2019
Now we have resources to recycle what we have. Why not have a recycle program to give away that which is still usable to those on fixed income? (i.e lightbulbs)	2/19/2019
Why don't we plant trees in all those medians they're creating? Low maintenance, drought resistant trees/native plants.	2/19/2019
Why don't we plant trees in all those medians they're creating? Low maintenance, drought resistant trees/native plants.	2/19/2019
Why don't we plant trees in all those medians they're creating? Low maintenance, drought resistant trees/native plants.	2/19/2019
Why don't we plant trees in all those medians they're creating? Low maintenance, drought resistant trees/native plants.	2/19/2019
I think it's important to make sure everyone is included in equity.	2/19/2019
Is funding for equity a waste of tax dollars?	2/19/2019
How are we going to get everyone to carbon neutral?	2/19/2019
Wanted to come to learn things that I could use at work.	2/19/2019
Sounds cool, just don't know how to do it	2/19/2019
Already started to do things on my own, but want to learn more about what to do.	2/19/2019
It's a definitely a starting point	2/19/2019
Don't know the cost of the plan.	2/19/2019
New construction has to happen, but also refitting every existing structure in the city seems unreasonable.	2/19/2019
Changing to electric vehicles across the board seems impossible.	2/19/2019
See what's happening in Georgetown.	2/19/2019
Need to make sure this does not double and triple the energy costs.	2/19/2019
Without costs in the plan, it's not understood how it would happen Any responsible report is going to show costs How are we going to adopt the goals unless we know what it's going to cost?	2/19/2019
How do we plan to save the money for this?	2/19/2019
It's a little worrisome to think council is going to approve the plan, and feasibility hasn't been proven	2/19/2019
There's no real strategy; it's a dream without a plan.	2/19/2019
How are we going reduce waste reduction #14; I want to understand the weeds.	2/19/2019
Need more information for the private citizen to do it themselves; those things they can do.	2/19/2019
Need "This is how to do it". Need "Here's a packet of resources on how to get from point a to point b".	2/19/2019
Why are we moving forward so drastically when there is no consensus?	2/19/2019
Pursuing all this at once, it would be astronomical.	2/19/2019
Need not so many things on the list. Needs prioritization.	2/19/2019
Vulnerable populations -- America is the most generous people in the world; it was a tremendous outpouring after 911; if people knew, they would pitch in; when we say this is where the government needs to take over, step in, that's when it starts to be wrong.	2/19/2019
It's wrong to take a credible statement and decide government has to take over. The government stepping in isn't the solution.	2/19/2019
It's a list of 8 goals, it's not a plan. We expected individual projects. How to do it is still missing.	2/19/2019
I could be all for this, but how are you going to do this? I have a masters in environmental and I only know how to do half of these ; how is the common person going to accomplish this?	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Looks like I'm going to pay for things that I don't necessarily believe in. Assume there's going to be some sort of tax to fund some of these things.	2/19/2019
Need the proper consensus; on causes of climate change; how do we know; we just don't know; there's too much we don't know; drastic plans on something that is unknown.	2/19/2019
How is it going to be rolled out? Is this going to be thrown in all at the same time? What's the priority – need a timeline for initiatives.	2/19/2019
It's going to take me two years to influence the airport to make changes; where does it start for the whole city; and for the historic districts and lower income districts?	2/19/2019
It takes a lot to benchmark the entire city. It sounds feasible, but the city is going to have a lot of obstacles in front of it to make it happen.	2/19/2019
In the graph, there are insufficient case studies of other cities.	2/19/2019
We live in an apartment complex but no one separates anything. Separate dumpster for trash and recycling needs to be implemented. Is there a way to make public recycling more accessible?	2/19/2019
Want and understand recycling, but it's not convenient. If it was available, we would do it. Same with organics.	2/19/2019
Even people that are deeply opposed, if there is a way to make less trash they could get behind?	2/19/2019
By no means would I say, it's not just a cost issue, the question is to what degree do we move things?	2/19/2019
Climate change is not an issue; there is waste and pollution; I don't think the earth is going to heat up to make it unbearable.	2/19/2019
We need to maintain green spaces.	2/19/2019
Add timelines to the strategies: We should achieve this by xxxx.	2/19/2019
Educate on complete lifecycle of products; education is needed.	2/19/2019
Focus on how to impact and include the low-income communities.	2/19/2019
Bring it to a town hall; different perspectives to different communities to offer more ideas on how to make changes.	2/19/2019
There's nothing here that in theory that I wouldn't support; the plan is a lot of good ideas that are way to broad.	2/19/2019
Anyway to reduce light pollution? Maybe we could turn off some street lights to conserve, not to where it's an issue but just enough to help.	2/19/2019
Anyway to reduce light pollution? Maybe we could turn off some street lights to conserve, not to where it's an issue but just enough to help.	2/19/2019
Anyway to reduce light pollution? Maybe we could turn off some street lights to conserve, not to where it's an issue but just enough to help.	2/19/2019
Are there any specific bills/laws being passed?	2/19/2019
What can we encourage people not to do? How can we make that information more available?	2/19/2019
We all really like the fact that there is a discussion and opportunity for community to get involved/give feedback.	2/19/2019
"Climate change" is rather politicized. We can only control our little area but what about global effects?	2/19/2019
I love taking the bus. VIA has made improvements but transportation needs to be included.	2/19/2019
Making this kind of information accessible to everyone is important. Just finding information on this event was difficult for me.	2/19/2019
I'd like to see charts on our progress as we go along. FAQ document at this table should be on the website. SAWS & CPS links should also be on the website (how to reduce water/energy consumption, water/energy efficiency, etc). (Emphasis to make this all easily available to public. Everyone at the table strongly agreed on these points.)	2/19/2019
I'm from the Edward's Aquifer Authority to see what's being done about water.	2/19/2019
Has anyone looked into planting trees/plants where communities can get involved? Community gardens? Planting fruit trees in neighborhoods?	2/19/2019
Is there any kind of master plan for education for elementary schools?	2/19/2019
The city needs to lead by example. Recycle bins in all city buildings, green choices, etc.	2/19/2019
I support more bikeability.	2/19/2019
I'm very interested in transportation.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
I'm very interested in transportation.	2/19/2019
I'm very interested in transportation.	2/19/2019
When/if there's a new mayor and city council next year, would things change?	2/19/2019
Does water include land development?	2/19/2019
Does this indicate how developers move forward?	2/19/2019
What about development that ignores soil erosion, etc?	2/19/2019
I'd like to see more emphasis on what the neighborhoods/individuals can do.	2/19/2019
I'd like to see more emphasis on what the neighborhoods/individual can do.	2/19/2019
I'd like to see more emphasis on what the neighborhoods/individual can do.	2/19/2019
When I get my bill and see my usage, I think people should be able to see where they rank. Could there be suggestions on how people can conserve or see where they're using the most water?	2/19/2019
How will this plan impact water and natural resources?	2/19/2019
Do chemicals have effect on our water?	2/19/2019
How is steering committee addressing gray water/water recycling?	2/19/2019
Concept of equity is huge. I like that.	2/19/2019
How are we dealing with water restrictions?	2/19/2019
I support the plan's strategy to increase mobility options.	2/19/2019
I prefer to support mass transportation (bus, rail) over biking, considering how hot it will be.	2/19/2019
How will we get community buy in? Engagement on part of faith-based groups is important! Involve churches, temples, etc.	2/19/2019
What is the source of the per capita GHG emissions figures on page 5 of the 'snapshot' doc?	2/19/2019
We don't need more cars-we need public transportation!	2/19/2019
I'm disappointed that impacts of the measures are not quantified. Focus has been on CPS energy, which is great, but not enough focus has been on transportation.	2/19/2019
No vmt target! This is not good. To reduce vmts by half by 2030, gas price would have to be $13/gallon	2/19/2019
More bike paths! Put them everywhere! And put them around transportation centers.	2/19/2019
Yes! We need a much more serious focus on public transportation (quadruple spending!)	2/19/2019
I'm surprised that "mobility as a service" is a transportation strategy. In sum, show me by quantifying how these measures will reach the target.	2/19/2019
I'm surprised that "mobility as a service" is a transportation strategy. In sum, show me by quantifying how these measures will reach the target.	2/19/2019
I'm surprised that "mobility as a service" is a transportation strategy. In sum, show me by quantifying how these measures will reach the target.	2/19/2019
People would PREFER to walk or bike, but land use doesn't support that choice. Our roadways are not walkable. We need to design our city for walking.	2/19/2019
Via's vision 2040 + City's transportation plan, WE MUST EXPAND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION!	2/19/2019
The most immobile communities are low-income people of color. We must think of them.	2/19/2019
I'm concerned about how the CAAP will intergrate with ConnectSA + Vision 2040. How is connect SA a "no rail plan"-it's not true that San Antonio does not support light rail-a SMALL portion of voters actually turned out. Let's intergrate these plans so they "talk" to each other. Rideshare is not a solution for transportation in SA, as connectSA suggests.	2/19/2019
I agree with connectSA. No one is talking about ground-level ozone and climate.	2/19/2019
If it's importatnt to increase public transportation, how can the city encourage people to vote? We need civic engagement. We need referndums on the ballot. City must work with community and civic organizations.	2/19/2019
If it's importatnt to increase public transportation, how can the city encourage people to vote? We need civic engagement. We need referndums on the ballot. City must work with community and civic organizations.	2/19/2019
If it's importatnt to increase public transportation, how can the city encourage people to vote? We need civic engagement. We need referndums on the ballot. City must work with community and civic organizations.	2/19/2019
We need more affordable housing inside 410 near airport/northstar.	2/19/2019
We need more affordable housing inside 410 near airport/northstar.	2/19/2019
We need more affordable housing inside 410 near airport/northstar.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Frequency of service (VIA) is poor. We need to improve in this area.	2/19/2019
We don't need fancy new mobility technology. We need increased public investment.	2/19/2019
Near-term mobility solution: high-speed bus lanes on highways.	2/19/2019
Near-term mobility solution: high-speed bus lanes on highways.	2/19/2019
Near-term mobility solution: high-speed bus lanes on highways.	2/19/2019
We need to raise taxes to support public transit, we need to raise from 1/2 cent to 1 cent.	2/19/2019
We need to raise taxes to support public transit, we need to raise from 1/2 cent to 1 cent.	2/19/2019
We need to raise taxes to support public transit, we need to raise from 1/2 cent to 1 cent.	2/19/2019
We need to raise taxes to support public transit, we need to raise from 1/2 cent to 1 cent.	2/19/2019
Stop letting developers decide how this city grows, we need to reduce the influence of soft power($) on decision-making.	2/19/2019
Stop letting developers decide how this city grows, we need to reduce the influence of soft power($) on decision-making.	2/19/2019
Stop letting developers decide how this city grows, we need to reduce the influence of soft power($) on decision-making.	2/19/2019
We waste land use on cars-roads, gas stations, parking garages, etc.	2/19/2019
We waste land use on cars-roads, gas stations, parking garages, etc.	2/19/2019
We waste land use on cars-roads, gas stations, parking garages, etc.	2/19/2019
We waste land use on cars-roads, gas stations, parking garages, etc.	2/19/2019
I support walkability.	2/19/2019
I support walkability.	2/19/2019
I support walkability.	2/19/2019
Don't limit my options to carbon-free cars or low emission vehicles.	2/19/2019
Reducing vmt's is incompatible with human flourishing. People need options!	2/19/2019
UTSA has a political agenda, and I do not trust their data (climate projections).	2/19/2019
We want to see more talk about climate change in public schools!	2/19/2019
Bike lanes must be protected! Biking is dangerous in this city.	2/19/2019
Bike lanes must be protected! Biking is dangerous in this city.	2/19/2019
Bike lanes must be protected! Biking is dangerous in this city.	2/19/2019
We need to emphasize that this plan is about our future and health.	2/19/2019
We need to emphasize that this plan is about our future and health.	2/19/2019
We need to emphasize that this plan is about our future and health.	2/19/2019
I support increasing EV charging infrastructure.	2/19/2019
I want to see more specific ways/avenues for how we meet these goals.	2/19/2019
Is there any sort of 20 year landmark? What is the baseline?	2/19/2019
Why are the builders afraid of this?	2/19/2019
Is it a culture thing?	2/19/2019
Why are we not using PACE?	2/19/2019
Let's look at green financing.	2/19/2019
Have we considered what to do with older non energy efficent homes?	2/19/2019
Adding renewables at a time rather than building a new powerplant.	2/19/2019
We need to build closer to transportation hubs.	2/19/2019
This is going to have cost implications at all levels.	2/19/2019
Let's make transportation hubs built around living hubs and make these hubs attractive to live at.	2/19/2019
Who pays for the stratergies?	2/19/2019
Plan should start broad then narrow it later.	2/19/2019
CPS energy board of trustees should expand to more members.	2/19/2019
Covered parking should be more available in SA.	2/19/2019
The plan needs more aggressive initiatives.	2/19/2019
Opportunities for community involvement and leadership.	2/19/2019
No promotion of plan.	2/19/2019
No promotion of plan.	2/19/2019
No promotion of plan.	2/19/2019
Urban sprawl is not addressed.	2/19/2019
Industry needs to be required to participate in lowering their emissions.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Not fast enough shutdown of coal plants.	2/19/2019
Not fast enough shutdown of coal plants.	2/19/2019
Not fast enough shutdown of coal plants.	2/19/2019
More information on health effects of poor air quality and daily air quality reports.	2/19/2019
Better language in communications, less scientific words and more regular words.	2/19/2019
Quality of water concerns, from chemicals form Lackland AFB and Kelley AFB.	2/19/2019
Concerned with how fracking is affecting the city's soil and air quality.	2/19/2019
It looks like we are being forced into electric cars, I'm curious how we can do this without forcing people.	2/19/2019
Are we adressing EV infrastructure vs gasoline?	2/19/2019
Do we even need this plan at all? Remember the 70's ice age talk.	2/19/2019
The data is selective and cherry picked. Who is manipulating it?	2/19/2019
We should clean our water first.	2/19/2019
Need more on public transit, people don't want to ride the bus when it's 100 degrees.	2/19/2019
Deregulate taxes to support public transportation.	2/19/2019
No implementation details. You have it under mitigation section but no implementation on adaptation.	2/19/2019
More stops for busses and more busses during certain hours for safety reasons because of capacity.	2/19/2019
Urban Heat island is not mentioned until page 40. More about Urban Heat Island.	2/19/2019
Night time heat is more concerning for health.	2/19/2019
Equity issues who's impacted more of an issue in a heat issue.	2/19/2019
Actions in the action plan not until page 35	2/19/2019
Executive Summary a must have.	2/19/2019
Tree canopy is not mentioned, taken out of the SA 2020 plan too.	2/19/2019
Planning is useless.	2/19/2019
Regulate lawn services with gas blowers, etc.	2/19/2019
More rebates for electric lawn equipment.	2/19/2019
Improve more education on recycling.	2/19/2019
Hard to read and follow.	2/19/2019
Legend is not uniform.	2/19/2019
I'm encouraged to plant more trees and plants.	2/19/2019
I like the commercial aspect requiring that ZNE.	2/19/2019
We need to ask more about the commercial section to participate.	2/19/2019
Need to address recycling.	2/19/2019
Green spaces around the city and more accessible to the public.	2/19/2019
Education on recycling; good points in the plan.	2/19/2019
Want clean air and clean water, we probably already have that.	2/19/2019
Talk more about job creation.	2/19/2019
Solar Energy & Wind Turbine What is the cost of manufacturing, maintaining, cost benefits, transportation, etc.	2/19/2019
I like the breakdown of the tables.	2/19/2019
Concerns on flooding.	2/19/2019
How do you change to your lifestyle?	2/19/2019
Drainage for commercial builders -- build a parking lot that allows those incentives to cause flooding who does that?	2/19/2019
Alamo brewery asphalt and it floods- who allowed that?	2/19/2019
Builders should not cause flooding areas.	2/19/2019
Priority is education on what to do for adaptation. What are the benefits of this?	2/19/2019
Overboard on endangered species, don't stop constructions. Be reasonable don't try and save bugs. We are saving things that don't need to be saved.	2/19/2019
Let's make sure this is not a political agenda.	2/19/2019
Not a snowball chance in hell the plan would be Paris compliant—or that Paris goals themselves would prevent calamity. Very interested in public outreach and education.	2/19/2019
Encourages plan to consider how religious language could help connect w/ people.	2/19/2019
Need to get developers educated and on board.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
What is the "backup plan" to the CAAP?	2/19/2019
Urged an appendix to include "Plan B" (ie. if climate unravels more quickly, what initiatives would that trigger?)	2/19/2019
How do we get everyday folks to act on the plan?	2/19/2019
How do we bring the underrepresented into the process? Get them involved in the plan?	2/19/2019
What about community health workers to do outreach? Education very important.	2/19/2019
Alamo colleges have free bus passes for students? What about UTSA? What about EV charging? How can we partner with higher ed more broadly to achieve the CAAP's goals? Maybe get businesses on board by hosting awards galas with chambers to highlight those who are doing it right (more carrot, less stick).	2/19/2019
Lived in Singapore for a while. Very clean/green but very expensive. Here in SA she has big need for mass transit opportunities and more walkability (for her family).	2/19/2019
Plan needs exact $$ for negotiation and adaptation.	2/19/2019
Plan should have more economic analysis-jobs, $$, etc.	2/19/2019
Plan is focused on business instead of low income community.	2/19/2019
More public engagement meetings.	2/19/2019
The meeting tonight is full of middle class white people.	2/19/2019
No commintments from CPS Energy.	2/19/2019
Incentivize healthy chemicals.	2/19/2019
Health concern for workers doing retrofits and weatherization, roofings. Also health concerns for indoor air quality.	2/19/2019
Can the city offer their own report?	2/19/2019
Does the $$ include lost cost and/or debt service for shutting down coal and implenenting renewables?	2/19/2019
The net metering program should include "buyback" at the same rate. 1.6 cents is too low. Install more panals on roofs.	2/19/2019
CPS energy cannot go 100% renewable energy. Solar footprint is too large.	2/19/2019
Without more details, it is hard to trust the plan. We need CO2 in the environment.	2/19/2019
Revise operation parameters around DER.	2/19/2019
We need investment vs spending data in the plan- planet, air, health cost and comparisions.	2/19/2019
The plan needs job creations.	2/19/2019
The plan should include more benefits.	2/19/2019
Job creation for low income communities, training oppurtunities to implement strategies.	2/19/2019
We need to remove coal as an energy source by 2025.	2/19/2019
Implement healthier chemicals and cleaning products.	2/19/2019
Green purchasing for more than municipal organizations.	2/19/2019
Waiting until 2030 is too long, we need interval goals.	2/19/2019
Take out equity lens.	2/19/2019
Recycle at fast food restaurants.	2/19/2019
Access to recycle bins.	2/19/2019
Start educating kids and families.	2/19/2019
Stricter rules on fireworks.	2/19/2019
Stricter rules on fireworks.	2/19/2019
Stricter rules on fireworks.	2/19/2019
Have not implemented tax on plastic bags at grocery stores.	2/19/2019
Have not implemented tax on plastic bags at grocery stores.	2/19/2019
Have not implemented tax on plastic bags at grocery stores.	2/19/2019
Commercial building temperature should be regulated.	2/19/2019
Emissioins from idling cars need to be controlled.	2/19/2019
Light rail options missing.	2/19/2019
More rail options (hourly) between Austin and SA.	2/19/2019
Improve bus routes for commuting.	2/19/2019
City take role in making drivers aware of pedestrian and bicyclist safety.	2/19/2019
More green/white roofs/reflective roofs/lead by example, all municipal buildings with solar panals.	2/19/2019
More green/white roofs/reflective roofs/lead by example, all municipal buildings with solar panals.	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
More green/white roofs/reflective roofs/lead by example, all municipal buildings with solar panals.	2/19/2019
Conflict between CPS and Solar buyback, make it more viable for universities.	2/19/2019
Conflict between CPS and Solar buyback, make it more viable for universities.	2/19/2019
Conflict between CPS and Solar buyback, make it more viable for universities.	2/19/2019
Protect the Edwards Aquifer.	2/19/2019
Protect the Edwards Aquifer.	2/19/2019
Protect the Edwards Aquifer.	2/19/2019
SA Auto Dealers, Inc. ("SADA"), an organization representing more than 74 new car dealers in the San Antonio area, has reviewed the proposed City of San Antonio ("City") Climate Action & Adaptation Plan ("CAAP"). On behalf of our members, who currently employ over 6,000 hard-working people in our community and represent nearly 680,000,000 annually in local economic impact, we submit this letter with our comments on the CAAP. SADA appreciates all of the effort that the City has made to draft the CAAP and to reach out to stockholders. However, our industry is concerned with certain provisions of the CAAP that could negatively impact the ability to locate dealerships within the City, or would limit access to private vehicles. There are a number of references to reducing the number of privately owned vehicles on our roads, and identifying private vehicles as one of the primary causes of greenhouse gas emission. The CAAP has lofty goals, but the plan does not address the feasibility of achieving these goals. One of the goals is transitioning to carbon-free vehicles. "Transition to carbon-free transport by implementing strategies to accelerate the adoption of electric or other carbon-free personal vehicles, trucks, transit, and freight to reach 100% penetration by 2050." However, there is no discussion in the CAAP of the current state of the technology or the market demand for such a transition. The CAAP should also distinguish the environmental impact of older vehicles vs. new vehicles. Another area of concern for SADA is that the CAAP does not have either a cost-benefit analysis, or any deep discussion of the potential negative economic impact that could result from the implementation of the CAAP goals. It is extremely challenging for the business community and our industry to support a plan without a full fiscal analysis. The CAAP does acknowledge the economic benefits of private vehicle ownership, and the economic disparity caused by lack of transportation. "Reliable and affordable transportation is critical for meeting daily needs and accessing educational and employment opportunities." SADA would like the City to further explore the benefits of private vehicle ownership. SADA asks that the City revise the plan to address the issues noted above. We can provide volunteers from our industry to discuss in more depth: the feasibility and implementation of new technologies; the distinction between new vehicles and older vehicles in terms of environmental impact; and potential economic impacts resulting from the implementation of the CAAP goals. We look forward to working with you on this issue.	2/19/2019
My name is Val Gray, and I'm a student at Trinity University. My peers and I are concerned about the well-being of this city, and especially of the city's most vulnerable citizens I'd ask that you work to strengthen the San Antonio Climate Action and Adjustment Plan (CAAP)	2/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
and adopt it on April 11. Our community is feeling the impacts of environmental degradation NOW - worsened natural disasters, severe health problems as a direct result of pollution, worsened drought, and more. I know you've seen the data. If we want to prevent a dramatic, world-altering increase in global temperature, we NEED to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and we need to do so starting now. In the Climate Ready plan, it's vital that you strengthen the goals for reducing emissions within the next ten years. We've reached a point where gradually reducing emissions will not be enough. The experts have shown us this very clearly: if the level of emissions has not been severely decreased within the next ten years or so, the global average temperature will end up increasing by over 1.5 degrees, and we will experience rising sea levels, flooding, hurricanes, droughts, tornadoes, wildfires, and species loss the likes of which we have never seen before. Please work to reduce emissions NOW. Please let scientific experts do their jobs and help us protect ourselves from a present and future filled with more property loss, sickness, and death than we can demonstrably avoid. Now I know - or hope - that you're probably hearing from a lot of people associated with Climate lobbies in San Antonio. I want you to know that I am not representing any interests other than my own, and I am deeply concerned with the well-being and hopes of my fellow constituents on the whole. That being said, here is some of the information that the scientists, researchers, and activists with Climate Action SA have kindly put together for us: "C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate." I'm worried that my present and my future in this city are being determined by people who profit from the fossil fuel industry, and from people more worried about their own political careers and their next campaigns than about the well-being of their citizens. PLEASE prove me wrong. Person to person, you need to know the reality of climate change. My mom and dad had to evacuate in the middle of the night during Hurricane Harvey last year while my dad was seriously ill. My family is from Sugar Land, a suburb of Houston, where I saw so many homes flooded and so many lives changed by that disaster. No matter who you are or where you're getting your information, you should know that we need to protect ourselves and especially our most vulnerable citizens from the impacts of these natural disasters. We NEED to invest in infrastructure that will help to keep all of us safe - including our poorest citizens, and our disabled citizens, who have less options and reduced mobility when faced with a hurricane or a flood. We need to do everything we can to curtail the AVOIDABLE effects of climate change: reducing emissions, investing in sources of renewable energy, increasing transparency within CPS Energy and its interactions with local government, listening to our friends and neighbors who are already sick, have already lost homes, and are already seeing the devastating effects of putting money, ambition, and ignorance before human life. We need to protect our environment, so that we can protect each other. As a young leader and up and coming politician in San Antonio, I sincerely hope that you'll listen to your citizens who are justifiably scared and who are counting on you to fight for them. If San Antonio is going to succeed, if the city is going to keep its young people, its trendsetters and organizers and innovators, interested in staying in and helping to expand this great city, you need	

Comment/Response	Date
to listen to us. Us college students and other young San Antonians are watching how you choose to handle these environmental decisions. We won't roll over if this city is being worsened by its leaders, scared to stand up to the fossil fuel industry, and scared to rock the boat. We want to live in a city that works for the people who need it most, and that actively works to protect its citizens' health and well-being. Are you going to help this city be what it can be, and what it needs to be? I believe you can. Thank you for reading this, and for dedicating your time and efforts to public service. I look forward to communicating with you and working with you more and more.	
Our community is very diverse and we need to explain to our new members this things, right's, recycling, education options and at time law. Most ESL parents rely on son/daughter to translate while they learn English or read. Targeting the young may get the message across to the home.	2/20/2019
Plant trees everywhere possible in the middle of medians etc. Dark skies	2/20/2019
All of these plans are excellent. I think that urban sprawl is another contribute to climate change, is there any plan for this?	2/20/2019
I think the plan should talk more about the contributions of animal agriculture to GHG emmissions and that it's number 1 cause of loss of biodiversity, followed by palm oil and other palm products. SA should offer educational outreach on this and hold eco-friendly cooking classes. Incentives could be offered to encourage San Antonians to purchase eco-friendly (in this regard) foods and perhaps discourage the purchase of other foods (animal and palm products). Perhaps subsidizing the purchase of USDA Organics would be appropriate, too, so that they'd be on par with non-organics, pricewise. Lastly, San Antonio has a problem with with obesity and access to and knowledge about healthy foods, so this is intersectional with public nutrition as well.	2/20/2019
Climate has been naturally changing since the dawn of time. Second, we live in a free society, you cannot change the way societie's use energy by FORCE, that is tyranny and also known as a DICTATORSHIP!!!!! Let CAPITALISM be the guide for energy efficiency and use!!!!!! I would like a response from this survey!!!!!!!!!	2/20/2019
This whole plan is ridiculous impractical expensive and unnecessary.	2/20/2019
We must rate the cost of these changes vs. the actual potential impact on both the outcomes and the impact on our quality of life.	2/20/2019
Language used in survey doesn't work for those who aren't informed/educated on climate/environmental topics.	2/20/2019
Increasing public education is important as well as community inclusion.	2/20/2019
Better public transportation, this will attract more young professionals who continue to lean public opinion towards climate action.	2/20/2019
I do not think that the plan is ambitious enough, and that the city needs to try to move up the carbon free date even more.	2/20/2019
All very important, but I believe "biodiversity and healthy ecosystems" and "education" are the most crucial.	2/20/2019
I think it will be important to include local community organization including faith-based groups in plan.	2/20/2019
I think we should pass a law that prohibits use of plastic bags and other single use plastics. Implement programs on all school systems that educate students on climate change and environmental awareness. Also educate them on the effects climate change has on plant and animal life not just humans.	2/20/2019
There are all independent priorities, one facilitates the other.	2/20/2019
The best idea would be to rid San Antonio of its Chamber of Commerce, along with former Boy King Mayors Henry Cisneros and Julian Castro. They are the ones behind all that growth!!!	2/20/2019
Electric cars have the potential to be dirtier than gas cars. If the grid transitions to more renewable energy, then electric cars are a great idea. However, if we stay on coal and other fossil fuels sources for our energy, then gas powered cars are likely cleaner than electric cars. This is why transportation isn't ranked highly for me unless the energy grid is fixed first (or simultaneously).	2/20/2019
COSA should be future-minded and seriously promote the strategies pushed in the plan. San Antonio can and should be a leader in the state and the region. Our culture, geography, and history uniquely prepare us to care for our water and land, and what better way to care than	2/20/2019

Comment/Response	Date
mitigating the damage we continue to do to it. A better City will then follow.	
Structural changes - for the city's infrastructure, energy sources, and etc - and transparency are the most important aspects of any plan involving the environment, alongside protecting the health of our citizens directly (such as by relocating people who've been affected by particulate matter from coal plants, and providing them with medical care - or more directly, by shutting down our last coal plant).	2/20/2019
We the People are watching!!	2/20/2019
When does encouraging, promoting, and enabling become forcing, restricting, or penalizing? People survive because they are free to move and find different ways to solve problems -- not by submitting to great centralized planning.	2/20/2019
We need to take immediate action to reduce carbon.	2/20/2019
There is no definitive scientific evidence of human-caused climate change.	2/20/2019
Plant trees Dark skies	2/20/2019
As for the food policy, the plan needs to be more explicit about what types of foods would make the best use of land (ratio of nutrients consumed humans to acres land). I'm looking to see a plan that encourages foods for direct humans consumption (i.e. plants) and discourages animal agriculture (i.e., meat, diary, eggs, etc.). By the time those nutrients move up the food chain to us, it requires an order of magnitude more land and water, and that's WITH the help of petroleum based fertilizers.	2/20/2019
Climate has been naturally changing since the dawn of time. Second, we live in a free society, you cannot change the way societie's use energy by FORCE, that is tyranny and also known as a DICTATORSHIP!!!!! Let CAPITALISM be the guide for energy efficiency and use!!!!!! I would like a response from this survey!!!!!!!!!	2/20/2019
Cost in dollars and change in quality life vs. actual potential outcome. Is it worth it?	2/20/2019
We need to take a much more natural approach to flood mitigation. I.E. acquire as much open space as possible and continue to restore channelized waterways	2/20/2019
All categories are important, but most important are promote, restore and protect green infrastructure and ecosystems and increase resiliency awareness and outreach.	2/20/2019
Too many "and" phrases. Gives a VERY broadbrush approach to vague generalizations holding negative downsides.	2/20/2019
Please plant trees on medians.	2/20/2019
The next Harvey that doesn't miss will test the City. Will we be ready? Will the City be ready to care for bus riders suffering heat strokes as they wait in 100 degree + conditions more and more? Let's handle those concerns while planting trees, managing runoff, and incorporating principles of LID to better the City along the way. Let's find beauty in dealing with these growing risks of immense damage. Let's create a caring, wonderful place to live and grow.	2/20/2019
Addressing social and economic inequity is especially vital in San Antonio because our city has some of the worst economic segregation in the country. Thus, those who cannot afford more expensive housing in areas that are less vulnerable to pollution and flooding, and who cannot afford to relocate as easily as others, are at great risk of health issues and extreme poverty in San Antonio.	2/20/2019
Why in 2019 have we not ALREADY DONE THESE THINGS???	2/20/2019
If city leaders are so concerned about a warmer climate and increased strain on ecosystem, why are they encouraging more people to visit or move to San Antonio?	2/20/2019
Equity needs to be a priority.	2/20/2019
This is the most ridiculous plan I have ever seen.	2/20/2019
Climate has been naturally changing since the dawn of time. Second, we live in a free society, you cannot change the way societie's use energy by FORCE, that is tyranny and also known as a DICTATORSHIP!!!!! Let CAPITALISM be the guide for energy efficiency and use!!!!!! I would like a response from this survey!!!!!!!!!	2/20/2019
I believe individuals can help reduce their impact on our area, but I don't like government regulating this or increasing taxes to promote this agenda. Common sense conservation is what is needed, not an over reaching plan that is tied to a way to control people and grab more money from them. The Earth's climate has always been changing since it was created and will continue to change. I think more of a focus should be put on cleaning up our city from graffiti and getting people to respect our beautiful Texas by not littering.	2/20/2019

Comment/Response	Date
I hope this plan is never adapted and mayor Nuremberg is defeated in May	2/20/2019
Making sure commercial buildings are built to LEED standards and over time are retrofitted to meet the highest LEED standards.	2/20/2019
Don't pass this and put a burden on businesses and future residents.	2/20/2019
The plans seems very thorough, which on one hand is good, but on the other hand, might make it harder to mobilize support and educate the public. Perhaps focusing on some priority area might help.	2/20/2019
Asthma is a big issue here, having goals to tackle this is also important.	2/20/2019
I am a UTSA student and I own an electric vehicle. Inform everyday citizens that no-rush shipping helps to cut back vehicular emissions. #amazondelivery Just seeing electric vehicle charging infrastructures helps promote electric vehicle ownership. #affordable.	2/20/2019
Make fast food restaurants recycle and compost. Expand upwards, not outwards.	2/20/2019
Completely in support of the plan. As far as critics saying it will kill oil and gas companies, those companies will adapt, they are already starting too. Anyone saying it will hurt the economy probably doesn't understand the general economic forces that will force us towards cleaner energy, regardless of it we take action or not.	2/20/2019
Heb central market in my neighborhood does not recycle and has extreme plastic use.	2/20/2019
If every action noted could be done by 2035, by what date can you promise the climate will no longer change? Solid planning is wise. Education is great. Social engineering to force people from cars to bikes is totally unacceptable. Increased self reliance and general encouragement to become more energy efficient, embrace new technologies, and reduce waste, is encouraged. Raising imposed fees on waste collection, gas vehicles or any other item to FORCE compliance with contrived restrictions under the guise of "climate control" is unacceptable.	2/20/2019
Chemtrails and fumes from airline traffic, commercial, military, and public.	2/20/2019
Develop a city-wide initiative to plant shade trees along streets and medians to reduce heat-island effects. Increase and link city green ways.	2/20/2019
The City Government has already made San Antonio a giant mess by its policies, and anything it does to protect us against bogus climate scares will simply make things worse.	2/20/2019
Awareness is communities is a big problem. Trash in our rivers and oceans and litter in the streets is only a small aspect of the climate change and waste issue.	2/20/2019
Supply recycle bins outside of san antonio becuase I am still a cps customer	2/20/2019
More garden co-ops for low income communities particularly in the southside.	2/20/2019
This city needs to get serious about car emissions	2/20/2019
I am new to the area. I appreciate the concern about the environment, but some of these questions are too vague.	2/20/2019
In Transportation and Land Use, I think more emphasis should be placed on high-performance mass transit. Removing carbon from the SOV equation is certainly admirable, but I think the future-minded move would be an investment now in expanding and improving VIA or pushing for rail infrastructure. I believe that given the natural resources around us, the City should place particular emphasis on their protection and restoration. Please consider a development limit and plan for promoting infill development above greenfield development. Density should not be scary anymore. The housing crisis hitting the country is much scarier, and very close to home if we are not proactive. The plan for ZNE new construction and significant renovations is terrific. I absolutely support this point in the plan. San Antonio would be an innovator and will see dramatic reductions in energy load from the grid.	2/20/2019
Please prioritize 1.) Health, 2.) Transparency in Specific Goals and Implementation, 3.) Structural Changes to our Energy System, and 4.) Improving Infrastrucure (for safety - in instances such as poor road paving that hasn't been maintained, or older buildings that haven't been as well regulated for concerns such as lead paint and asbestos; And for energy efficiency - such as utilizing natural light, open air/open spaces, and innovative air conditioning (see the Center of Sciences and Innovation at Trinity University's pioneering system)). Prioritize and listen to your most vulnerable constituents, who need to be catered to in this process more than any lobbyist or fossil fuel executive. Thank you for your work.	2/20/2019
This is a Ponzi scheme at best...evil at the very least. This science has been debunked over and over again (please educate yourselves...https://biggeekdad.com/2019/01/10-year-challenge/), but yet the Michael Bloombergs and George Soros of the world continue to push the agenda. Why? To	2/20/2019

Comment/Response	Date
control and move the United States to the very least Socialism but more like Communism. With all due respect...if you want that for yourselves, please up and remove yourself from this country and go to one that has what you are looking for. So much blood and tears have been shed to make and maintain this country as a Republic (NOT a Democracy as those so grossly misinformed choose to characterize the US). Trying to force this change is a disservice to each and every one of them for what they sacrificed and actually died for. The majority of Americans...if truly informed and knowledgeable about what the TRUE agenda is would NEVER stand for it. With that being said...the Democrats and Republican parties need to be dissolved and everyone should flaunt what side they are on...Liberal, Moderate, and Conservative. If that were done...this would all stop. So NO!! I am not for this...I'm not for SMART Meters...I'm not for anything that takes my liberties and freedoms away to only harm and enslave me. So please...give Michael Bloomberg and George Soros their money back and stop trying to change us. We don't want it and will fight you every step of the way. You think the Toll Road Issue was a headache?? You haven't seen anything yet. Shame on you for even trying!! May God have mercy on each and every one of your souls!!	
Why are you using our taxes to lobby in Austin to raise our taxes?	2/20/2019
The goals, albeit laudable, are laudable, but the implementation is vague enough to be meaningless ... or totalitarian, depending on city council's interpretation.	2/20/2019
This is nothing more than a form of Marxism. A few of the suggestions are noteworthy and practical such as dealing with emergencies. Real ones, not your fairy-tail b.s. ideas. We do not need people like you running this city into the ground. Your are all the biggest waste of space I have ever heard of. Go the hell back to the People's Republic of California, and stick this plan in the toilet where it belongs. I think the State Government needs to come down on you ship of fools.	2/20/2019
You are wasting my tax dollars with this silliness. Your progressive programs make it very easy for me to seriously consider leaving this town of clowns.	2/20/2019
This is a climate plan looking to regulate our lifestyles and our cars for the goal that the Paris Agreement has laid out. I read it extensively, I would like to talk about it in the "reality" terms. Will parking spaces begin to be limited or costly, will vehicular lanes be reduced to make way for bike lanes and walking streets? Will CPS plants be shut down as the city looks to phase into wind and solar? And where are all the numbers it will cost? I would hope council and the public has all the money numbers before them before they vote.	2/20/2019
The elderly do not walk or ride bikes and scooters. The disabled rely on their vehicles with their wheelchair access to be mobile. Asking CPS to monitor the energy use on multifamily units and commercial buildings is going far, the lack of concern of the privacy our lives is going too far and this plan does more to govern in the wrong way.	2/20/2019
CO2 is a good gas, it makes things greener and grow larger.	2/20/2019
His generation, Gen Z, will be forced to live with the effects of climate change. Shutting down coal plants should be a priority. We can and must do something.	2/20/2019
Being informed and action are necessary. Explained acid rain and greenhouse gases to Council. Clean energy should be used instead of coal. Clean energy is not perfect but technology is always evolving.	2/20/2019
Jesuit volunteer and west side resident. City should reduce carbon emissions to net zero by 2025. Climate refugees should be considered. Resources should be provided to alleviate effects of climate-caused catastrophes. Calling for Citizens committee. Mobilize power of the people and hold elected officials accountable. La lucha sigue. (Passing the climate action plan with revisions)	2/20/2019
San Antonio has "heat island" effect. What is the real cost of not promoting the environment?	2/20/2019
(The Need for Urgent Action & the Cost of Doing Not) – Council remains negligent. Allows CPS, SAWS, developers to destroy environment. People of San Antonio elected Council – they should treat them as they do developers.	2/20/2019
(SA Climate Action Plan, youth + climate justice) – Does not want to die an early death from climate change. Does not want loved ones to suffer consequences of climate change. Angry young people are driven to action. Pleas and calls for solidarity met with "we need to be realistic." Mass crop failure, insect-borne disease – where ecological collapse occurs, human suffering follows. Vote yes on CAAP, but strengthen it. Council has power. Demand closure of coal plants, carbon neutrality by 2040.	2/20/2019
(How Progress and Sustainability are Intertwined) – Publisher of sustainablesanantonio.com. CPS should be a world leader. When did leaving the world a better place become a partisan issue?	2/20/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Development community and oil and gas business leaders should embrace new opportunities of climate wealth. Smart growth for sustainable development. Limiting GHGs should be a priority. 20-year impact should be priority. Cost is not only consideration.	
(Youth and climate justice) – District 6 resident. Quoted various articles, publications, etc. to urge Council to vote for and strengthen CAAP. Discussed benefits of capping climate warming at 1.5 degrees Celsius. Insects are extremely important in world's ecosystems. Data shows great effects of climate change to date, and future is precarious. Many Americans are falling behind on car payments. Expand bus system, light rail system instead of congesting highways more.	2/20/2019
(San Antonio Climate Action Plan) – Scientist and resident of D10. Burden of carbon emissions will fall on 3-year-old daughter's shoulders. Climate change harms children. San Antonio vulnerability assessment asserted risk of heatwaves; increased days of over-100 degree days; more frequent heavy precipitation. All of these increased risks translate to costs for the City. Property damage, deaths. Clean air, pure water benefit all citizens. Do not let children be casualties of this conversation. Common sense initiatives informed by most updated science. Lead innovation. Climate change is a threat multiplier. Insurance companies see writing on the wall. Climate change threatens basic needs. Enough is enough. Don't make a choice that harms children. Spoke of intergenerational equity. Children have no voice. Recommended books for Council. Read "Are you my mother?" and focused on the digger picking up the baby bird – City is placeholder for parents when they are no longer able.	2/20/2019
Part of a technical working group for CAAP. Many items in CAAP will benefit City. Long-term benefits. Costs outweighed by doing nothing. Create a community experience as we create climate action plans. We must take care of our citizens. Say yes to strongest CAAP possible.	2/20/2019
Expressed concerns regarding climate change and vulnerable populations. Poor children are most at risk. Disparities must be addressed. Swift and Just action. Vote yes on CAAP, but it is not enough. Put people and the planet first.	2/20/2019
Voting down CAAP is reckless and irresponsible. Zero emission City by 2040, carbon free electricity by 2030, shut all coal plants by 2025. Youth will bear consequences of choices made now. Center youth as future.	2/20/2019
San Antonio should abandon coal by 2025, no-fossil-fuel electricity by 2030, zero emissions by 2040. Invited Council to speak to his students to explain why they are not doing what they should. His teenage students are concerned about climate change. Elected officials must do what they can.	2/20/2019
Look beyond sticker price of CAAP. Think about the cost of the consequences of doing nothing. Think of the price the community will have to pay. Think of your children, grandchildren.	2/20/2019
New father, speaking for his son. Difficult to decide to bring a new life into the world considering the trajectory. Has written about climate change and environmental issues as a journalist. Gross misunderstanding of what a City can and cannot do. CAAP calls for San Antonio to be a carbon neutral City by 2050, delivers tools to use. Fearmongering is imaginations running wild.	2/20/2019
Thanks Council for service to City. UTSA student, D1 resident. Drives electric vehicle, purchased after Hurricane Harvey. City has infrastructure for electric vehicles. Currently available resources can be used to create a greener San Antonio. Through innovation and a green economy, we can have a better San Antonio.	2/20/2019
Any member of Council who votes against CAAP is attacking children, the future. CAAP is not enough. San Antonio must abandon coal by 2025, no-fossil-fuel electricity by 2030, zero emissions by 2040. Anyone who votes against CAAP is disgraceful.	2/20/2019
Calls for revisions to CAAP. Urges Council to vote for CAAP. Collaborate with other local government entities to expand CAAP. We should all work together to achieve goals. Include in CAAP timelines that Paris Accord timeline may not be sufficient. By 2040, San Antonio may have 7 months of high temperatures. Winters will be warmer with implications for insect control. Public health impacts. CAAP should include in advisory council citizens. Sierra Club should be included in advisory council as well. Military may not want to retain bases here as climate warms.	2/20/2019
Advocacy fellow with MOVE Texas. Does not want to die due to climate change. Expectation that all Council will vote in favor of CAAP. Council should push to close coal plant by 2025. Coal is not the way we should proceed in the future; should use renewable sources. Supports Councilman Trevino's mobile shower.	2/20/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter	2/20/2019

Comment/Response	Date
summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/20/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has	2/20/2019

Comment/Response	Date
delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/20/2019
This is a very important issue that needs to be addressed and put into full effect for us and our future generations It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/20/2019
I'm writing to ask that you adopt the SA Climate Ready plan on April 11. While it could be stronger, I applaud your efforts to push this through in a city full of O&G interests. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another	2/20/2019

Comment/Response	Date
area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Personally, I pay about $15 a month extra to Arcadia Power to purchase RECs and offset my dirty coal- and gas-fired electrons, but what we really need is clean generation for San Antonio in the first place. The latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. But if you cannot, please at least pass it as it stands! Don't let a few naysayers or a few (oil-funded) vocal members of the local business community hurt the plan. Then, onward to asking CPS Energy to solicit bids for new energy to replace our remaining coal-fired generation! Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/20/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/20/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't	2/20/2019

Comment/Response	Date
sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
It is time for San Antonio to act on climate. This is why I am excited to support a climate action and adaptation plan for the City of San Antonio. The Draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan lays out some good ideas and a framework for San Antonio to address climate change and gradual reduction of carbon pollution. San Antonio's largest carbon polluter, CPS Energy, is tasked with meeting a goal of carbon zero electricity by 2050, while the City is meant to be carbon neutral that same year. That is not enough. We need faster reductions. To avoid overshooting the Paris Climate Agreement global warming target of 1.5 degrees, we can't wait until 2050 to be carbon free. CPS Energy has to be the leader for San Antonio by setting out ambitious goals for clean energy and energy efficiency for San Antonio. We cannot meet our city-wide goals unless CPS Energy steps up and gets ahead of them. Specifically, this plan should include, at a minimum, the following goals to address urgency and interim steps for CPS Energy: -closing CPS Energy's coal-burning power plants by 2025, -ending the use of all fossil fuels for producing electricity at CPS Energy by 2030, -achieving net zero city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2040, and -achieving net negative city-wide greenhouse gas emissions by 2050.	2/20/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows	2/20/2019

Comment/Response	Date
we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
Hello! I am a senior geology and environmental science major at Trinity University. As someone with a long time left on this planet, I'd like to express my support this plan and encourage it to set higher goals. Please disregard the climate change skeptics–regardless of the science, there is no doubt that car exhaust is bad for us to breath, and no one wants to live next to a coal plant because of all the health issues it causes. Besides, fossil fuels will run out eventually so all the more reason to convert to renewables. Thank you for taking the time to create this plan, I hope you will go forward with it at full force!	2/20/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/20/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	2/20/2019
(On Central Library public comment session post) Very informative! Great job.	2/20/2019
I believe all these goals are based on falsehoods, generated by computer models, not facts.	2/21/2019
Have someone go into elementary schools and teach children how to recycle properly. The do's and don'ts so they can go in their homes and communities and make a difference. I'll do it!	2/21/2019
What do you do when the wind doesn't blow and the sun doesn't shine?	2/21/2019
These efforts will only increase costs for those they intend to help. Individuals and the market can do better than central planning by those who seek to control everything.	2/21/2019
It is important to protect neighborhoods and foster community to continue to have resilient neighborhoods.	2/21/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Nothing in your plan will have any effect on the climate whatsoever. The sun will continue to produce energy at varying rates, the Earth's orbital distance from the sun will continue to fluctuate, currents and temperature oscillations in the oceans and atmosphere will continue to redistribute heat, cosmic rays and cosmic dust will continue to influence cloud formation. The greenhouse effect is a minor player in the climate, and human-generated greenhouse gasses contribute less than one percent of the greenhouse effect. We're not causing climate change and we cannot stop it. By all means, let's prepare for our grandchildren to benefit from a slightly warmer world.	2/21/2019
Texas is leading the nation in Wind energy. We SHOULD be leading the nation in Solar as well. Where is our leadership, vision? Instead of taking advantage of a cleaner way to produce energy for our residents we are relying on antiquated, dirty, old ways that are destroying our land, air, water and residents. Get it together. Be a hero	2/21/2019
This study effectively envisions the city massively overstepping the limits of its authority as defined by the charter. In addition it effectively hides the cost of implementation to the tax payer. The CPS portion of the plan of having a zero carbon foot print, ignores the realities of maintaining a reliable electrical supply for a digital economy.	2/21/2019
This plan is a waste of money	2/21/2019
1. I disagree with the selection of CAAP members on the Technical Working Groups and Steering Committee that live outside of San Antonio. At least one is from Austin. This is a San Antonio plan, so the members should all be citizens of San Antonio. The members from outside of San Antonio should not have been selected and should be identified to the public. Who made the decision on the selection of out of town members? This should also be disclosed to the public. 2. I disagree with including the social equity component within a climate action plan. This does not belong in the document: "These inequities are the direct result of decades of discriminatory policies by local, state, and federal government agencies. Segregationist practices and policies, such as redlining and segregated public housing, isolated low income communities of color from wealthier white communities, which set the foundation for San Antonio to become one of the most economically segregated cities in the country.8, 9 Housing discrimination, neglected infrastructure, and a lack of investment in public amenities, particularly in low-income neighborhoods, are just a few examples of the structural and institutional forms of racism contributing to the inequities existing between racial groups in San Antonio." Including this complex issue is unnecessary. The impact on low income groups will always be specially evaluated. Including social equity destroys the credibility of the climate plan and reveals that the document as just a socialist agenda. It should be disclosed to the public who supported and directed that this be included in the scope. I do not agree with funding being spent on this type of agenda. I am offended that the city representatives would include this issue in a so-called climate plan and waste funding on it.	2/21/2019
So much energy is wasted at poorly-timed traffic lights and train crossings. I have never lived in a big city with so many train crossings! There also needs to be signs that say, "No idling" in places where buses are left running near tourist destinations. S. Main, for instance, is a common place for buses to idle while people are at The Guenther House There also needs to be response when idling buses are reported.	2/21/2019
Please consider a solar farm or several of them to help replace the Calaveras power plant. Thank You!	2/21/2019
1. I disagree with the selection of CAAP members on the Technical Working Groups and Steering Committee that live outside of San Antonio. At least one is from Austin. This is a San Antonio plan, so the members should all be citizens of San Antonio. The members from outside of San Antonio should not have been selected and should be identified to the public. Who made the decision on the selection of out of town members? This should also be disclosed to the public. 2. I disagree with including the social equity component within a climate action plan. This does not belong in the document: "These inequities are the direct result of decades of discriminatory policies by local, state, and federal government agencies. Segregationist practices and policies, such as redlining and segregated public housing, isolated low income communities of color from wealthier white communities, which set the foundation for San Antonio to become one of the most economically segregated cities in the country.8, 9 Housing discrimination, neglected infrastructure, and a lack of investment in public amenities, particularly in low-income neighborhoods, are just a few examples of the structural and institutional forms of racism contributing to the inequities existing between	2/21/2019

Comment/Response	Date
racial groups in San Antonio." Including this complex issue is unnecessary. The impact on low income groups will always be specially evaluated. Including social equity destroys the credibility of the climate plan and reveals that the document as just a socialist agenda. It should be disclosed to the public who supported and directed that this be included in the scope. I do not agree with funding being spent on this type of agenda. I am offended that the city representatives would include this issue in a so-called climate plan and waste funding on it.	
Sir/Ma'am, I attended and spoke at the Feb 19th meeting. Thank you for that opportunity. I'd like to bring your attention to many of the speakers comments that solar energy plants are cheaper than the current coal and other generation plants. Please take a look at: https://www.eia.gov/electricity/generatorcosts/ Also, please look at Table 1 and Table 2 in the following website: https://www.eia.gov/analysis/studies/powerplants/capitalcost/ The comments provided by numerous speakers compared building new solar plants to maintaining the current plants. That is kind of like comparing buying a new electric car versus maintaining my current truck with 350,000 miles on it that needs a new engine, transmission, struts, tires, water pump… you get the idea. While it's a great bumper sticker to say "it's cheaper to build a new solar plant", it is extremely dishonest and misleading. Please consider the absolute facts of building new power plants using solid, economic, scientific and engineering facts. Thank you. Kevin Meislin	2/21/2019
The community mitigation strategies to reduce transportation energy consumption are too soft. I would like to see our city commit to more bold, evidence-based strategies. It needs to be very clear that increasing transportation options means investment in transit and walkability/bikability and mixed-use density rather than investing in more/wider roads. There needs to be a specific target reduction in vehicle miles traveled.	2/22/2019
The above actions are essential if we hope to create a future for our children and their children. There will be no economic security, if there is not ecological sustainability. It is that simple.	2/22/2019
We all need to work together to save our eco-systems.	2/22/2019
Produce materials for local education to combat the misinformation being promoted by Sinclair media outlet climate change deniers.	2/22/2019
The science is unclear and unproven. There are going to be cycles of heating and cooling as proven by the past.	2/22/2019
We must preserve the planet and reduce harm.	2/22/2019
Develop a publicly funded program to harvest privately owned fruit trees and community gardens and distribute the food at low or no cost to citizens. Food security.	2/22/2019
This plan fails to adequately address the transportation sector. I'm glad to see the plan addressed climate equity, but don't think the section painted an accurate picture of systemic inequity in San Antonio. This document failed to make the connection between our sprawling and auto-dependent development and the second largest source of GHG. GHG emissions from transportation is not an individual behavior issue to be solved by carpooling and electric vehicles. Our high rates of vehicle miles traveled and driving alone is the result of structural inequities. It is the responsibility of the drafters of this document to honestly illustrate why our city is where it is regarding transportation and provide meaningful action. Page 13: "Communities of color and low-income populations have experienced the greatest burdens dues to inequities in TRANSPORTATION, housing, health education, criminal justice, jobs and other quality of life issues." Highways disproportionately built through low-income communities reinforced spatial segregation and created health disparities in surrounding neighborhoods. "Housing discrimination, OUR HIGHWAY SYSTEM, neglected infrastructure, HIGH-SPEED STREETS, lack of investment in public amenities, LIKE WALKABILITY AND PUBLIC TRANSIT, particularly in low-income neighborhoods, AND THE CURRENT SUBURBANIZATION OF POVERTY AS URBAN REVITALIZATION DISPLACES, are just a few examples of the structural and institutional forms of racism contributing to the inequities existing between racial groups in San Antonio." The same policies and planning practices that led to sprawling land use patterns, over-investment in highways, and under-investment in transit have rendered public transportation ineffective and inaccessible to many who would benefit from it. Further gentrification and displacement will erode transit access as low-income people move to the suburbs. These families are further burdened by excessive transportation costs (time and money) and lack of alternatives. This section should more accurately paint the picture of how past policies and investment resulted in an auto-dependent city without frequent, reliable transit and	2/22/2019

Comment/Response	Date
without safe, connected sidewalks and bike lanes. Additionally, this section neglects to address how much land roadways (and parking lots) account for and how these impervious surfaces contribute to the heat island effect and stormwater runoff. Moreover, this section neglects to address how costly road construction and maintenance are compared to other modes of transit and how continued investment in roads worsens economic inequities. Transportation solutions are more than climate action. Investing in public transit, walkability and bikability will also move the needle on economic segregation and health disparities. Page 20: Reducing vehicle miles traveled should be a mitigation strategy. Not increasing carbon-free vehicle use. Per the Climate Equity Screening Mechanism, increasing carbon-free vehicle use does not address access and accessibility, affordability, cultural preservation, health, or safety and security. Prioritizing carbon-free vehicles will exacerbate inequities. Page 35: We don't want to merely increase carbon-free energy. We want to reduce dependence on fossil fuel. Reducing vehicle miles traveled should be prioritized above "smart initiatives." No one will understand what "transforming and integrating existing transportation networks" means. Page 38: This document neglected to address transit in the Community Mitigation Strategies. Need to establish a target reduction in VMT. Need to do far more than "support" the development and redevelopment of more compact, connected, and cost-effective communities. Need to revise guidance standards, manuals, practices, and other documents; update decision-making processes; modify approaches for measuring performance; and update existing design standards and criteria for specific modes of travel. This document needs to explain why our transportation sector is the second largest contributor of GHG emissions and how families are disproportionately burdened by high transportation costs and lack of access to the day-to-day places they need to go. This document needs to be clearer about proven strategies to equitably reduce transportation emissions, such as increasing access to frequent, reliable transit, increasing density, increasing jobs/housing mix, and improving walkability and bikability.	
This survey is a master class in begging the question. The pretense that the outcome of this process is anything but predetermined is a farce.	2/22/2019
I will not vote for any member of the SA council, mayor and my council-person, specifically, who does not support the CAAP. Action is needed now. Attempts to defer or delay voting is an act unworthy of those committed to the vitality and vibrancy of San Antonio.	2/22/2019
Re infrastructure and extreme heat. Develop a publicly funded program to make solar tile roofing energy generation & storage on public buildings and private homes where owners are unable to afford new roofs, after emergency damage occurs to their homes.	2/22/2019
The San Antonio River Authority has been actively involved in the development of the City of San Antonio's Climate Action and Adaptation Plan since 2017. The River Authority's specific area of expertise has focused on improving the city's resiliency when experiencing significant changes in precipitation, both floods and droughts, resulting from climate change. In reviewing the draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan, we are encouraged by the mitigation and adaptation strategies specifically targeting resiliency and reducing the threats to life and property from flooding, addressing water availability during droughts and protecting and preserving natural resources. Since 2003, and through its work with the City of San Antonio and Bexar County in the Bexar Regional Watershed Management (BRWM) partnership, the River Authority has, and will continue to develop and communicate data, products, services, and projects related to flood and water quality protection including our work with FEMA floodplain mapping, predictive flood modeling platforms and tools, watershed master plans, water quality modeling, and promotion of low impact development and green infrastructure projects. In addition, the River Authority has been actively involved for decades in regional water resource planning arid has worked to protect critical riverine and riparian habitat through ecosystem and stream restoration projects and by advocating for river flow protections during droughts. At the February 20, 2019 meeting of the River Authority Board of Directors action was taken supporting those elements of the City of San Antonio's Climate Action and Adaptation Plan related to precipitation changes including mitigation and adaptation measures with flood control, water quality, green infrastructure, habitat	2/22/2019

Comment/Response	Date
protection and low impact development. The highlighted mitigation and adaptation strategies attached detail the areas of specific interest and support by the River Authority. The River Authority board recognized that many of the stated mitigation and adaptation strategies in the CAAP, and also the SA Tomorrow Plan, are within the River Authority's area of expertise and core mission and in their action specifically requested involvement by the River Authority in the CAAP Implementation Advisory Committee to be created to govern the implementation of the plan. The River Authority's historical involvement, distinct experience and recognized technical knowledge will be critical as the recommended strategies move into action. The River Authority's work will also be instrumental fri measuring the effectiveness of strategies toward attainment of the goals and objectives of the plan related to flooding, water quality, community flood resiliency and safety as well as habitat protection. Thank you for the opportunity to participate in the CAAP process and we look forward to being part of its implementation. Please contact me if you have need for additional clarification.	
On behalf of the Building Owners and Managers Association of San Antonio (BOMA), I would like to use this moment to express our concerns with San Antonio Climate Action and Adoption Plan also known as the San Antonio Climate Ready Plan. Our goal is to promote growth within the commercial real estate industry by supporting legislation that enhances innovation and protects all property owners. Upon review of this plan, one of the most striking concerns is the lack of information on costs. This is an extremely important component but has been left out of this proposal. The plan should attempt to answer the follow questions at minimum: - What research has been done to assess the cost of the initiatives listed within the Climate Ready plan? - What are the estimated costs of this program to property owners? - What financial opportunities and savings could result from this plan for business and property owners? While the proposal discusses economic and social inequality, it fails to recognize where the tax burden will fall if this plan is adopted. Costs will remain one of the most scrutinized components within this plan. For the sake of transparency, the city must release these figures and attempt to justify the amounts as it relates to the business community. Another concern within this plan is that there are many goals with deadlines that seem unreasonable because of monetary and technologic constraints within the industry today. Measures like adopting a Zero Net Energy Code for all new buildings by 2040, will be an exceedingly difficult goal to accomplish. In our opinion, this plan places an inordinate amount of credence and reliance on undeveloped technology. We support voluntary incentive-based programs for reducing Green House Gas Emissions (GHG). BOMA encourages real estate owners and managers to use tax incentive programs when it makes business sense. Incentive based programs are an excellent way to ensure that the business case for sustainability is economically feasible and that there is ample amount of capital available for more sustainability projects. BOMA opposes cap and trade policy options that do not reinvest the raised funds into energy efficiency. Buildings should also accrue any credits or offsets in cap and trade programs. BOMA opposes mandatory benchmarking and disclosure laws; however, we strongly encourage all our members to voluntarily benchmark their utility data using EPA's Energy Star Portfolio Manager by educating our members about the potential savings. We believe that there will be a stronger support from the real estate industry if benchmarking regulations are presented to the community as an opportunity rather than a forceful disclosure of data. The best rating system for evaluating performance will be the Energy Star rating, which is calculated using the Portfolio Manager tool. This government funded organization is one of the most successful programs and offers many resources for commercial owners at little to no costs. This established rating system from Energy Star provides owners with a benchmark that can be easily used for comparison sake. Nothing in this plan mentions the use of Energy Star's tools or rating system within the city's climate action plan. This plan should address the question listed below:	2/22/2019

Comment/Response	Date
How will Energy Star's rating system and the use of sustainability tools like Portfolio Manager be incorporated into this plan? As mentioned multiple times throughout the plan's draft, transportation is a large contributor towards GHG emissions. However, we find that some of these goals are once again infeasible and impractical because of urban sprawl within the city. We fully support energy efficient alternatives in transportation, but we also support the rights of property owners to determine the feasibility for Electric Vehicle charging stations. This plan does not mention how that city intends to increase the availability of charging stations, but the impact of these developments should not interfere with the rights of commercial real estate owners. We believe that owners should not be compelled to install Electric Vehicle charging stations and that the free market will meet the growing demand of electric vehicles. Thank for your time and willingness to work with the business community as these plans are finalized. We appreciate this time to speak with you today and look forward to working with you until a final plan is constructed that appeases both sides with a fair resolution.	
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/22/2019
Hi drafters of the SA Climate Ready plan, Although thorough in some respects, I think this plan fails to adequately address the transportation sector. I'm glad to see the plan addressed climate equity, but don't think the section painted an accurate picture of systemic inequity in San Antonio. Overall, this document failed to make the connection between our sprawling and auto-dependent development and the second largest source of GHG. GHG emissions from transportation is not an individual behavior issue to be solved by carpooling and electric vehicles. Our high rates of vehicle miles traveled and driving alone are the result of structural inequities. It is the responsibility of the drafters of this document to honestly illustrate why our city is where it is regarding transportation and provide meaningful action. Page 13: "Communities of color and low-income populations have experienced the greatest burdens dues to inequities in TRANSPORTATION, housing, health education, criminal justice, jobs and other quality of life issues." Highways disproportionately built through low-income communities reinforced spatial segregation and created health disparities in surrounding neighborhoods. "Housing discrimination, OUR HIGHWAY SYSTEM, neglected infrastructure, HIGH-SPEED STREETS, lack of investment in public amenities, LIKE WALKABILITY AND PUBLIC TRANSIT, particularly in low-income neighborhoods, AND THE CURRENT SUBURBANIZATION OF POVERTY AS URBAN REVITALIZATION DISPLACES, are just a few examples of the structural and institutional forms of racism contributing to the inequities existing between racial groups in San Antonio." The same policies and planning	2/22/2019

Comment/Response	Date
practices that led to sprawling land use patterns, over-investment in highways, and under-investment in transit have rendered public transportation ineffective and inaccessible to many who would benefit from it. Further gentrification and displacement will erode transit access as low-income people move to the suburbs. These families are further burdened by excessive transportation costs (time and money) and lack of alternatives. This section should more accurately paint the picture of how past policies and investment resulted in an auto-dependent city without frequent, reliable transit and without safe, connected sidewalks and bike lanes. Additionally, this section neglects to address how much land roadways (and parking lots) account for and how these impervious surfaces contribute to the heat island effect and stormwater runoff. Moreover, this section neglects to address how costly road construction and maintenance are compared to other modes of transit and how continued investment in roads worsens economic inequities. Transportation solutions are more than climate action. Investing in public transit, walkability and bikability will also move the needle on economic segregation and health disparities. Page 20: Reducing vehicle miles traveled should be a mitigation strategy. Not increasing carbon-free vehicle use. Per the Climate Equity Screening Mechanism, increasing carbon-free vehicle use does not address access and accessibility, affordability, cultural preservation, health, or safety and security. Prioritizing carbon-free vehicles will exacerbate inequities. Page 35: We don't want to merely increase carbon-free energy. We want to reduce dependence on fossil fuel. Reducing vehicle miles traveled should be prioritized above "smart initiatives." No one will understand what "transforming and integrating existing transportation networks" means. Page 38: This document neglected to address transit in the Community Mitigation Strategies. Need to establish a target reduction in VMT. Need to do far more than "support" the development and redevelopment of more compact, connected, and cost-effective communities. Need to revise guidance standards, manuals, practices, and other documents; update decision-making processes; modify approaches for measuring performance; and update existing design standards and criteria for specific modes of travel. This document needs to explain why our transportation sector is the second largest contributor of GHG emissions and how families are disproportionately burdened by high transportation costs and lack of access to the day-to-day places they need to go. This document needs to be clearer about proven strategies to equitably reduce transportation emissions, such as increasing access to frequent, reliable transit, increasing density, increasing jobs/housing mix, and improving walkability and bikability. Thank you for considering my input.	
This is about money and power.	2/22/2019
See City of Chicago plan re cultural connections to climate change . Program was cut due to $, but promoted resource effeciencies ready in practice by immigrant families ie. Drying laundry outdoors, rain water collection , exchanging coffee can planters etc.	2/23/2019
Somehow people need to start converting their thirsty lawns to xeriscaping with low water plants. I've seen too many neighbors who don't pay attention to the weather and don't care if their sprinkler system is going off when there's plenty of moisture in the ground. They also allow water to flow out into the streets to be wasted. People who live in poorer areas of the city don't have that luxury and as a result are subjected to higher water rates.	2/23/2019
San Antonio must be pro-Nuclear energy. It's clean, low-carbon, and safe.	2/23/2019
This City must stop the clearing of trees, native plants, and entire hillsides; we are destroying the natural environment of Bexar County just so developers can pocket more money. Put a stronger and more enforceable tree ordinance in place. Buy up more undeveloped land for natural green spaces and protect what we have before Bexar County looks like an unrecognizable concrete wasteland.	2/23/2019
Nature is not waiting, it's moving ahead with its own agenda. We have to start now to stop pollution and climate change exponentially!	2/23/2019
Education is the key to chain. Teach nitrogen cycle and also economics. Need to address green building and recycling, water usage.	2/23/2019
The ozone days are becoming more difficult for me. I've been to Southeast Asia and I could not breathe there because of their automobile emmissions and traffic was so bad because some roads were just impossible so they created bottlenecks onto the "good" roads. Please work so we don't end up with just one or two heavily traveled arteries. Think about how many different ways	2/23/2019

Comment/Response	Date
you can get to the medical center: there must be more than 5 ways to get there that don't involve a highway or interstate. More of that old school type of infrastructure planning.	
So happy SA chose to stay with the Paris Climate Accord goals.	2/23/2019
Education is of paramount importance in order to help reduce climate change	2/23/2019
I like all the ideas advanced. I am not sure climate change is man made. Mother Nature has always had a hand in change.	2/23/2019
Nuclear energy should be consider in this plan.	2/23/2019
If desired plans to be implemented do not hamper business with burdensome and costly regulation.	2/23/2019
Would it be helpful/possible to plant chestnut trees everywhere as they are supposed to be good for removing harmful pollutants from the environment. Also, I would dissuade San Antonio from using any Nuclear source of energy as it is impossible to get rid of it's wastes in a safe manner. It is better to think along the lines of solar energy. Solar energy should be mandatory for all new construction of homes and businesses. The Federal government should pay for this type of program everywhere in the U.S. Solar should not be limited to solar panels. You can have solar roofs, solar windows, solar paint, solar roads with special solar vehicles, i.e. France is experimenting with the latter... But , how can San Antonio really implement any type of innovative pollution control/energy plan when the San Antonio Engineers of the past did not adequately plan for the future? Also, litter is everywhere you go! So are the homeless! And the "bandit signs"... And the small neighborhoods that are inundated with feral cats that are living and breeding because people are uneducated and Animal Control is so overwhelmed, they can't do much about it! There are just too many issues and the population is increasing too fast!	2/23/2019
You need to present both sides, not just one side as so often happens.	2/23/2019
You have nothing on land use above	2/23/2019
I rarely use my green bin because I choose to manage my lawn in tighter circle. The green bin is a service that is one size fits all and has lucrative contract underpinnings that undermine individual agency in the address to be equitable.	2/23/2019
This City must stop the clearing of trees, native plants, and entire hillsides; we are destroying the natural environment of Bexar County just so developers can pocket more money. Put a stronger and more enforceable tree ordinance in place. Buy up more undeveloped land for natural green spaces and protect what we have before Bexar County looks like an unrecognizable concrete wasteland. Initiate a stronger native tree planting campaign; restore pocket ecosystems in small acreages of 2-3 acres all across the City. Also, increase the number of community gardens.	2/23/2019
We are fooling ourselves to think we can find preventive measures. Nature will find a way. Nature will find a way to Be great Again!	2/23/2019
Address Maslows hierarchy of needs. Destress cost issues.	2/23/2019
Educate elderly and very young how to regulate body temperature in extreme heat and/or cold	2/23/2019
Try to plant more local organic produce, so that we don't depend so much on California's produce. All of these issues are very important of course.	2/23/2019
Again, provide both for and against arguments which is seldom done in these kinds of city endeavors.	2/23/2019
Empowerment, engagement, recognizing solutions are diverse will be more effacacious than citywide service box solutions. Resource providing services require regulation and citywide guidance, to ensure equity in the most economically segregated major city. But encourage (within compliance code) "can-do", "rasquache", or "jagaad" solutions that empower city citizens as agents to effect positive change. I use 5 gallon buckets to collect my rainwater; not as exotic as a Thai imported Ong Vessel that only a few zipcodes can afford, but just as beautiful if you consider the purpose.	2/23/2019
It is of utmost importance to educate people to conserve water. Without water we cease to exist!	2/23/2019
I expect San Antonio to be pro-Nuclear power. It is low-carbon, clean energy; it's safe, it provides baseload power that we need. This also means that I expect our country commissioners and city council and mayor and city manage to support nuclear when its time to transport spent nuclear fuel to a repository or consolidated interim storage facility. Stop the NIMBY nonsense. It's fine. It will be fine.	2/23/2019
Converting to energy sources that do not involve coal or natural gas should be the most important priority. There's no point in having electric cars but plugging them into a power source that's coal-	2/23/2019

Comment/Response	Date
based electricity. We should be considering nuclear power in addition to solar and wind. Nuclear power would be more easily up-scaled as our city grows. We should be working on our public transit infrastructure rather than encouraging everyone to have their own electric cars. Individuals could also be incentivized to have their own solar panels especially since our coal-free energy infrastructure is still early stage.	
I have lived in San Antonio my entire life and I hate what this City has become. I drive past beautiful wooded areas one day and the next week they're bulldozed down to the bedrock. This is madness all so developers can make more money at the expense of the natural environment of our once beautiful and green City. We need to save what's left before every open space in this city is filled with parking lots, apartment complexes, and homes on land that was once green and living.	2/23/2019
I do not support this plan. I wish city council will just tell the truth. This is another source of revenue generation. Cars today are the cleanest thanks to technological advances. The United States is one of the cleanest countries compared to China and India. This plan is nothing but a feel-good effort by city council. What is plastic and most of what we use made from. Fossil fuels!!! We are turning into Austin or San Francisco. You will tax your citizens out of the city and increase the cost of living. Yes, the climate is changing. So are we and we cannot change our aging bodies. Life is a cycle and so is this earth. It has heat cycles and cold cycles. Do not support this plan! I cannot wait to vote my city council and mayor OUT!	2/23/2019
I got to the library mtg late, but in reviewing the plan summary, I noticed no mention of encouraging people to live where they work -- walking, biking or short commute distance; even work from home to put less demand on transportation. That would be in the spirit of SAWS successful plan to reduce demand versus increasing capacity.	2/23/2019
Need to discuss pollution reduction, preservation of clean air and water. Suggestion to increase trees and green spaces (rooftop gardens), control carbon emissions from autos, lawn mowers and other equipment. Use different metrics to measure environmental impact; gas and diesel fuel sales. Need to transition to solar, electric, wind power. Change how we commute to work, more frequent buses. Negotiate with auto dealers to sell more electric vehicles. Incentives with employers to have employees car pool, bike to work, rapid transit use. Need to address what kind of world our children will inherit.	2/23/2019
Via transportation programs may need to be expanded as the population ages and needs transportation to medical or other needs.	2/23/2019
Nuclear energy should be considered in this plan.	2/23/2019
While it would seem that climate is changing all the efforts and expenses we are trying to implement will have little impact on the end result. If every suggestion for reducing carbon emissions was carried out our temperature reduction would be .05 degrees Celsius.	2/23/2019
Before any comprehensive plan is adopted more research needs to be done. My background is archaeology and research in this area illustrates that there have been in the past great "swings" in climate change. This change is part of a natural situation and should not be confused with what is today called climate change. Yes, it is change but it is natural and we should not over-react as we are currently doing.	2/23/2019
The plan narrative relies heavily on electric vehicles to improve air quality and less so on an effective, efficient transit system and multi-modal transportation system. This policy is out of the control of the city and highly reliant on the market of electric vehicles and whether they would be affordable and accessible to most households. The city could have more control over an effective and robust rapid transit system and multi-modal transportation system that could serve more households in the lower and middle-income bracket, reaching the goal of equity within the plan and improving air quality. The narrative in the plan needs to specifically speak to this more. The plan narrative and policy also focuses heavily on green building, which is also reliant on market forces. The more stringent your regulations on green building, the less redevelopment will occur to those standards. Make green building financially feasible for everyone by allowing for more intensive uses of property, including multi-family uses throughout neighborhoods and mixed high density residential uses elsewhere. A team of researchers in 2017 wrote a research paper on urban density and its impacts on building energy use through 2050. The paper concluded that urban density is as effective, if not more effective, at creating efficiency improvements for energy savings in building heating and cooling. As people live closer together, the average sizes of private living	2/23/2019

Comment/Response	Date
spaces shrink, leading to a decline in heating and cooling, and less of a need for individual vehicles. Furthermore, A congressional report that reviewed decades of research literature found that there is strong evidence that suggests developing more compactly at higher population and employment densities significantly lowers vehicle miles traveled. The climate plan specifically calls out lowering vehicle miles traveled but does not specifically call out increasing density in urban centers. The biggest factor in the carbon footprint of cities isn't building efficiency, but its zoning. Zoning laws that protect low-density single-family housing creates a large carbon footprint, increased vehicle miles traveled and housing affordability issues. Density, more compact development, is also a climate adaptation strategy. Vulnerability to heat stress is directly related to the amount of time someone has to spend exposed to the elements, specifically traveling from one place to another. To the extent you can shorten the trip length, you are reducing exposure to excessive heat. The current policies in the land use section are very broad and do not specifically state for the city to increase density through zoning changes. Increasing density is a policy that requires no city investment; in fact, high density zoning actually save the City money due to reduced infrastructure, police and fire budgets. It does require the city to get out of the way of San Antonians that want to contribute to compact, walkable, transit supportive, connected, climate adaptive communities.	
What is the plan? Asking god to stop the seasons?	2/23/2019
Climate Change is a Democratic fraud.	2/23/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/23/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another	2/23/2019

Comment/Response	Date
area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
While SANE commends the City of San Antonio for tackling climate change and climate adaptation head on through policies adopted and implemented from the Climate Action Plan, we also have some concerns and recommendations regarding the plan. The plan narrative relies heavily on electric vehicles to improve air quality and less so on an effective, efficient transit system and multi-modal transportation system. This policy is out of the control of the city and highly reliant on the market of electric vehicles and whether they would be affordable and accessible to most households. The city could have more control over an effective and robust rapid transit system and multi-modal transportation system that could serve more households in the lower and middle-income bracket, reaching the goal of equity within the plan and improving air quality. The narrative in the plan needs to specifically speak to this more. The plan narrative and policy also focuses heavily on green building, which is also reliant on market forces. The more stringent your regulations on green building, the less redevelopment will occur to those standards. Make green building financially feasible for everyone by allowing for more intensive uses of property, including multi-family uses throughout neighborhoods and mixed high density residential uses elsewhere. A team of researchers in 2017 wrote a research paper[1] on urban density and its impacts on building energy use through 2050. The paper concluded that urban density is as effective, if not more effective, at creating efficiency improvements for energy savings in building heating and cooling. As people live closer together, the average sizes of private living spaces shrink, leading to a decline in heating and cooling, and less of a need for individual vehicles. Furthermore, A congressional report that reviewed decades of research literature found that there is strong evidence that suggests developing more compactly at higher population and employment densities significantly lowers vehicle miles traveled.[2] The climate plan specifically calls out lowering vehicle miles traveled but does not specifically call out increasing density in urban centers. The biggest factor in the carbon footprint of cities isn't building efficiency, but its zoning. Zoning laws that protect low-density single-family housing creates a large carbon footprint, increased vehicle miles traveled and housing affordability issues. Density, more compact development, is also a climate adaptation strategy. Vulnerability to heat stress is directly related to the amount of time someone has to spend exposed to the elements, specifically traveling from one place to another. To the extent you can shorten the trip length, you are reducing exposure to excessive heat. SANE highly encourages you to create policy for greater density throughout San Antonio, especially within the urban core, to reduce sprawl, improve building efficiency, and reduce vehicle miles traveled. The current policies in the land use section are very broad and do not specifically state for the city to increase density through zoning changes. Increasing density is a policy that requires no city investment; in fact, high density zoning actually save the City money due to reduced infrastructure, police and fire budgets. It does require the city to get out of the way of San Antonians that want to contribute to compact, walkable, transit supportive, connected, climate adaptive communities. Thank you for your consideration.	2/23/2019
Educate and Enable is the best option with compliance regulations and appropriate enforcement/fines.	2/24/2019
Provide more Air Conditioning in the Summer for the elderly and the disabled.	2/24/2019
We will not be slaves to the Paris Accords!	2/24/2019
There are a number of measures already in place on the federal and state level. How about we	2/24/2019

Comment/Response	Date
start with just enforcing those instead of cramming more regulation down everyone's throats that are going to cost a fortune.	
We need to see sustainability, recycling and "green living" as essential investments - not as profit producing measures. The gains are not always monetarily measurable, but the risks of not making the investments are.	2/24/2019
These are all critical activities. Let's get started immediately!!	2/24/2019
There will always be venerable community members. Lets educate them and set expectations. But let's not throw away money at a people attempting to conform them when they really don't.	2/24/2019
You are asking me to sign a blank check! What are the costs of your proposals?	2/24/2019
Infrastructure improvement is very important as the infrastructue in the city is very lacking. The rest is nice but not necessary except for encouraging more people how to grow their own food.	2/24/2019
We must work together as an urban community, to do everything we can to mitigate and plan for climate change. Mitigation must start immediately, we've been dragging our feet or moving slowly for too long. The science is clear, that this is URGENT. This is truly an emergency.	2/24/2019
Please no more taxes or fees related to this. Do not increase our home appraisal values for owner occupied homes.	2/24/2019
Do not increase taxes, fees, appraisal for this. Do not activate vehicle emissions testing. Do not enforce replacement of vehicles.	2/24/2019
Difficult to discuss the green movement which is an ideology, a religion. Call again when you are ready to discuss economics. What gender "DO I IDENTIFY AS?" This is a dead giveaway that your priority is political correctness, not fact-based data accumulation. Why should I take this survey seriously?	2/24/2019
There are so many buildings that are vacanted or abandoned with parking lots which produce nothing but heat. They should be demolished or remove to provide green open space. I see the City allowing home builders industry building too many apartments complexes cementing the green acreage that provide clean air. While there are already existing apartments inside loop 410 not meeting their full capacity. Cementing the green open fields produces a warm environment.	2/24/2019
San Antonio Climate Action and Adaptation Plan: Suggestions for Improvement The world faces a crisis greater than any we have known in the past: manmade climate change. Therefore, I support the draft version of San Antonio's Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP). The city must begin cutting its greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions immediately to meet the goal of the Paris Climate Agreement and have a chance to limit global temperature increase to 1.5 – 2 degrees Celsius above pre-industrial era levels (although the latest IPCC suggests we may already be committed to 1.5 C.) The draft CAAP is an excellent start, and I urge the adoption of these improvements. Nevertheless, we should not let the perfect be the enemy of the good. San Antonio needs a Climate Action and Adaptation Plan. We need it NOW, so we can begin to take SERIOUS measures to control our GHG emissions. Get it done and passed by the city council. I would, however, like to see the draft plan strengthened in several ways: 1. The CAAP needs a far more urgent tone. The latest report from the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change warns that the world has about 12 years to seriously reduce its GHG emissions. We must act NOW to have a prayer of preventing catastrophic shifts in our climate. 2. The CAAP needs to include a synopsis. The plan is complex and detailed. Few people will read it in its entirety. A 1-2 page summary is needed at the beginning of the document. Not all readers will read through the first 31 pages. 3. The CAAP needs to prioritize our actions to cut GHG emissions. It should include a clear list of what needs to be done and in what order. For instance, decarbonization of our power plants and vehicles provides the bulk of our GHG emissions. Therefore, decarbonization should head any prioritized list. 4. The CAAP should suggest interim goals and a timeline. If, say, closing our coal fired power plants would give us the biggest drop in GHG emissions, what are the interim steps toward accomplishing this shutdown? By when? We have about 11 years to make huge changes, so we must get started NOW and make major progress BY 2030 if we are to avoid even worse consequences of climate change/global warming than are already unavoidable. 5. The CAAP needs to include more data and emphasis on flooding and drought. These are important climate change issues in San Antonio. And, any analysis of flooding should include the positive effects of better land-use planning and low impact development. 6. The CAAP should highlight the cost of continuing "business as usual", the staggering costs to our economy and infrastructure of doing nothing to control climate change. 7. Sections prior to the Mitigation Strategies and Adaptation Strategies are too verbose,	2/24/2019

Comment/Response	Date
too long. Most readers may not even get to the actual Plan (starting p.31) before losing interest. 8. Add a section for "What You Can Do", with specifics. Make is easy to read. 9. Work with neighboring cities and communities to engage residents of Greater San Antonio. 10. The CAAP should acknowledge the major point sources of GHG contributions from energy generation and other emissions sources outside the City. This will help to clarify the need to eliminate coal and clean up other emissions sources as soon as possible. Not as soon as practicable, but as soon as possible. 11. Emphasize in the Introduction that the fundamental physics of climate change, the greenhouse effects resulting from increasing CO2 levels in the atmosphere, is indisputable and has been known for over 130 years. 12. Reference the latest IPCC report for more up-to-date statistics and urgency, closer deadlines, than are in the Paris Climate Agreement. 13. Add a short section in the text on the "heat island effect", what it is, how much warmer the City is than surrounding lower populated areas, and how continuing growth will exacerbate the effects of increasing temperatures. More buildings and roads and cement will increase absorption of heat, radiated into the outdoor air and adjacent buildings in the evenings and making evenings and nights even warmer than in the countryside. AC's will labor harder to cool indoor air, adding more heat from their motors and pulling heat from indoors to be deposited in the outdoor air. This will increase the daily and nightly high temperatures in the build-up areas. 14. The CAAP needs to address effects on military bases. Increasing heat will make outdoor exercises harder. Hotter summers will also restrict the hours during which aircraft can take off and land, due to softening of the runway tarmac. (This is already a problem for airports in hotter climates worldwide.) Will military bases be relocated from San Antonio to cooler climes?? 15. The CAAP needs to address effects of hotter summers on tourism. 16. Mitigation and Adaptation must include creating more green spaces, more shade trees, and reducing wide and extensive stretches of asphalt and concrete with no mitigating greenery (e.g. Broadway St., highways). 17. Mitigation and Adaptation must include giving "slumlords" incentives (carrots and sticks) to keep their buildings in better repair and more energy efficient. SPECIFIC COMMENTS: 1) Who authored this Plan? Not clear. 2) p.3: A Message From the Mayor: Please add his name, not just a scrawled signature. 3) p.3: A Message From the Mayor: Who are the "stakeholders"? I know it is stated much later in the Plan, but really the stakeholders are EACH AND EVERY ONE OF US. This is not at all clear in the text of the Plan. The People are conspicuously absent in the list of stakeholders. 4) p.3: Carbon Neutral by 2050: My understanding is that although the Paris Climate Agreement was aimed to keep temperature increase to "well below 2 degrees Celsius", complying with the accord will keep us only below 3 degrees Celsius. Please look into this. With most of the world dragging its feet, we may already be committed to 2 C. PLEASE STATE THE URGENCY OF TAKING MITIGATING ACTION IN CLEARER TERMS! 5) p.8: "With a goal of carbon neutrality by 2050 …": I believe the current scientific opinion is that 2050 IS TOO LATE, and that the new estimate is that profound progress must be achieved by 2030. Please see the latest IPCC report, and adjust the carbon neutral goal date and sense of urgency accordingly. 6) p.8: Regarding reduction in GHG emissions: it's great that we achieved 10% from 2014-2016. Now, what reductions are we on track for IF WE DON'T INCREASE OUR EFFORTS? 7) p.9-31: Generally, TOO LONG and REPETITIVE. To keep the reader engaged, write more concisely. 8) p.9-11: Introduction: Emphasize that we must incentivize and empower the making of decisions from a position of collective well-being, discouraging selfish and greedy choices (developers and even utilities often seem greedy and selfish). This will take a greater emphasis on "community", not just the bottom lines, and require incentives plus penalties, and a different sense of what economic health means. 9) p.10: Introduction: FURTHER EMPHASIZE the information in the box starting with "The longer we wait to take action …". Add in some dates and deadlines. 10) p.13-19: San Antonio: Examples of Economic Inequities: I understand the depth of inequities in SA, and deplore them. But this section is much too long! Make more concise, less repetitive. 11) p.17: San Antonio: Examples of Economic Inequities: Does the graph present median wages adjusted for, as in the blurb below the graph, "differences in education, training, and experience"? Or is the graph presenting wages just by "color"? Not clear as presented. 12) p.21-31: The Challenge and Response: Please update all data presented to include through 2017 at least. I know there is always a lag, but 2016 is too far back, given the urgency and lack of consistency year-to-year. If the data lag is really 2 years (and so 2017 data are not yet available), that is going to be a real impediment to monitoring the effects of actions going forward. 13) p.22-23: GHG Emissions by Sector: Hidden in the graphic and text is that Stationary sources of GHG emissions are largely determined by the "cleanliness" of the energy provided to them. This needs to be stated much more clearly, that the major burden falls on CPS	

Comment/Response	Date
Energy to provide cleaner energy to homes and businesses, in addition to individual and commercial conservation efforts. Page 21 credits CPS Energy with primarily driving the 10% decrease in total GHG emissions by "a reduction in the carbon intensity of electricity supplied to the city". The text accompanying the graphic on p.23 must similarly clarify, that what CPS Energy supplies, drives the level of contributions from buildings (major Stationary emissions sources). 14) p.22-23: GHG Emissions by Sector: Industrial Processes and Product Use should be revised to include construction and roads. These will become greater contributions if and as unrestrained growth continues, especially in neighboring communities of Greater San Antonio. 15) p.13-14: Grounding the Response: Climate Equity: Emphasize the public health implications of global warming. This includes not just stress and death directly associated with hotter summers and reduced rainfall, but more abundant mosquitoes and additional noxious insect species currently found farther south (winters will not be sufficient to keep numbers down and species limited), which will bring additional insect-borne diseases (specify them please. They include zika, dengue, etc.). 16) p.30: What does this Mean for Business?: The world, including San Antonio, must question the wisdom of the accepted mantra that growth brings prosperity and therefore is good. A major reason why global warming has become so urgent is the fact that there are increasingly more people using more energy, consuming more food, building more cities, driving more vehicles, and generally contributing in diverse ways to GHG emissions. Therefore, the CAAP must include seriously investigating alternative economic models, such as Steady State Economics and variations on this theme. San Antonio must also encourage smaller families and delayed reproduction, established and effective ways to reduce population growth without restricting in-migration. Most growth will occur outside the City limits. This underscores the need to include neighboring communities in the CAAP. Thank-you for the opportunity to provide feedback. I look forward to open discussions between the public and City leaders and others who are and will be involved in revising and implementing the CAAP.	
I am commenting on the Climate Action Plan as a member of SANE. While SANE commends the City of San Antonio for tackling climate change and climate adaptation head on through policies adopted and implemented from the Climate Action Plan, we also have some concerns and recommendations regarding the plan. The plan narrative relies heavily on electric vehicles to improve air quality and less so on an effective, efficient transit system and multi-modal transportation system. This policy is out of the control of the city and highly reliant on the market of electric vehicles and whether they would be affordable and accessible to most households. The city could have more control over an effective and robust rapid transit system and multi-modal transportation system that could serve more households in the lower and middle-income bracket, reaching the goal of equity within the plan and improving air quality. The narrative in the plan needs to specifically speak to this more. The plan narrative and policy also focuses heavily on green building, which is also reliant on market forces. The more stringent your regulations on green building, the less redevelopment will occur to those standards. Make green building financially feasible for everyone by allowing for more intensive uses of property, including multi-family uses throughout neighborhoods and mixed high density residential uses elsewhere. A team of researchers in 2017 wrote a research paper on urban density and its impacts on building energy use through 2050. The paper concluded that urban density is as effective, if not more effective, at creating efficiency improvements for energy savings in building heating and cooling. As people live closer together, the average sizes of private living spaces shrink, leading to a decline in heating and cooling, and less of a need for individual vehicles. Furthermore, A congressional report that reviewed decades of research literature found that there is strong evidence that suggests developing more compactly at higher population and employment densities significantly lowers vehicle miles traveled. The climate plan specifically calls out lowering vehicle miles traveled but does not specifically call out increasing density in urban centers. The biggest factor in the carbon footprint of cities isn't building efficiency, but its zoning. Zoning laws that protect low-density single-family housing creates a large carbon footprint, increased vehicle miles traveled and housing affordability issues. Density, more compact development, is also a climate adaptation strategy. Vulnerability to heat stress is directly related to the amount of time someone has to spend exposed to the elements, specifically traveling from one place to another. To the extent you can shorten the trip length, you are reducing exposure to excessive heat.	2/24/2019

Comment/Response	Date
SANE highly encourages you to create policy for greater density throughout San Antonio, especially within the urban core, to reduce sprawl, improve building efficiency, and reduce vehicle miles traveled. The current policies in the land use section are very broad and do not specifically state for the city to increase density through zoning changes. Increasing density is a policy that requires no city investment; in fact, high density zoning actually save the City money due to reduced infrastructure, police and fire budgets. It does require the city to get out of the way of San Antonians that want to contribute to compact, walkable, transit supportive, connected, climate adaptive communities. Thank you for your consideration of my comments.	
Councilman – This is not the full text of my CAAP commentary and critique. It's going to be long, even by my over-the-top standards. As I told you personally, I cannot support the plan as written despite my beliefs that bold action should be taken promptly. You can say I pollute because I live alone in a 2,500 sf house (that I renovated for high efficiency, including salvaging construction materials, effectively to about LEED Silver standards per their spreadsheet). You can say I pollute because I don't take the bus and drive to work alone (at non-peak hours, with part-time telecommuting). You can say I pollute because I use a gas mower on my half-acre lot (with 13 oaks pre-treated against wilt to preserve canopy, rain-water capture that I use to hand-water a Monarch habitat, and my 2 x 1,000 liter compost bins). But you can't say I pollute because I'm white. And that is what the equity section of the CAAP does. "In San Antonio, communities of color and low-income populations have experienced the greatest burdens due to inequities in housing, health, education, criminal justice, jobs, and other quality of life outcomes. These inequities are the direct result of decades of discriminatory policies by local, state, and federal government agencies. Segregationist practices and policies, such as redlining and segregated public housing, isolated low-income communities of color from wealthier white communities, which set the foundation for San Antonio to become one of the most economically segregated cities in the country. Housing discrimination, neglected infrastructure, and a lack of investment in public amenities, particularly in low-income neighborhoods, are just a few examples of the structural and institutional forms of racism contributing to the inequities existing between racial groups in San Antonio. If you think I'm being hypersensitive and taking a single paragraph out of context, then read the attached document. It's only 4 pages, with lots of pictures. I will also state that this level of analysis is not out of the ordinary for me – as you well know. Drilling into the minutiae is what I do. I go to the source and read the footnotes and try to actually understand the data and the context. Those characteristics do not seem to be present in any great quantity within the CAAP. The fact that bad data and biased presentation is used to support charges of racism in a City document about the climate is simply unacceptable. I will take advantage of the extended review period to craft a more comprehensive assessment of the entire document, but unless the equity section gets a significant overhaul there will be no way I can support the plan.	2/24/2019
I am commenting on the Climate Action Plan as a member of SANE. While SANE commends the City of San Antonio for tackling climate change and climate adaptation head on through policies adopted and implemented from the Climate Action Plan, we also have some concerns and recommendations regarding the plan. The plan narrative relies heavily on electric vehicles to improve air quality and less so on an effective, efficient transit system and multi-modal transportation system. This policy is out of the control of the city and highly reliant on the market of electric vehicles and whether they would be affordable and accessible to most households. The city could have more control over an effective and robust rapid transit system and multi-modal transportation system that could serve more households in the lower and middle-income bracket, reaching the goal of equity within the plan and improving air quality. The narrative in the plan needs to specifically speak to this more. The plan narrative and policy also focuses heavily on green building, which is also reliant on market forces. The more stringent your regulations on green building, the less redevelopment will occur to	2/24/2019

Comment/Response	Date
those standards. Make green building financially feasible for everyone by allowing for more intensive uses of property, including multi-family uses throughout neighborhoods and mixed high density residential uses elsewhere. A team of researchers in 2017 wrote a research paper on urban density and its impacts on building energy use through 2050. The paper concluded that urban density is as effective, if not more effective, at creating efficiency improvements for energy savings in building heating and cooling. As people live closer together, the average sizes of private living spaces shrink, leading to a decline in heating and cooling, and less of a need for individual vehicles. Furthermore, A congressional report that reviewed decades of research literature found that there is strong evidence that suggests developing more compactly at higher population and employment densities significantly lowers vehicle miles traveled. The climate plan specifically calls out lowering vehicle miles traveled but does not specifically call out increasing density in urban centers. The biggest factor in the carbon footprint of cities isn't building efficiency, but its zoning. Zoning laws that protect low-density single-family housing creates a large carbon footprint, increased vehicle miles traveled and housing affordability issues. Density, more compact development, is also a climate adaptation strategy. Vulnerability to heat stress is directly related to the amount of time someone has to spend exposed to the elements, specifically traveling from one place to another. To the extent you can shorten the trip length, you are reducing exposure to excessive heat. SANE highly encourages you to create policy for greater density throughout San Antonio, especially within the urban core, to reduce sprawl, improve building efficiency, and reduce vehicle miles traveled. The current policies in the land use section are very broad and do not specifically state for the city to increase density through zoning changes. Increasing density is a policy that requires no city investment; in fact, high density zoning actually save the City money due to reduced infrastructure, police and fire budgets. It does require the city to get out of the way of San Antonians that want to contribute to compact, walkable, transit supportive, connected, climate adaptive communities. Thank you for your consideration of my comments.	
I am commenting on the Climate Action Plan as a member of SANE. While SANE commends the City of San Antonio for tackling climate change and climate adaptation head on through policies adopted and implemented from the Climate Action Plan, we also have some concerns and recommendations regarding the plan. The plan narrative relies heavily on electric vehicles to improve air quality and less so on an effective, efficient transit system and multi-modal transportation system. This policy is out of the control of the city and highly reliant on the market of electric vehicles and whether they would be affordable and accessible to most households. The city could have more control over an effective and robust rapid transit system and multi-modal transportation system that could serve more households in the lower and middle-income bracket, reaching the goal of equity within the plan and improving air quality. The narrative in the plan needs to specifically speak to this more. The plan narrative and policy also focuses heavily on green building, which is also reliant on market forces. The more stringent your regulations on green building, the less redevelopment will occur to those standards. Make green building financially feasible for everyone by allowing for more intensive uses of property, including multi-family uses throughout neighborhoods and mixed high density residential uses elsewhere. A team of researchers in 2017 wrote a research paper on urban density and its impacts on building energy use through 2050. The paper concluded that urban density is as effective, if not more effective, at creating efficiency improvements for energy savings in building heating and cooling. As people live closer together, the average sizes of private living spaces shrink, leading to a decline in heating and cooling, and less of a need for individual vehicles. Furthermore, A congressional report that reviewed decades of research literature found that there is strong evidence that suggests developing more compactly at higher population and employment densities significantly lowers vehicle miles traveled. The climate plan specifically calls out lowering vehicle miles traveled but does not specifically call out increasing density in urban centers. The biggest factor in the carbon footprint of cities isn't building efficiency, but its zoning. Zoning laws that protect low-density single-family housing creates a large carbon footprint, increased vehicle miles traveled and housing affordability issues. Density, more compact development, is also a climate adaptation strategy. Vulnerability to heat	2/24/2019

Comment/Response	Date
stress is directly related to the amount of time someone has to spend exposed to the elements, specifically traveling from one place to another. To the extent you can shorten the trip length, you are reducing exposure to excessive heat. SANE highly encourages you to create policy for greater density throughout San Antonio, especially within the urban core, to reduce sprawl, improve building efficiency, and reduce vehicle miles traveled. The current policies in the land use section are very broad and do not specifically state for the city to increase density through zoning changes. Increasing density is a policy that requires no city investment; in fact, high density zoning actually save the City money due to reduced infrastructure, police and fire budgets. It does require the city to get out of the way of San Antonians that want to contribute to compact, walkable, transit supportive, connected, climate adaptive communities. Thank you for your consideration of my comments.	
Dear CAAP committees, Sustainability Office, and City Council, I support the CAAP draft, and will consider SA City Council's passing the CAAP, one of the most significant moves any SA City Council has made. CAAP's implementation will be one of the most significant actions that COSA can make. The current draft should be strengthened so that the actions we take match our commitments in word. In particular, the use of coal for electrical generation should be eliminated by 2025, fossil fuels by 2030; renewable alternatives for electrical generation should be developed to replace not only fossil fuels but also nuclear generation. In addition, conservation should be actively encouraged in consultation with SA communities. CAAP's equity commitments should be actualized through a shift of funds— from corporate contributions toward economic development that fails to take losses due to environmental and social justice damages into consideration— to supporting residents and small business transitions to cleaner (GHG free) existence and well-being. Right now, students in one of my courses are discussing a question Naomi Klein poses in *This Changes Everything*: "History knocked on your door— What did you do?" I have spent the afternoon reading their discussion of our future—their words are filled with more hope than despair, and more compassion than fear, and are fueled with the sense of responsibility and innovative and rationally and experientially-based creative energies— the spirit of their comments informs my hopes, expressed here, for our city and world and I hope that in considering, improving upon, and passing the current CAAP, City Council may do as well.	2/24/2019
Come on Ana and how is this going to effect Texas being an energy exporter of Carbons.	2/25/2019
All of things are important, but I don't think that it should be couched around climate change, but just good things to do. I believe the City should take an education stance and not a mandate stance. Encourage citizens and business to change, but don't force it. That becomes an erosion of our freedoms.	2/25/2019
City needs to do a better job with builders who build subdivision with no infrastructure at all. This causes massive traffic issues which affect air pollution. Builders need to help pay for infrastructure that the City and CPS have to build out to meet customer demands.	2/25/2019
Each is important- focus on each should be proportional to its potential impact.	2/25/2019
Climate changes - what's new? Money would be better spent on infrastructure or even lowering taxes. A lot of wasteful spending was done in my district (9) like putting sidewalks on BOTH sides of VJ and putting in curbs which were not needed and make driving more dangerous. The curb at colony and VJ had to be recut is was so dangerous. The one at dreamland is still there causing damage to buses which can't make the turn *sigh*. Someone put in a center cement thing near HEB and colony which is a traffic hazzard. Someone also put in sparkly green paint nearby on a residential street - very wasteful as it was not necessary and just provides distraction for drivers. Also, the striping going north at the intersection of Colony and VJ is just bad! they forgot to put in dashed line to the right - its solid. This makes it technically illegal to do a right turn there and causes needless traffic congestion, confusion, and safety issues - i thought we were trying to save money here? why cause a traffic jam which only increases smog and gas usage. Also, it was so nice and before, why make it bad? Having it unsafe because of the solid line is just bad - i'll leave it at that.	2/25/2019
Let's make better use of existing resources instead of buying new.	2/25/2019
Illegal dumping is a significant problem along with our recycling program which does little to encourage recycling and accommodates only a small percentage of recyclables.	2/25/2019
Whole thing is a waste of money and should be scrapped. Totally dumb and not worth our time a	2/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
resources.	
For skeptics and deniers, I think an approach to consider is how it will impact them financially and economically. Regardless of where they stand, it's important to continue to push forward with those who are willing to invest their time into finding solutions rather than those who will never believe it is happening. A dead end only leads to one place: a dead end.	2/25/2019
I believe our current plan is sufficient. All changes should be voluntary and without imposed fees.	2/25/2019
We are doing a much better job of pushing things into the recycling stream than we are of pulling them out. That is the real key. We can't keep pushing on a string. Every taxing entity must prioritize recycled materials in every purchase order, building contract, supplies, etc.	2/25/2019
More diverse studies need be used so individuals can make better educated decisions as opposed to being told the sky is falling. Not everybody believes the climate change propaganda.	2/25/2019
Add more on water management to mitigation. Add tree canopy protection to mitigation-- existing tree ordinance is a sham. Much better to protect the trees we have than to rely so heavily on planting new trees-- trees that will likely have limited development precisely because of climate change. Improve land management practices as a part of mitigation-- strengthen limits on development. We are stripping bare too many hillsides. Improve education and move it to high priority. People, including police, continue to idle their vehicles-- presumably to keep their air conditioners running. These are the kinds of behaviors that require EDUCATION aimed at drawing connections between the behavior and its impact. You have to motivate change.	2/25/2019
Mitigation goals and strategies are not bad, but should NOT be the priority of our city government. So many other issues need that focused attention first.	2/25/2019
Be careful about indoor air quality. Sometimes efficiency leads to not emit the fresh air.	2/25/2019
I think the council should create an ordinance that is mandatory pertaining to the storm water runoff that the aggressive development has brought to our city. It should be mandatory that new Development should comply and adhere to the clean water regulations. We should not be encouraging development that channels our precious water in storm water drains, wr should be encouraging and perhaps mandating permeable surfaces that have low impact, and adhere to the best management practices of letting our suface water runof be mitigated in a natural way so as to keep from polluting it rivers and streams, we should be collecting bit bit polluting it. Its actually a federal mandate and our council should create an ordinance that backs it up.	2/25/2019
The way this survey is done is bias toward climaters.	2/25/2019
I don't believe that climate change is as drastic as it is being made out to be. It is good to be prepared for emergencies, but to keep blaming it all on climate change is short-sighted and a scare tactic to part citizens and business with their hard earned dollars.	2/25/2019
Going Green Will Raise Rates And Cost of Electricity To All People. How Much Depends On What Actions Is Taking - Gas Is Cheap And Available Solar Is The Most Expensive.	2/25/2019
"Promote, Restore and Protect Green Infrastructure and Ecosystems". This is a dangerous question as you are mixing two VERY different ideas. I am totally against green infrastructure but totally for green ecosystems. I am against the infrastructure part, not in principal but because i know how it will be implemented with a lot of government meddling. Some fool will eventually want to ban soda straws here.	2/25/2019
Infrastructure should be a City priority all the time	2/25/2019
Why don't we control cow farts too.....*rolling eyes*	2/25/2019
I believe our current plan is sufficient. All changes should be voluntary and without imposed fees.	2/25/2019
Is it better to buy food from Iowa than it is to irrigate south Texas farms? Maybe "local" isn't always the best solution.	2/25/2019
The data used to state the above survey questions is suspect. Other studies say differently. Recommend using them.	2/25/2019
Again, these activities should not be the priority. For example, "emergency management and community preparedness" is not bad, but it should be in regards to natural disasters or calamitous events, not in regards to climate change.	2/25/2019
Our community are our first responders we would be negligent as a city to not have such an intelligence in place. I think this is all so very important. We should be prepared and ready to help each other. I love these ideas! How can I help?	2/25/2019
How much is this going to cost the taxpayer. Your giveaway programs and incentives thanks to a selected minority group. You say reach everyone so that only one point of view is severed How	2/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
about getting back with me like I asked you last month but you never did? Alex Tijerina 4711 Brian Clarke San Antonio Tx 78240 210-383-1967	
Ensure Equity in Adaptation - we should always be protecting our vulnerable citizens and not using the climate change Big Stick to redistribute the wealth. The city government's role at any given time is to protect its citizens from crime, provide emergency care in accidents, and keep the infrastructure in good working order. We're doing two out of the three and any monies being earmarked for CAAP should go directly to keeping the infrastructure in working order.	2/25/2019
Any Action To Go Green Must Answer The Following Questions? 1. How Much Will Electric & Gas Rates Increase - Impact On Customers Bill?. 2. How Will This Impact Our Electric Company Monthly Payments To The City? 3. If CPS Payments To City Decrease Will City Raise Taxes or Raise Electric & Gas Rates? City Needs To Increase Building Downtown - Condos/Apartment/Homes!!! San Antonio & Austin need to talk about Rail System. City Needs To Go All Electric With Vehicles By 2030 - Buses/Cars/Trucks. City Needs To Keep Giving Money For Solar Roofs and Work With CPS In Getting More Solar Stations. City Should Meet With Tesla To See What They Can Do For Our City!!!!!!!!!!!!!! This Could Be A Huge Win Just Having Them Come Talk To Us...	2/25/2019
Actions to reduce consumption & reduce emissions ought to be focused on the areas of greatest potential impact. Placing a high burden on individuals while minimizing actions affecting large businesses, utilities, or sectors of the economy would run counter to any goals of equity. Changes need to be enacted across the board, but we should be clear-eyed about the overall effect each action will have. Revamping transportation infrastructure or speeding up CPS' transition to renewable energy will have a much larger impact than composting or carpooling, and while we should do all of the above, we should be honest about which will have a deeper impact. I'm excited to be part of a more sustainable San Antonio and the plan is a great start!	2/25/2019
I made this comment previously but perhaps it is better here: The striping going north at the intersection of Colony and Vance Jackson is bad! Over the whole street, there was some crazy 'bike lane' markings which turned the street from nice and safe to narrower with distracting markings. At the intersection of Colony and VJ, they forgot to put in dashed line to the right - its solid. This makes it technically illegal to do a right turn there utilizing the two lanes previously available and marked out by the dual traffic light and causes needless traffic congestion, confusion, and safety issues - i thought we were trying to save money here? Why cause a traffic jam which only increases smog and gas usage. Also, it was so nice and simple before with the right lane for turning and the left waiting on the light, why make it bad? It was a dumb idea to waste money on the solid striping and graffiti in the lanes in the first place and horrible to cause wasteful and unsafe traffic conditions in the second.	2/25/2019
Self Reliance should be encouraged in education. Planting more fruiting trees in parks and expanding on the food bank's gardening programs. Give incentives to restaurants that by local. Expand on the concept that HEB has about buying Texas, but make it; Buy San Antonio. Reducing trucking will reduce GHG and lower costs. A few dollars saved on food will mean a lot to those a tight budget.	2/25/2019
Much money has been wasted on renewable energy programs that have been faulty at best and poorly designed. Risk benefit strategies on proposed programs are designed to favor politically motivated strategies and do not score accurately the fiscal or physical risk on taxpayers.	2/25/2019
I think that man made climate change is a total hoax.	2/25/2019
February 25, 2019 Re: City/CPS Energy Climate Mitigation Plan Writer: Dana McGinnis, San Antonio resident and professional investor. His main business is energy. sapublius@gmail.com As a San Antonio resident and business person, I am concerned about the proposed draft of the Energy Climate Mitigation Plan currently before the city council. Based on my decades of energy research and economic experience, I submit that this draft has little or no chance of working as described. The last ten years of my professional life have been dedicated to the study of energy, and determining the role of energy going forward. In my business, I must consider the global economics of the energy industry for everything I do. My position is not one of self-interest. I offer my opinions from a position of experience and knowledge about what happens in the world of energy investments, and with a sincere and real concern for the best future of our City of San Antonio. I believe I am in a reasonable position to explain that despite being one of the larger cities in the country, San Antonio will not have the slightest effect on worldwide emissions or energy prices in the future. It is simply too small. With this in mind, I would like to echo the recent opinion of Mike	2/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Beldon, as he stated in the Letters to the Editor section of the San Antonio Express-News, which said that San Antonio should not rush into adoption of this plan. It is missing significant and important economic facts. Business people should pay attention. San Antonians should pay attention. It is a big deal. The draft plan is more than eighty pages of fine print. It is complicated, and deserves thorough study and analysis. It has severe and far-reaching consequences. Meanwhile, city council wants to rush to adopt it by April. I ask that we wait a bit. Give us time to read it. Give the people of San Antonio the facts so we have a chance to see how this plan will affect them. The draft aims to hastily enact a long term system that intends to eliminate fossil fuels that generate our electricity. What it does not state are its negative repercussions to the people of San Antonio. To begin with, implementation of this draft plan would require vast new regulatory infrastructure, the likes of which San Antonio has never seen. Enforcement would be of an unknown and undetermined scale and reach. San Antonio would need regulatory professionals that might outnumber our city government as we know it today. San Antonio builders, for example, should know to expect regular inspections, policing and enforcement of policies yet unknown. The draft plan gives no explanation of their scope or reach the enforcers will choose to take. The draft plan anticipates and requires a burdensome investment to be funded by imposing higher rates and taxes. It does not ask, "Will San Antonians want to pay the costs, monetary benefits or even the undetermined salaries of all the new employees of the regulatory institution?" Does it ask for a vote by the people? According to our system of government, we should vote to be taxed. Why is a vote not contemplated or slated for the next ballot? Why rush into something that voters – by rule of law – should decide? Look around the world. Every country that has attempted to force a plan, like the one being drafted here in San Antonio, has failed. How can we expect our city to withstand or survive a burden that even entire nations cannot? Look at Spain, for example. Their government – by edict or without a vote by its people, built an enormous system of wind generation. They forced the state-controlled utility companies to pay as much as 7X for wind power as they as they did for other sources of energy. Spain suffers higher utility bills, slower economic growth and massive debts. This was caused in part by the rushed manner that wind power expenditures were imposed on the public. There was no explanation and no vote. As an added insult, and because their government failed them, Spain has been lumped into the group called "PIGS," (Portugal, Ireland, Greece, Spain) an acronym for Europe's economic failures. Meanwhile, the country uses coal because they need it, and despite the wind energy money pit, emissions have not measurably gone down. CPS should allow time to study what happened in Spain, and why. City of San Antonio voters deserve the opportunity to vote NOT to duplicate the disastrous faults that have bankrupted entire like Spain and others. The government of Germany is another example of a nation that made the same costly and fateful errors. The outrageously expensive wind turbines in Germany have more than doubled electricity rates on its people. And for what? Emissions have increased, not lowered. Take some time to research these two – of several – real examples of failed plans such as the one facing us here in San Antonio. You will find ample evidence that San Antonio should not waste money, our taxes, for a plan that will have the same dire results on our dear city as it has on major countries. San Antonio should not be forced into the same mistake – especially without San Antonians being allowed to choose. Our representatives -- San Antonio's city council, need to discuss this plan extensively and more openly to give the people of San Antonio the facts they need to vote on this massive tax hike.	
Please scrap this useless and totally worthless department and study. Not worth putting anymore money toward a useless unhelpful study. No one cares.	2/25/2019
For skeptics and deniers, I think an approach to consider is how it will impact them financially and economically. Regardless of where they stand, it's important to continue to push forward with those who are willing to invest their time into finding solutions rather than those who will never believe it is happening. A dead end only leads to one place: a dead end.	2/25/2019
This climate action plan is a tool of leftist democrats and radical environmentalists with the goal of scaring everyone into believing our world is going to end if we don't implement it. It is a way for government to start taking over our lives, telling us what to do because the know better. This is s start of socialism. A better plan can be made responsibly, we just need to use more common sense, analize what is needed truthfully, review the costs, how will we pay for it and who is going to pay for it. You don't make a plan without answering these fundamental questions	2/25/2019
I realize this is a draft plan; nevertheless, it is full of typos, poor grammar, and confusing sentence structures. Just 2 examples (I can easily provide MANY more): p.10 "The City of San Antonio is laying	2/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
a foundation for a more resilient and sustainable future by integrated heritage values into this plan."Seems like integrated should be integrating. p. 47 Without adaptation actions to improve our resilience to these impacts, "climate change is expected to cause growing loses to American infrastructure and property and impede the rate of economic growth over this century."24 loses should be losses. Write more clearly and explain more fully--there is too much reliance on tables. Elaborate on implementation. There should be more and sooner milestones-- such as changing the tree ordinance now; implementing sustainable development policies and practices; and accelerating the protection of sensitive species. Improve practicality. For example, I did not see anything on promoting/requiring organic gardening and the use of native plant materials (stop allowing the sales of non-native invasive like chinaberry, nankin, ligustrum, etc.).	
The time, effort, and expense even to put together such a lengthy plan could have been spent more effectively elsewhere. You have the wrong priorities.	2/25/2019
I am opposed to shutting down the new CPS substation. I am opposed to eliminating natural gas service to homes and businesses. I am opposed to mandating electric, or hybrid private automobiles. I am opposed to increases in taxes, utility rates and fees to pay for this plan. I am opposed to equity financing of projects- the idea that the city should treat people unequally in order to make them equal. This is an expensive plan that will not change the climate.	2/25/2019
Protecting the environment and biodiversity is my top priority.	2/25/2019
A prepared community will be a strong community.	2/25/2019
With respect to the city's "SA Climate Ready: A Pathway for Climate Action & Adaptation", I would like to make a few observations for your consideration. The most glaring missing piece from your proposed Climate Action & Adaptation Plan directly impacting "the three pillars that define sustainability: economic, environmental, and social", rests on the fact that there is NO discussion or consideration to replace the city's longstanding "urban planning" model, which defines success in business terms rather than in socioeconomic terms. This model focuses and invests heavily on a built environment, "economic growth" agenda, with the objective of becoming a metroplex. It is with pride the city leadership announces that San Antonio as "one of the fastest growing cities in the nation", where "demand shows no sign of slowing." We now have a runaway train situation as a result, and, changing course to address real, critical needs of the community will take courage, dedication, and political will, which currently is missing. Untold tens of millions in public subsidies over many years has assured for a manufactured urbanization scheme, which has included an aggressive annexation plan. Instead of promoting and "sustaining" a natural rate of urbanization, where the supply side keeps up with the demand side of the equation, we have public officials intervene in the marketplace to artificially build out and away from the city core, because "success" equates to accommodating the commercial real estate industry. After all, we are city "that nurtures entrepreneurship, encourages investment, and funds infrastructure". Today's SA Tomorrow "vision" captures this construct clearly. In many ways, this call to put a brake onto its long-range plan to double the city's size in 25 years comes too late. Decisions have been made, and more will be made soon, to maintain this heavily-subsidized public infrastructure agenda, thereby directly contributing (and contradicting) the purported concern for GHG emissions in a city already disportionately high on a per-capita basis. This is a prime example whereby you could develop "intentional policies and projects" to "reduce existing burdens and bar additional burdens to these communities". Is city leadership courageous enough to recognize their direct role in accelerating harmful climate-related impacts and outcomes? With respect to "Recognizing history to solve for the future" which requires "an understanding of the historical legacies, structures, and policies that have resulted in and continue to perpetuate racial and economic inequities in San Antonio", which "set the foundation for San Antonio to become one of the most economically segregated cities in the country", I see no course correction, analysis, or policy ideas to address this reality at City Hall. In fact, neither the Mayor, Council members, or City Manager has ever addressed this situation head-on; I see no movement to address "economic segregation" either, despite having had "the greatest city manger in the country". Please note, as well, that a history of segregation and redlining is NOT is the same as our history of economic segregation. Addressing economic segregation means replacing the city's urban planning model, and in its stead, formulate and sustain a socioeconomic framework, where success is measured by those metrics which eliminates our standing as a poor, economically segregated city, i.e. raising	2/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
standards of living and quality of life outcomes, in real terms. Instead, we will continue to "succeed" in business terms, irreversibly experiencing rising costs of living, gentrification, displacement, and greater harm to seniors, those on limited incomes, young adults, renters, special needs, and those living in concentrated poverty, which in our last census count, rose 8%. I constantly see recycled statistics and indicators about our conditions, but I do not see a real interest to discuss, debate, and prioritize how these challenges can best be tackled, and, to have a real Strategic Plan to achieve real socioeconomic results. Where is this competence and expertise? A segregationist past and attention to a "white population (which) had the lowest concentration of people living in high poverty neighborhoods" suggests a black-white racial agenda, accounting for a historical and current divide. I would note, however, that since 1981, when San Antonio replaced its at-large voting system to one having 10 Council districts, assuring for greater "equity" representation at City Hall, at least five (5) of the eleven (11) Council members since that time have been of Hispanic origin. This means that with one additional vote, this "minority" group would have effective control in the formulation of public policies, to address these inequities, yet no discussion takes place to better understand their role in policy outcomes, in socioeconomic terms. If we continue to see structural inequities, where have Hispanics failed in their capacity to seek greater public outcomes? The answer lies in the fact that Hispanics in elected/appointed office have continued with the old business structure culture, one which favors a strong built environment agenda, and thereby "sustaining" and maintaining the status quo; in short, the city's urban planning model has remained in place, contributing to our widening socioeconomic divide. There is no consideration or discussion of viable alternative planning concepts or frameworks. We hear and see the illusion of "growth", "progress", and "prosperity", yet we remain a poor city. Hence, "some voices are underrepresented in city processes . . . these populations may not see solutions that work for them in city-led responses to climate change." This reality will remain in place unless and until better key stakeholders are invited to the table. The city assures for their long-term vision by having "influential community members, and business and institutional partners", who are already well connected in policy formulation, financing, and the city's long-term planning vision. This component needs greater consideration for truer equity to occur. In your Glossary section, "equity" is left out. I would pay attention to what this term means, in the context of the discussion above. I hope these views have been helpful. Thank you.	
What the city has allowed with 3 more apt complexes on wildernesss oak will not help this !!	2/25/2019
I support the defunding and elimination of office of sustainability with City of San Antonio. This is a 100% waste of taxpayer resources. focus on hiring more police/fire/ems....fixing and developing infrastructure.....we already have enough regulation of environment from Federal and State Government. City regulation is not necessary. City of SA has lowest rates of electricity and heating with having city owned energy company (CPS) that utilizes the most cost efficient resources for generating electrical power and heat for residential and commercial use. I am a 30+ year resident of district 6, address is 7211 Cooperbend, San Antonio, Texas 78250. I have witnessed the growth of Northwest SA and am comfortable with the growth and believe it is sustainable. No requirement for city of san antonio office of sustainability to be involved or duplicating existing regulatory city planning agencies. stop the expansion of city government away from core services of police/fire/infrastructure development. the energy market nor CPS Energy does not need any further regulatory burden as would be imposed by the city of san antonio office of sustainability.	2/25/2019
All of these issues have practical solutions tackled seperately.	2/26/2019
Preserving the environment and our wildlife natural heritage is VERY important.	2/26/2019
The proof of climate change is inconclusive and the term itself acknowledges this fact. It was once called global warming and since that was proven false it is now termed climate change.	2/26/2019
All of this means more government intrusion, higher taxes, and higher rates.	2/26/2019
Community education only aids the quite small percentage of people who are actually interested in learning more. The vast majority of people will want to make no effort to prepare for climate change. Families need to instill in their siblings, children, and even neighbors the real costs of climate change. Some people will only pay attention when it costs them money.	2/26/2019

Comment/Response	Date
San Antonio citizens need to be accepting of native wildlife that is being squeezed out of existence because of a huge human presence and resulting development.	2/26/2019
As an architect I believe it is wise to design and build with respect for nature and to avoid waste but I do not think laws should demand or mandate or make it a crime for people to use their property as they wish as long as they do not harm someone else with it. But it cannot be shown that most of the steps called for will harm anyone of not done.	2/26/2019
People who want this should move to California.	2/26/2019
The focus on equity is the plan's greatest strength. Ti.e and again, we have seen the unfortunate bear the brunt of the effects of climate change...and that must end.	2/26/2019
I feel all of this boils down to science, physics and Hydrology as water is THE main element in life and affects every aspect in obvious and subtle ways.	2/26/2019
As an architect and descendant of those who created the United States and Texas, I see that the whole global mayors project is an attempt to impose unproven doctrines about the natural world without going through the lawful national channels that have authority. This is an attempt to create law by bypassing the lawful means to do so. It is a short circuit to get around national sovereignty by going to cities instead of nations. The cities and their mayors do not have authority to make laws such as those proposed. I also perceive that the term sustainable also applies to lawful states and societies. Movements such as this make lawful society unsustainable. We need sound proven science and we need any proposed measures to be taken in regard to those proven facts to be made in accordance with federal and state law makers not some global city contrivance that has no authority. I consider this global cities movement to be as ill conceived as the questions on sex below. What makes anyone think they can alter their sex any more then they can alter their actual age? It is self deception. If you can't figure out what sex you are, you certainly cannot figure out anything else. You might say that I don't "identify" with the global mayors approach and the unsustainable impact it will have on lawful societies around the world.	2/26/2019
The city of San Antonio is supposed to have a tree protection ordinance with some teeth, yet I continue to see plot after plot of land within the city and in our ETJ completely raped of all trees (may one or two trees saved) to build housing, office buildings and retail centers. We can't combat our local environmental issues if we keep mowing down our natural air cleaners. The city of San Antonio has spent millions of dollars on integrating the majority (possibly all??) of traffic control systems. Yet, major boulevards are continuously choked with automobiles because the traffic signals are not synchronized, are set for too short of time cycles, and are almost exclusively using archaic "timed" sequences rather than being demand-based and continue to operate "normally" during minimal traffic times such as midnight to 5AM. It's way past time we start using the technology we have (or should have) in our traffic control systems. Along with traffic signals causing problems on major boulevards, our buses cause major traffic issues because they are forced to stop for riders in the main lanes. Bus lanes could also be shared for egress in and out of retail, business and residential areas.	2/26/2019
Much like the mayor signing the Paris Accords, this is moronic. ALL this means is: more government intrusion in our personal lives; more governmental control over businesses; higher taxes; higher rates; higher cost of living. Are we TRYING to become more like California? If so, God help us all. When I read the section regarding “pay as you throw” in order to cut down on garbage, I immediately had a vision of driving through our city and seeing piles of garbage in yards/streets which had been left there by people not wanting to or not being able to pay for garbage pickup!!! How long until we can vote Ron Nirenberg out of office?	2/26/2019
I think CPS needs to keep diversity of energy sources because that is what keeps our energy bills lower than other cities. People say they want to get rid of nuclear and coal but then they balk at any increases in energy bills. We need to keep the diversity in fuels to a degree. Working on transportation serves many purposes, not just climate ready reasons. I also very much dislike all the wind turbines in west texas and near the coast. They ruin the beauty of the areas! The transmission towers taking the power from west to cities are ugly as well and cause us to take land for those purposes! Another reason I don't like wind energy.	2/27/2019
Coldest winter on record in many States Climate change not caused by man These people are money grabbing fools	2/27/2019
So who is going to pay for the Don Quixote Plan? Where is the budget estimate?	2/27/2019
I want to see the city council riding bikes. Then we can talk about it.	2/27/2019

Comment/Response	Date
"Climate change" is NOT a settled science. Additionally, all we need to do is look at the exhorbant costs borne by taxpayers in other cities that tried to eliminate fossil-fuels and rely on things like windmill power. For the sake of economics, most citizens would be opposed to this type of action !	2/27/2019
Global Warming/Climate Change/whatever the hoaxers have to call it at this point to fool people who saw through their last title, is a hoax. Decisions should not be based on it.	2/27/2019
My family (2 retirees) & family of 5 are not pleased about the City trying to shove CAAP down our throats. We do not support USA participating in the Paris Climate Accord due to its costs & adverse impact on the US economy.	2/27/2019
Climate change is a hoax.	2/27/2019
We need to look at alternative transportation such as Light Rail, HOV lanes for express buses and reduce building of residential and businesses and promote more landscaping other that rock and cement which increases the temperatures in the area.	2/27/2019
Most important things are to 1) make explicit CPS's contribution to greenhouse gas emissions rather than hiding them in "buildings" category and strengthen the plan by: 2) closing all coal plants by 2025 3) close all gas plants by 2030 4) attain net zero carbon emissions by 2040 5) making SA a carbon sink by 2050	2/27/2019
All of this is important to the future of our city!	2/27/2019
Climate change is the nature of the planet. Intelligent goals based on science (not junk science, or creative science) will win the day. Spending vast amounts of tax payeer money to achieve limited goals is not the way to do it. In 10 years there will be different concerns and different means to achieve changes. Being resourceful and prudent goes a long way to helping the planet. It is a lot smarter than we give it credit for being. There is an intelligence behind it all that was put into place long before we arrived here.	2/27/2019
The liberal city council member's continue to go against the serving president of the united states. He withdrew us from the Paris climate accord, so now the city of San Antonio wants to go around the president with this plan. San Antonio does not have the money to carry out such an ambitious plan without federal dollars.	2/27/2019
We need to improve mass transit options in district 10. I moved to this neighborhood from west SA last February and I have several elderly neighbors who need better options. Common sense ideas like this will serve the community while helping meet goals like this.	2/27/2019
Even the recycling program has been instituted for very long time, general public still trash everything. Our culture in this City has not been educated enough to really try to save resources. For example, our culture promotes buying new instead of reusing it and fixing it. Our landfills (private and public) are full or materials that could have been reused, as plastic, glass, cardboard, etc., and also items that people just throw for the sake of throwing. Austin has started a program, where people can drop unwanted items that they still have further use, so the dropper does not pay a disposal fee (an incentive), the items get selected by the private company running this program, and separate them into a depot, and people wanting to buy the items, pay a reasonable fee, so is all win-win situation. It is time for us to take responsibility that in the near future; we will not have any available landfills. Also, not to mention the costs of closing a RCRA landfill, that is pay with the fees we pay the landfill for disposal and litter collection. Also, our organizational culture of this City promotes promiscuous dumping in creeks, empty lots and public ROW. I know the City provides free lifting of unwanted items once a year, but this is not an incentive for the community, as you can still see promiscuous dumping all over. This is our City, and the citizens need more education at the early age to make them love their community, and for the older generations, an incentive for them to dump the unwanted items in the right place. I have lived all over the US, and this is the only city where I have observed this situation.	2/27/2019
Trying to stay out of politics but my comment is that we should not act drastically to adjust any climate change issue until the political side (i.e. blaming man for climate change) is finally settled. Yes educate the public correctly without trying to commit to any drastic adjustment. Make alternative sources an option and not a mandate. Thanx!	2/27/2019
Remove carbon.	2/27/2019
Enough already. We have enough federal & state "help"! Stick to city business; fix streets, stop so many scooters, replace old stop signs, burned out street lights, ... city business!!!!!	2/27/2019
It is vital to act on these issues as soon as possible.	2/27/2019
If we all don't do something about climate change NOW what kind of world will we be handing	2/27/2019

Comment/Response	Date
over to our children and grandchildren? Let us in San Antonio take the lead on the Green New Deal--NOW!	
Extremely important to maintain green space and tree canopy protection. Development at the expense of nature and wildlife is a loss for our future generations.	2/27/2019
THIS IS NOTHING BUT POLITICAL PROPAGANDA. It is being pushed by people that believe the sky is falling. Please look at the pollution decrease in the US over the past 40 years and compare to today. Does anyone think CHINA or any third world country produces less pollution? This is clap trap and a fraud on the public.	2/27/2019
This is a waste of time and money. Global Warming is a FRAUD scheme!	2/27/2019
This plan is a bunch of liberal junk! Do not believe in all this climate change nonsense!! Believe in cleaning the environment to the best of our ability, but do NOT GO OVERBOARD!! Our climate has been going thru change for centuries with temps going up & down and NOT due to humans!!	2/27/2019
Current over development is ignoring the destructive impact of the roads and apartment buildings that have been built in the past decade. Hard surfaces replacing soil do not allow for good drainage. I believe the rapid pace of development that is taking place is putting San Antonio in danger of flooding like what happened in Houston.	2/27/2019
Climate change is just propaganda to get more taxes and line the rich people pockets	2/27/2019
Global warming is false based on wrong models! Eduaction to the public on these false, misguided numbers is not education but rather brainwashing! Encouraging us to recycle then fine us for a wrong item in our bin (when it is at the street and accessible to anyone) is unsupportable!! How could I fight the city's fine? What would the average household do with compost they've been forced to make? If unusable, where is it disposed? Another fine?	2/27/2019
Plant drought resistant fruit, nut trees and food. Need to be certain to plan well for the needs of disabled and elderly in getting to and using transportation.	2/27/2019
Don't wast resources on this hoax.	2/27/2019
I encourage protection of our environment, but am not taken in by the Man-made Global Warming hysteria being fostered by those seeking to financially benefit by such hysteria. Quite frankly, it is highly offensive.	2/27/2019
Socialist BS	2/27/2019
Global Warming/Climate Change/whatever the hoaxers have to call it at this point to fool people who saw through their last title, is a hoax. Decisions should not be based on it.	2/27/2019
We do not support the early retirement of CPS Energy's coal and natural gas fired generating plants. We do not support moving to solar & wind energy due to the high cost to produce, disposal of and lack of suitable recycling plans/strategies. We recently watched a PBS special about the problems that California is and will have with disposal of biohazards from solar manufacturing and disposal.	2/27/2019
Climate change is a hoax.	2/27/2019
Man-made Global warming is not a proven fact. The science (or lack of it, with falsified studies and computer projections) is extremely controversial at best. It is entirely inappropriate for a municipality to spend tax dollars on such a hoax. I support strengthening our city's ability to respond to weather emergencies and look out for extremely vulnerable citizens. But I strongly condemn most of the proposals in the SA Climate Ready plan.	2/27/2019
The City already spends large amounts of money for flood control but the do not insure erosion is part of the flood control process. Less cement and more natural landscape will reduce the heating element in the long run and help replenish the oxygen content in the atmosphere.	2/27/2019
We are already having to do some of these things!	2/27/2019
It's not that I don't support these ideas, but we need to do the hard things first, like get off coal, vastly improve public transportation, etc.	2/27/2019
You are creating solutions to problems that do not exist and you are fighting for that illusion that you only hope we will believe so we will fund your insane programs.	2/27/2019
Again, education at the early age, so kids create healthy habits, and for the older population, monetary incentives, as this is the only way people change.	2/27/2019
Yes be considerate but do not rush to assist at the cost of tax payers expense without approval.	2/27/2019
People will not (world wide) adapt at this time,	2/27/2019
All except one option above are misguided--by wasting resources on these you're saying "Climate change is coming and we can't do anything about it"--PATHETIC! Renewable energy! No more	2/27/2019

Comment/Response	Date
fossil fuels! Plant trees! WAKE UP!!!!!!!!	
Protection of current ecosystems and restoring others is a cost effective way to implement and integrate those strategies into the individual community/neighborhood involvement.	2/27/2019
More BS which isn't necessary!	2/27/2019
I have read scientific sources which state that a severe ice age is just as likely as a heat wave. There is no way city government can respond to either scenario, but they will try to and waste taxpayer money.	2/27/2019
The survey questions are misleading. Again this survey is biased to scare everyone that the sky is falling. This CITY government and its employees should stick to local issues of keeping the city clean and roads repaired.	2/27/2019
The United States Of America has withdrawn from the Paris agreement!	2/27/2019
All questions are based on Climate Control which has NOT been proven. Models have been "bumped" to meet what scientists "think" should occur instead of seeing where the model takes it.....NO CLIMAT CHANGE and NOT HUMAN CAUSED!	2/27/2019
Rain/flood water diversion plan to capture water for future use in watering, etc...	2/27/2019
Prepare for natural disasters that have been occurring less over the last 50 years. But don't call it changing climate.	2/27/2019
I am concerned that San Antonio government leaders are succumbing to Political Correctness in regard to global warming hysteria, costing SA citizens billions of dollars in needless programs. The Council needs to take a step back and examine the facts relative to climate change hysteria that has been falsely predicting calamity for decades.	2/27/2019
We should not give so many exceptions to current building ordinances, tree ordinances, etc. for example - along IH10W there was supposed to be a buffer of vegetation/no large signs etc. between IH10 and the new buildings/concrete etc. but seems every developer gets that rule exempted. I sat in for two days at board meetings in "one stop" city offices south of downtown and I did not hear a single exemption request denied. I was told by one of the clerks there that unless a group comes in to protest, the board usually approves the exemptions. When a protest group does show up, they typically postpone the vote. We need to enforce our ordinances such as tree protection, aquifer protections, building codes for lighting (night sky and military bases), etc. Why pay for studies and invest time and money into creating ordinances and protections if you are going to make so many exceptions for builders and developers! It is adding to the climate problems and frustrating for citizens who work hard to get ordinances that will help passed.	2/27/2019
Why don't you focus on fixing streets and picking up garbage? Quit this socialist BS and the sanctuary city crap.	2/27/2019
We are attempting to proceed as it "Climate change" is a settled science. Additionally, one needs to consider all of the jobs CURRENTLY being held by people in the energy industry which would be destroyed. All of these "green energy" ideas will merely foist higher costs on our SA citizens. This type of action would be a big mistake on the party of our city officials. If you want me to furnish evidence that proves that "climate change" is not settled science, I will be happy to do so. In exchange, I would ask for your promise to drop this proposal once the evidence is provided !!!	2/27/2019
Global Warming/Climate Change/whatever the hoaxers have to call it at this point to fool people who saw through their last title, is a hoax. Decisions should not be based on it.	2/27/2019
I would like to see 5-10 reachable goals for immediate implementation.	2/27/2019
We have not been able to attend one of the public meetings yet but have read accounts of the proceedings. We have never agreed with the Paris Climate Accord due to its devastating economic impact on the US but not the other major countries who pollute, such as China, India, etc. Natural gas should remain a key energy resource for the US and especially Texas utilities. None of this is financially feasible or practical by 2050 much less sooner, ie references to 2030. Rushing a vote on this this spring is irresponsible. It is as half baked as the Green New Deal being floated in Washington DC. We don't believe or accept the climate science hoaxs being perpuated by the Green New Deal Crowd. The City's participation in solar companies has failed much like the national program of Obama in 2008-2009.	2/27/2019
The city needs to do something to identify and implement alternate public transportation. Light rail may not be the answer but if Bus travel is the best that can be done then express lanes should be available (HOV) for those who need transportation to work and back. I am not convinced that the city is looking at the overall future of San Antonio. Lets try to increase the oxygen transfer through	2/27/2019

Comment/Response	Date
plants, trees and grass for healthy reasons. Why not implement Emissions inspections for all vehicles registered in San Antonio. We can reduce carbon emissions by enforcing emission control on all vehicles within the City of San Antonio. I believe we should encourage more drought tolerant grass for landscaping along with mulching and drought tolerant plants.	
Including vulnerable community members can be such a vital part - San Antonio has high rates of inequality, and we have such a large low-income or mid- to low-income population. Including them in city efforts can greatly increase the effect, and have lasting effects on our vulnerable community members that can help them in the future and better equip them for day-to-day life.	2/27/2019
All of the goals listed above are important. But as I mentioned, the most important short term goal is to shutter all coal plants by 2025 and gas plants by 2030. We need to get off fossil fuels as quickly as possible and transition to all renewable sources of energy, so that SA can become carbon neutral by 2040 and a carbon sink by 2050.	2/27/2019
I appreciate the leadership the city has taken on all of these issues, and encourage more!	2/27/2019
I fully support moving in this direction. This is policy and process - nothing will get done overnight but it needs to get done. We can't just do nothing!	2/27/2019
Not one thing on these lists will change nature. There will be climate change, either cooling or heating, despite the arrogance of people who think their inane ideas will stop nature. The only thing that will result will be much higher taxes and a willingness to control people. If these people want to do something of value, put their energies toward cleaning the oceans. The trash that has accumulated is sickening - literally. All that trash leads to a warming that does affect our weather but not the climate.	2/27/2019
Measures to combat climate change and environmental destruction should not be kicked down the road any longer. This should not be a political issue but it has been manipulated into one. Science and technology have been marginalized. Citizens (voters) are tired of politicians who claim to believe in family values coming up with excuses for delaying action to mitigate environmental and health disasters—disasters that will harm their own children and grandchildren. Perhaps the only way to convince skeptics is to point out that there's a lot of profit in designing tech to solve these problems. Example: There are three times as many renewable energy jobs as coal jobs in this great nation.	2/27/2019
I have said it on a previous question but I feel I must notate and stress my viewpoint. We should not act or react drastically to our climate change without further and extensive (emphasized) economic and social studies as I believe many in our country and in the world are trying to take advantage of an event that is critical to a degree but also trying to better themselves financially at our cost which includes the rest of the countries in our world. I am specifically citing this new "Green Deal" being supported by young congressional members and trying to dictate to you (as an energy company) and us to expend enormous expense in issues that do not deem a critical necessity yet would very much change your and our way of living. I am in favor of preparing and looking into the various new alternatives and exploring the advantages so that we can adapt as the time comes. Thank you very much.	2/27/2019
The solution is in the science.	2/27/2019
Overall observation: Stop with the "pie in the sky", "PC correct" climate change crap and focus on city issues that are REAL. Improve our community now and not waste tax payer monies on advanced climate change issues that are far and above your and my pay grade! We have more than enough plain old common sense issues to deal with, or fix, right in front of us. That's why you were elected to city government. Frankly, I for one am tired of our "wanna' be" city politicians that are just looking for national attention and the next better paying government job! TRASH THE CLIMATE CONTROL ISSUE AND ADDRESS SOMETHING LIKE ALL THOSE FUTURE SCOOTER LAW SETTLEMENTS (that our tax dollars will have to settle) BY STOPPING THE INSANE NUMBERS OF THOSE THINGS ON OUR STREETS AND SIDEWALKS!	2/27/2019
We have done many things to clean up our environment already.	2/27/2019
No more fossil fuels! Renewable energy! Fewer automobiles! Dedicated express bus lanes! Light rail! Plant trees! WAKE UP!	2/27/2019
Ambitious plan but goals are worthwhile. Emphasis on aspects that the city, business and community efforts can accomplish is a good roadmap but the devil is in the details on how it is to be accomplished. Incentives for community involvement should be clearly articulated to increase buy-in of key portions of the plan. It seemed like undue emphasis on "vulnerable population"	2/27/2019

Comment/Response	Date
terminology as if everyone is not effected by the effects of Climate change and the Climate action plan. In fact we are all vulnerable, but there are things that can be done for us and other things that should be done by us to accomplish these goals. Grass root level initiatives by neighborhoods and local organizations should be supported by the city. Education on the plan and then inclusion in the implementation will be crucial. Adaptation Strategies should be adopted and city leaders need to embrace them as well as the big ticket items to be completed by government or business. In all cases try to preserve and protect nature and the planet we live on---Thank you!	
Climate always changes. People build structures (homes and office buildings) that comply with code requirements for strength and the insulation factors to provide efficient heat and cold control. I don't support San Antonio's diversion into so called Climate Change adjustments. Wind and solar won't get people from point A to point B. We are a carbon based civilization.	2/27/2019
The only activity which will help our climate situation is to plant trees. I wholeheartedly support the efforts of the Arbor Day Foundation and other such organizations that focus on planting trees.	2/27/2019
When India, China and other countries show us how to do it, we can learn from them. The USA doing it without the other countries is a fools errand and a tax boondoggle.	2/27/2019
Stop wasting time and money on climate change fix the roads Build more curbs and get rid of the escooters and control the red bikes for rent Hire more police and consolidate county and city services.	2/27/2019
All this equity business is absurd. Just improve our infrastructure like water lines and roads which you have been pushing for years, but not sure you are actually doing!! Solar and wind are great, but we cannot depend on them alone!! Electric cars are absolutely not logical!! STOP building over the aquifer!! Stop adding more area to Bexar county or SA city limits. They are BIG enough!! Stop spending millions of dollars on totally stupid things like the wildlife bridge over San Pedro!! Stop spending our tax dollars on the Alamo makeover plan which most of the public was against as it was proposed and other ridiculous ideas!! If you want people to stay in SA, DO NOT become California nor New York City!!!!!!!!!	2/27/2019
A good place to start is banning plastic bags and plastic straws. Also, start a anti-litter campaign, including bringing back the "Don't mess with Texas" signs.	2/27/2019
Climate expert Patrick Michaels says climate change data is miscalculated and misapplied.	2/27/2019
Where is this money going to come from to “educate” and build?	2/27/2019
I have spent the last several years transitioning away from single-use plastics in all aspects of my life - cleaning, eating, cooking, shopping, *everything.* As someone who is putting zero-waste into practice at a consumer level on a daily basis, I am extremely excited to see mention of it in the CAAP (strategy 14). I think incentivizing zero-waste grocery is a step in the right direction, but I think the incentives should go towards existing stores. For example, there was a zero-waste store in Austin called in.gredients. It failed after a few years because they were too limited! HEB Central Market already has much of the zero waste infrastructure in place *and* they’re a well-established business. To incentivize the creation of a new business that could fail merely to have it as a proof of concept is not a wise use of money. Instead, I recommend incentivizing stores like HEB and Whole Foods to make their existing setup more accessible to package-free and BYO container. I know Whole Foods is welcoming to BYO container, but Central Market has been hesitant about me bringing my own containers for bulk liquids. Same is true of the meat, deli, cheese, and bread counters. By having these stores instate official policies that encourage customer use of reusable containers, it’s a wiser use of incentives from the city and it has the potential to introduce the concept to a much wider audience than the existing tiny zero waste community in San Antonio. In addition, I am very happy to see more funding for education about proper composting and recycling (strategy 15). I want to emphasize the importance of making this education accessible to everyone at locations across town, not just at choice locations like EcoCentro where the green people already are! Strategy 8 - Water Conservation - I would love to see the city implement year-round once-a-week watering restrictions. People are so accustomed to their grass turf lawns and aren’t committing to native landscapes because they don’t have to with the current watering restrictions. Year-round once-a-week watering may make people revisit their landscape decisions and make major overhauls. This move would also more heavily target high-income residents who have the luxury to pay for pouring thousands of gallons of potable water on non-native plants.	2/27/2019
Promote water conservation by requiring developers to chose alternate landscaping on new	2/27/2019

Comment/Response	Date
developments instead of turf grass and irrigation systems. Regulate water use to discourage huge amounts of water use.	
I KNOW,the first law to be I acted will be auto emission control. This will affect poor and low,income families the most. It's merely a tax revenue for the city. Providing mass transit to places not all citizens go, isn't helping all citizens!	2/27/2019
CO2 good. Get ready for the cold.	2/27/2019
All of this assumes that you view climate change is created by human activities. The Paris Climate Change opus is a hoax. What you are ignoring is that human activity has little to do with climate change. This planet has been changing ever since God created it. This change was going on eons before humans appeared on this earth. Man has nothing to do with the carving of the Grand Canyon. Man had nothing to do with the uplift of the Applachian and Rocky mountains. Man had nothing to do with the great floods (which left fossilized shark's teeth embedded in Palo Duro Park. You are failing to recognize that we are now entering the Grand Solar Minimum. The sun is weakening. Again, human activities have nothing to do with this. It has happened many times during the earth's history. The current episode is anticipated to last 400 years. If history repeats itself, the sun will wax again after the 400 years of solar quiet. Yes, we should do our part of protect the earth. The program you have outlined is draconian--just one more program to allow "authorities" to place more and more restrictions on people. Control of the populace is the ultimate objective. For my part, I will have no part in such a stupid scheme which lends itself open for corruption.	2/27/2019
Feasibility is important. I'm sure (or, I sure hope) there are people who are considering the economic impact this will have on citizens.	2/27/2019
Thanks all of y'alls hard work to make our city even better	2/27/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/27/2019
Learn from Other People's Mistakes, Take-Away from Reading the CAAP The last time I checked, the purpose of CPS Energy was to provide clean, affordable energy to the citizens of San Antonio. The release of the new CAAP (Climate Action and Adaptation Plan) starts with the Mayor signing the Paris Climate Accord. Ladies and gentlemen, a reading of the CAAP is all about addressing social injustices and hardly at all about providing clean, affordable energy. Let's examine some of the large decisions that CPS Energy has made in recent years. Seven years ago came the announcement of the early retirement of the Deely Power Plant, one of the cleanest coal plants in the USA. That plant was permanently closed on December 31, 2018. Recognizing that this may have dire effects on the citizens of San Antonio, CPS Energy purchased a	2/27/2019

Comment/Response	Date
nearby gas-fired plant in the city of Seguin to mitigate the problem (an excellent decision, BTW). Starting about 2006, CPS Energy enacted numerous Purchase Power Agreements for wind and solar projects to increase renewable energy in San Antonio. The largest result of these contracts has been an increase in energy costs and a corresponding increase in the volatility of the wholesale electricity market. Paying extra to make a less reliable system? Does this make any sense? Recently, CPS Energy realized that after the retirement of the Deely Plant, they will NOT HAVE ENOUGH MONEY. The obvious answer to that, of course, is to raise rates. BUT TO DO SO WILL CAUSE CPS ENERGY TO HAVE HIGHER RATES THAN MOST OTHER TEXAS UTILITIES. This has finally given CPS Energy leaders some inkling of the problems they have created for themselves and for the citizens of San Antonio. There are two courses of action that can be pursued from this point. One, KEEP GOING UNTIL IT BREAKS. Build more solar, more wind, shut down more fossil fuel generation, until there is no more affordable energy available to San Antonio citizens. Allow me to offer a warning from this path. This is the kind of thing that has been tried in other countries, e.g. Spain. It didn't turn out well. Two, STOP AND REASSESS. It would be relatively easy at this time to put some money into the recommissioning of the Deely Plant, or build some new generation. Stop throwing money into the renewable pipe dream… at least until it's more affordable and reliable than fossil energy. Learn from other people's mistakes. We don't need to make all the same mistakes as, for example, those well-meaning citizens in Cape Cod, Massachusetts who built two wind turbines then found that they couldn't rely on them, they couldn't sell the excess power and they couldn't even afford to take them down! (https://www.wsj.com/articles/a-green-new-deal-in-profile-11549325968?mod=searchresults&page=1&pos=1) CPS Energy, Mr. Mayor, and members of the City Council, I appreciate that you're all trying to do the right thing, but don't continue thinking that "doing the right thing" means "go renewable even if it will be the financial ruin of the citizens of San Antonio" which is what's really happening.	
Y'all make this sound like dooms day, what a joke, the climate is cyclical	2/28/2019
I think there is too much hype that is not accurate on climate change.	2/28/2019
I think the city of this size needs more green spaces and parks. Every square inch of land doesn't need to be developed.	2/28/2019
Work with CPS to use abundant cleaner burning natural gas.	2/28/2019
I dislike the idea of having to sell our family's vehicles to buy electric or whatever is available. I very much dislike having the # of miles I need to drive prohibited by the city, county or anyone else.	2/28/2019
Global warming is a hoax !	2/28/2019
As we only have 12 years to avoid dire environmental consequences, we need to move as one, doing everything in our power, to preserve our healthy environment, and our planet.	2/28/2019
Fast and easily accessible public transportation would make a HUGE impact. I want to be able to walk to a bus or rail station from my house, and then ride to work in a reasonable amount of time.	2/28/2019
Preserve & protect our City's TREES. View energy conservation as an additional "source" of energy, displayed on pie chart. Educate the public about value of recycling!	2/28/2019
Sooner the better	2/28/2019
Suggest you focus on influencing SA City Councilmen who consistently vote against SA climate initiatives, and others who somehow believe they're appropriately educated enough to impact change...particularly in District 10.	2/28/2019
In short, we've been told the same message for so long ("the climate is in dire straits") that we believe it without the willingness to ask or answer questions honestly from the other side.	2/28/2019
So tired of a corrupt government fabricating more control over our lives, and individuals getting rich in the process.	2/28/2019
What does this have to do with running the city???	2/28/2019
Too much control is not going to be popular.	2/28/2019
I believe that this is a waste of time and money and is just another political feel good policy..	2/28/2019
We need incentives to motivate the people of our city to take the easy, affordable measures that help to lower our carbon footprint. I like the awards that CPS gives to citizens who are most efficient in their use of electricity. More of these would be welcomed.	2/28/2019
Promote TREE planting. Educate people in SA about the changes to come and how to adapt.	2/28/2019
I prefer solving the problems rather than expect this as normal.	2/28/2019
Suggest you focus on influencing SA City Councilmen who consistently vote against SA climate initiatives, and others who somehow believe they're appropriately educated enough to impact	2/28/2019

Comment/Response	Date
change...particularly in District 10.	
We can't predict how many hurricanes there will be this year, yet CAAP says we will have 100+ days of 100+ degree days. That is not predictable. It is a scare tactic and nothing more. How many years of climate data do we have to make those kind of presumptions? Honest climatologist will say it is theory at best.	2/28/2019
I believe in conservation, but you are taking it too far!!!!	2/28/2019
Be realistic and don't go overboard. Do not penalize one area of the community at the expense of another,	2/28/2019
I think the information should be provided in a simpler version so people can have a better understanding.	2/28/2019
This is a great website with great information but as a college student reading the information was a bit overwhelming.	2/28/2019
Climate change will affect the quality of life of our children, and all of those that come after us. doing nothing and continuing in our highly consumptive and live for today mentality is self centered, short term, shallow thinking, or worse , immoral thinking if only we and our direct lineage are important to us. We must plan, we must have the courage to act , and even tap a hard rap on our current thought of what " the good life" is in consideration of our ancestors, and the good earth the Heavenly Father entrusted to our care. We canNOT blow this!!	2/28/2019
This appears to be another power grab by politicians in an effort to control the population of the city.	2/28/2019
City government should be concerned with the city issues of public safety, infrastructure and services needed. Recycling and reuse are great projects and keeping the city clean is a noble task but venturing into these costly, dubious endeavors should not be the role of city government.	2/28/2019
I will have to find my references in order to complete this section.	2/28/2019
Recycling and being energy efficient is always a good thing. No need to dramatize it or scare people by calling it “climate change”.	2/28/2019
San Antonio traffic is quickly becoming a major source of pollution as well as the cause of rapid gentrification of our city center. I think public transportation should be a top priority.	2/28/2019
I'm very pleased to see that our City has a well thought out CAAP ("draft") and is seeking public comment. It's an opportunity for the public to learn about these coming changes and the needed adaptations. I would hope that final decisions on the CAAP would be made based on science and evidence-based best practices (in other cities, other countries) rather than uninformed skepticism and naysayers. Thank you!	2/28/2019
Laws that make home builders comply with eco sustainable homes. Landscaping as well.	2/28/2019
An example of climate exaggeration: Why do we conserve Edwards Aquifer water? Are we concerned about running out? How much water is in the aquifer? The higher and higher water rates have nothing to do with running out, but are tied to endangered species. How many people are familiar with the Comal Springs Riffle Beetle that we are concerned with protecting. Oh, it's a bug 1/8" long. I could site several examples of the doom and gloom predictions over the decades that have not come to fruition. But is anyone actually interested in a conversation of is this really real? Or, are we parroting Al Gore, "The debate is over."? That's what people say when they stop the conversation because they know they won't win the debate.	2/28/2019
I am commenting on the Climate Action Plan as a member of SANE. While SANE commends the City of San Antonio for tackling climate change and climate adaptation head on through policies adopted and implemented from the Climate Action Plan, we also have some concerns and recommendations regarding the plan. The plan narrative relies heavily on electric vehicles to improve air quality and less so on an effective, efficient transit system and multi-modal transportation system. This policy is out of the control of the city and highly reliant on the market of electric vehicles and whether they would be affordable and accessible to most households. The city could have more control over an effective and robust rapid transit system and multi-modal transportation system that could serve more households in the lower and middle-income bracket, reaching the goal of equity within the plan and improving air quality. The narrative in the plan needs to specifically speak to this more. The plan narrative and policy also focuses heavily on green building, which is also reliant on market forces. The more stringent your regulations on green building, the less redevelopment will occur to those standards. Make green building financially feasible for everyone by allowing for more	2/28/2019

Comment/Response	Date
intensive uses of property, including multi-family uses throughout neighborhoods and mixed high density residential uses elsewhere. A team of researchers in 2017 wrote a research paper on urban density and its impacts on building energy use through 2050. The paper concluded that urban density is as effective, if not more effective, at creating efficiency improvements for energy savings in building heating and cooling. As people live closer together, the average sizes of private living spaces shrink, leading to a decline in heating and cooling, and less of a need for individual vehicles. Furthermore, A congressional report that reviewed decades of research literature found that there is strong evidence that suggests developing more compactly at higher population and employment densities significantly lowers vehicle miles traveled. The climate plan specifically calls out lowering vehicle miles traveled but does not specifically call out increasing density in urban centers. The biggest factor in the carbon footprint of cities isn't building efficiency, but its zoning. Zoning laws that protect low-density single-family housing creates a large carbon footprint, increased vehicle miles traveled and housing affordability issues. Density, more compact development, is also a climate adaptation strategy. Vulnerability to heat stress is directly related to the amount of time someone has to spend exposed to the elements, specifically traveling from one place to another. To the extent you can shorten the trip length, you are reducing exposure to excessive heat. SANE highly encourages you to create policy for greater density throughout San Antonio, especially within the urban core, to reduce sprawl, improve building efficiency, and reduce vehicle miles traveled. The current policies in the land use section are very broad and do not specifically state for the city to increase density through zoning changes. Increasing density is a policy that requires no city investment; in fact, high density zoning actually save the City money due to reduced infrastructure, police and fire budgets. It does require the city to get out of the way of San Antonians that want to contribute to compact, walkable, transit supportive, connected, climate adaptive communities. Thank you for your consideration of my comments.	
This appears to be a visual/conecpt plan, not an implementation plan. If approved, will there be implementation plans for each strategy?	2/28/2019
We are in Texas, where oil and gas is big. We are going to have to convince people to switch.	2/28/2019
We need to make sure these strategies can still do the needed job once implemented. For example, you can't haul around 12 guys in a Prius.	2/28/2019
In the Mitigation strategies section, the strategies go into a deprtment and they have a cost, this means they will go into action. So, there's going to be millions of dollars going into the plan. What's not being discussed is the exact costs, you need to talk real numbers.	2/28/2019
All we've heard is from the environmentalist side, we have not yet had a discussion about whether or not climate change is even real.	2/28/2019
Being equitable means that we are going to have to spend more money.	2/28/2019
If there wasn't a climate plan in place from 2013-2016, than why did our emissions decrease during that time?	2/28/2019
Without touching on whether or not climate change is real, we do not yet know the environmental impacts of some of these strategies. For example, wind energy messes with the magnetic field which hurt bee populations. Let's look at a study of solar power.	2/28/2019
I want to see the plan say that it will follow the scientific method. We need a validated climate model.	2/28/2019
At what point will implementation be funded by municipal bonds? Will we vote on these?	2/28/2019
Vehicles do not last forever so eventually, we will need to switch. At this point, we can switch to electric vehicles. I am very impressed with this plan.	2/28/2019
We do not have time to wait to take climate action.	2/28/2019
Does the plan include doing benchmarking of other cities that have created these plans and seen them backfire? How can we learn from their experiences? We need to benchmark other city's work.	2/28/2019
Look at what happened in Georgetown, they switched to renewables too fast and it cost them money.	2/28/2019
People need to actually read the plan and not just go on hearsay.	2/28/2019
I noticed that the other metro areas of Texas have Vehicle Emissions Testing and we do not. Why is	2/28/2019

Comment/Response	Date
this?	
I'm usually plugged in and I'm just now hearing about it. I see the part in hosting a meeting but not that anyone from the city will attend. (This comment was followed by a response from TWG member, Kate Gruy Jaceldo, and former OS Deputy, Eloisa Portillo-Morales stating that OS staff would more than gladly attend/host a meeting for the commenter and are reachable through email and phone. Referring to the "Host a meeting" tab in saclimateready.org, commenter responds with...) It's a do it yourself meeting and offers no one from the city to attend. Thank you Eloisa. The meeting I will have will be contrary viewpoint. The reality of GHG gases and its benefits to our earth. The expense and far-reaching aspects of this CAAP and others around the nation who are doing the same. Science does not agree that climate change is real and President Trump backed out of the Paris Agreement and here is why. I'd like to know the equity of discussion in this plan.	2/28/2019
Do our city fathers plan to cut off gas service? And expect us to retro-fit to all electric? Remember, their policy states: Fossil free by 2050.	2/28/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	2/28/2019
PROMOTE & educate the value in using REUSABLE grocery and shopping bags	3/1/2019
Please promote white or other light colored roofs to refkect solar radiation away from our overheated city in warm months. White shingles cost no more than dark shingles. Green roofs with plantings shoukd also be encouaged. They are cool and make oxygen. Lastly, ee have ACRES of nearly fkat commercial building roofs. Couldn't CPS and the commercial bldg owners entet into a win win arrangement to pkace solar panels on those expansive roofs so as to reduce electric costs to the bussiness ownet AND meet CPS's goal for renewable energy? Energy produced near its consumption site is the most efficient ad there is no power lost during a long transmission. Thank you for all you are doing and planning.	3/1/2019
work within the current technology and cost eff.	3/1/2019
Why is there no rail system included in plan to reduce transportation consumption?	3/1/2019
San Antonio needs to maximize its efforts in becoming 100 percent green as we will cease to exist if we don't. Ignore the deniers and go forward. It is already too late.	3/1/2019
PROTECT AND ENSURE QUALITY OF OUR DRINKING WATER - Deter waste from contaminating our water sources	3/1/2019
Promote the planting of trees and tighter restrictions or required replacemwnt of tree removal during new constuction.	3/1/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Work with current organization and free market.	3/1/2019
We need to create paperless schools as soon as possible along with making solar energy affordable for everyone. Water conservation is another priority we cannot ignore.	3/1/2019
Via buses and stations can serve as valuable sources to educate and promote our city goals Campaign slogans to be shown at movie theaters to promote of educate New York City uses their rail and metro stations to bring awareness of city's goals and recommendations to its residents and visitors	3/1/2019
All of these climate approaches are fantastic. Kudos to the city council for this approach!	3/1/2019
Incentivize or make an ordinance requiring solar panals...if geometry permits...on all new homes over $400,000 and on commercial buildings, old and new. Educate citizens and business owners that white roofs reflect sun light, saving on the need for AC.	3/1/2019
Why is there no rail system included in plan to reduce transportation consumption? I would like to see light rail come to San Antonio. We are the largest city in the U.S. without rail transit. Why???	3/1/2019
We need to ween ourselves from the fossil fuels industry ASAP. Investing in electric vehicles would be a great start. The CPS casa verde program is great but I believe it should be expanded to middle income families too. Be aggressive and stay focused like Congresswoman Ocasio-Cortez.	3/1/2019
At our company we are thinking about implementing new sustainable strategies and were wondering if there going to be any sort of acknowledgments for companies implementing green actions?	3/1/2019
Sounds like much money must be spent to stop a non problem. Address real problems.	3/2/2019
I have been urging VIA, for 25 years, to provide bus service to our neighborhood. IT HAS BEEN CONTINUOUSLY DENIED. I have also attended dozens of meetings over the past 20 years advocating "FUNCTIONAL" bicycle lanes; requests have fallen on deaf years - funds are taken away. Recreational bicycle lanes are nice, but DO NOT get you to and from work or school. Painting white strips on some streets for "bicycle" lanes only partially solve the problem. Other cities have had great functional bicycle lanes - exclusively only for bicycles or joggers for over 30 years ! ! ! I know, because I've lived and worked in those cities, and have extensively used those bicycle lanes, which are COMPLETELY safe from vehicular traffic.	3/2/2019
These sound good but they are way, way to broad and vague to comment on.	3/2/2019
Ecosystem comment: Cap housing and apartment developments equitably in all districts and rezone land that contain trees and natural habitats so that wildlife and nature have a place in each district... they can be as little as 1 acre to serve as a nature green area with a couple of benches amid the businesses. Shopping centers and housing...maybe add a bird bath or water basin so small wildlife and birds can take refuge. Require big developers to establish 1-2 acre wildlife green areas as part of their development plan and plant trees if there is none These little green areas can be integrated throughout our city in addition to parks er currently have. We need to protect our ecosystems and put back as we tear down to make room for housing and businesses.	3/2/2019
We look to our leaders to 'lead' us. The transparency that exists today is phenomenal! I would like to see this survey get more attention.	3/2/2019
Let the public care for itself.	3/2/2019
San Antonio's Climate Action and Adaption Plan (CAAP) is NOT based on genuine, proven scientific data. As currently proposed, it has an agenda, at a tremendous cost to the taxpayer, and not a common sense approach. One single, simple example: my parents were applying recycling procedures over 60 years ago, and taught us how to also apply and practice them. NO POLITICIANS OR GOVERNMENT INVOLVED; simple, common sense.	3/2/2019
So the city has decided that it a hot summer or heavy rain is the result of "climate change" and we can do something about it? A faulty premise to base decisions on.	3/2/2019
I think the council does a great job at this already!	3/2/2019
I believe the entire process and plan are a feel good plan that will not impact anyone BUT those who benefit from implementing the plan. The Earth has gone through changes lile these in the past and like the past,humans cannot affect the climate. Stop this nonsense and focus on real issues you can affect like rule of law or enforcement of laws which really impact people like property rights or road improvements. Take care of more short term issues rather than grandstanding against what probably is not an issue but to the ones who will benefit from the effort. ,	3/2/2019
Reduce Transportation Consumption I have been urging VIA, for 25 years, to provide bus service to	3/2/2019

Comment/Response	Date
our neighborhood. IT HAS BEEN CONTINUOUSLY DENIED. I have also attended dozens of meetings over the past 20 years advocating "FUNCTIONAL" bicycle lanes; requests have fallen on deaf years - funds are taken away. Recreational bicycle lanes are nice, but DO NOT get you to and from work or school. Painting white strips on some streets for "bicycle" lanes only partially solve the problem. Other cities have had great functional bicycle lanes - exclusively only for bicycles or joggers for over 30 years ! ! ! I know, because I've lived and worked in those cities, and have extensively used those bicycle lanes, which are COMPLETELY safe from vehicular traffic. San Antonio's Climate Action and Adaption Plan (CAAP) is NOT based on genuine, proven scientific data. As currently proposed, it has an agenda, at a TREMENDOUS cost to the taxpayer, and not a common sense approach. One single, simple example: my parents were applying recycling procedures over 60 years ago, and taught us how to also apply and practice them. NO POLITICIANS OR GOVERNMENT INVOLVED; simple, common sense. We live in ZIP code 78216; Hispanic; over 60; male; Military Veteran; elpchs58@yahoo.com	
Good stewardship of what we have it a laudable goal. When I look in my blue bin, I realize how much recycling can reduce the landfill, assuming of course that the items offered for recycling actually are recycled. I cannot comment on the rest of these items because there is no way to tell what the effect of implementing these vague strategies will be. What is the estimated cost of pursuing and resolving them? How will the tactics developed to implement these strategies affect the citizens of the city? What services will be curtailed? What costs/taxes will be increased? What requirements will be placed on the citizens?	3/2/2019
Governance is the Implementation section is weak for this degree of social change. Advisory Committee is to give advice to who? and then who is accountable for taking that advice and acting on it? Overall, this plan helps to build on prior social movements created by CPS from the Energy Summit in 2007 Mission Verde 2008, SA2020, Build for Tomorrow, etc. A good report on this status of things and areas to focus but still not enough to implement real social change.	3/2/2019
Does this plan include outlawing all red meat and vehicles? Will there be a no fly zone over San Antonio due to the banning of Airplanes? Will you give the San Antonio government 90% of your income for Medicaid for all, guaranteed income for all, education for all, housing for all?	3/2/2019
Given the magnitude of the problem and the huge downside of doing nothing, I rate these all as very important.	3/3/2019
A suggestion for reducing traffic and emissions - cities such as Portland, OR are increasing urban density by providing incentives for property owners to add additional living spaces on their properties.	3/3/2019
Dear Mayor Ron Nirenberg: I thank you for making San Antonio a leader in America's fight to tackle climate change. While there is an alarming lack of national leadership on climate and clean energy in the U.S., local leaders like you are stepping up and making real progress in this global crisis. From city halls to state houses, from universities to corporate headquarters, real action is happening locally to reduce carbon emissions and transition to cleaner energy. And making progress on climate change at the local level can be our most powerful tool for pushing for more action in Washington, D.C. I just saw countless examples of these efforts in the new climate leadership film, "Paris to Pittsburgh." You can lead by promoting energy efficient buildings, increasing funding for public transportation and safe walking and biking, advancing local renewable energy, and providing infrastructure to support electric vehicles. So I thank you for your leadership and urge you to redouble your efforts to help in our fight against climate change now, before it's too late. Thank you.	3/3/2019
WE need light rail!!! It's a shame we don't have it by now. "The largest curbside recycling program in TX" is great...unless the populace is uninformed (as it is now)	3/4/2019
All of these aspects of a response to challenges of climate instability are automatically promoted if substantial fees are charged proportional to emission sof GHGs.	3/4/2019
I'm concerned about the city taking money backed by a former and possible 2020 presidential candidate. Where is the disclosure, oversight, and audit of this environmental program to verify that politics will not interfere with the implementation?	3/4/2019
Address urban food deserts! There's little to no organic food on E/S/W sides. Diabetes is a public health crisis. Sugary drinks out of schools.	3/4/2019
Public discourse is lacking. Basic moral precepts that are key to addressing the problem globally are not even mentioned. We have a shared right to set limits to impacts and to benefit from	3/4/2019

Comment/Response	Date
natural wealth.	
I would have liked to have seen more awareness and incorporation of permeable hardscapes and chamber systems to capture water. If San Antonio is expected to see a reduced rainfall; flooding, water capture, water release and repurpose are essential to the plan. The technology and products are currently available and being used in Houston.	3/4/2019
Bike lanes; spending funding in poorer communities for easier transportation options. The lyft/uber option to connect with bus lines is not a great option for low income citizens they can barely afford bus fare.	3/4/2019
Need to bring back bike rodeos at schools or community parks	3/4/2019
Via buses and big vehicles should have mandatory emissions testing. These big vehicles pollute our air and make people sick.	3/4/2019
Sounds like everything is covered.	3/4/2019
If moral precepts are to be manifest in reality, we must name them and ID them as our aim. Make prices honest (account for economic externalization) by charging fees to F.F extraction/emissions. Make policy fair by sharing fee proceeds to all people. limits what people want if we raise fees until random polls show that more people think theres not too much impact of this or that.	3/4/2019
We should be having fall tree planting programs with adapted varieties and plans to water until established. work with local retailers to make sure they are selling varieties that will survive our climate. We should promote roof gardens and pocket parks to increase green space. Increasing energy efficiency of existing structures is critical and essential.	3/4/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	3/4/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio.	3/4/2019

Comment/Response	Date
The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I have emailed my councilwoman Viagran to ask her to vote for plan. only problem with plan is that about 50% of San Antonians can't afford an electric car, me included. But I am FOR this plan.	3/4/2019
In the 70's, scientists finally had enough info and the sky was falling; we were all going to freeze and temps weren't going to be high enough to grow crops and we were going to have a food shortage. In the 90's, we had a hole in the ozone and we were warming the planet. Now we're saying it's climate change. Of course it's changing. I'm not in favor of "educating" our community in this way that we really don't know. Scientists that agree in man made climate change are often given more money to study it further. Of course they'd agree...	3/5/2019
I am totally in favor of clean energy and a clean environment, but not at all costs. I would say we have a clean environment at this time, but sure, improvements can be made. The city in my opinion needs to recognize what it's role should be. You should handle flooding to protect citizens, encourage cleaner energy, not mandate it (if the market supports it, it will not need to be mandated). I am not interested in educating the population on the "climate change" religion for the reasons I stated further up in the survey. You mention "equity in adaptation". Of course I'm in for supporting vulnerable community members, but I'm not so sure the city ought to be doing this. Private organizations step in to support them every day. Vulnerable community members also will include everyday folks living payday to payday and poor folks. When the city goes on a spending spree, our taxes go up and those folks are affected the most. We need to be thinking about how it'll hurt them. They say "the road to hell is paved with good intentions". Let's be careful what this city mandates.	3/5/2019
Reduce Transportation Consumption - Increase transportation options and promote cleaner, more efficient vehicles. --- reducing transportation consumption can also be achieved by increasing use of telecommunication technologies such as use of telephone conferencing and web conferencing for as many people to people interactions as possible. This in turn reduces vehicles on the road. Also I don't know how you would promote it, but use of local delivery services is a missed opportunity if not considered. Example, 10 people drive to subway to get a sandwich when instead 1 driver could deliver to 10 people. That reduces vehicle use by 9 cars! This could also be applied to anything people drive to go and get (mail, groceries, kids at school, fast food, etc).	3/5/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to	3/5/2019

Comment/Response	Date
renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	3/5/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please	3/5/2019

Comment/Response	Date
move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	3/5/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	3/5/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming	3/5/2019

Comment/Response	Date
decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	3/5/2019
I'm more supportive of education than my answer above would seem to indicate, but to paraphrase David Wallace-Wells, author of Uninhabitable Earth, climate change is a political problem and one that only be solved by politics. The worst harms will not be avoided by changes in individual behavior. I prefer a CAAP that trains its efforts and energies on industrial emitters.	3/6/2019
I've long wondered why we don't put solar paneled covers in all our large parking lots. Fiesta Texas, Sea World, and HEB seem like great pilot sites. We have So Much Sun for most of the year; maybe we can significantly reduce our coal-based consumption while keeping our cars cooler! :) Would also like to see more public education regarding Recycling. Even something like YouTube videos I could share with friends/coworkers would be fantastic (and would only need to be 'presented' the once!)	3/6/2019
This education must begin at home in the family, and then continue in school when the child	3/6/2019

Comment/Response	Date
reaches school age.	
No more subsidies for green infrastructure. If it cannot mange on its own, then the general public is not ready for it. Shoving it down our throats is not the answer.	3/6/2019
We must care for the earth and it's resources because God created it to be beautiful and to flourish. It is our HOME!	3/6/2019
Until the city leads by example with its own leaders, this shouldn't be implemented. Until COSA demands that the airport, the number one San Antonio producer of all forms of pollution starts mitigating a large portion of its pollution, none of this should be implemented.	3/6/2019
Our city is pretty dang great. Thanks to everyone who contributes to making all the great things happen!	3/6/2019
Urged the Council to hold those most vulnerable in mind as San Antonio response to climate change moves forward. He spoke of the effects of extreme heat and extreme weather. Asked the Council to go even further by shutting down coal plants, and go carbon neutral. Supports the CAAP and even more.	3/6/2019
Retired environmental toxicologist scientist. He talked about the impact vehicles have on health effects – asthma, cardiac issues, diabetes – on the people who live adjacent to major thoroughfares. Electric vehicles would stop these impacts. Asked the Council to adopt the Action Plan. It would commit this city to health.	3/6/2019
Feels that there needs to be more conversation about the CAAP with the community. The days of false choices between business development or sustainability/green jobs are over. Says the community needs to come together on this issue. Wants CPS Energy to be a leader in clean energy. Invites the council to contact him and have a conversation about the opportunities are available.	3/6/2019
She is concerned nothing is being done for the health of the community related to climate issues. Asthma rates are high in San Antonio, but not the only issue that is out there. Some of the Council Members are being influenced by the business community who are saying that the CAAP can be afforded.	3/6/2019
Wants to see coal plants shut down and other items in the CAAP – asks Council to support it. She read a poem about the environment. Do we fix climate now, or wait for any number of things that will be too late.	3/6/2019
Here asking the Council to adopt the CAAP. Says there needs to be action items that will move it forward. Pointed to several examples about how the city allows things that are detrimental to the environment – developers cutting down trees, approving construction of large roads, allowing businesses to use Styrofoam containers. The time to act is now.	3/6/2019
Here asking council to do the good thing and approve the CAAP. Shared an analogy of being bitten by a mosquito to climate change and the result of more mosquitos. Without changes the environment will turn into just two seasons – a wet season and a dry season. There are impacts to peoples mental health from climate change. He and his colleagues are the hopeful ones – he worries about those who want change, but who don't believe it will make a difference.	3/6/2019
The CAAP must be adopted as it is, now. She is a public health professional and she expects climate change to impact the health of our residents even more in the next century. We must build resilient infrastructure.	3/6/2019
Climate change will have a huge impact on residents of San Antonio in a variety of ways. Which will become the new reality of lower income people. Ended with upset about lack paid sick leave support.	3/6/2019
Asked Council to look at Strategy 19 from the CAAP, believes we should make good use of Strategy 19. Public Health – heat: urban heat island – it doesn't matter if you're a tourist or a residents its hotter than hell.	3/6/2019
Talked about the business communities reaction to the CAAP. Big business knows that it has to be responsive to climate changes – cited the Paris Climate Accord. Said that local business are thinking too small. People have to change their viewpoints – standing in the future looking back at the present and asking "what could we have done to prepare for the future?" We need to learn from Hurricane Harvey.	3/6/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding.	3/6/2019

Comment/Response	Date
The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I fully support the plan – because we HAVE NO CHOICE. If we care about our city and our kids' future, we must act now. Plain and simple. If you believe that climate change is caused/accelerated by human behavior, then what difference does it make what the cost is? We MUST act. Anyone who acknowledges that climate change is human driven and is against this plan because it's 'too expensive' or will affect 'too many fossil jobs' is being short sighted. To me, if one believes the science, then action is a must. Let's do it.	3/6/2019
We are running out of time and must act quickly in all of these areas.	3/7/2019
Adaptation is one of the keys to surviving what we cannot change at this point.	3/7/2019
I thank the City for taking the lead in creating the plan. I am supporting the City's work.	3/7/2019
I was very pleased that you addressed the justice issues from the very beginning of the plan.	3/7/2019
More focus on soil erosion.	3/7/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please	3/7/2019

Comment/Response	Date
move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
I thank you for your leadership in creating the Climate Action and Adaptation Planning process. I was honored to serve on one of the work groups. At the same time, I urge you to make the CAAP even stronger by giving it some necessary teeth to protect public health for our most vulnerable residents of San Antonio. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	3/7/2019
For easy reference, we (The SWM Executive Team) have copied in blue the sections below that list us as the lead. Overall, we think the report assigns us responsibility for waste sectors that we do not control such as commercial and construction waste. If that is the direction of the City Council, we would need to create a new and large division to addresses these action items. We are concerned as an enterprise fund department that we would be using money from our rate payers to fund programs that are not a part of our residential program. We don't see general fund dollars being available to pay for these things. Our response is as follows: 14 – Reduce commercial landfilling by 50% by 2025 • Incentivize and work with businesses to move away from single-use, disposable items. • Work with businesses to accelerate recycling efforts, utilizing CoSA's ReWorks SA Commercial Recycling Program. • Incentivize innovative zero waste solutions, for example: provide incentives for the establishment of a zero waste grocery store. • Encourage waste certifications for all commercial facilities. This is a very aggressive goal and we have no current benchmark of information. We don't think this is attainable in this short amount of time indicated. In fact, the City of Austin made the same mistake of creating an aggressive goal only to have to go back and change the expected year later. Although we have yet to introduce policies directing set recycling targets form the business community, we understand that there are different types of business models that would require an equitable approach to the type of waste/materials generated (hotel, grocery store, auto parts store, bank, hardware, etc.). But even it were possible, there is nothing to stop the two private landfills from lowering their rates to attract commercial waste from outside San Antonio. Keep in mind that trying to pass regulations to prevent this would likely be a violation of the federal interstate commerce clause. If a set target time is required, we would recommend 50% by 2050. 15- Reduce landfilled residential waste by 25% by 2030 and 90% by 2050.	3/7/2019

Comment/Response	Date
• Encourage the increased diversion of residential waste from landfills by enhancing the pay-as-you throw rate structure to provide a strong financial incentive to reduce landfilled waste, and investigate financial structures that account for the true cost of waste, including the GHG-impact. • Fund significant ongoing education on what can be composted or recycled. We think the first goal to "reduce residential waste by 25%" is achievable (depending on when you set your initial benchmark year). We have measured this since FY 2007 until 2018 and since 2010 have recorded a 15.90% reduction. Also depending on how you define "residential" as our 355K residential customers or if it includes residents in gated communities would have to be clarified. However, we also think a weight based goal misses the point of climate action. For example, glass is very heavy but is basically inert and produces little if any GHG in a landfill. We would suggest this is an opportunity to set recycling targets for specific commodities that have the potential for maximum climate changes, such as recycling aluminum. We would need to be prepared to measure the Green House Gas (GHG) impact as well. 16 – Organics Diversion • Encourage the highest and best use of diverted organics by developing streams for organics that are usable for human or animal consumption, or other economically-viable purposes. • Support diversified solutions for low-carbon organics diversion, such as private-public partnerships and reliable processors that expand collection to all City residents and businesses. • Incentivize a market for local compost and mulch for landscaping uses. • Explore the potential for the use of organics in anaerobic digesters for energy production. Although this is a vague goal of increasing organic diversion from the landfill, we support it. 17 – Material reuse and circularity • Establish a marketplace for local, existing/recycled products including manufacturing by-products. • Incentivize local manufacturers who develop solutions to extend product life spans for local solutions. • Develop a deconstruction policy to encourage reuse of building materials. We think this is a great idea – but it's also much outside the scope of our Department. We don't think this should be a SWMD initiative. Maybe Economic Development would be a better fit? 18 – Reduced Landfill Construction • Develop education programs around reduced-landfill waste practices. • Offer incentives to encourage an increase in reduced-landfill construction practices. • Encourage the development of markets for the reuse of construction waste. • Partner with local industry organizations, such as the San Antonio General Contractors Association. This poses the same response as our comment for 17. To do this, we need to change the responsibility of our Department.	
Promote electric lawn equipment including lawn mowers, edgers, etc.	3/8/2019
I was not aware of the plan	3/8/2019
Bring meaningful Solar Energy incentives and tax breaks to the forefront of CPS objections/hesitation.	3/8/2019
Educating and enabling is important. I think motivation is also important because it seems a large portion of the population doesn't care. (i.e dirty pampers left in parking lots)	3/8/2019
Long time coming, even though this has been known for years, now is the time to act since we didn't years ago!	3/8/2019
If prices for more efficient vehicles wouldn't be so expensive, more people would but them to help promote cleaner air.	3/8/2019
Provide incentives for plant based restaurants and launch meatless Monday campaigns.	3/8/2019
Require businesses to install solar panels on their roofs to reduce their dependence on the grid.	3/8/2019
Critical and highly important	3/8/2019
What about water. Our aquifer is being built over and Texas is already short on water supply as it is. Fracking doesn't help since they force salt water into the ground and limestone crumbles.	3/8/2019
Please build a subway or rail asap	3/8/2019
San Antonio is more behind in adopting (CAAP) strategies than other cities that I have been, worked, or lived. We must adopt these methods for our future generations and preserve our environment for our children. "Love Earth"	3/8/2019

Comment/Response	Date
In page 3 "A Message from the Mayor" correct the word 'fasted' on the first line to read 'fastest'.	3/8/2019
Do not endorse or support nuclear power plants, nuclear testing, nuclear bombs nor sending any earth materials out into space for any reason.	3/8/2019
We must protect the environment to protect ourselves.	3/8/2019
City of San Antonio is doing an outstanding job for the city.	3/8/2019
Help support, develop, make workshops on how to exploit alternative energy options in partnership with other entities like Geekdom, etc.	3/8/2019
Me interesa todo los cambios para en beneficio de los cambios climatico y poder conservas nuestro mundo	3/8/2019
Knowledge empowers- narrow scope?	3/8/2019
Transportation for those out of the city living	3/8/2019
Climate is important. I have heard comments that it is not important because a person won't live long enough to see changes or to let someone else worry about it. I think an important point to make is historically how climate has changed over time. Education usually only covers weather changes. Effect on plants and environment is usually omitted but needs to be included.	3/8/2019
More trips to different places. Learn more about computer.	3/8/2019
Protect our water resources. Plant more trees.	3/8/2019
All of this has been going on since I was a kid and my dad used to tell us about it. It was very scary as a kid and easy to put your head in the sand. But as a parent and grandparent, you worry about your kids and grand kids. How can they correct this when they, like us, are on phones, i-pads, computers, burying their minds as to what happens around them! IT SCARES ME!!! How do you get industries to participate and stop the production? ALL of them!!	3/8/2019
energy providers could institute peak pricing policies to help even out daily power usage and provide users a way to lower power bills	3/9/2019
Plant more shade trees; paint roofs white; provide rebates for replacing energy-hog appliances; provide low-flow toilets and rain barrels again; discourage impervious cover with surcharges	3/9/2019
The cost of procrastinating will be far more than the cost of implementing policies now to reduce carbon emissions and slow climate change. CPS and SAWS have offered several great programs which help meet some of the CAAP goals. More community solar or roofless solar projects would boost our renewable energy percentage and allow for earlier decommissioning of fossil fuel-fired power plants.	3/9/2019
I believe the City is moving in the.wrong direction. Ever since city government decided to build the pink elephant against all practical reason and.then eventually tore it down. What a waste of CDBG money! And, then the Alamo Dome! The city needs to move back to being fiscally responsible instead of chasing every hair brain idea places on the table.	3/10/2019
Raising animals for food is very detrimental to the environment and people have no idea.	3/10/2019
Progressive Crap	3/10/2019
San Antonio is overdue for an invasive species management plan and program. The CAAP is not viable without one.	3/10/2019
What emergency preparedness systems are in place if South Texas Nuclear Project (STP) has a meltdown? Does the city have a supply of iodine tablets? •Reports show that 52 people die prematurely every year in San Antonio with our current energy mix. Keeping Spruce open thru 2042 would be 23 years x 52 deaths / year = 1,196 preventable deaths.	3/10/2019
More Progressive Crap	3/10/2019
Growing own food organically needs to be prioritized over growing food distribution services.	3/10/2019
Please do not advocate early closure of CPS Spruce generating plants unless there are readily available, reliable, cheaper energy sources. Renewable energy sources are great but look at the fuel adjustment to see how wind and solar contracts have already impacted our CPS electric bills. Unfortunately you are not likely to get a meaningful breakdown of this fee from CPS due to confidential energy contracts. This is especially true for the very large number of financially struggling families in parts of this city. I believe the discussion of the negative environmental impact of the relatively new Spruce plants has been greatly overstated by well-meaning local environmental activists.	3/10/2019
Don't make this about race. Everybody is affected by climate change, not just colored or poor people. Yes, we should most definitely offer help to them and include them, but they are not the priority. Everyone is the priority.	3/11/2019

Comment/Response	Date
1 | P a g e For questions, contact Kaiba White (kwhite@citizen.org, 607-339-9854). Comments on the Draft SA Climate Ready Plan March 11, 2019 Adopting the SA Climate Ready Plan is a Critical First Step Public Citizen strongly supports the adoption of the SA Climate Ready plan to guide the city of San Antonio in the important work of reducing greenhouse gas emissions and adapting to the effects of climate change. Our comments here are intended to strengthen the plan and should not be interpreted as reasons to oppose the plan. Climate change is currently causing real harm and financial loss to the San Antonio community, and these harms will only be exacerbated if greenhouse gas emissions, the primary driver of climate change, go unchecked. Cities, states and countries around the world are acting to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Adopting a strong climate action plan will place San Antonio in good company with those taking action to preserve a livable climate. Furthermore, implementing the measures described in the plan will improve affordability and equity in the San Antonio community, create economic opportunity, save the city and its residents money, reduce health effects from air pollution and improve quality of life. SECTION 1: Align Language and Greenhouse Gas Reduction Goals with Climate Science and C40 Cities Guidance SECTION 1.1: Clearly State 1.5°C Goal Mayor Nirenberg has stated that SA Climate Ready is a "1.5 degree plan," meaning that it should be aligned with the goal of keeping the average global temperature increase to no more than 1.5°C. We fully support that goal, but notice that it isn't actually stated in the draft plan. Aiming for 1.5°C vs 2°C should result in significantly different policy actions, so listing both numbers is not the same as clearly stating that the goal for this plan is 1.5°C. SECTION 1.2: Larger Near Term Greenhouse Gas Reduction Goals Needed The emissions reductions goals in the SA Climate Ready plan are not sufficient to make this a truly 1.5°C compliant plan. They do not align with the latest science included in the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) 2018 "Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C" or the C40 Cities "Deadline 2020" report. The overall goals for greenhouse gas reductions should be more ambitious to reflect the magnitude of emissions reductions, as determined by the IPCC, that are necessary to keep warming within 1.5°C. As described in the "Deadline 2020" report, goals should also reflect the fact that San Antonio, as a U.S. city, has high emissions and is relatively wealthy, in the global context. 2 | P a g e For questions, contact Kaiba White (kwhite@citizen.org, 607-339-9854). Local greenhouse gas reduction goals should prioritize near term reductions (over the next 5-10 years) and achieve carbon neutrality as quickly as possible. The purpose of frontloading emissions reductions is to increase the probability of limiting average global temperature rise to 1.5°C. Staying under this threshold can help prevent the most catastrophic impacts of climate change and will also limit the need for carbon dioxide removal (CDR). We recommend that the IPCC "Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C" and C40 Cities "Deadline 2020" be used as the primary guidance for setting local greenhouse gas emissions reductions goals. The IPCC "Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C" describes the global emissions trajectories that can likely achieve this target. In particular, the IPCC's "P1 pathway" is a good guide for how global emissions should be reduced to increase the probability of achieving the 1.5°C target with limited reliance on carbon dioxide removal (see P1 line in Figure 2). It is notable that the P1, P2 or P3 pathways do not show straight line reductions, but rather start with steep reductions in the near term and then follow a somewhat more gradual downward path into negative emissions. This is in contrast to the emissions pathway shown and described on pages 36 and 37 of the SA Climate Ready plan which is linear in nature. The IPCC Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C describes how reducing emissions more quickly increases the probability of keeping average rise in global temperature within 1.5°C. Reaching net zero greenhouse gas emissions globally by 2040 results in a high likelihood of average global temperature increase being within 1.5°C by 2100, but a substantial risk of temporary overshoot of that threshold remains (see blue band in Figure 3). Pushing the date to achieve net zero greenhouse gas emissions out further than that increases the risk of temporarily or permanently	3/11/2019

Comment/Response	Date
overshooting the 1.5°C threshold. 3 | P a g e For questions, contact Kaiba White (kwhite@citizen.org, 607-339-9854). FIGURE 2: from the IPCC Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C 4 | P a g e For questions, contact Kaiba White (kwhite@citizen.org, 607-339-9854). FIGURE 3: from the IPCC Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C The C40 Cities "Deadline 2020" report that describes how this science should be applied to city climate planning. C40 Cities is the preeminent organization on city climate planning. The "Deadline 2020" methodology should be used as a gold standard by which to set emissions reductions goals. "Deadline 2020" provides a framework for how cities can set the pace, scale and prioritization of climate action. 5 | P a g e For questions, contact Kaiba White (kwhite@citizen.org, 607-339-9854). In its "Deadline 2020" report, C40 Cities applied global emissions reductions to categories of cities. Cities with higher emissions (greater than 5.1 tons of carbon dioxide equivalent per capita) and greater wealth (per capita gross regional product greater than $15,000) are expected to follow the "steep decline" emissions trajectory (see Figure 4).1 As the Deadline 2020 Method Report states, "It is unrealistic to assign all cities the same trajectory, there must be some variation based on capacity." The report also states that, "[d]eveloping cities should be allowed to continue to emit emissions for longer than developed cities where living standards are generally higher." Given San Antonio's position as a developed city in an affluent nation, the city ought to embrace goals that set forth steep emissions reductions. Furthermore, the "Deadline 2020" report was issued prior to the IPCC "Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C," which is widely understood to show that the climate crisis is even more urgent than previously believed. FIGURE 4: from the C40 Cities "Deadline 2020 Method Report" Taken together, the IPCC "Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C" and the C40 Cities "Deadline 2020" reports make a strong case for San Antonio adopting a goal of achieving net zero greenhouse gas emissions by 2040 and an 83% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions 1 pg. 23 http://c40-production-images.s3.amazonaws.com/other_uploads/images/954_Deadline_2020_Methodology_%281%29.original.pdf?1480603800 6 | P a g e For questions, contact Kaiba White (kwhite@citizen.org, 607-339-9854). from 2016 levels by 20302. While the mechanisms for fully achieving these goals may currently seem out of reach, this is what scientific research shows is necessary to avoid irreversible climate change at a level that may be impossible for societal adaptation. Technology that allows for the phasing out of fossil fuels is available now and already cost effective in many cases. The public is growing to realize that healthy societies depend upon healthy, functioning ecosystems. The first step to making the massive emissions reductions that are needed is to accurately quantify the reductions that are needed. SECTION 1.3: Highlight Greater Irreversible Changes Beyond 1.5°C Keeping average global temperature increase to no more than 1.5°C, with no or limited overshoot of that threshold, is critical in order to minimize irreversible effects of climate change (see Figure 1). "Future climate-related risks depend on the rate, peak and duration of warming. In the aggregate they are larger if global warming exceeds 1.5°C before returning to that level by 2100 than if global warming gradually stabilizes at 1.5°C, especially if the peak temperature is high (e.g., about 2°C) (high confidence). Some impacts may be long-lasting or irreversible, such as the loss of some ecosystems (high confidence)." (IPCC; Special Report: Global Warming of 1.5°C, Summary for Policymakers; section A.3.2) The relationship between increasing temperatures, especially where the increase in global temperature exceeds the 1.5°C threshold, and irreversible threats of extreme weather, ecosystem destruction, and other hazards is absent from the plan and should be added on page 34. Inclusion of these facts will help to make clear to readers of the plan why near term action is necessary. 2 Net zero by 2040 comes from the IPCC "Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C." 83% reduction by 2030 comes from dividing 2 tons/capita/year on the C40 "steep decline" trajectory by San Antonio's 11.6 tons/capita/year in 2016 (pg. 23 of SA Climate Ready).	

Comment/Response	Date
7 | P a g e For questions, contact Kaiba White (kwhite@citizen.org, 607-339-9854). FIGURE 1: from the IPCC Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C SECTION 2: Clarify Emissions Sources A clear accounting of where emissions are coming from within the San Antonio community is important for gaining support for needed solutions to reduce those emissions. If people cannot easily identify the problem, solutions will appear out of reach. Emissions that result from electricity production are not clearly and consistently identified as such in the SA Climate Ready plan. Instead, they are referred to as coming from commercial, residential and industrial buildings. Most of the electricity used in the city is currently used in buildings and while improving the energy efficiency of buildings and equipment in buildings is important, this framing ignores the fact that the actual greenhouse gas emissions used to cool, 8 | P a g e For questions, contact Kaiba White (kwhite@citizen.org, 607-339-9854). heat, and otherwise power these buildings are coming from coal and natural gas-burning electricity generators operated by CPS Energy. Energy use in buildings can be reduced, but cannot be eliminated and electricity use for transportation, and possibly industrial processes as well, will increase significantly in the coming years due to the electrification of transportation and other functions that currently utilize fossil fuels. Thus, decarbonizing electricity generation is of critical importance. It is listed as the first mitigation measure in the SA Climate Ready plan, but many readers may well wonder why when they see "energy industries within the city" listed as a mere 1% of the community greenhouse emissions, listed on page 23. In fact, a CPS Energy board member - someone who is in a much better position than the average resident to understand this topic - was confused by this very language at the January board of trustees meeting. He asked how CPS Energy could "move the needle" on emissions if they were just a small portion of the problem. Emissions from electricity use should be separated from emissions from the direct use of natural gas in buildings and each should be clearly identified on page 23. Instead of three categories, there should be six: residential electricity, residential natural gas, commercial electricity, commercial natural gas, industrial electricity and industrial natural gas. These six categories should also be shown on the graph. The emissions from electricity used at power plants should be rolled into the overall emissions factor and applied proportionally to each of the three electricity categories. On the graph on page 24, emissions from "building energy usage" should be divided into "building electricity usage" and "building natural gas usage." The term "stationary" is used in several places in the report, including on pages 22, 23 and 26. Given that industrial sources are also stationary, this terminology only adds to confusion. In all of these cases "energy use in buildings" would be a much clearer heading. On page 22, it should be noted that CPS energy provides electricity to the community, just as this is noted in the description of energy use in municipal buildings on page 26. The community owns CPS Energy, making transparency of its emissions particularly important. We appreciate that electricity and natural gas emissions are shown separately in the municipal inventory on page 26, but it is unclear what the energy source is for the category listed as "chilled water/steam." These emissions should be identified as coming from electricity or natural gas, as appropriate. SECTION 3: Prioritize Carbon-Free Electricity by 2030 The first goal listed on page 38 is to increase carbon free energy, and the first strategy is to decarbonize the grid: "Work with CPS Energy to continue to reduce the emissions factor of supplied electricity to reach an emissions factor of 0.0 kg CO2 e / kWh by 2050." This goal, as stated, represents an unnecessary and unreasonable delay. CPS Energy should work toward 9 | P a g e For questions, contact Kaiba White (kwhite@citizen.org, 607-339-9854). achieving this goal by 2030. With proper planning now, this could be achieved. The technology exists today to make this possible and other utilities are making this transition now while reducing costs for ratepayers. We are providing a list of examples as an addendum to our comments. This item is of special importance for two reasons. First, transitioning from coal and natural gas to a combination of solar, wind, energy efficiency, energy storage and demand response is the most feasible and affordable way to achieve the needed rapid reductions in greenhouse gas emissions.	

Comment/Response	Date
Second, electrification of the transportation sector will be most effective in reducing emissions if the electricity supplied is from zero emissions sources. SECTION 4: Build on Framing that Continues to Center Equity, Climate Justice, and Workers Rights The SA Climate Ready plan is taking an important and necessary step in working towards climate justice by centering equity. We want to see that framing continued and expanded. SECTION 4.1: Make it Clear that System Change is Needed, Not Only Individual Action Climate change is a collective problem and requires collective solutions. While individuals can make lifestyle changes that benefit the environment - such as having fewer children, taking public transit, and eating a plant-based diet - it is ultimately systemic changes that will be the true markers of climate justice. It is not enough to turn off the lights when they are not in use - energy utilities must transition away from fossil fuels and to renewable energy. It is not enough to recycle plastic - plastic must ceased to be produced. It is not enough to cut down on driving - transportation systems must be reoriented towards public transit. This point should be made much more clearly in the SA Climate Ready plan. SECTION 4.2: Include Discussion of What Climate Change Means for Workers On pages 30 and 31, the SA Climate Ready plan asks, "What does [climate change] mean for business?", but only two sentences are dedicated to what climate change will mean for working conditions. This is a topic that deserves a section of its own. Many workers will face increasingly dangerous conditions as climate change continues. More extreme storms, heatwaves, and floods will create extremely precarious working conditions for people who work outside, like roofers, landscapers, utility line workers, postal workers, and construction workers; for people who work in service and hospitality, like servers, and hotel housekeepers, and bartenders; people who do social and care work, like teachers, nurses, social workers, and daycare operators; and people who work in the gig economy, like freelancers, rideshare drivers, and delivery people. Most of these jobs almost never come with inclement weather policies and people are often expected to show up to work regardless of weather conditions or risk losing their jobs. Time-off policies like paid sick time are not a guarantee, thus forcing people to choose between their wellbeing or their paycheck. This climate plan should center the wellbeing and rights of workers in the context of climate change. 10 | P a g e For questions, contact Kaiba White (kwhite@citizen.org, 607-339-9854). SECTION 4.3: Include Discussion of Global Equity Concerns with Climate Change While equity in the local context is positioned as a significant consideration in the plan, discussion of climate change and global equity is entirely missing from the plan. While addressing the global inequities worsened by climate change is a daunting task, they cannot be ignored. Climate change is affecting many of the world's poorest countries and most destitute individuals first and worst. The contrast between the haves and the have nots is even more stark in the global context than it is locally. This is not to say that local equity concerns should be downplayed, but highlighting the fact that societies that emit high levels of greenhouse gas emissions, like the United States, are placing a real - sometimes deadly - burden on people who don't have access to any of the resources that most Americans do. A section of the plan should be dedicated to this topic and should include discussion of rising sea levels inundating low-lying island nations and other coastal regions, flooding in places where the poorest of the poor are forced to live, famines caused by droughts, and violence triggered by these events and an increase in global migration of people due to climate change. For at least some people, a more clear understanding of how the collective actions of San Antonians can contribute to less suffering for other people globally will be a motivating factor for taking action to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. SECTION 5: Add Specific Targets to Mitigation Measures Wherever Possible There are a number of mitigation measures that we support, but they would be much more meaningful and likely to garner attention for implementation if the plan included specific targets with specific dates for these mitigation strategies, including strategies 8, 10, 11, 12, M4, M7, M9, M10, and M11. SECTION 6: Show Net Costs and Benefits for Mitigation Strategies The draft plan includes a list of community and municipal mitigation strategies. The list indicates the necessary investment by the City, Residents, and Businesses using a dollar sign notation as follows: $$$ - Greater than $1 billion investment through 2030. $$ - $100 million to $1 billion investment through 2030. $ - Less than $100 million investment through 2030.	

Comment/Response	Date
Each mitigation strategy also includes a list of co-benefits in the following areas: air quality, natural capital/ecosystem services, quality jobs, health outcomes, and affordability, with check marks indicating whether one or more of these co-benefits applies. 11 | P a g e For questions, contact Kaiba White (kwhite@citizen.org, 607-339-9854). This cost/benefit methodology needs improving. The use of dollar signs for up-front costs and check marks for benefits naturally directs a reader (especially a financially motivated reader) to focus on the anticipated up-front costs without a clear understanding of the financial benefits. This gives the inaccurate impression that climate action will increase costs. In reality, climate action plans are an opportunity for investment in areas such as clean energy and public transportation that pay real dividends over time in the form of reduced costs, job creation, and improved public health. The present framing of GHG reductions, cost, and co-benefits obscures this opportunity. Instead of listing only estimates of needed investment, the plan should provide estimated net savings and costs for the action items as appropriate. SECTION 7: Improve Readability Most people won't read the report cover to cover and even those who do may not remember all of the acronyms, especially if these are new topics for them. Make each section stand on its own as much as possible and use as few acronyms as possible. SECTION 8: Integrate SA Climate Ready with Other City Plans San Antonio has a number of existing plans that overlap with SA Climate Ready. These plans include: · SA20203 · Connect SA · SA Tomorrow,4 including Comprehensive, Regional, and Community plans · AACOG Regional Transportation Coordination5 · CPS Energy Flexible Path6 The SA Climate Ready plan should integrate and revise where appropriate the goals in these other plans. To take one important example, the CPS Energy Flexible Path plan does not include a retirement date for the J.K Spruce Power Plant that we believe is consistent with a "1.5 degree plan" as SA Climate Ready has been described. We recommend that the draft plan explicitly identify each instance where a mitigation measure bears on data, a target, or a goal from a separate San Antonio-approved plan. If SA Climate 3 https://report.sa2020.org/ 4 https://satomorrow.com/ 5 https://www.aacog.com/69/Regional-Transportation-Coordination 6 https://cpsenergy.com/flexiblepath 12 | P a g e For questions, contact Kaiba White (kwhite@citizen.org, 607-339-9854). Ready is incompatible with an existing plan (as we believe it is with the Flexible Path plan) then we recommend that incompatibility be explicitly identified. SECTION 9: PAGE BY PAGE EDITS Page 6: Add "safe, affordable, and prosperous" after "equitable." Page 8: Add "reducing greenhouse gas emissions on a path that aligns with keeping average global temperature increase to 1.5°C and achieving" after "With a goal of" at the bottom of the first column. In the second bullet at the bottom of the first column, add "locally" after "for" and before "installed solar." That report used a methodology that only accounted for local solar, not all solar owned or contracted by a city. Page 10: In the second paragraph in the first column, make the third sentence read, "The same challenges we already struggle with are being magnified by the human caused warming of our planet." This adjustment better reflects that climate change effects are already being felt locally and that climate change is caused by greenhouse gas emissions from human activities. This language should be applied wherever appropriate throughout the plan. Page 10: In the third paragraph, clarify "19 additional deaths". Does this mean 19 additional deaths per year? Page 11: In the second paragraph, change "Climate change isn't just about nature, but presents an enormous challenges to preserving San Antonio's tangible and intangible heritage" to "Climate	

Comment/Response	Date
change affects all areas of human life and thus, presents an enormous challenge to preserving San Antonio's tangible and intangible heritage." The first sentence creates a false dichotomy between nature and humans. Page 11: In the last paragraph of page, the sentence that begins with "Consider some of the key impacts of these climate changes…," seems to create a highly banal view of climate change, one that inconveniences people rather than injuries or kills them. What we see happening now is not that people have a "reduced opportunity to work", but rather are forced to work in increasingly dangerous conditions and face ever greater risk to their safety and wellbeing. Page 13: In the first paragraph, "communities of color and low-income communities, in particular" rhetorically makes it seem like those are two different communities when in fact low-income communities tend to be communities of color. See next edit for an example of how that phrasing should be changed. 13 | P a g e For questions, contact Kaiba White (kwhite@citizen.org, 607-339-9854). Page 13: In the first paragraph, "less able to adapt to climate impacts and to prioritize climate action" rhetorically places the fault of problems that arise from climate change on individuals or communities, when it is the conditions set forth from a history of exploitation that fundamentally disallows poor, often communities of color to escape or leave the various issues of climate change they face. It should be changed to "Across the world and right here in San Antonio, people who are socially vulnerable - meaning poor communities, which are often communities of color - are unable to recover from climate crisis because of economic inequality that parallels along the lines of race." Page 14: In the second sentence of the last paragraph of the second column, add "local" after "mitigate potential" and before "equity impacts." We support the screening mechanism, but it only addresses local equity impacts, not broader global equity impacts of climate change or climate action. Page 18: The title for the first chart is misleading. Change "Car Access" to "Lack of Car Access". Page 18: In the second paragraph, change "In 2015, San Antonio's White population has the lowest concentration of people living in high poverty neighborhoods…" to "In 2015, San Antonio's Black and Latino populations had the highest concentration of people living in poverty, while White populations had the lowest". Page 19: After page 19, include at least a couple pages about the global equity impacts of climate change. Poorer developing countries, low lying island nations, countries without stable governments and the millions of individuals currently without access to clean water, adequate food, health care, and other necessities are especially vulnerable to the effects of climate change, including rising sea levels, drought and flooding. There are numerous sources from with to pull this information, including some of Dr Katharine Hayhoe's presentations. Page 22: Change "Stationary, i.e. Energy use in Buildings" to "Energy Use in Buildings" to improve clarity. The "Industrial" sector is also stationary, so this description isn't meaningful in this context. In this section, include a note that CPS energy provides electricity to the community, just as this is noted in the description of energy use in municipal buildings on page 26. Page 23: Replace "Stationary" with "Energy Use in Buildings" to improve clarity. Show emissions from electricity use in buildings separate from emissions from natural gas use in buildings. List these six categories and show them on the graph: residential electricity, residential natural gas, commercial electricity, commercial natural gas, industrial electricity and industrial natural gas. 2016 per capita emissions for all of the other cities should also be listed. 14 | P a g e For questions, contact Kaiba White (kwhite@citizen.org, 607-339-9854). Per capita emissions for Austin/Travis County were 10.93 tons CO2e in 2016.7 Emissions from other cities can be found on the CDP website.8 Give some examples of "Off-road transportation," such as bulldozers and construction cranes. Also, "off-road vehicles" might be a better term for this category, since the primary purpose of these vehicles is generally not actually transportation. Page 24: On the graph, emissions from "building energy usage" should be divided into "building electricity usage" and "building natural gas usage." Include the 20-year and 100-year global warming potential values for methane in the text, as well as keep them in the table.	

Comment/Response	Date
Page 25: Change "Stationary, i.e. Energy Use in Buildings" to "Energy Use in Buildings" to improve clarity. Identify whether electricity or natural gas is the energy source for the "chilled water/steam" category. If both energy sources are included in that category, separate them. Page 26: After "methane, which has a GWP 28 times greater than CO2" add "over a 100 year period." Also, spell out global warming potential. Pages 27-31: These pages describe the problem to be solved and would fit better right after the introduction and just before the equity section. This information about the physical effects of climate change would make the equity section more powerful. At the very least, these pages should come before page 20. Page 32: Change to read: "The goal of SA Climate Ready is to align San Antonio with keeping average global temperature increase to 1.5°C and to achieve carbon neutrality by 2040." Page 33: If the goal is for this to be a 1.5°C compliant plan, change the last line to read "SA Climate Ready is San Antonio's commitment to carbon mitigation in line with limiting average global temperature increase to 1.5°C and achieving carbon neutrality by 2040." Even if 2040 isn't substituted for 2050 here, this sentence needs changing because 3% annual reductions isn't in line with what high emitting and relatively wealthy cities like San Antonio must achieve if the global goal of not exceeding 1.5°C of warming is to be achieved. Page 34: In the green box, add, "Long-lasting or irreversible effects, such as the loss of some ecosystems." In the last line in the right column, make it read, "Limited GHG reductions in the next 3-5 years will result in the need for more substantial economic investment in an even shorter time and may make it impossible to avoid overshooting 1.5°C of warming." 7 https://public.tableau.com/profile/cavan.merski#!/vizhome/EmissionsPerCapita/EmissionsPerCapita 8 https://data.cdp.net/Emissions/2016-Citywide-GHG-Emissions/dfed-thx7 15 | P a g e For questions, contact Kaiba White (kwhite@citizen.org, 607-339-9854). Page 35: In the "Increase Carbon-Free Energy" section, replace "energy" with "electricity" before "generation." Page 36: This page is one that is of greatest concern to us. There are several problems that need to be addressed with this graph. First, the gray band labeled "IPCC-Referenced 1.5°C Global Pathway" doesn't appear similar to the pathways in the IPCC "Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C" report (see Figure 2). When asked about this in an Energy and Buildings Working Group meeting, the Navigant representative stated that this was a manipulation of the IPCC data, but that it couldn't be shared because it is proprietary. This is entirely unacceptable in a public report of this nature. We do support overlaying an appropriate emissions trajectory that makes meeting the 1.5°C goal likely. It seems that the most appropriate would be the "steep decline" emissions trajectory from the "Deadline 2020" report. The data points for that line could surely be acquired from C40 Cities without much trouble. Second, labeling the green line "Minimum Path to Carbon Neutrality" is misleading. What makes it the minimum path? It doesn't look like the IPCC "P1" emissions reduction path or the C40 Cities "Deadline 2020" "steep decline" path. If the purpose is simply to show what a straight line reduction looks like, then make that clear and also include the IPCC "P1" and/or the "Deadline 2020" "steep decline" graphs as a comparison. Either way, showing one or both of those graphs would be helpful. Third, the blue shaded area labeled "Net Community Emissions" obscured by the gray band. Fourth, emissions by sector should be shown on this graph. This is commonly referred to as a "wedge graph" in climate planning and shows approximately when reductions in the various sectors are expected. Page 37: Again, calling the straight line reduction "minimum acceptable" does not make sense. If this is supposed to be a 1.5°C compliant plan, then only an emissions path that reflects what the experts at the IPCC and C40 Cities show is needed from high-emitting, relatively wealthy cities should be the "minimum acceptable." Even those emissions trajectories don't guarantee that the 1.5°C won't be overshot, but they give a reasonable chance of meeting the goal. We entirely understand that it likely isn't possible to identify exactly how to align San Antonio emissions with the C40 "steep decline" trajectory, but it is important to accurately identify it as what is needed, so that it is clear what the community needs to work towards.	

Comment/Response	Date
Page 38: Change "2050" to "2030." Waiting until 2050 for CPS Energy to achieve a zero emissions factor for electricity production represents an unnecessary and unreasonable delay. This goal should be moved up to 2030. With proper planning now, this could be achieved. The technology exists today to make this possible and other utilities are making this transition now while reducing costs for ratepayers. We are providing a list of examples as an addendum to our 16 | P a g e For questions, contact Kaiba White (kwhite@citizen.org, 607-339-9854). comments. This item is of special importance for two reasons. First, transi	
The Climate Action SA coalition strongly supports the adoption of the SA Climate Ready Climate Action and Adaptation Plan without delay, but urges the Mayor, City Council, and city staff to make the following adjustments before finalizing the plan. Make This a 1.5°C Plan. While the end goal of keeping global warming to 1.5°C appeared to be settled during the planning process, this goal is not clearly stated in the plan. Nor do the emissions reductions goals in the plan align with what is needed from globally wealthy and high emitting cities, such as San Antonio, in order to meet that temperature goal. The urgency of the climate change crisis and the need to rapidly reduce greenhouse gas emissions should not be downplayed. It is important to demonstrate why there is reason to hope that climate catastrophe can be avoided, but that hope must be grounded in scientific facts and acknowledgement that countries differ in their level of responsibility and ability to act. The straight line reduction path (graph located on page 37 of the SA Climate Ready plan) to net zero emissions in San Antonio by 2050 does not meet this standard. Like all cities in the United States, San Antonio needs to reduce emissions much more quickly than that. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change's "Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C" makes it clear that dramatic global reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed by 2030 in order to avoid overshooting a 1.5°C temperature increase. The "Deadline 2020" methodology developed by C40 Cities explains why poorer cities and cities with lower emissions shouldn't be expected to reduce emissions at the same rate as more wealthy and higher emitting cities. Not only does the City of San Antonio have a local responsibility to reduce emissions rapidly for the health and benefit of residents and the local environment, but we also have global responsibility to do everything we can to help avert ecological catastrophe and human suffering. Avoiding an overshoot of 1.5°C of global warming is important for limiting severe and irreversible effects. The IPCC's "Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C" illustrates how the probability of avoiding overshoot improves if net-zero global greenhouse gas emissions is achieved by 2040. We support San Antonio adopting a goal of net-zero greenhouse gas emissions by 2040 and at least 80 percent reduction by 2030. This medium-term goal for 2030 is critical, but also needs to be paired with short term goals for the next few years. It would be helpful to include some of the graphs from the IPCC's "Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C" and the C40 "Deadline 2020" report. Making it Possible: Get Serious about Emissions from CPS Energy A continued reliance on coal and natural gas for electricity production is not compatible with climate action. In order to put the San Antonio community on the steep decline emissions path that is needed, goals should be set for CPS Energy to end its use of coal by 2025 and phase out its use of natural gas for electricity production by 2030. It is these specific goals that will make it possible to truly count San Antonio among the cities doing their fair share to keep warming to 1.5°C. Other utilities are setting similar goals and a number of utilities are taking action to implement the transition from fossil fuels to wind, solar and energy storage, with a reduction in costs. A rapid transition in the next decade to renewable energy is a necessary foundational component of reducing emissions at a pace that would make this a true 1.5°C plan. Not only are CPS Energy power plants the largest sources of emissions for San Antonio, a plentiful supply of electricity from carbon-free sources is the key to reducing many other sources of emissions. Most notably, the transportation sector must transition from reliance on internal combustion engines that burn fossil fuels to a transportation system that is run on electricity. This transition is already beginning and offers great opportunity to reduce local air pollution, as well as greenhouse gases. It also offers a great business opportunity for CPS Energy, and therefore the City of San Antonio. The opportunities will far outweigh the costs. Despite a very public kick-off of the climate planning process and repeated promises to provide analysis of what the costs or savings would be to shut down the coal-burning Spruce power plant by 2025, CPS Energy has still failed to provide any such analysis to the public. It appears that the utility is using a stall tactic to avoid making needed changes. CPS Energy's emissions aren't even	3/11/2019

Comment/Response	Date
clearly described as such in the plan. The term "stationary sources" is repeatedly used as a euphemism for emissions from CPS Energy electricity generation. Buildings are shown to be the major sources of emissions, despite the fact that the emissions are actually coming from power plants, not the buildings themselves. While improving energy efficiency in buildings is critical, ignoring the actual source of emissions will only serve to further confuse the public. As the body responsible for setting rates for CPS Energy, City Council has broad authority to set policy at the utility to ensure that wise investments are made that will protect customers from future rate increases. Other utilities that are going through more rigorous integrated resource planning - which feeds into setting rates - are discovering that continued reliance on fossil fuels is more expensive than transitioning to clean energy solutions. This is arguably the City Council's most important responsibility - from fiscal, environmental, and equity perspectives - and is one that has historically not received the attention it deserves. It is well within the Council's right to set emissions reductions goals for CPS Energy and to direct the utility to engage in an open and transparent resource planning and rate setting process that includes meaningful public participation. Without a rapid transition to renewable energy at CPS Energy, the San Antonio community won't come close to achieving greenhouse gas emissions reductions that align with the 1.5°C goal. This reality cannot be ignored. Include Effects on Workers and the Global Effects in Equity Section While there has clearly been an effort to make equity a foundational consideration in this plan, there are a couple ways that this section needs strengthening. First, there is almost no discussion of how workers will be affected by climate change. There are some general comments in the report about climate change exacerbating existing inequities and challenges, but the specifics should be described. We can't assume that the average reader will know what those generalities imply. Those who work mostly outdoors - including construction workers, landscapers, and utility line workers - are going to experience worsening heat waves in a more hazardous way than many other people. It may become impossible to do certain work outdoors during the day in the summer without risking one's life. People with jobs that have an hourly wage and no paid time off are going to face an increasing number of difficult decisions when it comes to venturing out for work during severe weather - especially flood events. Without protections for workers, increasingly hazardous working conditions - like extreme heat waves and flooding - will become a decision of protecting oneself or risking wellbeing in order to put food on the table or pay for medical care, pay bills, or cover rent. The equity discussion in the report is solely focused on the local context. As has been evident in every United Nations Conference of the Parties (COP) on climate change, including the one that resulted in the Paris Climate Agreement, developing countries are especially negatively impacted by climate change. People in other countries who already lack adequate nutrition, clean water, and medical care and who may live in places without stable governance are already dying and being forced to migrate in significant numbers because of the effects of climate change. Climate change is a problem predominantly caused by wealthy, developed countries, most notably the United States. While this exacerbation of global inequality isn't something that can be remedied in a city climate plan, it should be acknowledged. Make the Economic Case Clear in the Mitigation Measures Tables The way that investments and benefits are described in the lists of mitigation measures needs improving in order to accurately articulate the anticipated net monetary costs or savings of each measure. Currently, the needed monetary investments for each climate solution strategy are symbolized by varying dollar signs, but the benefits from those strategies are only displayed with check marks. This symbology is misleading, especially considering that one of the most common co-benefits is affordability. The other co-benefits - air quality improvements, providing natural capital/ecosystem services, creating quality jobs, and improving health outcomes - all also have monetary value that can be calculated. If the time or expertise to do those calculations isn't available right now, then a more even handed way of depicting investments should be used - one that indicates whether the investment is expected to yield a net cost increase or reduction. Additionally, the investments sections does not speak to whether the investments necessary are already planned for or if dedicated public funds - such as taxes, bonds, or grants - may have already been collected or approved to pay for them, or if new funding will be needed. We would like to see an accurate and fair economic assessment in this section, one that reflects dedicated funding and displays the estimated net savings or costs of each climate strategy. It was our	

Comment/Response	Date
expectation that this was one of the big tasks that the consultant was supposed to perform.	
Plan is a waste of time and money	3/12/2019
I think that by educating and offering material to the community, it will allow them to decide what actions to take for themselves. By being able to decide, their actions most likely will be life long as they had a choice. I (and many others) strongly dislike when we're told to do something and not offered a choice.	3/12/2019
Education of citizens is extremely important and necessary to improve understanding of our changing climate.	3/12/2019
I believe climate change is a political tactic!	3/12/2019
Please do consider that there are multiple scientific views on the nature of "climate change", please do not succumb to he hysteria surrounding "global warming".	3/12/2019
Lived here most of my life and found the weather to regularly change. Apparently you all have not.	3/12/2019
Please specify. These are very broad.	3/12/2019
Coming from California, I'm concerned at what the cost is and how things will be funded as well as what impact it will have on small businesses. If the cost or impact on the culture is obviously disruptive, obnoxious or amounts to little, the stress on people and cost of living sky rockets. Then all is a waste. I'm cautious about answering these surveys. No knowing what these seriously mean.	3/12/2019
Stop approving buildings, especially those that reflect the sun into the atmosphere. More trees in the inner city. Put a cap on population.	3/12/2019
As far as educating the citizens of San Antonio, unless both sides of the climate issue are EQUALLY presented, then no side should be presented.	3/12/2019
How about building mass rail system?	3/12/2019
It is almost IMPOSSIBLE to get San Antonio residents to even carpool. One would have to be on drugs to think they would consider electric cars!	3/12/2019
A total waste of time and tax payer money	3/12/2019
Education is the key here and equity. There is a lot of focus in the City about equity. I think there a lot of people just above the poverty zone that make too much to qualify for assistance but do not have the means to provide healthy food or a/c, fans or heat for their families. This needs group needs to be focused on too.	3/12/2019
Again, education is the key to a successful program.	3/12/2019
Most of these things should be taken care of by our present policies. Respect Mother Nature and she will take care of you. Climate and weather are cyclical.	3/12/2019
Really. Can we change the weather?	3/12/2019
All of the above goals and strategies should be in existing City of San Antonio policies and/or procedures.	3/12/2019
It is vital to have a healthy infrastructure and healthy citizenry in order to have a healthy economy and a strong nation.	3/12/2019
This entire section stinks of wealth redistribution and fertile opportunities for cronyism. Progressive heaven and conservative hell.	3/12/2019
Looking at the vision statement, there needs to be an additional one. Landuse policies for future develop pattern shall be of a mixed use, pedestrian oriented environment and the existing development pattern shall be transferred to a mixed use pedestrian oriented environment by creating neighborhood, community and regional centers of pedestrian activity and uses with access and linkage to hike and bike trails and transit systems to access all centers.	3/12/2019
I don't see this issue as a choice between do something or don't, I see it as a choice of high standard of living for humans in the near and long term (achieve goals of CAAP) or very low standard of living and potential much worse consequences if we don't act. I view this plan as an opportunity to make strides towards preserving the environment (which we rely on to survive, it's not like we have another planet to go to) while improving our quality of life at the same time. What's more, it gives our community a sense of purpose. I'm excited for all the positive transformations this will bring to our city. I know there will be growing pains and challenges, but the cost of doing nothing is both too great and has less desirable outcomes.	3/12/2019
Not the city's responsibility. Take care of basic city functions. Reduce tax rates.	3/12/2019
Please avoid the temptation to conflate the issues of preparing for climate/weather related events with the popular if irrelevant issue of "equity". The first is a practical issue all of our citizens should be	3/12/2019

Comment/Response	Date
prepared to handle, the second is simply the latest "social justice" theme intended to divide our community. Do indeed consider more energy efficient infrastructure solutions and preparations for pandemics, weather events, etc. Please do not waste tax dollars or unnecessary time trying to create a socialist (or marxist) paradise by taking from those who produce and giving to those who do not (the typical solution to the so-called "equity" issue). If you wish to reduce "inequity" then help the state identify and stop illegal migration and it's related issues. Do not get swept up in the global warming hysteria or the belief that there is consensus among scientists. Just plan for practical things we can address like floods, heat, fires, storms, pandemics, etc.	
Maybe there can be a cloth diaper initiative started in our city? I've searched with no luck and have had to go to online forums for education and help. Starting a local cloth resource group would be a step in the right direction to continue "going green".	3/12/2019
Would love more parks in the city for families, safe and easily accessible, that preserve our natural ecosystem.	3/12/2019
Conservation has been taught as important in our schools for many years. Scientists cannot prove that what you put forth in this very expensive and extensive plan will do anything of a magnitude that it would save the world. Please do not try to brainwash our children to believe that it would. As for electric cars, where do they get their electricity?	3/12/2019
Everything presented in this survey are socialistic in nature. This is nothing more that the City of San Antonio attempting to control citizens and cause false concern of the citizens. There is no climate change issue - climate does change, it is cyclical. This has been proved scientifically by scientific research of nature. I am amazed that District 10 has fallen for this charade.	3/12/2019
Direct evidence of climate change can be seen recently in the California wildfires which are devastating and on increase. We should of been doing things like SA Climate Ready a century ago. Natural gases have to be refrained from immediately. Methane is poison to the Earth. Earth has a way of repairing itself. We just need to give it a bigger push and time to breathe. Thank you for what you're doing!	3/12/2019
Cleaner air. Cleaner water. Stop building buildings. Need parks for families.	3/12/2019
How about also promoting solar by offering an incentive above what is offered by CPS? Like selling energy back to CPS between 1-1.5 times the going rate.	3/12/2019
"Climate Change" is a bunch of garbage. Environmentalists, opportunists, some scientists, have been pushing this garbage for the past half century. It's nonsense. It's making unsuspecting people stupid. It's all about the money. Just follow the money. Tired of hearing about it! Patrick Moore, co-founder of Greenpeace: "The whole climate crisis is not only Fake News, it's Fake Science. There is no climate crisis, there's weather and climate all around the world, and in fact carbon dioxide is the main building block of all life." Weather is calm and weather can be violent. It's unpredictable. Don't build your home in a path of destruction. Not one person has EVER died from "Climate Change" or "Global Warming" or whatever they're going to label it in another ten years. Recycling: Build products that last and we wouldn't have to recycle so much! Tech companies contribute massively to the waste. Do we need a new cell phone every year? New computer? Etc.? It's pure greed. We have millions of people who are just plain wasteful and we have millions of people who do their best to take care of their possessions, make them last, repair them, etc. Plastic or paper? We used to use paper but then we were concerned about the trees, now we have tons of plastic and it's drifting in the oceans and getting buried in our landfills. So now it's back to paper? San Antonio already has emergency plans in place for REAL emergencies. Stop inventing ways to waste tax payer money and fund pet projects and grow the government!	3/12/2019
I am commenting on the Climate Action Plan as a member of SANE. While SANE commends the City of San Antonio for tackling climate change and climate adaptation head on through policies adopted and implemented from the Climate Action Plan, we also have some concerns and recommendations regarding the plan. The plan narrative relies heavily on electric vehicles to improve air quality and less so on an effective, efficient transit system and multi-modal transportation system. This policy is out of the control of the city and highly reliant on the market of electric vehicles and whether they would be affordable and accessible to most households. The city could have more control over an effective and robust rapid transit system and multi-modal transportation system that could serve more households in the lower and middle-income bracket, reaching the goal of equity within the plan and improving air quality. The narrative in the plan needs to specifically speak to this more.	3/12/2019

Comment/Response	Date
The plan narrative and policy also focuses heavily on green building, which is also reliant on market forces. The more stringent your regulations on green building, the less redevelopment will occur to those standards. Make green building financially feasible for everyone by allowing for more intensive uses of property, including multi-family uses throughout neighborhoods and mixed high density residential uses elsewhere. A team of researchers in 2017 wrote a research paper on urban density and its impacts on building energy use through 2050. The paper concluded that urban density is as effective, if not more effective, at creating efficiency improvements for energy savings in building heating and cooling. As people live closer together, the average sizes of private living spaces shrink, leading to a decline in heating and cooling, and less of a need for individual vehicles. Furthermore, A congressional report that reviewed decades of research literature found that there is strong evidence that suggests developing more compactly at higher population and employment densities significantly lowers vehicle miles traveled. The climate plan specifically calls out lowering vehicle miles traveled but does not specifically call out increasing density in urban centers. The biggest factor in the carbon footprint of cities isn't building efficiency, but its zoning. Zoning laws that protect low-density single-family housing creates a large carbon footprint, increased vehicle miles traveled and housing affordability issues. Density, more compact development, is also a climate adaptation strategy. Vulnerability to heat stress is directly related to the amount of time someone has to spend exposed to the elements, specifically traveling from one place to another. To the extent you can shorten the trip length, you are reducing exposure to excessive heat. SANE highly encourages you to create policy for greater density throughout San Antonio, especially within the urban core, to reduce sprawl, improve building efficiency, and reduce vehicle miles traveled. The current policies in the land use section are very broad and do not specifically state for the city to increase density through zoning changes. Increasing density is a policy that requires no city investment; in fact, high density zoning actually save the City money due to reduced infrastructure, police and fire budgets. It does require the city to get out of the way of San Antonians that want to contribute to compact, walkable, transit supportive, connected, climate adaptive communities. Thank you for your consideration of my comments.	
I am commenting on the Climate Action Plan as a member of SANE. While SANE commends the City of San Antonio for tackling climate change and climate adaptation head on through policies adopted and implemented from the Climate Action Plan, we also have some concerns and recommendations regarding the plan. The plan narrative relies heavily on electric vehicles to improve air quality and less so on an effective, efficient transit system and multi-modal transportation system. This policy is out of the control of the city and highly reliant on the market of electric vehicles and whether they would be affordable and accessible to most households. The city could have more control over an effective and robust rapid transit system and multi-modal transportation system that could serve more households in the lower and middle-income bracket, reaching the goal of equity within the plan and improving air quality. The narrative in the plan needs to specifically speak to this more. The plan narrative and policy also focuses heavily on green building, which is also reliant on market forces. The more stringent your regulations on green building, the less redevelopment will occur to those standards. Make green building financially feasible for everyone by allowing for more intensive uses of property, including multi-family uses throughout neighborhoods and mixed high density residential uses elsewhere. A team of researchers in 2017 wrote a research paper on urban density and its impacts on building energy use through 2050. The paper concluded that urban density is as effective, if not more effective, at creating efficiency improvements for energy savings in building heating and cooling. As people live closer together, the average sizes of private living spaces shrink, leading to a decline in heating and cooling, and less of a need for individual vehicles. Furthermore, A congressional report that reviewed decades of research literature found that there is strong evidence that suggests developing more compactly at higher population and employment densities significantly lowers vehicle miles traveled. The climate plan specifically calls out lowering vehicle miles traveled but does not specifically call out increasing density in urban centers. The biggest factor in the carbon footprint of cities isn't building efficiency, but its zoning. Zoning laws that protect low-density single-family housing creates a large carbon footprint,	3/12/2019

Comment/Response	Date
increased vehicle miles traveled and housing affordability issues. Density, more compact development, is also a climate adaptation strategy. Vulnerability to heat stress is directly related to the amount of time someone has to spend exposed to the elements, specifically traveling from one place to another. To the extent you can shorten the trip length, you are reducing exposure to excessive heat. SANE highly encourages you to create policy for greater density throughout San Antonio, especially within the urban core, to reduce sprawl, improve building efficiency, and reduce vehicle miles traveled. The current policies in the land use section are very broad and do not specifically state for the city to increase density through zoning changes. Increasing density is a policy that requires no city investment; in fact, high density zoning actually save the City money due to reduced infrastructure, police and fire budgets. It does require the city to get out of the way of San Antonians that want to contribute to compact, walkable, transit supportive, connected, climate adaptive communities. Thank you for your consideration of my comments.	
This is a crisis.	3/13/2019
Effects will be limited if the surrounding community isn't considered. Make it harder to work or play in the city and people will go to the county, or other cities.	3/13/2019
Educate citizens about the natural ebb & flow of 'Mother Nature.' i.e. dinosaurs vs. ice age	3/13/2019
Resume building sidewalks. Encourage walking. Add walking and bike trails. Build bike lanes and scooter lanes. Have more green space/ parks. It's shown to improve mental health.	3/13/2019
It is foolish to think that we can take away gas cars and replace them with electric cars in the time frame proposed. Grow up.	3/13/2019
Stop building in flood plains and hold builders accountable for rain and water drainage to prevent local flooding.	3/13/2019
Funny you mention food....just go to the local HEB and see what crap they sell! Hello, they are a private company, how do we get healthier food when they are the only game most of the time and all we get is processed food.	3/13/2019
We must commit to clean energy as soon as possible. We are in a crisis. I have a four-year old grandson and I fear the world in which he will grow.	3/13/2019
Climate change is a natural part of our planet.	3/13/2019
Add walkways between neighborhoods, between towns and cities and across the country! Take old tires and instead of burning them, there is a machine that melts tires into rubber walkways. In Germany you pay per pound of trash. So, people recycle glass, plastic and paper. The recycler picks up a box ever 2-4 weeks. The city also picked up a green paper bag used for composting, food scraps but not meat.	3/13/2019
Get rid of the scooters and don't fool yourself that by not using bottled water that you made a difference. We all know you only traded a plastic bottle for a plastic cup in most cases.	3/13/2019
I am commenting on the Climate Action Plan and also have some concerns and recommendations regarding the plan. I grew up in San Antonio and it hurts to see the lack of green space coupled with ideas for opportunities to improve the way we live. The plan narrative relies heavily on electric vehicles to improve air quality and less so on an effective, efficient transit system and multi-modal transportation system. This policy is out of the control of the city and highly reliant on the market of electric vehicles and whether they would be affordable and accessible to most households. The city could have more control over an effective and robust rapid transit system and multi-modal transportation system that could serve more households in the lower and middle-income bracket, reaching the goal of equity within the plan and improving air quality. The narrative in the plan needs to specifically speak to this more. The plan narrative and policy also focuses heavily on green building, which is also reliant on market forces. The more stringent your regulations on green building, the less redevelopment will occur to those standards. Make green building financially feasible for everyone by allowing for more intensive uses of property, including multi-family uses throughout neighborhoods and mixed high density residential uses elsewhere. A team of researchers in 2017 wrote a research paper on urban density and its impacts on building energy use through 2050. The paper concluded that urban density is as effective, if not more effective, at creating efficiency improvements for energy savings in building heating and cooling. As people live closer together, the average sizes of private living spaces shrink, leading to a decline in heating and cooling, and less of a need for individual vehicles.	3/13/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Furthermore, A congressional report that reviewed decades of research literature found that there is strong evidence that suggests developing more compactly at higher population and employment densities significantly lowers vehicle miles traveled. The climate plan specifically calls out lowering vehicle miles traveled but does not specifically call out increasing density in urban centers. The biggest factor in the carbon footprint of cities isn't building efficiency, but its zoning. Zoning laws that protect low-density single-family housing creates a large carbon footprint, increased vehicle miles traveled and housing affordability issues. Density, more compact development, is also a climate adaptation strategy. Vulnerability to heat stress is directly related to the amount of time someone has to spend exposed to the elements, specifically traveling from one place to another. To the extent you can shorten the trip length, you are reducing exposure to excessive heat. I highly encourage you to create policy for greater density throughout San Antonio, especially within the urban core, to reduce sprawl, improve building efficiency, and reduce vehicle miles traveled. The current policies in the land use section are very broad and do not specifically state for the city to increase density through zoning changes. Increasing density is a policy that requires no city investment; in fact, high density zoning actually save the City money due to reduced infrastructure, police and fire budgets. It does require the city to get out of the way of San Antonians that want to contribute to compact, walkable, transit supportive, connected, climate adaptive communities. Thank you for your consideration of my comments.	
I am a resident in CPS Quadrant 1 and San Antonio City Council District 8. I am writing to you with some urgency, as our city is facing tough decisions dealing with climate change. San Antonio has drafted a proposed Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP). The plan needs an affirmative vote by the city council. This may happen as early as April, but it is imperative that it happen. My wife and I spoke briefly with Mr. Pelaez about our support of this plan during a recent Crown Ridge neighborhood association meeting. As a CPS Energy retiree, I have also been actively following the development of the CPS Flexible Path plan,and I am very concerned that, with its continued reliance on coal and natural gas for power generation, it fails to recognize the seriousness of the climate change threat. For decades, leaders around the world have not seriously dealt with the climate change issue. Now we see that the latest climate change reports from the United Nations and the U.S. government, including the U.S military, paint a grim picture. To prevent catastrophic climate change, it is estimated that we have about 12 years to make serious cuts in our fossil fuel consumption. Therefore, after decades of piecemeal efforts, it's time for CPS Energy and the city of San Antonio to embrace their responsibility.It is critical that despite the attendant costs, the City Council endorse and continue to flesh out the details of implementing the CAAP. In addition, the CPS Energy Board of Trustees must face up to this problem and phase out coal and natural gas generation at its earliest opportunity. We are counting on you.	3/13/2019
Via could go all electric	3/14/2019
Educate children at all ages, as well.	3/14/2019
It's most important to reduce the use of coal at CPS as that's the biggest polluter but we also have to look at how to manage our mass transit updates without cutting into funding for our recharge protection zones!	3/14/2019
go into schools and teach children how important it is to be frugal with energy consumption, and to use alternative energy sources instead of fossil fuels	3/14/2019
Clean and efficient buildings and transportation are a worth goal. However, demand-based pricing (pay more during times of high usage or demand) will do more to encourage movement toward those goals than high-minded policies and top-down edicts.	3/14/2019
There is no consensus that these things need to be done. Additionally, national studies show climate change is VERY LOW on the minds of Americans.	3/14/2019
The climate has always changed. It will always change. You don't destroy the economy of the country that has brought more prosperity to the entire globe. We are feeding more people than ever before. We are all living longer than ever before. Do you remember the "acid rain scare" of the 70s? We were told that by the 1990s the population would be too high for the earth to support. None of this happened. Carbon tax is simply a way for government (who produces nothing) to impose controls and move money around. Consider this: the co-founder of Green Peace Patrick Moore says the Green New Deal a "completely rediculous" plan.	3/14/2019

Comment/Response	Date
This planet has had fluctuating temperatures since creation. Man caused climate change is a farce.	3/14/2019
This is a great plan but probably too ambitious since the city is trying to solve long standing social issues such as poverty on top of solving the climate problem. Unless you have an extraordinary amount of political will behind the plan, I'm afraid the plan will fall short.	3/14/2019
I think the city has been doing a lot so far with good results without having to put another plan in place.	3/14/2019
Only God can change the climate. Why won't you use the word "conservation"?	3/14/2019
This looks really great. I'm excited to see what changes are made.	3/14/2019
CPS can close their coal plants and switch to solar and wind	3/14/2019
Address and eliminate food deserts	3/14/2019
I think if we make the community aware of the impact of coal and why we need mass transit they will see how short sighted funding with a sales tax on hotels is for just downtown tourists really has been!	3/14/2019
The city seems already well-prepared for temperature extremes and flooding due to past experience with same. Our citizens are also aware of those risks. A 'new' focus on that should not require more policies or regulations.	3/14/2019
Even more so than the first comments listed above, there is no consensus that these are issues. This is a political football that socialists are kicking around in order to satisfy their political goals.	3/14/2019
This is a good way to ensure that companies and employers will think twice about comming to San Antonio.	3/14/2019
The government should not be in the business of "climate change" lunacy advancement	3/14/2019
See my comments above. The plan does not discuss the financial and political resources needed to achieve these sweeping goals. Moreover I don't think that they are all have equal priority---the city needs to provide a prioritized list.	3/14/2019
My friends and me do a lot of this already without having to be required to do it.	3/14/2019
Only God can change the climate. Why won't you use the word "ecology"?	3/14/2019
Let's at least get the word out why we have to protect our air and water! As simple as saying we all want the city businesses to grow but we can't ignore the future impact we will have by not funding the top three things that can have an impact on the water we drink and the air we breath! 1. Fund mass transit 2. Fund Edwards aquifer land areas protections and alternative sources of water including desalination. (Real environmental impact studies and getting some new residential developers who can use innovations to make new communities land and water friendly. Not like the ones we have that slash and build without regard! 3.Fund solar and other alternative business that get that business growth means innovations that focus on what aligns all of us to protect air and water!	3/14/2019
As important as the CAAP is, please remember to "connect the dots" back to this survey. As a hypothetical example, one of the buildings being built along Broadway, "Reduce(s) Building Energy Consumption (and) implements policies and programs promoting energy efficiency." Promote that citizen's participation mattered and the result of this survey was that particular policies/programs happened, or didn't happen, vs. this survey's purpose is a "feel-good" for the public.	3/14/2019
The city's time, resources and outreach are better spent hardening utility infrastructure, building water capacity and preparing for extreme flooding (as the city has already been doing to some degree), than on launching new policies, initiatives and programs that do little more than regurgitate politicized talk. Plus, one gets the distinct sense that CAAP is designed more to keep up with other virtue-signaling cities rather than accomplish anything useful in particular. The city should keep on working on current infrastructure programs instead of having attention diverted with yet another feel-good program.	3/14/2019
Climate change is a given. Ever since the earth has existed, there has been climate change, but there is no evidence that it will be as extreme as the Green radicals are proposing. Green radicals / socialists are saying "people are going to die." There is no evidence to support this at all either. This is a total fabrication. The fact is, if the CAAP is passed, and the costs are not known - which would not get a passing grade in a decent high school business course - then people WILL HAVE TO CHOOSE between turning on the AC or putting food on the table. Then the socialists will be correct - people will die, but not in 12 years, but sooner when the costs have skyrocketed due to the socialists' agenda. Passing this plan would be a terrible mistake. It is no plan at all, just a political	3/14/2019

Comment/Response	Date
scam and a dirty trick on the poor and middle income folks.	
I like it that the city is trying to mitigate and adapt to the effects of climate change. Unfortunately the plan is long on actions but vague on the resources and means to do so.	3/14/2019
Your group didn't include anyone from the petroleum industry and it obviously should. I hope your group doesn't insist on any changes which require immediate action, eg. The city can convert their utility vehicles to run on natural gas as they would normally be rotated, but it would be ludicrous to have them all changed by some arbitrary date. It is not realistic to impose measures on Americans which will make little or no difference, when China, India and the African continent are not required to make the same type changes. By the way, please quit referring to the IPCC as unanimously agreed or whatever. There are many scientists who do not believe in all the forecasts of AGW.	3/14/2019
I been told over and over how bad the future will be, how doomed we are, and if it is that bad, a lot of this plan doesn't even address the problem that is dooming us. I am tired of being told what to do and when to do it. This plan looks a lot like more control of my life with kind caring words. More promises for more cost and less delivery. And, if the city is really serious about climate change and global warming, and if they believe it is a real problem, then why don't they get 100% behind the nuclear power solution. A clean energy solution that produces a lot of energy. I am so tired of the wind and solar option without talking about the reality of its own negative impacts. I am pro-choice and my choices of how to live my life, and make my decisions is being controlled and dictated by the city. And my friends that live outside the San Antonio city limits, don't get to vote in city elections, don't get to vote for city council will have to subjected to the CPS energy rates that are dictated by city policy that they don't get to vote on. Not just. If the city wants to implement policy, they should limit their policies to the city, not others near the city or those non San Antonio City CPS customers.	3/14/2019
There is so much to be done to decrease consumption by citizens and commercial entities. Wasted energy on over-heated or -cooled buildings, lights on all night inside and outside of buildings, security lights that should be motion sensitive as well. Water conservation can still be implemented if only people believed that they didn't need to water all winter	3/14/2019
Why aren't you spending OUR money on our streets, sidewalks, emergency services, library services, storm drainage, sewer system, clean/grey/black water systems, street lights and signal lights, and other infrastructure? That is your job! We have had ecology and conservation measures in place since the drought in the 1950's, Hurricane Carla relief in the 1960's, storm drainage in the 1970's, including reconstruction of Olmos Dam that was originally built in the 1920's, residential streets paved & curbed or upgraded in the 1980's, disaster flood control in the 1990's, and San Antonio River expansion in the 2000's. You already did your "green" job with OUR green money. Increase our first responders first. Fix our potholes and sidewalks second. Also, get out of the school business (pre-K). THAT IS NOT YOUR JOB!	3/14/2019
This is the most important vote that city council will be asked to make. Hopefully all will read the plan carefully. The case is well stated that we can't wait any longer. If we want to stay a vibrant prosperous community, then the only answer is yes I will vote for "The Climate Plan"	3/14/2019
I am a property owner (78250) and resident of San Antonio and am 100% opposed to the City of San Antonio spending ANY taxpayer revenues on a sustainability council/department/taskforce, et.al. This is a duplicate expenditure of Federal and State government environmental regulatory agencies. Stop spending any resources on this intitiative until every street is smoothly paved, every bridge is built over flood prone streets and every neighborhood is wired with fiber cable communications.	3/14/2019
As someone who lives in the coverage area for CPS Energy, the City of San Antonio's municipally-owned utility, I am writing to express concerns about the city's draft "Climate Ready" plan, which seeks to phase out reliable and affordable fuel sources like natural gas. I am concerned that this plan will lead to higher power bills, which will disproportionately harm low-income families in San Antonio. The City of Georgetown recently attempted to go "100% renewable," on the assumption that it would deliver lower and more stable energy bills. Instead, residents will now be paying $150 more per year according to the city's own estimate. By forcing our power company to adopt a fuel mix based on politics instead of reliability and affordability, I worry that CPS Energy will have no choice but to request future rate hikes. The city's increased use of natural gas in recent years has delivered lower emissions and more	3/14/2019

Comment/Response	Date
affordable power bills. Since 2003, ozone levels in San Antonio have declined by 20%, thanks in part to CPS Energy steadily increasing its use of clean-burning natural gas. Over the past 15 years, no fuel has done more to reduce CO2 emissions in the United States than natural gas. In fact, thanks to clean-burning natural gas, the United States has led the world in reducing greenhouse gas emissions. In 2018, natural gas accounted for 46% of CPS Energy's fuel mix. It's not a coincidence that CPS Energy also currently has residential energy rates (10.3 cents per kWh) that are lower than both the Texas and national averages. I appreciate your request for feedback on the city's climate plan, and I hope you will take these facts into account before proceeding down a potentially dangerous path of higher electricity bills and reduced economic competitiveness for San Antonio.	
"There is widespread consensus that climate change is occurring and that it is caused by human activity." I call bullshit, there is a wide spread disbelief that humans have any involvement in climate change as well! It is not one sided or decided as fact, too many studies found to be manipulated to fit the story line.	3/15/2019
Instead of leaving lights on in and around schools, businesses and government buildings, why not install motion detectors on the lights inside buildings; so when no one is using the facility, the lights can go out and reduce our light pollution and maybe save energy!	3/15/2019
How can we do a better job of reducing transportation consumption?	3/15/2019
This entire plan is pure Hoppy Cock! There is totally no proof that San Antonio's climate has changed in the last 100 years!! Actually we set a few "colder" days in this last winter. Shut DOWN THIS PHONY PLAN NOW!! It is a group of rich investors that want more "windmills and Solar Panels" to strengthen their pocket books!! This city counsel has so for in the last two years totally ignored the citizens, if you want to keep your jobs I suggest you cancel this plan or go back selling solar panels door to door! The Paris accord was put together to take money from rich nations and give it to poor nations. Note the total worse envirement nations were given passes. Indian, China, ect.	3/15/2019
The climate is changing, but the cycles have been shown by many to be natural and apart from man's direct influence. Who stands to make money? That's my question. Many will make money on this at great cost to all- especially the lowest income groups. This nonsense sounds like the great "can't do without" light rail debate, which gratefully, was wisely voted down by the citizens of San Antonio.	3/15/2019
Keep up the good work of providing the citizens with what we might be able to help accomplish the above areas.	3/15/2019
"There is widespread consensus that climate change is occurring and that it is caused by human activity." I call bs, there is a wide spread disbelief that humans have any involvement in climate change as well! It is not one sided or decided as fact, too many studies found to be manipulated to fit the story line.	3/15/2019
Indigent elderly, homeless are at risk for extreme heat and poor air quality. What is being done to protect them?	3/15/2019
Your job as City Employees and counsel members is to not engineer population, but to provide services needed. Nothing is this "Plan" does any of that!	3/15/2019
Show us the evidence by scientists who were not so in love with the "man is totally to blame" vs. "the Earth has always gone through cyclic changes" that they skewed their data. Bunk. Al Gore is a phony.	3/15/2019
"There is widespread consensus that climate change is occurring and that it is caused by human activity." There is a wide spread disbelief that humans have any involvement in climate change as well! It is not one sided or decided as fact, too many studies found to be manipulated to fit the story line. More than one thousand scientists disagree that human activity is primarily responsible for global climate change. In 2010 Climate Depot released a report featuring more than 1,000 scientists, several of them former UN IPCC scientists, who disagreed that humans are primarily responsible for global climate change. [55] The Cook review [1] of 11,944 peer-reviewed studies found 66.4% of the studies had no stated position on anthropogenic global warming, and while 32.6% of the studies implied or stated that humans are contributing to climate change, only 65 papers (0.5%) Stop presenting things as decided and as fact when they are not!	3/15/2019
Stop the unnecessary destruction of land: the city council should not allow developers to build homes or businesses in low water areas (Topperwein and Lookout). Also, why allow developers to	3/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
destroy land and build warehouses that stand empty for years and then move to another part of the city to build more warehouses? Look at the warehouses along I-35 and Eisenhower, O'Connor Road, and now along 1604 East near RBFCU. Not every piece of land needs to be developed and not every empty space needs an empty warehouse. Leave us some green space.	
Insure that local plans are coordinated with similar programs at other governmental levels -- national, state, regional. Find ways to show how the lives of average citizens will be negatively impacted by climate change if we don't take measures now to improve our situation.	3/15/2019
Will reducing transportation consumption and reducing waste be voluntary? Judging by Facebook comments, some are still in denial. Can we monitor for a year and if no progress, require people to comply with certain strategies?	3/15/2019
I am referencing the entire plan. It is not the city counsel job to engineer human life, but to supply water, fix pot holes and have clean streets. You are openly going way above you pay scale to try and do God's work and believe me he does not need your phony engineering! San Antonio has more "Green Space" than 98% of the U.S.A. cities. We have more trees, more actual parks than other cities. We have a fantastic Climate that has not change for me since 1948, for most of your I'd say around 1980.	3/15/2019
Thank you for spearheading the effort to draft a new climate plan for the City of San Antonio. After reviewing the draft SA Climate Ready: A Pathway for Climate Action and Adaptation made public in January, I would like to submit the following comments on behalf of Environment Texas: 1. The current draft sets the goal of supplying a minimum of 50% of San Antonio's energy capacity with renewable resources by 2040, then 100% by 2050. We applaud the city for establishing the longterm goal of achieving 100% renewable energy by 2050. However, as the Climate Action SA coalition has also pointed out, ambitious goals with sooner deadlines play a crucial role in encouraging shortterm progress and keeping the city on track to achieve its renewable energy vision. This is why we are recommending that the City of San Antonio revise its interim renewable energy goal to source 80% of CPS Energy's energy supply from clean, renewable energy sources by 2030. 2. We support the city's commitment to "incentivize the adoption of districtscale installations, including community solar" projects, as stated in the current draft. Pursuing community solar will allow the city to expand access to solar energy to consumers who are not able to install their own projects. Community solar can and should return savings to consumers, so we encourage the city to work with CPS Energy to establish shared solar programs that compensate participants for the full range of environmental and societal benefits that solar energy provides. 3. In order to promote the citywide growth of solar energy, we recommend the city work with CPS Energy to reestablish the per capita incentive for solar installations at commercial properties. 4. As written, this draft plan sets no goals specific to expanding distributed or rooftop solar generation. Given the many benefits that distributed solar provides to consumers and the local grid, we recommend adding a goal that prioritizes this energy source. We recommend that the city adopt a goal modeled after Philadelphia's to put solar on 80 percent of currently suitable rooftops throughout the city by 2050. The policies laid out in our Ten Ways Your City Can Go Solar guide would help in achieving such a commitment. 5. The current draft includes designing a net zero energy building code, both for municipal buildings and for the community as a whole. Building codes play a crucial role in improving energy efficiency, and we fully support this endeavor. However, as with the city's vision for 100% renewable energy, this goal will be more meaningful if paired with interim measures that spark progress in the near term. For this reason, we urge the city to adopt a policy that new homes be built with solar panels modeled after the State of California's Solar Homes policy that will go into effect in 2020, and include this commitment in the climate plan . The City of Lancaster , California, was the first in the nation to adopt such a Solar Homes policy, and has since transitioned successfully to a net zero energy building code.	3/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
6. The current draft includes a commitment to "Produce renewable power at municipal buildings and facilities." Cities that lead by example, through visionary commitments to renewable energy for city operations and solar installations on more public buildings, stand out as strong energy leaders. While we applaud this commitment to lead by example, we ask that the city establish a specific and trackable goal for renewable energy production at municipal buildings as part of the clean energy vision. This could be a commitment to installing renewable energy systems on a certain number of city properties, such as on 50% of city facilities by 2050, or to supply a certain percentage of municipal energy use with onsite renewable energy. Many cities have made such commitments, from Cincinnati to Albuquerque to Orlando, and San Antonio should do the same. 7. We encourage the city to begin working towards the goal of 100% renewable energy by starting with its major institutions, including the University of Texas at San Antonio (UTSA). As a hotspot of innovation and technical expertise, as well as a campus with a wealth of student support for clean energy, UTSA can lead by example and demonstrate the importance of climate action to communities across San Antonio. We are asking the University of Texas at San Antonio to go 100% renewable by 2035, and encourage the city to include plans to work with the University towards this goal in the city's climate plan. 8. The current draft does not provide a closing date for the Spruce coalfired power plants. Coalfired power plants are a major contributor to global warming, and the pollution they produce causes a host of pulmonary, cardiovascular, and neurological issues including asthma, impaired brain development in children, cancer, and heart disease. In order to protect public health, we urge the city to immediately shut down the Spruce 1 power plant and to decommission the Spruce 2 power plant by 2025. 9. While the plan calls for the city to support all opportunities to further reduce San Antonio's water consumption, "all opportunities" is unnecessarily vague since the the most effective strategies for water conservation are already wellestablished and wellproven. The plan should instead recommend specific strategies, such as requiring future developments to reuse onsite resources (including rainwater and air conditioning condensation) to meet nonpotable needs, and to use droughtresistant native plants for landscaping in order to reduce irrigation needs. 10. While the plan calls for the city to assess opportunities for integrating resilience measures such as Low Impact Development into building codes, the city has already added a LID policy to the Unified Development Code in 2016. However, because it's a voluntary policy with insufficient incentives, very few developers have taken advantage of it. The city should convert it into a mandatory LID policy requiring new developments to use features such as rain gardens, green roofs, permeable pavement, and rainwater harvesting. LID features can produce multiple benefits, including reductions in runoff pollution, flooding severity, and erosion damage. Environment Texas urges you to make these changes in order to ensure that San Antonio's climate plan is ambitious as possible and encourages the rapid adoption of renewable energy to power our lives. Despite considerable progress towards a renewable energy future for San Antonio, we still have a long way to go to reach our potential. Not only will these steps help get us there, but they will foster a strong local economy, vibrant community, and healthy environment in the process.	
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding.	3/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	
Most important is to enable individuals to make their own changes that collectively comprise a large impact for good.	3/16/2019
Encourage less consumption of meat as the meat production is a major contributor to global warming.	3/16/2019
We should all do what we can but sadly whatever we do, is but a drop in the bucket until the biggest contributor to climate change,China, is held accountable.	3/16/2019
What specifically is meant by equity in adaptation.? See comments below, please	3/16/2019
Everyone I know would like to be able to walk to service providers -- I think the most important thing the city can do is to invent development of walkable full-service neighborhoods.	3/16/2019
Green infrastructure: When purchasing land or easements start insureing that the land can be used for minimal impact public use such as nature trails or nature watching. Health: Promote a plant based diet with less meat consumption in schoola and by the pub;lic both for health reasons and for the enviornment.	3/16/2019
Until we find ways to help people actually CARE about climate- change, it will be only the 'haves' who will be running around like chickens worrying about it, no matter how many educational meetings you have. The majority of the vulnerable community members simply can't relate, even if they want to. Who cares about climate change when you have food, housing insecurity & no job skills? You cannot divorce climate change from the real priorities of this city. It's just another exercise in futility that may look good on paper. They're all inter-connected! Example: Taking people from poorer areas of town & 'plunking' them in more affluent areas with rent help but no preparation for success, etc. looks good on paper but is a recipe for failure for all involved. The original homeowners get frustrated and move out, (Reverse gentrification) & those who were supposed to be getting a hand-up get tired of people calling the police on them when they're sitting outside at 10pm with 'conjunto' music blaring like they did in the old neighborhood. They don't understand why they get in trouble for having cars on blocks on the lawn so they leave, too. Expectations for success in the new neighborhood were never shared before they were 'plunked' in. So who got a hand-up? It looked good on paper though, but no one thought the implementation through. This was not a hand-up, just another compartmentalized initiative that got us off some accountability list. The same will happen with climate-change. We need to go back to our city goals & quit compartmentalizing them. They're all intertwined! Encouraging self-sufficiency & self- respect by teaching employable skills to those who need them, working with employers to provide ways to achieve fair wages, problem-solving ways to provide affordable housing, ---all are precursors to caring about climate change. This leads to self-respect & caring for others, & making 'climate change' REAL, not just another 'pet project' of the 'haves'. I have worked and taught in	3/16/2019

Comment/Response	Date
some of the most affluent areas & in some of the poorest areas in San Antonio, and I can promise you this.... schedule all the info meetings you desire on climate change. Those attending will probably be more affluent. The most vulnerable community members won't be there. In the scheme of things, it's not something that matters right now in their world; food, shelter & jobs matter. Compartmentalized initiatives are just hamster-wheels - a bunch of regs. that few take seriously. Am I just jaded or a realist who does not believe in exercises of futility. Talk to a few people on the street (not the bureaucracy) in Houston, Dallas-Fort Worth, Washington State or in even California & you'll see what I mean. Again...if you are serious about climate-change, you cannot divorce climate change from the real priorities of this city. They're Intertwined! Time to pull out our 'outlining" & diagramming skills & apply them to our city goals & initiatives.	
Bring more rebates in for CPS energy customers (SOLAR PANEL PROGRAM) -bring education resources to primary schools, encourage field trips and outreach to schools from programs available.	3/17/2019
Encourage, not mandate.	3/17/2019
San Antonio is blessed to have access to inexpensive petroleum resources that will enable it to compete with other cities in attracting jobs. The city should not be distracted with energy options that cannot compete economically with petroleum without the use of government subsidies. The "green" options require direct and indirect taxes upon it citizens. Please do not propose chic energy sources without providing an honest explanation of the financial burden they will impose on our citizens. Do not bite the hand of the few private employers we have.	3/17/2019
HOA lane for buses and carpool van etc	3/17/2019
I find it difficult to believe that we need more government regulations and policies. It's costly to our community both in taxes to pay for these programs and in jobs in industries where we have natural resources and ingenuity to that move country forward. Our petro chemiical industry has adoped/complied to safety standards and reduced emissions guidelines. We need to move on and enjoy our prosperity.	3/17/2019
More accessible health fairs to communities. More farmers markets and support for local businesses.	3/17/2019
Propose incentive(taxes reduction etc..) for companies with huge employee pool that use public transportation, van pool and car pool etc..	3/17/2019
One must believe that climate is changing erxtraordinarily to accept such a plan. I need stats as proof.	3/17/2019
Encourage, not mandate. Encourage State to stop blocking Tesla Autos for starters... Figure out how to make S.A. a beacon for Tesla, that's be pretty neat... Other manufacturers are a decade behind and offering garbage EV options. Encourage EV charging facilities akin to traditional fueling stations. Basically consider practical things to do...	3/17/2019
Make tax deduction, reduction etc..for employer and employee who participate in using public transportation and car pool, van pool etc..	3/17/2019
What is the definition for climate change? We can not change the weather or control the weather.	3/18/2019
I don't believe the climate is changing I have researched the data as well and the models show differently AND science is not a consensus	3/18/2019
"Climate change" is a joke. But you already knew that.	3/18/2019
Who wrote these questions, this is not about Climate Change, this plan has a social agenda and this is not right nor fair to the millions of citizens in this city	3/18/2019
The 1930s was the hottest and dryest decade in US history. But don't let facts dissuade you.	3/18/2019
It appears the extreme Crime, the atrocious Traffic, and the protection of Illegals might be a much higher priority. The climate & air of San Antonio don't bother me, near as much as the idea of being stuck in Traffic, assaulted in the Parking Lot of a Grocery Store, or the fear of driving in many areas of the City at night. Illegals running Dope bother me, too. Cartel operating freely bothers me. Yes, this City needs to clean up a lot of things, the least, being the air.	3/18/2019
This needs to be done but at no cost to our lower income residents. By taking CPS Energy off of coal and fuel based energy, the costs will increase. REAP assistance is already at an all time high. Without extra funding for utility assistance, we cannot pass the burden of cost to the low income segment. The housing stock needs a renovation overhaul. The benefits of reduced usage will not benefit the horrid housing in the inner west side.	3/18/2019
We need the following hard metrics 2025 No coal plants 2030 No energy derived from fossil fuels	3/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
2040 Net Zero	
I believe we should be conservative in the use of our water, power source so as to not waste them but to control what kind of weather we will have only God knows. I am a believer in recycling of useful materials if it is possible. I do not like people to litter the countryside, city streets or destroy and deface property of others with graffiti. I could expound on this more but I think you get the point.	3/18/2019
No one knows if climate change is man caused. no one knows if these actions by san antonio will impact the climate. no one knows the cost of this plan. shelve this idea and re visit it in 15 years. science will be clearer. technology will be advanced. citizen support will be stronger.	3/18/2019
I would include the plan but you have no metrics, no sources its all commentary.....who wrote this stuff?	3/18/2019
this survey is one sided. it is designed to scare people. you are not serving, you are prescribing what we should do. the city is staff of the people, not the other way around.	3/18/2019
How about the city fix real problems before the make believe ones. I've lived in 78216 for 49 years. Not a lot of climate change in those 49 years. But keep the faith.	3/18/2019
There was a big push all across the nation called the America Beautiful Act. We need more big pushes to plant cooling species. We're not a desert. Big patches of decomposed granite and gravel heat up our atmosphere. Be smart and use old bulletproof plants that cover your ground.	3/18/2019
More trees!	3/18/2019
It's designed for them to corner you into eco austerity and make it sound like it's your idea. It's complete deception	3/18/2019
Wants better public transportation/current public transportation is not convenient.	3/18/2019
Wants improvement on EV/ EV charging stations/EV incentives.	3/18/2019
Wants improvement on EV/ EV charging stations/EV incentives.	3/18/2019
City Councilman Brockhouse: I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	3/18/2019
https://saclimateready.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/SACR-REPORT_FINAL_spreads-1-25.pdf First – pay attention to the URL. The document is not really in a "reviewable" format since it's a spread pdf. You cannot scroll from page to page top-to-bottom. The pdf is paginated as having 43 pages, but the numbered page count is numerated as 84. I review documents every single day and this format is just bad. From the outset it set a negative tone: the editors and writers are disrespectful of the reader and reviewer – you are wasting my time by making it hard to read. I also tried to find workarounds, like here: https://forums.adobe.com/message/8406933#8406933 Not	3/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
coincidentally, every person I've seen who has actually worked on the CAAP has a hardcopy printout, not a hard-to-review softcopy. Provide this feedback to your professional consultant. Compare, for example, how great this flipbook seed catalog is: http://www.seedsource.com/2019springcatalog/index.html?page=42 [Yes, I fully appreciate the irony of my negative tone in my first comment forming the same initial negative opinion to my comments.] There are far too many wasted pages with full size pictures – too much style and not enough substance. I really don't like the statement on page 6 overwritten on the photo. The Pearl as a symbol of "equity" in San Antonio? Wouldn't the Mission Reach trails transformation from a concrete ditch into a more realistic river environment be more appropriate of correcting previous bad climate decisions with a more equitable and public solution? See page 37, for example, it's a nice photo. Or any online image search. A better photo could also link to the global concepts reinforced via a UNESCO Heritage declaration; or the story you try to weave about the Yanaguana on the facing page. Depending on photo selection or emphasis you can show progress and utility (with restoration), or peril (with trash-saturated flood debris). But I do like the bright green astro-turf at the Pearl, though. It's a nice touch if the intent was maximum irony – tourists playing on fake grass. I simply vehemently disagree with the concept of "equity" as presented in this document and espoused on this page, but I'll get to that later. I believe in Climate Change and taking action, but this plan as written and edited induces a visceral negative response. The picture of the Pearl provokes that response as downtown, tourism-centric. It's "faux". It's insincere. It's a really bad choice. Page 7 makes the first appearance of actual climate change impacts. Unfortunately, it seems like that's all you got. The exact same stats appear 3 more times, or 4, if you count the mayor's vague-ish intro, or 5, if you count page 10, or 6 if you count page 27 twice. Clean it up. Lean it down. Focus. I've got to get through 84 pages. You're wasting my time with unnecessary duplication. (pp 3, 7, 10, 27, 46). Page 8: The Council signed the Paris Agreements? Really? Good job, tell me more! Again, that's already been covered twice (and I'm only on page 8). Stop wasting my time with duplication. (pp 3, 7, 8, 11, 33, 65). The Introduction on page 9 is confusing. Why has it taken 9 pages to get here? I thought page 7 was the intro. What, exactly, is it introducing – because it's not the CAAP. This is a Chamber of Commerce intro to San Antonio. It is, again, wasting my time. It teases the reader with hinting at three pillars of a plan, and then deviates into a rambling discussion of how important the CAAP is, and how great it's going to be. Despite being the "focus" for the future, these three pillars never appear again, as far as I can tell. I looked them up. I appreciate they're related to sustainability, but if you're not going to develop them, or reinforce them, or tell me how each strategy supports one or more of them (e.g. a column in the tables on pp 38-53) then don't bother bringing them into the discussion and labelling them the "focus" this early. Had you adequately articulated an "economic pillar" in the document then perhaps the business community would be more on board, for example. I'm not exactly sure where the Introduction ends, but I assume it's on page 11. I do like the strategic insertion of the bond rating risk: "Just say No to GHG, or it'll hurt our credit rating – hint, hint." That may be too subtle for the business community, though. I'm completely through the Intro, 11 pages into the document and I still have no idea what the plan is. You could delete pp. 9-11 from this document and I doubt anyone would even notice, except in time saved. You know what would have helped? An Executive Summary rather than 3 separate "introductions." On page 12, the bad layout of the document hurts. Is that one big page with the hand- heart-globe in the middle? Does the discussion on Equity start on the left on page 12? Or on page 13 like the Table of Contents says? I'm certainly glad the "draft" definition of equity from page 12 is subject to revision, because I really don't like the accusatory tone. It says "A climate equity framework prioritizes the communities burdened the most by climate change, those that contribute the least to climate change, and those that are socially vulnerable to climate change. Climate equity ensures that these communities play a central role in the just transformation of the systems that have established, and continue to perpetuate, the unequal burden of climate impacts." Breaking that down – there are three distinct communities to consider: most burdened, least contributors, and socially vulnerable. But the discussion of equity appears to lump those 'communities' into a single group (i.e. poor non-polluting victims) with a different group that	

Comment/Response	Date
perpetuates unequal burdens (i.e. wealthy dirty polluters). Climate equity is almost wholly ignored while economic equity appears to be the exclusive focus. I basically disregarded that page 12 definition as so much fluff until I got to page 57 with implementation and governance. If the same people who wrote the equity section are in key places to disproportionately influence decisions via the Advisory Committee, then I cannot support the plan. There is simply too much bias and manipulation of data in this section. Page 57 says the Climate Equity Sub-Committee gets a prime seat at the table, and coupled with Appendix III, I just foresee that as an additional layer of bureaucracy and dissension to impede progress on climate change goals unless there's something special added to redress perceived historical bias (probably supported by bad data analysis). The enumerated items on page 12 can be used as an acid-test on whether legislation can get passed. Is that the intent? [I removed a separate criticism about equity because I have previously submitted it. I have also been assured that section is under review for a significant re-write and possible removal.] Jumping ahead, there are graphs, charts and maps on pages 17-20 to reiterate the point of "a certain specific race" keeping the people down. Those graphs and data are suspect, and appear to be chosen for racial reasons and not climate or impact reasons (e.g. asthma, air pollution, obesity, diabetes are all available, but not selected – despite those items being cited elsewhere in the CAAP). The captions have been edited. Some populations present in CAAP graphics don't exist in the original source data. The graphs are, in short, a climate skeptic's dream evidence of the CAAP – or any scientific data - being manipulated for a social agenda and not based on science. But there's still no Climate Action Plan yet, just possible evidence of a biased agenda. Consider the motivation of the person(s) who selected these graphs, then consider whether they should be on the Advisory Committee. That really bothers me. On page 14 the CAAP talks about the "Equity Screening Mechanisms" and "Equity Themes" and then refers to Appendix III. What's interesting is that there's still no plan. Page 14 alludes to strategies, but keeps on talking about "equity." "…each of the strategies outlined in the CAAP will be evaluated using the Climate Equity Screening Mechanism, which will allow the city to identify and mitigate potential equity impacts, reduce existing inequities and identify opportunities to improve the quality of life of vulnerable groups." Spoiler alert: the actual strategies won't appear for another 20 pages, on page 35. More comments on photo and caption selection: Page 15 is sweet, little kids playing in a fountain with someone saying "Water should be free. I just want to stay cool." Until you read the fine print and the attribution is to a homeless man in a library. Were a real homeless man frolicking in the fountains at the park with the children, I don't think the parents would be unconcerned, they'd call the police. Jethro Tull's "Aqualung" springs to mind. If you're not familiar with the song, give it a listen. Or read about it. "Sitting on a park bench…" https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Aqualung_(song) Is this photo also at the Pearl? Did the consultants not go anywhere else? Also, that smiling woman on page 16 – she doesn't look like she's worried about her house. Is that her house in the background? Looks pretty good, if you ask me. Who selected the photos and the captions? Do they not understand the concept of discord or dissonance? Or maybe they should read how to write captions? "The function of the caption then, is to both bring them back into the text and provide extra information to the image. That way, the picture can contribute to the natural flow of the content instead of hindering it." https://www.elegantthemes.com/blog/tips-tricks/how-to-create-good-captions-for-pictures-on-your-wordpress-website Seriously – provide that feedback to your consultants. Page 20: Tree canopy. I love trees. In fact, at the Central Library in our table with two climate skeptics, tree canopy was the only thing we could agree on. It's also why I hold so little hope for this plan. Did you know that Tree Canopy mysteriously disappeared from SA2020 goals? Our facilitator didn't either. He was surprised – look it up. It didn't make the cut from 2014 to 2016. So if a topic that is universally supported can't even keep its place in SA2020, how is it going to fare here? It highlights what I consider to be a fatal flaw with this plan. There are no detailed implementation mechanisms for any of the Adaptation Strategies. 50% of the overall CAAP strategy is still undeveloped. Why? Mitigation is covered is Appendix IV. Where is adaptation? Tree canopy – beloved by all – is relegated to a sub-bullet under the Heat Island mitigation. Adaptation is THE most important part of this plan. If you assume 100% success with mitigation but the rest of the world does nothing then you still need adaptation. If you completely skip any mitigation you still need adaptation. Even the skeptics at the table agreed to adaptation strategies to reduce the	

Comment/Response	Date
effects of these purely randomly increasing storms and weather and stuff. A realtor thought that better bus stops to shelter people was important. I pointed out that it was there, under Adaptation #5. But no one talks about adaptation. It's all "carbon free" and "no cars!" You guys missed the boat by not beefing up Adaptation. PS: Any tree canopy topic needs discussion on preventing Oak Wilt. No more red oaks in landscapes. They're like Typhoid Mary. I will expand on this already-long comment with an anecdotal observation about the city's dichotomy between words and action regarding tree canopy: Gray Street Partners. There was a recent news story about the developer suing the city claiming the ordinance is unenforceable. Didn't the city change the zoning to IDZ for these properties? Complete with incentives and fee waivers? This is the response from the developers: cut down trees without concern or remediation. And this is what the city supports: non-cooperative developers whose primary concern is primacy of their "prerogatives." They're paying more in attorney fees than the fine, and I'm sure they'll ask for compensation, and most likely the city will lose and I'll have to pay even more and get even less. So no, I don't hold out much hope for the CAAP to be effective in any capacity – even for the universally supported-by-residents concepts like trees. Call me a cynic. https://www.expressnews.com/business/local/article/City-allows-GrayStreet-to-continue-demolition-on-13674607.php#photo-17032448 Page 21 says "The remainder of this chapter presents the baseline for the mitigation and adaptation strategies identified in the CAAP." Thankfully, it's no longer talking about equity but I'm 21 pages in and still have no clue what San Antonio is actually going to do yet. Page 22 says there's a separate document for the detailed GHG inventory, but the CAAP spends a few more pages on the inventory anyway. Where is the plan?! For that matter, where is the GHG inventory? No footnote, no webpage? The dissociation of the long disclaimer on page 22 to the list on page 23 is simply emblematic of poor editing. Seriously? I'm supposed to see that white line that transitions under all that other text on 22 in order to get to the table on 23? You could have skipped that photo and added the text there, no? And is that the Pearl again? Astro-turf and mechanical fountains? Did your photographer not go anywhere else? Personally, I think a smokestack or source of carbon would go great here, or maybe somewhere else showing transformation – possibly reinforcing civility or even evidence of an already-changed climate? Page 24: Is the math correct? A 19% increase from 17.4 MtCO2e isn't up to 19.6, is it? 1.19*17.4 = 20.71. Isn't that a 12% increase? Page 21 said 19.2 to 17.4 was a 10% decrease, so the numbers seem off. I tried to find the CoSA GHG inventory to see what it said about 100-yr vs. 20-yr, but since you didn't tell me where it was on page 21 or 22 (or page 62), I couldn't. Page 26: C'mon. Can you please pay attention? Are all the landfills closed? Are there no open landfills contributing to methane production? And Streetlights shouldn't be under Transportation because 6 + 6 + 5 = 17%, not 11%. And that's why your doughnut chart only adds up to 94% despite being a complete circle. You list four areas at the top. You specifically call out streetlights at the bottom. Seriously, did no one actually read and edit this at all? I am exhausted reading this thing. Tell your consultant I want my tax dollars back. Page 27 is a dramatic example of a waste of space and poor editing. The graphic at the bottom of the page has exactly the same information as the top of the page. The same 5 factoids: 3" less rain, hotter nights, more frequent heavy rain, more hot days, and hotter hot days. These are the reasons why I can't take this plan seriously – the people responsible for creating it did not do an adequate job: clean it up, cut out the fat, get to the point. No one is going to read this whole thing. No. One. Page 28 – back to equity again. I really thought we were past that. Obviously not. I will highlight that this page explicitly calls out exposure to air pollution and respiratory ailments. If only there were a graph on that included way back on page 18 or so. If the Equity Sub-Committee genuinely cared about health impacts then it would document that concern with unbiased data about health effects. Nah, that's crazy talk. Those people need more cars. Page 30 – another example of the sloppy editing and lack of focus in this document. "Businesses need to be prepared for the big climate change impacts, i.e. rising sea levels and changing weather patterns including the increasing likelihood of extreme weather will affect businesses…" Rising sea levels? In San Antonio? Isn't that a direct contradiction of what you tried to do in the first sentence when you wrote that sea levels weren't really a business concern? The editors just throw boiler-plate, global-warming clichés into the document. You cover the same topics twice "Public	

Comment/Response	Date
Perception" and "Improving the Brand (page 31) are the exact same thing. Focus. Edit. Get to the point. Page 33 – For at least the third time (or fourth, if you count the mayor's intro), the document writes about the Council adopting the Paris Agreement (pp 3, 7, 8, 33). You'd think the first few times would be adequate. But for good measure, it shows up at least one more time – on page 65. Page 33 also says "The need for climate action has never been more urgent." I agree. Get to the point. That being said, page 33 is actually pretty well written. Suggestion: throw away the first 32 pages and start with page 33! Page 34: I'm all for a global outlook, but coral reefs and sea ice? Quickly skimming the highlights of IPCC 1.5 and quoting the most striking global stats from the summary is simply not relevant for San Antonio. Did anyone actually read the report, or did you just look at the summaries? Why didn't you look at chapter 3.4.6 Food Production? You could write about impact to grain and livestock (Hint: aren't those part of the Economic Pillars in Texas?). Or deoxygenation and dead zones in the Gulf. Those are things people in San Antonio can relate to. I used to dive in the tropics, the coral reefs are amazing, and I care. But this is the San Antonio CAAP. Unless you make it relevant to Texas it's more "tree-hugger save the polar bear" stuff. Examples: "Deoxygenation is expected to have greater impacts as ocean warming and acidification increase (high confidence), with impacts being larger and more numerous than today (e.g., greater challenges for aquaculture and fisheries from hypoxia), and as the number of hypoxic areas continues to increase." Or "Globally, a decline in livestock of 7–10% is expected at about 2°C of warming, with associated economic losses between $9.7 and $12.6 billion (Boone et al., 2018). Or "Crop yields in the future will also be affected by projected changes in temperature and precipitation. Studies of major cereals showed that maize and wheat yields begin to decline with 1°C–2°C of local warming and under nitrogen stress conditions at low latitudes (high confidence) (Porter et al., 2014; Rosenzweig et al., 2014)." Pick something more Texas-relevant out of Cross-chapter Box 6, don't just skim the summary. Page 35 – Finally! Huzzah! Steps that will be taken. Something concrete to consider. But by now (obviously) I really dislike this document. Page 38 – Here is where the formatting REALLY hurts. These single tables spread across two pages. They are very hard to review. If you're taking up that much space on a page, why can't you spell things out? I have to look both above AND below the table to figure out what the abbreviations mean? And while there's still blank space on top? That's simply awful editing and layout. The same symbols also mean different things depending on where you scroll; e.g. "$$" means between $100M and $1B in Community Mitigation but "$$" means only >$500k in Municipal. You also need to code these for clarity; i.e. Community mitigation strategies are simply numbered, but Municipal have a M prefix, and then Adaptation are all simply numbered again. It is a laborious grind to follow these tables. I cannot keep track of multiple symbols meaning multiple things. Why not actually write the values into the tables? Right here may be why people think the "plan" is so expensive. If they think the costs to the city (the M items) are still in the Billions, then the cost to the city is outrageous. There is more than enough room in the headers to write out the contents of the column. The GHG reduction potential at the bottom is also off (L-M-H) – I guess I can ignore all "M" reductions in the municipal area? But the highest municipal reduction (>10,000 tCO2e or 'H') is lower than the lowest overall reduction (<100,000 tCO2e or 'L') – does that make any sense to you? Again – did no one actually read or review this in detail? Page 39 – I strongly question why there is no cost associated with water conservation – if it were "free" then why is it so hard? Didn't SAWS just have a program to provide coupons to offset the cost of leak-detection meters? That doesn't sound "free" to me. There should also be partner agencies, i.e. DSD and Zoning. I don't really understand how reducing water also reduces GHG. Is there a specific metric associated with this? Why did I pick this – because it's the only mitigation that's free! Page 41 – Some of the alleged benefits of the actions are a pretty big stretch. How, for example, does reduction in residential waste support the growth of "Quality Jobs", even using the extremely broad, glossary-criteria of "Could this result in more children who grow up in San Antonio" (page 68)? Page 45 – Why bother listing the risks here, then inserting two pages of text, then citing them only by	

Comment/Response	Date
number in the tables? I can't remember all 12. Am I supposed to flip back and forth between the pages to consider what specific risks might be mitigated, and which ones are high or low? I tried to review what risks were addressed by which actions, but the process was simply too cumbersome. Some of the actions listed are also simply too far down into the weeds to be necessary in this strategic plan; e.g. drinking fountains. Or if a risk only requires one adaptation strategy to address it, is it really a risk; i.e. #8. (No, I don't count the Business Resiliency Assessment since that does everything.) Page 46 – Hey! Haven't I seen these impacts before? Like twice on the same page, 27? But you forgot about more intense downpours. Isn't this document long enough without repeating same info, again? By this time I pretty much gave up and stopped reviewing because I had long ago decided I could not support the plan, as written. And I'm a believer in bold action for climate change. I read the whole plan, even the still-in-draft-but-critical-to-the-plan Equity Screening in Appendix III. A core component is still in draft? No thanks. [Although, since Heat Island shows up twice, maybe I should support this, because then I get support for my trees! Yay trees!] Most people will probably quit as well, which is kind of a shame because the actual important stuff, the meat of the plan is in Appendix IV – and that section is never mentioned in the text. Not once – not even on page 55. Appendix IV says "The tables captured in this appendix present the final implementation actions from this process, outlining the necessary steps for San Antonio to deliver on the mitigation goals and strategies outlined in the CAAP." So why, in the name of all that is relevant and efficient, is Appendix IV not highlighted in the text as containing the real meat of this plan? As I wrote earlier, the fact there's no corresponding detail for Adaptation should be a deal-breaker. The plan is only half-written. I actually went back and tried to review Appendix IV. I've got 2-3 pages more of handwritten notes, but I just can't be bothered to transcribe them. I read the entire plan, probably closer than pretty much anyone else. I will say I don't support rebates for chickens – as an indicator to show I really did read it. I genuinely support bold action for climate change, but at some point the Council has to say "Enough! Do not send half-baked documents out for review. Do your jobs." Here are some samples from reading Appendix IV: Pp 72-73: Strat 1, bullets 2 & 4 are largely duplicative Strat 18: Why is enhanced use of pre-fab structures not included here? They're super-low waste.	
All are very important but the implementation date must be sooner. We don't have that much time to act.	3/19/2019
The whole "climate change" discussion is a farce. It is an excuse for abortionist libs to push an agenda of govt control/socialism. Let the free market work it.	3/19/2019
Leave people alone to live their lives as best as they can! Make information available and allow free discourse on all questions. Coerce no one.	3/19/2019
As a nurse, I am concerned about the indigent elderly & homeless elderly.	3/19/2019
Central planning by even a city is counter productive to the citizenry's welfare.	3/19/2019
Will make zero impact on climate. Too expensive	3/19/2019
Enhance Emergency Management and Community preparedness. My concern here is extreme heat and it's effect on the very young and very old. We need cooling centers. The above groups cannot regulate their body heat. And as stated previously, SA has only a short time to implement these goals. Climate Change is happening now. It is a true National Emergency.	3/19/2019
Education is key! Start educating YOUNG so it's ingrained in citizens from the very start. In less than 10 years most elementary students will be purchasing vehicles and establishing independent life habits, so it's important to start education early. Tie in the program with other city wide initiatives to gain maximum exposure - energy efficient VIA stops, affordable housing construction/operation, CPS rebates, etc. Focus more on preventative measures, versus adaptation measures.	3/19/2019
This whole plan is chronyism socialism style. Agenda 21 & The Paris Climate Agreement are nothing but socialism gone wild and will do nothing to help the environment or change the climate but will expand political power over the people of San Antonio and their property.	3/19/2019
I'm a believer!	3/19/2019
Thanks for taking time from your busy schedule to spend time with me this morning, and listening to my criticism of any attempt to control the climate, eg "San Antonio Gets Ready To Take On	3/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Climate Change". As I opined, human efforts to control "climate change" is a fool's errand, a waste of time and taxpayer money. The books I had with me contained quotes from various highly credentialed scientists citing solid scientific research that refutes the "science" of climate alarmists; even on a good day you wouldn't have the time to go through just one of those books, but I brought them in case whomever I spoke with had an interest in them. The easiest of them to read (least boring) is, "the Politically Incorrect Guide to Global Warming and Environmentalism". It uses humor, graphics and side bars to facilitate making its point. It has ISBN 978-1-59698-501-8. Browse through it at Barnes & Noble. A side bar quote on page 113 says "The bad news is that the climate models on which so much effort is expended are unreliable because they still use fudge-factors rather than physics to represent important things like evaporation, clouds and rainfall. Besides the general prevalence of fudge-factors, the latest and biggest climate models have other defects that make them unreliable. With one exception, they do not predict the existence of El Niño. Since El Niño is a major feature of the observed climate, any model that fails to predict it is clearly deficient. [……] They are not yet adequate tools for predicting climate". (Authored by Princeton physicist Freeman Dyson.) The City Council, even though it (mistakenly) supported the Paris Climate Accord, shouldn't plan spending millions of tax dollars trying to control the amount of CO2 in the atmosphere, something that is well beyond human manipulation. I support cost-effective efforts to cleanse the air, rivers and land of pollutants, toxic substances and debris. I want to keep San Antonio clean and healthy, but that will be made more difficult if the Council diverts tax dollars to a no-win program addressing atmospheric CO2. Pursuing that chimera will definitely enrich anyone involved in that program, but not the taxpayers. The below excerpts were copied from that Principia-Scientific on line article, "Drop in sunspot activity a warning of global cooling", I mentioned. Below them are links to three other sites about sunspots and their effect on climate. The Jan. 4th, 2018 Youtube posting is a 5 1/2 minute video that I recommend opening first.	
Increase building codes on ALL homes and business to greatly increase and ensure energy efficiency. Require Solar on new homes. Require HIGHEST R-level factor on ALL roof and walls on new homes.	3/20/2019
All of these topics are of importance to me and I value protecting, preventing, and preparing our city for extreme changes in our climate.	3/20/2019
Education and accuracy about climate change is important. Our world is not going to end in 10-12 years.	3/20/2019
Food security? Say what you mean, which is more welfare. NO more handouts. These questions are worded to confuse low-information voters.	3/20/2019
I translate implementation to cost and so my ratings fall back a little but I would be very supportive of sound ideas and cost effective strategies.	3/20/2019
The city needs to take action based on facts, not fear. We need to protect parks and green spaces	3/20/2019
This sounds like the Green New Deal! You're wasting our tax dollars! Stop!	3/20/2019
The climate has been changing for thousands of years. Man cannot control or make any impact on the climate.	3/20/2019
If you really care about the environment, don't push an agenda that divides. Add stuff that will help SA, such as stronger building codes. Look at how the homes are built here. They are crap. Ensure the highest rating on all windows, insulation (attic and walls) is used when building a home. Ensure a minimum heat pump/AC system Seer for all new homes. Make solar mandatory for new homes. Don't waste money on "food insecurity" and other nonsense.	3/20/2019
I think it is important to tie the cost and timeline for plan implementation. Education and community awareness for actual risks verses perceived risks to our climate. Perceived meaning it is not that big of a deal - not true in my opinion. A concerted effort to reach out to vulnerable community members such as the construction industry, homeless, aged and poor districts - these will and should be your frontline stakeholders.	3/20/2019
Please make decisions based on facts and not fear. Politicians are proposing expensive ideas to scare people. The worst thing for San Antonio and our climate would be becoming a sanctuary city and welcoming illegal immigration. Our infrastructure and budget cannot handle that many people.	3/20/2019
Stop this, it's crazy!	3/20/2019
Are protected bike lanes a part of this plan?	3/20/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Displayed annual and monthly high and low temperatures at San Antonio Airport. Analysis. Used Excel to formulate graphs showing 1.5 degree centigrade increase in high temperature from 1969 to 2018. Low temp has increased by 1.8 degrees centigrade. Climate change is not abstract. Important to look at data and see what is going on. Will be back in a couple of weeks to discuss CO2.	3/20/2019
Member of water and natural resources group of SA Climate Ready. 100 Years of Climate Planning in San Antonio. Flu epidemic, early planning. San Antonio protects the Edwards Aquifer – has made clear decisions and executed them. We have done this before, we know how. We need same deliberate approach to address climate change.	3/20/2019
Is familiar with Portland's climate action plan. Includes grassroots efforts in planning process and execution. Teaching people necessary skills City needs for successful efforts. Showed a number of pictures of projects other cities are working on. Not just ordinary projects.	3/20/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	3/20/2019
The U.S. Green Building Council (USGBC) Texas Chapter and South Regional Council recognizes the City of San Antonio Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP) is a critical step for the future of the city and region. The USGBC Texas is a mission-driven organization that strives to transform the way buildings, homes and communities are designed, built, maintained and operated in Texas through outreach, education, advocacy and partnerships, enabling an environmentally and socially responsible, healthy, and prosperous environment that improves the quality of life in Texas. Our advocacy priorities include: government leadership by example, private sector market transformation, raising the bar on codes and standards, community-wide sustainability, and Green Schools. As a collective body of architects, engineers, building and landscape design professionals, real estate developers, educators, and business owners, we believe that the CAAP is an opportunity for the city council to honor their commitment to meet the Paris Climate Agreement goals and for the City to chart its path	3/20/2019

Comment/Response	Date
toward a sustainable and carbon neutral future. All of the mitigation and adaptation strategies outlined in the CAAP are of great importance, however, the USGBC Texas South Region offers the following comments focusing on reduced building energy consumption. - We support implementing bench marking and disclosure for buildings above 50,000 square feet. This increases market transparency and empowers consumers to make more informed decisions about investments, energy costs, as well as driving competition and demand for energy efficient buildings (sourced from the Institute for Market Transformation: Benchmarking and Disclosure report). A current example can be seen in 2030 Districts across the nation (2030districts.org/sanantonio). - We support continuing to adopt the most recent updates to the IECC Energy Code with the goal of adopting zero net energy code. San Antonio has been a forerunner in the adoption and adaptation of IECC Codes and must continue to protect consumers and reduce energy bills. - We support commercial and residential energy and water rating systems. Ratings systems such as LEED, WELL, PassiveHouse, SITES, and the Living Building Standard foster innovation, create cost savings, and improve the quality of our built environment. We continue to support energy efficiency and green building programs functioning within the city, such as Property Assessed Clean Energy, CPS Step, and the goal of reducing city wide annual building energy use 15% by 2030, and 40% by 2040. - We encourage the use and installation of cool and green roofs on municipal and government buildings, as appropriate. Green roofs can lower energy consumption and operating costs by as much as 30% while also reducing heat island effects and serving as demonstrations throughout the city. - We support the prioritization of retrofit program assistance for vulnerable populations. These programs help encourage development and improve housing availability for all San Antonio residents. - We encourage the development of a "Healthy by Design" program for new affordable housing projects, as outlined in the SA Tomorrow Plan. Walkable public spaces with co-located schools and community centers, coupled with buildings that support physical activity and community connectedness build resilience and improve outcomes on many levels. Compact, mixed use neighborhoods create access to employment, education, recreation, fresh food and transportation are good for the environment and our community. The USGBC Texas and the South Texas Region would like to thank the City of San Antonio, City Council, and Mayor for the opportunity to provide public comment and input. We urge you to support, approve, and implement the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan.	
This plan needs to address real estate development practices to reduce impervious cover, reduce and remove curb cuts within 50 yards of intersections to improve traffic flow, break up existing impervious cover with island and boulevards that are planted with trees, and shrubbery which combat the heat island effect of the city and passively clean the air. Where are the training programs for the workers and businesses who will be put out of work by the initiatives outlined in this plan. All of these points, clearly indicate that this is a plan that has not been well thought out, and requires much more community input.	3/21/2019
Climate Change is here. We have waited too long. Texas is in the bullseye for ever strengthening hurricanes, with deadly flooding and tornadoes. Everything listed here is URGENT.	3/21/2019
Highest priority for the future of our city.	3/21/2019
This issue has become more than just an environmental issue but a generational one. "Urgency" does not capture the incredible priority that needs to be placed on fundamentally rethinking EVERY paradigm (technological, economic) we have defended in the past in order to take no action.	3/21/2019
The climate has been changing since the day the earth was formed. You are basic idiots!	3/21/2019
The goals of this plan are horribly misdirected.	3/21/2019

Comment/Response	Date
During extreme heat, the very old and the very young are at risk. As a nurse, I am aware that these 2 groups cannot regulate their body heat. We need to add cooling centers to the plan. Also, neighbors checking on neighbors.	3/21/2019
Your questions push a bias towards how you want me to answer your questions. A 5 doesn't mean that your plan will change climate change.	3/21/2019
With our current economic reality in which people work 2 jobs to put food on the table, educating the public will be especially challenging. TV weather forecasters can play a role. We need to educate them to emphasize in their broadcast how people should cope what is currently happening. A series of mailings to the community based on the reality of Climate Change with each mailing covering one aspect could also educate the public. Also, there are activists on climate change in Texas Indivisible groups. Consult with them for help with a community education strategy.	3/21/2019
Thanks for teaching and sharing! Very important!	3/21/2019
I think preventative measures are good to help when disasters happen. Also baby steps toward a greener city.	3/21/2019
Cheaper bike-share options Safer bicycle parking More solar options Ride sharing Better public transit Tax breaks for alternative commuter options	3/21/2019
Business will say we cannot act, energy will say to study more, still others will say we need more research. Enough is enough...the time for action was over 10 years ago. Paradigms need to fundamentally change.	3/21/2019
I am sick of idiots and their scare tactics.	3/21/2019
The proposed Climate Action Plan would reap disaster for transportation in our city. Attempting to implement various forms of social engineering in an attempt to force drivers to change behavior has already been tried in other cities, both domestically and globally, and it's failed miserably. While we sympathize with local leaders having to plan for a potential population boom in the coming years, restricting the ability of non-electric autos to enter the city and trying to force the reliance on mass transit isn't affordable or practical with the existing layout and travel patterns that our city grew up around. We're not like New York or Washington D.C. where an underground subway system shuttles people to and from fixed major employment centers. San Antonio's economy is as diverse as our people with businesses both large and small and employers and employees with various needs and limitations on both the hours they can work and how they have to get to work in a timely manner. As members of the city council already discovered, Via's bus system and the time it takes to go even short distances can take 2-3 times that of taking a car. When the bus system is already subsidized to the tune of 85% by taxpayers and when drivers witness more empty buses every time they see one, it has generated more than a little controversy and anger from commuters stuck in traffic without significant investments in expanding existing road infrastructure in a timely way. Promoting long distance bikeways and pedestrian walking trails are not viable forms of commuting in the south Texas heat or given the spread out geography of our city. Using scarce road dollars for such things that drivers do not even use or benefit from is not only unfair, it's infuriating. A study done by the Texas Transportation Institute in 2014 showed bike lanes coming in dead last as a commuting option. The data has stayed firm showing over 90% of commuters rely on their own personal vehicle to get around. Trying to get 90% of the public to switch modes would require a massive paradigm shift no one is willing to consider. Cars are and continue to be the most efficient and convenient form of personal commuting and transportation ever conceived. Author and planner Joel Kotkin in his book, The Human City, compiled mounds of data showing the anti-car policies taking hold in many cites (not just outside the U.S. but within the U.S. as well) has actually resulted in delaying family formation and ultimately contributed to a negative population rate due to the anti-family policies that result from anti-car policies. San Antonio has been welcoming of families of all faiths and backgrounds for generations. Our city cannot shut out single family homes and expect everyone to live in high density areas downtown with no yards and very little space to raise a healthy family, as many planners favor today. We implore you to scrap adopting any plan that mirrors the anti-car polices of the Paris Climate Accord. What flies in Europe, doesn't fit in Texas. We want to keep our city a welcoming, diverse, and liberty-loving city where the priority our residents favor, their own personal automobile, is not attacked with policies to force drivers out of their cars, but one that embraces this preferred option	3/21/2019

Comment/Response	Date
and invests in the necessary expansion of our infrastructure coupled with more prudent planning of growth to deliver timely solutions 90% of commuters can get behind. A Paris-style Climate Treaty is hostile to our values, to cars, to families, and to downtown businesses. Reject this plan and move forward with something commuters can embrace. We have issues with all of these sections: The purpose of the Climate Action Plan is made clear on p. 33 of the document: "On June 22, 2017, just weeks after President Trump announced his intention to withdraw the U.S. from the Paris Agreement, San Antonio City Council passed a resolution in support of the Mayor's National Climate Action Agenda, a commitment by over 400 U.S. Mayors to uphold the goals of the Paris Agreement. The SA Climate Ready CAAP brings this commitment to life, providing a roadmap for the necessary GHG emissions reductions to meet the goal of carbon neutrality by 2050." (To be carbon neutral means to plunge San Antonians into massive poverty. Cheap, reliable energy has created a robust middle class and the ability to rise out of poverty.) Transportation mandates on p. 35: 3) Reduce Transportation Energy Consumption More than one-third of San Antonio's GHG emissions come from our carbon-intensive transportation systems. As a car-centric city, San Antonio will need to utilize smart initiatives to reduce GHG emissions from our transportation systems, including promoting the use of greener vehicle technologies and reducing vehicle miles traveled through transforming and integrating existing transportation networks. Mitigation strategies would end personal vehicular travel and force the masses to be wholly dependent on hiring a ride share service or utilize walking, biking, or mass transit (p. 38): #9 CARBON-FREE VEHICLES Transition to carbon-free transport by implementing strategies to accelerate the adoption of electric or other carbon-free personal vehicles, trucks, transit, and freight to reach 100% penetration by 2050. #10 VEHICLE MILES TRAVELED (VMTS) Reduce vehicle miles traveled per person throughout the City, prioritizing the reduction of those traveled in single-occupancy vehicles by diversifying transportation choices. #11 CONNECTIVITY / WALKABILITY Accelerate connectivity and walkability by prioritizing, the funding and construction of infrastructure for micro-mobility modes such as biking and other human-powered transportation with an emphasis on the protection of vulnerable road users. #12 SUSTAINABLE LAND PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT Support the development and redevelopment of more compact, connected, and cost-effective communities. #13 MOBILITY AS A SERVICE Utilize smart city and big data solutions to promote mobility as a service to reduce the GHG impact of transportation solutions.	
Think about this: Batteries in electric vehicles are 10 times more chemically toxic than carbon emissions. In addition, the Climate Action Plan will make cars and travel unaffordable for the San Antonio Population. The Climate Action Plan will create an economic disaster in San Antonio, increasing the cost of doing business in San Antonio. The proposed Climate Action Plan will create an economic disaster in San Antonio. Forcing businesses to switch to electric cars will increase costs that will be passed through to consumers. Do not make San Antonio the laughing stock of the globe or the model failure of the USA. While local leaders have to plan for a potential population boom in the coming years, restricting the ability of non-electric autos to enter the city and trying to force the reliance on mass transit isn't affordable or practical with the existing layout and travel patterns. Planning for more and wider roads is sensible. Businesses both large and small, and employers and employees have various needs and limitations on both the hours they can work and how they have to get to work in a timely manner. As members of the city council already discovered, Via's bus system and the time it takes to go even short distances can take 2-3 times that of taking a car. Via is impractical and not used. The bus system is already subsidized to the tune of 85% by taxpayers. There are more empty buses than riders. Drivers are angry and frustrated in traffic and have seen NO RELIEF. The 281 construction should have been completed 15 years ago. Investments in expanding existing road infrastructure are seriously lacking. Promoting long distance bikeways and pedestrian walking trails are not viable forms of commuting in the south Texas heat or given the spread out geography of our city. Using scarce road dollars for such things that drivers do not even use or benefit from is not only unfair, it's infuriating. A study done by the Texas Transportation Institute in 2014 showed bike lanes coming in dead last as a commuting option. The data has stayed firm showing over 90% of commuters rely on their own personal vehicle to get around. Trying to get 90% of the public to switch modes would require a massive paradigm shift no one is willing to consider. Cars are and continue to be the most	3/21/2019

Comment/Response	Date
efficient and convenient form of personal commuting and transportation ever conceived. Anti-car policies taking hold in many cites (not just outside the U.S. but within the U.S. as well) have actually resulted in delaying family formation and ultimately contributed to a negative population rate due to the anti-family policies that result from anti-car policies. San Antonio has been welcoming of families of all faiths and backgrounds for generations. Our city cannot shut out single family homes and expect everyone to live in high density areas downtown with no yards and very little space to raise a healthy family, as many planners favor today. I implore you to scrap the adoption of any plan that mirrors the anti-car polices of the Paris Climate Accord. Europe certainly does not have the transportation answers for Texas. Start planning roads for travel improvement without forcing a rejected policy down drivers' throats. A Paris-style Climate Treaty is hostile to our values, to cars, to families, and to downtown businesses. Reject this plan and move forward with something commuters can embrace.	
The Board of Directors of Bexar Audubon Society (BAS), the San Antonio chapter of the National Audubon Society, has directed me to forward the following endorsement of the San Antonio Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP): Bexar Audubon Society, the official chapter of the National Audubon Society in the San Antonio area, strongly endorses the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan developed by the San Antonio Climate Ready initiative and looks forward to contributing to fully developing strategies for conserving habitat for birds and other wildlife, for promoting healthy ecosystems and biodiversity, and for encouraging education in this area. BAS also requests that a representative of the Bexar Audubon Society be appointed to the Water and Natural Resources TWG of the San Antonio Climate Ready initiative and to the appropriate group that will be involved in the implementation of the CAAP. If you have any questions about the position of the Bexar Audubon Society and the National Audubon society, please contact me as a representative of BAS. Thank you for the outstanding work of the San Antonio Climate Ready initiative.	3/21/2019
Please focus on fixing the potholes and imperfections in and on our streets!	3/22/2019
While all these steps are worthwhile, I would like to express strongest support for carbon sequestration (mitigation measure #19)--which may escape notice because it is hardly explained at all and is listed in an obscure and misleading place, under "Promote Biodiversity and Healthy Ecosystems." Carbon sequestration is not just about "mitigation" but should also be near the top of the "adaptation" list. There would be many short-term benefits from turning our city into a "carbon sink." For example, one of the few practical ways of addressing our heat island effect is through land management strategies that promote healthy soils. Healthy soils, high in organic matter, catch and hold enormous amounts of rainwater, improve infiltration to our aquifer, and support abundant plant growth--creating shade and cooling surface temperatures. No one knows the speed or magnitude of changes in soils and vegetation that are possible in a large city like San Antonio, but we do know that these benefits can be had at low cost or even no-cost, using well-known and proven methods. A scientific revolution is underway in soil science, and San Antonio needs to pay more attention to its soils. Restoring our depleted soils to life is one of the most cost-effective things we can possibly do to keep our city livable in the hotter times ahead.	3/22/2019
Turn the entire city into a carbon sink by promoting holistic land management in periurban areas, urban forestry and agroforestry. Also the city needs countless more urban farms!!	3/22/2019
Be mire concerned with fixing our potholes than climate changes.	3/22/2019
The devil will be in the details. The goals above could become highly objectionable depending on the means.	3/22/2019
I would like to see more programs educating children and getting them excited about taking action to take care of their Earth.	3/22/2019
Use the taxpayers money for projects that matter and not all of the pie in the sky propaganda!	3/22/2019
These measures are all worthwhile. But as long as San Antonio has such a strong pro-growth mindset, and our city leaders encourage population growth, expansion, sprawl, and "development" by every means possible, I'm afraid none of these adaptation strategies are going to be very successful. We need to live within our physical and biological limits and learn to consume less.	3/22/2019
Get off the climate change bit and fix our roads.	3/22/2019
Ensuring the integrity of our infrastructure and our ecosystems, and handling emergencies and natural disasters are basic matters. Associating them with climate change is irrelevant.	3/22/2019

Comment/Response	Date
San Antonio needs leaders that lead not useless people who just resist!	3/22/2019
Not only should San Antonio be reducing carbon emissions it should be sequestering carbon in the soil and trees. Impervious cover is the enemy of carbon sequestration. Having carbon rich soil will also help mitigate temperatures locally as well as contribute to the broad carbon reduction battle. (Pg 40). More emphasis should be put on this and we here at the National Center for Appropriate Technology (Southwest Office), based in San Antonio are here to help do that. Please contact us, you all need action items. The periurban and hill country needs holistically grazed areas to sequester carbon and mitigate climate change effects. Agroforestry is need in the city and the periurban for the same reasons but with an added bonus of reducing the heat island effect. The west side should be turned into an urban forest. Carbon sequestration, ecological restoration, green building and infrastructure, greenscaping, local food production and equitable access to food are important, often neglected pieces for more attention gaining aspects . Also there needs to be substantial work addressing environmental racism. We need more trees and parks on the west side, not a land bridge in Harberger (though it is cool).	3/22/2019
INDUSTRIAL PROCESS AND PRODUCT USE (IPPU) I do not see anything in the plan that addresses cement plants and quarries within the city and county. After the coal fired electricity plants cement mining and processing is the largest point source of greenhouse gasses. The plants also produce large amounts of particulate mater. They also release mercury into the air and water. Research at Southwest Research Institute shows the incidence of autism increases downwind of these facilities. The large trucks that transport materials from these facilities also contribute to emissions and wear and tear on our streets and roads.	3/22/2019
This plan of yours in nothing short of the globalist agenda 2030 to subjugate and restrict liberty and common sense. I'm not going to waste my time exposing your sham of propaganda and outright prevarication as it would obviously fall only on deaf ears and villainous eyes but I will give you my most brief and final consideration. NO, I DON'T WANT THIS IN MY CITY, COUNTY OR STATE.	3/22/2019
The Texas Oil and Gas Association (TXOGA) is a non-profit corporation representing every sector of the oil and natural gas industry in the state of Texas. The membership of TXOGA produces in excess of 90 percent of Texas' crude oil and natural gas, operates over 80 percent of the state's refining capacity, and is responsible for the vast majority of the state's pipelines. In fiscal year 2018, the oil and natural gas industry supported more than 348,000 direct jobs and paid just over $14 billion in state and local taxes and state royalties, funding our municipalities, state's schools, roads and first responders. We are writing to respectfully express concern with the City of San Antonio's draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan, which includes mitigation strategies that will threaten reliable, affordable energy, increase transportation costs, and put San Antonio's residents and economy at risk. Our members agree that working toward climate progress is a global responsibility. Oil and natural gas companies are investing heavily in new technology that will continue to make a difference in reducing air emissions and protecting the environment. In fact, the U.S. oil and natural gas industry is the leading investor in zero- and low-carbon technology, investing more than $301.5 billion in greenhouse gas mitigating technologies between 2000-2016. That's more than double the investments by other private sector industries and the federal government, combined. Oil and natural gas companies' investments in innovation have resulted in a 14 percent reduction in methane emissions from oil and natural gas systems since 1990. Domestic natural gas production increased by 50 percent during the same period. Natural gas, now America's leading source of electricity generation, is credited with driving U.S. carbon-dioxide (CO2) emissions to their lowest levels since 1992. The U.S. Global Change Research Program reports that North American CO2 emissions from fossil fuel combustion have declined on average by 1 percent per year over the last decade, "largely because of reduced reliance on coal, greater use of natural gas, and increased vehicle fuel efficiency standards." These environmental achievements are proof that we don't have to sacrifice economic growth to protect the environment. We can and will continue to do both. We have significant concerns with the estimates in the draft plan that suggest mitigation strategies could cost more than $14 billion between now and 2030. That total is a conservative estimate based on limited information contained in the plan, and city officials have yet to share their calculation of the true cost over the next 11 years. Without a clear understanding of implementation costs, there is substantial risk that families will face higher prices for electricity, housing and transportation, and local businesses, who provide high quality jobs for San Antonio	3/22/2019

Comment/Response	Date
families, will have a harder time competing in the future. From Germany to Georgetown, Texas, we have seen the negative impact of hasty shifts toward renewable energy sources. In both places, residents are struggling with electricity bills that have skyrocketed. Germany has seen a 51% increase in electricity costs since switching to solar and wind energy, and Georgetown residents will see an average increase of $153 in their electricity bill this year. Other areas that have switched to an all-renewable energy plan include California, whose move to solar increased electricity costs by 24% from 2011 to 2017, and Denmark where the reliance on wind energy has forced electricity costs to go up 100% since 1995. While it is unclear what costs will be shouldered by San Antonio families, businesses and organizations by making the changes required by the plan, what we do know is that increased domestic production of natural gas has brought the price of energy for the average U.S. household down by almost 15% in the last decade. Furthermore, renewable energy sources are unreliable. Not only can solar and wind not fully meet the capacity of American energy needs, but these alternative energies can only deliver when the sun is shining or the wind is blowing. While the City's plan is being cast as a long-term plan, half of the mitigation strategies are slated to begin by 2021. On top of exorbitant electricity costs, imagine how San Antonio residents would react with a new mandate to replace their vehicle with an expensive electrical vehicle that requires a costly home charging station. Under the draft plan, we wonder too what will come of the city's future transportation plan, Connect SA, which would include dedicated lanes for LNG-powered mass transportation. This type of incongruent government planning ends up wasting taxpayer dollars and hits families in the pocketbook. It's a signal that the city should step back and evaluate its climate plan with objectivity. We are encouraged that engaging with the local business community appears to be a priority for the City in further development of its plan. We welcome the opportunity to be part of the process to ensure that the City's plan is based on facts, includes concrete cost estimates and funding mechanisms, and is clear-eyed about the economic impact for San Antonio residents and its employers.	
There are some grammar and spelling errors throughout. Would also like to see more specific mentions of the San Antonio 2030 DIstrict. This group is a group of local property owners and managers that are voluntarily working on many of the goals in this plan. This group could serve as a pilot and representative stakeholder group for many initiatives listed in the plan. Mechanism inconsistently upper/lower case • Harvard comma inconsistent throughout • Page 16 – remove period after quote attribution • ICLEI listed twice (redundant) on page 21 • Page 22 – Delete colon from waste section paragraph • Page 22 – "Fugitive" misspelled • Page 22 – "Heavy trucks" combined into one word • Page 24 – "Timeframe" and "time frame" (one word and two words) both used – Inconsistent • Page 24 – 17.4 to 19.6 MtCO2e is a 12.6% increase, not 19% • Page 25 – Delete comma after "(LGOP)" • Page 25 – Don't need to spell out ICLEI-Local Governments for Sustainability – This acronym has already been defined on page 21 • Page 25 – Delete comma after "including" • Page 26 – Capitalize U in "Energy Use" title • Page 26 – Insert comma after "facilities" in Stationary section • Page 26 – Transportation percentages don't add up to 11% • Page 27 – Insert comma after 2040 • Page 27 – "updates" is incorrect? • Page 27 – Delete "And" from beginning of sentence in blue box • Page 28 – Last sentence under "Lack of financial capital" is run-on – Divide into 2 sentences? • Page 30 – Delete comma after "But" • Page 30 – Last 3 lines of "Investment Trends" have different line spacing • Page 31 – Capitalize "B" in "brand" title • Page 34 – Insert comma after "To meet the Paris Agreement" • Page 34 – Delete comma after "The window for climate action is rapidly closing" • Page 37 – Delete comma after "community emissions" • Page 38 – Table has inconsistent spacing throughout (some cells fitted to text and others with additional space) • Page 38 – Delete comma after "prioritizing" in row 11 • Page 38 – Delete additional space between "as" and "biking" in row 11 • Page 39 – "Development Services Department" misspelled in row 6 • Page 39 – Should San Antonio 2030 District be named as a partner for row 4? • Page 40 – Add "by" after "Reduce landfilled waste" in row 14 • Page 40 – "and ensuring low-carbon composting solutions" should be "and ensure low-carbon composting solutions" in row 16 • Page 40 – Table has inconsistent spacing throughout (some cells fitted to text and others with additional space) • Page 40 – Sentences return before end of cell for several rows (16, 27, 28, etc) • Page 42 – M1 could be accomplished through San Antonio 2030 District? • Page	3/22/2019

Comment/Response	Date
42 – Table has inconsistent spacing throughout (some cells fitted to text and others with additional space) • Page 46 – In green margin, remove colon and place "sea level change" inside parentheses • Page 46 – Remove comma in first sentence under "Why Adapt?" • Page 46 – Replace semicolon with comma in second sentence under "Why Adapt?" • Page 46 – capitalize "Sea" after "climate change:" • Page 46 – "Climate change;" should be "climate change," • Page 46 – Delete comma after "resilience at this level" • Page 46 – Delete comma after "goal of increased resilience" • Page 47 – Delete comma after "pursue mitigation and adaptation actions" • Page 47 – Lowercase "world" • Page 47 – "Exposure to ground-ground level" should be "Exposure to ground-level" • Page 47 – Delete comma after "human health including" • Page 47 – Delete "the" between "Beyond" and "human" • Page 47 – "We don't often think of think of the wildfire threat" should be "We don't often think of the wildfire threat" • Page 48 – Capitalize "Building" in strategy 12 • Page 50 – Delete " in strategy 25 • Page 67 – Capitalize "widespread" • Page 67 – Capitalize "air" in "air quality" • Page 68 – Delete hyphens from "grow-up" • Page 68 – Add punctuation at the end of "Could this result in more children who grow up in San Antonio" • Page 69 – Add comma after "asset" • Page 69 – Bold "Possible:" • Page 69 – Delete space between "Minor" and "Moderate" definitions • Page 71 – "Urban Heat Island" should be all lowercase • Page 72 – Three paragraphs at top have weird spacing between — hard to read San Antonio 2030 District can help with strategies 4-5 — can be added as part of implementation actions for those, as the named diverse stakeholder groups • Page 75 – Delete extra space between "Develop" and "high-capacity" • Page 75 – Add San Antonio 2030 District satellites with potential EcoDistrict pilot opportunities • Page 77 – "ito" should be "to" • Page 79 – "minimum Energy Use Intensity" should be "maximum Energy Use Intensity" • Page 79 – Capitalize "EV" • Page 79 – "temperature sensor" should be "temperature sensors" • Page 79 – Delete hyphen in "reduced-waste"	
Climate Ready SA (CRSA) is ignoring the most cost effective and impactful way to reduce carbon emission.	3/22/2019
After having read and reviewed the CAAP, and some of the sources, the ABC South Texas Chapter is unable to support the document as written. A significant portion of the report has little to do with the climate but more about social justice, past actions and inequalities. There is also an anti-business sentiment in this plan. While ABC agrees everyone needs to be good stewards of our environment, this proposal is not the best path forward. Many of the proposed recommendations are either impossible to achieve or impractical, and some may have unintended consequences. For example, zero-landfill waste practices for all construction projects by 2035 is not only impractical but impossible. There will always be waste from a construction project due to the nature of the process. Another is requiring building owners to install Electric Vehicle charging stations. Who is going to pay for this? Has any consideration been given to the increased electrical demand, ongoing maintenance requirements, and safety compliance? Another significant concern are the costs associated with the recommendations. Based on a reading of the document there will be a significant increase in the number of employees at the City of San Antonio, SAWS and CPSE. However, nowhere are these costs accounted for nor how they will be addressed. What will be the costs to businesses? How will they cover these costs? What are the costs to individual homeowners? How will these costs be addressed? Taxes and fees will have to be increased to cover the public sector but these are paid by businesses and homeowners. This will negatively impact the cost of living in San Antonio and negatively impact the community's ability to grow and attract companies. There will be significant technological changes over the next thirty years. Just look at what has occurred over the last thirty years. Over the last sixty years there have been doomsday reports/studies – in the late 1960s it was the "population bomb" where earth was going to be unable to support humanity by the year 2000. In the late 1970s it was the coming "ice age" where the world was going to freeze. In the 1990s it was "global warming" and now its "climate change." There is no rush in approving this plan. Ongoing reasonable dialogue involving the business community would be a step in the right direction.	3/22/2019
With so many working 2 jobs. educating presents challenges. Some can come to meetings. SA should take advantage of TV weather forecasters to present facts about Climate Change. .	3/23/2019
URGENT: Implement all goals asap. Very young and very old cannot regulate body heat, transport to cooling centers. Implement neighbor checking on neighbor. Community gardens and citizens planting gardens.	3/23/2019
SA needs a fabric recycling program. Fabric in landfill does not break down. NYC has one.	3/23/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Emphasize education strategies. Implement goals asap. Protect water supply. Homeless, very old and very young need help. More flood control.	
Thank you for your recent attendance at the Climate Action meeting with the Solar Installers and your leadership role on this critical but often ignored issue. I would like to summarize my thoughts on how San Antonio in tandem with CPS and the Solar industry can accomplish goals for the critical task of carbon reduction. 1. Add to rebate and incentive dollars. The current and recently reduced rate serves to incentivize small systems. The budget for this program is greatly appreciated within the industry, however, if it were to be doubled or tripled, the industry and the public would feel much more comforatable with the stability of the program. That program could easily become more public knowledge with the publicity it would generate. The volume of CPS applications and the process is cumbersome and does not compare favorably with the process that other utilities we deal with provide. It appears that the program is also under staffed as is evident with unreturned phone calls and emails. San Antonio should be proud to be a leader as a Solar American city, but with greater effort, it would and could tell the world what leadership on this isssue really is. The phrase 'put your money where your mouth is' comes to mind. 2. Pass ordinances where new residential construction should have homes that are 'solar ready'. New neighborhoods could build to take advantage of maximum sun exposure. 3. Institute Time of Use metering. This means higher rates during peak times and will greatly enhance system's return on investment and reduces consumption during peak hours. This will make solar a far more attractive investment. 4. Electric Vehicle policy. Look at European models where parking and charging is free. Reduce or eliminate taxes on Electric vehicles. 5. This industry needs regulation. I spoke of this at the meeting. A simple visit on Facebook you will see a huge amount of ads for 'free solar', $0 down, non-existent CPS, county, state and federal programs, all to lure the unsuspecting buyer. Our new organization 'Coalition of Solar Professionals of Texas' (CSPOT) main goal is to address mis-information within the industry and quality of installs. You may not be aware that city and CPS inspectors do not inspect solar systems on the roof, only the wall equipment is inspected. I believe there was a strong concensus within the group present to move this organization forward. It should be noted that it is rare when an industry asks for regulation, it's a good indication that there is a problem. 6. The position of council advisor was mentioned. I am willing to volunteer. I have a long history of volunteer as either a participating volunteer or in a leadership position. All these suggestions, if implemented, will play a role in reducing carbon and lift up the industry and San Antonio's already well established positon on Climate Change.	3/23/2019
I oppose this plan in its entirety. It will kill tourism which funds the city. It will drive businesses away. Toyota is one of the largest employers in San Antonio. Tesoro, Valero and Nustar are all headquartered in San Antonio. It will make it too expensive for people to live in San Antonio. Have you even considered the low income families?	3/23/2019
The proposed Climate Action Plan would reap disaster for transportation in our city. Attempting to implement various forms of social engineering in an attempt to force drivers to change behavior has already been tried in other cities, both domestically and globally, and it's failed miserably. We're not like New York or Washington D.C. where an underground subway system shuttles people to and from fixed major employment centers. San Antonio's economy is as diverse as our people with businesses both large and small and employers and employees with various needs and limitations on both the hours they can work and how they have to get to work in a timely manner. As members of the city council already discovered, Via's bus system and the time it takes to go even short distances can take 2-3 times that of taking a car. When the bus system is already subsidized to the tune of 85% by taxpayers and when drivers witness more empty buses every time they see one, it has generated more than a little controversy and anger from commuters stuck in traffic without significant investments in expanding existing road infrastructure in a timely way. Promoting long distance bike-ways and pedestrian walking trails are not viable forms of commuting in the south Texas heat or given the spread out geography of our city. Using scarce road dollars for such things that drivers do not even use or benefit from is not only unfair, it's	3/23/2019

Comment/Response	Date
infuriating. A study done by the Texas Transportation Institute in 2014 showed bike lanes coming in dead last as a commuting option. The data has stayed firm showing over 90% of commuters rely on their own personal vehicle to get around. Trying to get 90% of the public to switch modes would require a massive paradigm shift no one is willing to consider. Cars are and continue to be the most efficient and convenient form of personal commuting and transportation ever conceived. Author and planner Joel Kotkin in his book, The Human City, compiled mounds of data showing the anti-car policies taking hold in many cites (not just outside the U.S. but within the U.S. as well) has actually resulted in delaying family formation and ultimately contributed to a negative population rate due to the anti-family policies that result from anti-car policies. San Antonio has been welcoming of families of all faiths and backgrounds for generations. Our city cannot shut out single family homes and expect everyone to live in high density areas downtown with no yards and very little space to raise a healthy family, as many planners favor today. I implore you to scrap adopting any plan that mirrors the anti-car polices of the Paris Climate Accord. What flies in Europe, doesn't fit in Texas. We want to keep our city a welcoming, diverse, and liberty-loving city where the priority our residents favor, their own personal automobile, is not attacked with policies to force drivers out of their cars, but one that embraces this preferred option and invests in the necessary expansion of our infrastructure coupled with more prudent planning of growth to deliver timely solutions 90% of commuters can get behind. A Paris-style Climate Treaty is hostile to our values, to cars, to families, and to downtown businesses. Reject this plan and move forward with something commuters can embrace. NO!!! A BIG NO TO THE CLIMATE ACTION PLAN!	
It won't help to increase recycling as those facilities cannot handle the current load and send the bulk of what they receive to landfills.	3/24/2019
You are basing a plan on computer models and zero empirical evidence. You will spend a fortune, tax us to death and inconvenience us for nothing. It is arrogant to think that anything you do will affect the changing climate by even one degree. New studies show carbon as essential to all life and prove that increases in carbon actually diminish global warming.	3/24/2019
Developing a light rail system or better public transport is key to not only combating climate change but increasing the quality of life. I will not stay in San Antonio if the traffic is not reduced. Having a hour plus commute in bumper to bumper traffic is no way to live. San Antonio CANNOT become the next Houston.	3/24/2019
The pseudo science of man-made climate change is based on an average of 29 different forecasting models (some of which are notoriously inaccurate) rather than using the few that are the generally accepted best at climate forecasting. When the most accurate are used, the results show no cause for alarm or manufactured crisis on the horizon. Your support of the Paris Accord proved to me that you either hadn't read it or didn't understand it, as it financially punished the US while giving a pass to the world's worst polluters, all the while not moving the needle one degree. Please spend your time and our tax dollars on something more important.	3/24/2019
Our cities and governments are all mostly going after the wrong culprits and then trying to claim a "win" with their plans. No matter what our major cities do these two matters still dwarf the changes. https://medium.com/.../heres-how-much-pollution-shipping...	3/24/2019
They called on CPS Energy to retire its coal-fired power plants by 2025 and its natural-gas-powered plant by 2030, well ahead of an expected 2040 closure. SA should seek to realistically green the city where and when it can easily and affordably for all. Just doing that will help tremendously. I caution going "overboard". Example---Where will the main power for EVs come from?? The truth is that it will still be from coal and gas plants for a few more decades. Wind and solar can power the few EVs on the road now but as the masses start buying them in 2yrs the power needs will turn to	3/24/2019

Comment/Response	Date
coal/gas once more to meet demand. So dont cripple the city growth and economy based on unreachable goals.	
Want more focus on shutting down coal plants/ moving to renewable energy/ CPS.	3/24/2019
Want more focus on shutting down coal plants/ moving to renewable energy/ CPS.	3/24/2019
Want more focus on shutting down coal plants/ moving to renewable energy/ CPS.	3/24/2019
Want more focus on shutting down coal plants/ moving to renewable energy/ CPS.	3/24/2019
The Climate Action and Adaptation Plan is missing some key components which residents such as myself have been advocating for more than a decade: + native plants on public lands policy COSA has lagged behind Bexar County for many years in setting a patriotic and practical policy of using only plants native to San Antonio in all lands that it owns or controls (Exceptions could be allowed for vegetable gardening). The cost to taxpayers is quite high so long as invasive species are producing and dispersing seeds (such as the blight of Land a Branch Library dispersing Cat-claw Vine to Monte Vista Historic District, Trinity University and downhill so that blow it is even overtaking trees in the Mission Reach of the San Antonio River). Thousands of volunteer hours are donated to Parks and Recreation and Sustainability each year to fight these plagues while other City departments are causing such problems in direct conflict with the City's stated policies on sustainability. Looking at photos of Main Plaza, Travis Park and other City property, one cannot see any plants that show San Antonio appreciates its natural heritage. Instead there are poisonous exotic plants such as Sago Palm (which can cause severe physical harm to humans and is linked chemically to Parkinson's Disease). Use of exotic plants deprives our native birds, butterflies and bees of what they need. Wouldn't tourists want to spend more time on Main Plaza if our native hummingbirds lived there? + invasive species management plan and program for reasons listed above and many more CAAP cannot succeed without one. + measures suggested by the Water and Natural Resources Technical Working Group -- especially those referring to native plants, as well as other relevant ideas about composting and carbon sequestration and about L.I.D. as a solution to both flood prevention and to drought preparedness. + specific Low Impact Development measures that greatly reduce impervious surface coverage which causes urban flooding + enforcement of existing state law that requires stormwater retention on property + incentivization of rainwater retention and release into soil through raingardens, bioswales. Thank you for your dedication to sustainability.	3/24/2019
This is very important not only to San Antonio but the state of Texas the United States and planet Earth. For San Antonio this should be its own personal moonshot. We need to put not only Human Resources but capital toward an effective and sustainable energy production system.	3/25/2019
It is too convienent that this “huge crisis” is going to require so much government control of our lives, the stuff Democrat’s love so much. Sorry, but it is a farce	3/25/2019
A strong school program would be wonderful for children to learn about the climate changes and get them exposed to what should be done to help	3/25/2019
Provide frequent reminders or “how-tos” in energy bills, local media, festivals, etc. Older adults, new residents, or non-English speakers may need new information or education.	3/25/2019
We should not support Paris Climate Accord, since current President pulled US OUT of it, and this could stand through 2024.	3/25/2019
Global warming is real and will affect every living person you know today	3/25/2019
Encourage HEB and big box businesses to forbid idling in their parking lots. Adopt no-idling regulations for ALL VEHICLES.	3/25/2019
Community forums Programs in schools Tax incentives for clean energy use including low emission vehicles	3/25/2019
Create some mandatory education for citizens of SA.	3/25/2019
Read the bible about drought, old testament(jews going to Egypt) duh.	3/25/2019
This is just the start! This is a process that will take lifetimes of continuous engagement and improvement.	3/25/2019
Health-care facilities could be recognized and graded on “green” practices. Reducing energy and waste and being endorsed by the city for doing so. Mobile health to vulnerable communities	3/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
should be mandatory.	
Too much City and County Government over reach into our lives. Tell me where this DOES NOT lead to more taxes-restrictions-and much higher Budgets that do not address basics In our community.	3/25/2019
Those who are ignorant will suffer	3/25/2019
Protection and growth of green spaces is very important. The amount of unchecked development in the city over the past 15 years is astounding. Developers - residential and commercial - who profit from development should be held to strict standards about the destruction or green space and replacement when it is unavoidable. Developers should also have to support infrastructure for bike lanes and parks.	3/25/2019
Protecting our water resources and the sewer system is always top notch and secured.	3/25/2019
I hope it can be done fast enough so we can avoid unpleasant climate change	3/25/2019
Outlaw a/c and all electricity and lets see how long you people last. Read the old testament to see your future.	3/25/2019
This is just the start! This is a process that will take lifetimes of continuous engagement and improvement.	3/25/2019
The goals and objectives are good, but should have been enacted 30 years ago. Businesses and wealthy people will always put profits ahead of planetary preservation and ecosystem sustainment. This fact means, in my opinion, that even the best laid plans will remain plans, and much hand wringing will occur, but very little change will happen fast enough. Only major disasters can cause capitalistic humans to change course. We'll usually react, but we hardly ever pro-act, especially when acting means huge changes to business as usual. Oligarchs must be forced by circumstances to change. Change for the general and future welfare and common good is outside their realm of possible behaviors. Im glad San Antonio is planning well, but hopium is the likey result.	3/25/2019
Put pressure on Republican reps and senators in Texas to get with the program!	3/25/2019
Incentivize carpools and public transportation by priority access to tree give-aways that already take place in our community. Employers could encourage these practices by asking for participation and rewarding verifying vouchers for these trees. New construction should be mandatory in "green" building practices. (If a buyer can't afford the expense of these options, like solar roofs, there are pre-existing homes and businesses to be had.) Flips and remodels should also be strongly encouraged to use more energy efficient practices than what previously existed. Public waste containers must be covered so that on windy days garbage isn't littering our community. Recycling containers should accompany most, if not all, garbage disposal locations. Large parking lots should be required to have more trees. City Council representatives should be more connected to their communities. Getting input on what communities would like to have in order to reduce the need for driving.	3/25/2019
San Antonio does not need to emulate other cities like Austin, and California cities. You may throw out my survey as extreme negative response to all this, but I have lived long enough to see where more intrusive " we'll meaning" government has lead us in our Society. And why must we be the leader in initiatives like this when other world countries, including Mexico, do not change? Can we build an air barrier to keep polluting air out of our part of the country? We need to go real slow on this.	3/25/2019
I live near and shop at Alon HEB. All year, but especially in the summer, the parking lot is rife with people idling their cars while on cellphones. Yes, better that they're not driving but even better if they take advantage of HEB's air conditioned lounge. I've never really had breathing problems but a stroll through the HEB parking lot leaves me breathless. Considering that 34% of the problem are cars and trucks, PLEASE consider adopting no-idling laws, similar to those in Europe, and enforce them. Maybe start by asking HEB to post no-idling signs in their lots. The report says what is wrong but there is no plan to address what is happening in every parking lot in San Antonio.	3/25/2019
I stand by any and all efforts to protect and prepare our city for the future. Climate change is REAL and I will happily do my part and more for the future of San Antonio and its wonderful residents.	3/25/2019
We've already seen 1.5°C of temperature rise in San Antonio. I downloaded 50 years of daily temperature data taken at the SA Airport from NOAA at https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-web From Jan 1, 1969 thru Dec 31, 2018, Excel's linear trend line shows that the average annual high temperature has increased 2.7°F (1.5°C) and the average annual low temperature has increased	3/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
3.2°F (1.8C). The PDF summary is linked to here: https://tinyurl.com/SAT-50Yr-PDF The Excel Spreadsheet with the data and analysis is linked to here: https://tinyurl.com/SAT-50Yr-Data-xlsx We've already reached the threshold! Brian Hughes	
I fully support the City of San Antonio objective to comply with the goals of the Paris Climate Accord. I am proud of our city for being proactive in the absence of strong leadership at the federal level.	3/25/2019
Thank you for the opportunity to provide input on behalf the San Antonio business community regarding the San Antonio's Climate Action and Adaptation Plan. The San Antonio Chamber of Commerce represents over 2,100 businesses, both large and small, that together employ 500,000 people in San Antonio and the surrounding area. There are some mitigation strategies within the plan we believe are logical, such as engaging with the local business community to determine how to best undertake a vulnerability assessment to consider wide-ranging impacts of a changing climate to the business continuity, economic growth, and unintended consequences. However, we are gravely concerned when there seems to be a sentiment that economic development is contrary to good stewardship of the environment. Our community has done much to improve our air quality over the past 30 years, and we will continue to do so. However, the business community has legitimate concerns with the plan as it stands today. 50% of the mitigation strategies will be initiated by 2021. This is not a long-term plan. This will require real dollars right now. Our largest concern is that the report does not address how we – as a city – are going to pay for the plan. CPS energy prides itself on having a diverse portfolio which leads to affordable energy prices. Low cost energy is a key component to sustainable economic growth. The stated goal of the plan is to be carbon neutral by 2050. The San Antonio business community needs to completely understand what that means, specifically to businesses. If we are not careful, we may well be driving away jobs. We cannot ignore the fact that we have some very important companies here in San Antonio that are involved in the production, refinement, sale and transportation of crude, refined and component parts of oil, and natural gas. These companies employ thousands of people and make significant contributions to our community outside of their bi-weekly payrolls. To meaningfully engage on this document, we must understand the cost and the economic impact. We urge the City to slow down this process and make this a fact-based plan that includes implementation costs for businesses and homeowners alike. We welcome the chance to work with you on this plan to ensure San Antonio's economic competitiveness is not diminished, but rather enhanced for our community's long-term growth. We appreciate the opportunity to submit comments and look forward to working with you to develop a plan that works for all citizens and businesses in San Antonio.	3/25/2019
As a rancher, environmental professional, and 10th generation Tejana, it was an honor to be included as a member of the SA Climate Ready Energy and Buildings Working Group. Here, I offer my comments on the city's January 2019 draft of "SA Climate Ready: A Pathway for Climate Action & Adaptation," hereafter referred to as "the plan."2011 was the year of Texas' record drought. It was also the year that my father sold all the cattle on our family ranch in south Texas, because there was not enough grass for the cows to eat -and he was not the only rancher who had to do that. National reports noted the drought contributed "to over $10 billion in direct losses to agriculture alone," and that temperature extremes were connected to manmade climate change, which doubled the chance that heat waves would occur. This is just one example of how climate change is affecting Texans right now. I commend the City of San Antonio for its adoption of a goal to reach carbon neutrality by 2050 in order to maintain the possibility of keeping global temperature increases below the 1.5°C threshold, aligned with the Paris Climate Agreement. The city's initiative represents an important first step at addressing emissions in Texas, and impeding the pace and destruction of climate change locally and globally. The plan's goal for energy efficiency (p.67) is necessary and achievable. The 2017 American Council for an Energy-Efficient Economy (ACEEE) Utility Scorecard ranked 51 of the largest electric utilities in the U.S. for their energy efficiency programs and policies. In terms of annual net incremental energy savings as a percentage of sales, ACEEE ranked CPS Energy in the bottom 15 out of the 51 utilities, reducing energy consumption by about half of one percent according to program year 2015 data. The highest performing utilities achieved annual net incremental savings greater than 3.0%. The plan's energy efficiency projections would result in cumulative energy savings of roughly 40% by 2050 in the residential and commercial sectors. This cumulative projection will be achievable if CPS Energy ratchets its annual incremental energy savings up from 0.6% to 3.0% per year from 2020 to 2050.	3/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Increasing energy efficiency savings through utility programs is a cost-effective way to achieve emissions reductions and comes with co-benefits such as improved indoor and outdoor air quality, building comfort, and productivity. I have provided additional feedback on other energy efficiency elements of the plan in my detailed comments following this letter. Incorporating emissions estimates that use modern technologies and methods, and obtaining more refined cost data is essential to ensuring the plan is affordable and equitable. As Mayor Nirenberg states in the opening letter of the draft plan, "Protecting our community's quality of life, economy, military and historic treasures is a leading priority." As such, it is important that the plan has included an equity component. Ensuring that San Antonio's most vulnerable populations have safe and affordable places to live, and equal access to all the city's resources is paramount to the plan's success. When it comes to equity, affordability is a critical component. Future updates to the plan should rely on the best available economic and emissions data to evaluate where the largest emissions reductions can be achieved per dollar spent. Currently, the economic evaluation presented in the draft plan is rudimentary and qualitative. This should not be taken as a fault of the plan, as the current draft represents a first step in climate planning. However, moving forward the city should seek to continuously improve the data sources used to estimate the cost of mitigation and re-evaluate progress over time. Along these lines, it is important for the city council and other stakeholders to be aware that the greenhouse gas inventory might show an emissions increase in some areas in the near term, when improved technologies and methods for estimating emissions come into use. Already, advanced technologies and methods for estimating methane emissions from the natural gas distribution sector are readily available, and are being explored by CPS Energy. However, data from using these techniques have not yet been incorporated into the emissions accounting methodologies used in the current greenhouse gas inventory. These advanced technologies and methods typically find more non-hazardous gas line leaks than traditional leak detection methods and have the capability of estimating emissions rates more readily than previously used methods for the natural gas distribution sector. Gas utilities across the country are using data from these new methods to make more informed, cost-effective decisions about infrastructure repairs and replacements. In cases like these, using modern technologies to estimate emissions is likely to change the greenhouse gas inventory. That should not come as a surprise, nor should it be an indication of failure. Rather, these new data should be embraced for their ability to aid in making decisions about the least cost path to mitigating greenhouse gas emissions. Include scope three emissions in the next greenhouse gas inventory and follow through with priority actions for reducing their impact. Many participants on the Energy and Buildings Working Group expressed a desire to include scope three emissions in the greenhouse gas inventory. Including scope three emissions in the inventory is important to estimating overall cost-effectiveness of the mitigation measures. Of course, following through with Community Mitigation Strategy #26 by developing priority actions for reducing the impact of scope 3 emissions is also necessary. In recruiting volunteers or staff to review and assess the plan progress or use the Climate Equity Screening Mechanism, the city should design future recruitment efforts to include more representation of low-income residents, African-American residents, and black residents. In the future, as the city reviews and assesses the plan's progress in five-year increments, the city process may be more equitable if it is designed so that low-income residents may more easily participate on volunteer review committees. This might involve providing free childcare, scheduling meetings at more suitable times of day, and/or providing a transportation stipend. Additional time should be planned for recruiting low-income participants, as low-wage workers may work more hours of the day and may need more time to respond to requests to volunteer. Furthermore, the city should put more effort into recruiting a racially diverse review committee, with a focus on recruiting African-American and black participants. The makeup of the steering committee and working groups for the current draft plan was disproportionately white, and members of San Antonio's African-American and black population were not proportionately represented. It is important to ensure that racial groups which are disproportionately impacted by environmental harms are prioritized for recruitment when it comes to volunteer or staffed review committees for the plan and cases where the Climate Equity Screening Mechanism will be used. In Texas, adult and pediatric asthma prevalence and the asthma mortality rate is highest for black people compared to individuals of other races.6 Fossil fuel combustion is a major source of both greenhouse gas and ozone precursor emissions. Ozone is known to aggravate respiratory ailments including asthma. In 2018, Bexar county was listed in non-attainment of the 2015 ozone standard. It	

Comment/Response	Date
is extremely important that the city make an extra effort to prioritize the needs of the most vulnerable communities in future iterations of the plan, and that people from the most vulnerable communities have the opportunity to contribute from their lived experience through positions of authority such as city staff, steering committees, working groups, or similar entities. Individual public events, while necessary, are not a meaningful enough engagement strategy for vulnerable communities. Thank you again for including me as a member of the SA Climate Ready Energy and Buildings Working Group. I appreciate you and your staff's tireless work through this process, and respectful consideration of the many varying viewpoints of the SA Climate Ready steering committee and working group members. Below I have included more detailed comments, as well as comments on typographical and grammatical errors.	
Although I haven't figured out how to access the plan, I have a request. Since our City and County government leaders keep pushing this and other VIA related issues where service is being doubled and tripled in some areas, yet there are quite a few areas that aren't even served, I would like to see VIA schedule all of their routes to 15 minutes inside Loop 410 as was required in the Federal Grant that they received in 2002. They only did this for a mere fraction of the routes. They have gradually added more of these 15 minute wait times at peak periods for some of the routes. I've been told by VIA that none of the routes run more than 1 hour apart. I beg to differ since I have their schedules, of which you can access at VIAinfo.net to see all of the schedules. My SPECIFIC REQUEST: I would like to see ALL city officials (which includes the CITY MAYOR, the CITY COUNCIL members and the CITY MANAGER); county officials (which includes the COUNTY JUDGE and ALL of the COUNTY COMMISSIONER); all VIA officials (including the VIA BOARD of DIRECTORS and CEO) and the members of this CAAP COMMITTEE PURCHASE a 30 DAY BUS PASS, yes PURCHASE instead of using their credentials to ride free, to ride the bus EVERYWHERE to see where service is lacking. I've been told that these people don't ride the bus because 'they don't have time' to ride the bus. What about we who are required to ride the bus due to health limitations and alternate transportation methods to get to our destinations in a timely manner? Where I live (inside Loop 410 my bus, Route 651, runs 45 minutes during the day Monday-Friday and 1 hour, 6 minutes to 1 hour, 12 minutes on weekends according to the schedule.	3/25/2019
This plan seems pointless. If you want to do something that matters, reduce stop and go traffic. I see cement trucks and other local delivery vehicles belching exhaust in stop and go rush hour traffic. Can you work with these deliveries to have them done at less congested times? That too, would reduce some of the stop and go from other vehicles. 1604 needs a truck free lane like I-35 has as well. These are actual things we can do now even if they aren't as sexy as pretending to save the world.	3/25/2019
I think this 45 page climate change document is a government waste and you all are going to use this to tax us out of our cars and force us to use public transport. I am against it.	3/25/2019
San Antonio Climate Action and Adaptation Plan: Suggestions for Improvement and Adoption. As your office knows, the world faces a crisis greater than any we have known in the past: man-made climate change. Therefore, I support the draft version of San Antonio's Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP). The city must begin cutting its greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions to meet the goal of the Paris Climate Agreement and limit global temperature increase to 1.5 – 2 degrees centigrade above pre-industrial era levels. I would, however, like to see the draft plan strengthened in several ways: 1. The CAAP needs a far more urgent tone. The latest report from the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change warns that the world has about 12 years to seriously reduce its GHG emissions. We must act NOW to have a prayer of preventing catastrophic shifts in our climate. 2. The CAAP needs to include a synopsis. The plan is complex and detailed. Few people will read it in its entirety. A summary is needed. 3. The CAAP needs to prioritize our actions to cut GHG emissions. It should include a clear list of what needs to be done and in what order. For instance, the report states that our power plants and vehicles create the bulk of our GHG emissions. Therefore, decarbonization clearly should head any prioritized list. 4. The CAAP should suggest interim goals and a timeline. If, say, closing our coal fired power plants would give us the biggest drop in GHG emissions, what are the interim steps toward accomplishing this shutdown? By when? 5. The CAAP needs to include more data and emphasis on flooding and drought. These are important climate change issues in San Antonio. And, any analysis of flooding should include the positive effects of better land-use planning and low impact development. 6. The CAAP should highlight the cost of continuing "business as usual," the staggering costs to our economy and infrastructure of doing nothing to control climate change. The draft CAAP is an	3/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
excellent start, and I urge the adoption of these improvements. Nevertheless, we should not let the perfect be the enemy of the good. San Antonio needs a Climate Action and Adaptation Plan. We need it NOW, so we can begin to take SERIOUS measures to control our GHG emissions. Get it done and passed by the city council. Toward a better San Antonio and a better world. Best, Wendell Fuqua	
. General Comments The CAAP process and resulting draft document are important first steps for San Antonio and have effectively initiated a city-wide awareness raising and stakeholder dialogue process. The draft itself has done a credible job of identifying climate change mitigation opportunities and adaptation needs for San Antonio and lays out strong policy positions/directions (Carbon Neutral by 2050 and Climate Equity). The title "Climate Action and Adaptation Plan" is perhaps misleading at this early stage of development. The draft document is primarily a vision statement that lays out an aspirational set of goals. It is not an "Action Plan" and nor should it be at this juncture. While a significant amount of work has been done to produce this document, it is still lacking in terms of analyses and process to inform the development of a feasible path for GHG reduction and climate change adaptation that would have explicit buy-in from a critical mass and cross-section of key stakeholders and sectors. Without that, there is a significant risk that within a short time this may become just another "shelved plan". The CAAP's primary value is as a framework for the development of an action plan for San Antonio. Thus, a good deal of thought and emphasis should be given to what is proposed for follow-up, as well as to properly positioning this work before the citizenry and key stakeholders; i.e., this is not a plan, it is a vision of where we want to go, which once approved, will provide the framework for further work and discussion. The current implementation plan: • Does not obviously accommodate the need for deepening of the analytical work carried out to date. For example, there is no economic evaluation that helps decision-makers, civil society and business understand the magnitude of the economic risks and costs should San Antonio continue a business-as-usual path and therefore where the city's greatest economic vulnerabilities lie. The social vulnerability analysis is only yet preliminary, lacking as it does a clear identification of the impact pathways that will derive from the predicted climate change impacts and the populations that will be most affected by these. Similarly, the potential social/economic/environmental costs to potentially accrue from losses of ecosystem services due to climate change and "business-as-usual" remain to be articulated, as well. • Has an incomplete view of what would be an effective multi-stakeholder engagement process, especially if it is understood that the current "action plan" is not yet an action plan. One of the first follow ups to the CAAP should be a systematic stakeholder analysis to identify key stakeholder groups within the various thematic "action" areas identified, based upon which a stakeholder engagement strategy and plan could be developed in order to construct the roadmaps and action plans (with policy recommendations, timeframes, estimated costs, institutional arrangements, etc.) for each of the thematic response areas (i.e., "Community Mitigation Strategies" and "Adaptation"). • Proposes institutional arrangements in which the "Lead/Partner Agencies" are all public sector (city government) and all others are relegated to two "Priority Stakeholder Groups" whose only role is to "support" the CAAP implementation; and even still one of these stakeholder groups is to be "led" by city government. That the CAAP recognizes that City Government's ability and capacity to deliver the vision contained in the CAAP is quite limited, is not reflected in these institutional arrangements. Indeed, they are largely "business-as-usual" arrangements. II. Some specific comments: Important contextual elements missing – The CAAP will not operate in a vacuum, which is not plain from the document. For example, what are the current development trends, policy and regulatory issues that support/further CAAP goals or which would undermine it if not reformed (see End Note)? What about ongoing government, academic, private sector, civil society and community organizations' efforts that would further the CAAP's goals if recognized and capitalized upon? What are the relevant emerging lessons and opportunities that we might take advantage of? What are the principal challenges to meeting mitigation and adaptation goals, and what are the recommendations to overcome/avoid/mitigate these challenges? Relevant to the observation, above, on "implementation arrangements", what existing institutions and organizations could be key allies and contribute to achieving the CAAP vision. GHG Inventory missing "AFOLU" – GHG emissions from conversion of undeveloped lands and loss of tree cover should have been included in the GHG inventory. One, the estimation and inclusion of	3/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Land Use, Land Use Change and Forestry (LULUCF) sources of GHG emissions is standard in all international GHG inventory protocols and GHG emissions reporting. Two, the methodology utilized for San Antonio's GHG inventory called for the inclusion of "Agriculture, Forestry, and Fishing Activities", and it would and should not have been beyond the scope of the analysis to include that estimation. Third, the conversion of undeveloped lands and loss of tree cover are a significant source of GHG emissions in San Antonio , which according to the calculations in Footnote #1 are on the order of 190,000 tCO2e/yr, which EPA's Greenhouse Gas Equivalencies Calculator suggests would represent adding 40,215 more passenger vehicles to San Antonio's roads. Fourth, to meet carbon neutrality goals San Antonio will need carbon offsets, and it is within San Antonio's possibilities to generate such offsets from avoided GHG emissions by taking actions to reduce the conversion of undeveloped lands and loss of tree cover. Examples of this latter could include: (i) the extension of Proposition 1 funding for protection of lands critical to the preservation of the Edwards Aquifer; (ii) reform of policies to avoid incentivizing high density growth in outlying, rural areas/undeveloped lands (e.g., withdrawal of existing and/or rejection of new SAWS CCN's that enable and encourage development in the Edwards Aquifer Recharge and Contributing Zones); (iii) zoning restrictions in riparian areas and 500 year flood plain that avoid clearing and development and/or extension of San Antonio's Natural Creekways program into these areas to gain revegetation credits. "What does this mean for business?" – This is a critical part of the CAAP going forward and working with the major economic sectors to answer this question of what the risks and costs will be to them is extremely important to get their buy-in and leadership in innovation and investment in adaptation and mitigation. One sector not mentioned is the financial sector. There is a growing pressure on publicly traded companies and banks to evaluate their exposure to climate risk and to adopt policies and strategies to protect shareholder value. They should also be engaged as a central actor in this discussion as any policies they adopt in coming years to de-risk their lending portfolios will have direct repercussions for business. CAAP not forthcoming on GHG reduction goals that cannot be delivered. The most obvious of these are goals to reduce GHG emissions from private transportation. What is proposed is essentially playing at the margins and whose benefits will not be significant in the near-to-medium terms…if not the longer term given the many factors outside of San Antonio's control. The CAAP should be straightforward on this challenge and be plain that at present there is no viable solution to significantly reduce GHG emissions from the existing fleet of private vehicles. Then it should concentrate on two other questions: One, what options might there to avoid that the thousands of new vehicles to be added to San Antonio's roadways in the next 20 years do not continue to increase of GHG emissions from private vehicles? Two, what is the potential and scope for generating carbon offsets through public (and private investments to mitigate a portion of those GHGs that cannot be effectively reduced at their source? Footnote 1 – For example, WRI (2011) estimated that "forest acre loss" in San Antonio averaged 1,897 ac/yr between 2001-2006. The 2013 Texas A&M Statewide Assessment of Forest Ecosystem Services estimates that the total carbon stock in the "Mesquite-Juniper" region (which comprises the Edwards Plateau and South Texas Plains ecoregions) is equivalent to 27.27 tC/ac or 100 tCO2e/ac. Assuming that annual rates of clearing today are at least the same as for the 2001-2006 period when San Antonio was growing more slowly, this would imply annual GHG emissions of 189,700 tCO2e/yr. End Note i – The goals of the CAAP must eventually lead the city to look to itself and its policies, programs and ordinances that are at odds with the achieving the CAAP's goals. That this document makes no attempt to look at issues of this nature is disappointing and constitutes a significant gap in the analysis. Examples include: • San Antonio's growth model and quality of growth vs "growth for growth's sake" • City policy and fiscal incentives that contribute (if not drive) sprawl, including those generated through provision of services by SAWS and CPS. • Effective flood plain protections to halt development within the 100 year flood plain and protect riparian function; halting the use of fiscal incentives to developments that encroach on the 500 year flood plain and flood plain buffer or that increase impermeable cover above some threshold; etc.	
As a concerned citizen I have to register deep misgivings about the SA Climate Ready initiative. The program is based on highly questionable science, and the mitigations – from striving for carbon neutrality to Net Zero Energy buildings for all municipal facilities – can only have serious negative first and second order effects on the culture and economy of San Antonio. San Antonio has	3/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
achieved success as a premier metropolitan home for industries and families because of a historically business friendly economic model. SA Climate Ready completely upends this model and will drive away businesses, even threatens to attempt carbon neutralization of a primary Texas resource – cattle and livestock! Please steer San Antonio away from this nonsensical pipe dream with a price tag that will only drive the budget farther into the red… and businesses and families out of our lovely San Antonio area.	
My name is Jacob Bourgeois and I attended some of your public monthly feedback meetings leading up to the publication of the CAAP and live here in San Antonio. I work for a German firm that advises companies on how to account for GHG emissions, set science-based targets and reduce emissions among other areas of focus, and for that reason most of my comments focus on possible private sector actions. Well done on your work, the following are my comments listed by page: Page 5: In reference to – Adoption of the most advanced International Energy Conservation Code (IECC) building code, setting the city apart as one of the most progressive jurisdictions in the nation, and ensuring the energy efficiency of our new buildings. This is a good idea to reduce Scope 1 building emissions. An additional component to consider is a requirement for any new buildings/housing developments to neutralize their carbon impacts over their operational lifetimes (not just zero net energy). California has such a requirement through their CEQA (California Environmental Quality Act) legislation. They are still wrestling with how exactly developers should be expected to do this (e.g. developing carbon reduction projects within the communities or state where the construction is taking place to offset the impacts where Scope1/2 cannot be reduced to zero, or sourcing offsets from other jurisdictions), but it is a worthy goal to ensure that any new building stock is not adding to total jurisdictional emissions. Since you are already proposing enhancing carbon stocks through increasing local forest cover, restoring wetlands, etc. then these two goals could be linked as a legal requirement and then local compensation mechanism. Page 16: In reference to – How Companies are Getting Involved I made note of this box for how businesses are getting involved in thinking about climate issues, reporting their impacts and setting their own science-based targets that seek to reduce GHG impacts in line with the current science. Looking through the Acknowledgements section of the CAAP, however, I see very few representatives from local business in the steering, working and subject committees with the exception of CPS, which makes sense given Scope 2 commitments. I see one person each from Whataburger, USAA and HEB and that seems to be it. Of course, it makes sense to make sure that these committees are not too business heavy to avoid corporate capture and should lean more towards representing broader civil society, but I also see very little in the remainder of the CAAP that discusses how to involve local businesses and employers in this GHG reduction process besides some references to Business Incentives. Aiatives mentioned are becoming quite commonplace among many industries globally, and they take advantage of business' natural inclination to re larger local companies being encouraged to join the initiatives in this box to start with the GHG accounting and target setting process? The initbe competitive with their peers and achieve targets, but to my knowledge no local company engages with any of these – I have even asked the environmental managers at a few of them about this and they simply reply that they aren't interested. They seem to lump environmental initiatives together with CSR work more broadly, which they view more through the lens of charitable contributions rather than combating climate change. I would suggest coming up with some ideas to push local companies more in this direction (e.g. how to get them to report their own climate impacts in line with existing global platforms, or for local banks such as Frost thinking about applying the TCFD framework). Many SA residents interface with these home brands like HEB much more than they do with the municipality for better or for worse, so having them on the sidelines and relatively inactive as they have been will not help your efforts. Page 20: In reference to – Goal 9 Carbon Free Vehicles In reference to promoting carbon free vehicles, one of the carbon offset standards located in the US (VCS of Verra) has a new methodology for generating offsets from projects that install chargers for EV vehicles throughout a municipality. Some companies (e.g. Lyft) seem to be interested in purchasing offsets of this type through their pledge as a carbon neutral company and because it is related to their core business. This could be a financing opportunity for the city? Page 20: In reference to – Goal 26 GHG Reduction Quantification	3/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
I'm glad to see that future plans to calculate Scope 3 are included and depending on the results this would fit well with the city's efforts to broaden the scope of its activities to include the surrounding region. Efforts to reduce Scope 3 emissions are another good excuse to engage businesses rather earlier since their supply chains and the city's Scope 3 emissions will likely largely overlap. Are the plans for a full Scope 3 footprint or only consumption footprint (e.g. Scope 3 Category 1 Purchased Goods and Services)? Page 26: In reference to – Goal 32 Canopy Cover Similar to the comment above regarding offset project development opportunities as an alternative source of funding, the tree canopy program and other sequestration activities (e.g. wetlands, soil carbon) can be certified and sold to local businesses or beyond SA to quantify emission reductions, demonstrate local civic engagement or to secure revenue streams. Page 27: In reference to – Goal 34 and 35 The local crop diversification and state of the food system initiatives are interesting, but how much scope is there for pushing this within the municipal boundary of SA? This could be an interesting area of focus once Scope 3 hotspots are known to highlight landscape and agriculture emissions within Texas, but outside the city. Page 32: In reference to – Geographic Boundary Does the geographic boundary involve the SA Airport or any domestic flights originating from or flying to San Antonio? I don't see any mention of it. International emissions from flights are covered by a separate political arrangement from the Paris Agreement (CORSIA), but portions of domestic flights or at least airport operations would seem to be a big contributor to air pollution if not emissions. Many airports engage in GHG reduction strategies similar to cities or companies (see DFW).	
Who We Are We are the San Antonio chapter of the Democratic Socialists of America (DSA). We are organizers committed to democracy, not simply as a political value, but as a continual process of democratization, an ongoing project aimed at a total restructuring of society from below. Our vision is of a society in which people have a real voice in the choices and relationships that affect the entirety of our lives. This is socialism — a vision of a more free, democratic and humane society. Taking this vision to climate justice, we fight for 'transformative reforms,' to urgently halt the genocidal accumulation of greenhouse gases in our atmosphere and rapidly transition to renewable zero-emission energy systems that shift power from capitalists to the people exploited by them. We fight against new fossil fuel infrastructure and the privatization of public goods such as land, energy, and water. We fight for a just transition of workers in fossil fuel industries to green jobs, but ultimately fight to transform our relationship to work altogether. We fight to remake our society to correspond with our values: justice, democracy, material equity, and a deep understanding and respect of ecosystems, of our fates as interdependent and intertwined with all living and nonliving entities that make up our planetary system. The Basis for Our Critique While a necessary first step, the SA Climate Ready plan falls short of what is needed in a plan to prevent climate catastrophe. While the data is clear and the plan is theoretically grounded in equity and a goal of being a 1.5°C compliant plan, the specific strategies the plan proposes are conspicuously out of step with its goals and values. Whereas the first three strategies address 86% of greenhouse gas emissions for 2016 (increase carbon-free energy, reduce building energy consumption, and reduce transportation energy consumption), the mitigation actions proposed to achieve these goals are vague, long term, and fail to hold institutions accountable for their policies and actions. Current climate science is unambiguous on these counts; everyone, especially affluent (from a global perspective), high emission cities like San Antonio, must decarbonize by 2030 or sooner if there is to be an "everyone" left at the end of the century, and there are specific actions we can take right now to hold ourselves and our institutions responsible. The data provided in the plan make it clear that two sources make up a majority (86%) of yearly greenhouse gas emissions in San Antonio -- "Stationary" energy, an odd euphemism for energy generated by CPS, and "Transportation," which we might call	3/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
'Mobile' to round out the euphemism. Completed, the euphemism becomes reasonable. Where must we change our relationship to fossil fuels and energy? Anywhere that it is stationary and anywhere it is mobile, which is to say everywhere. The question then is where do we start, and here the SA Climate Ready plan gives useful goals: increase carbon-free (renewable) energy, reduce building energy consumption, and reduce transportation energy consumption. The problem is that the specific strategies proposed in the plan to achieve these goals are insufficient; however, fortunately, a critique of how and why the strategies are insufficient makes it clear what sufficient, worthwhile strategies would look like. Our Vision for a Just and Democratic Society The following principles are our vision for a society that functions within ecological boundaries while working to ensure a life of meaning, autonomy, and dignity for all people. We see this framework as a important baseline for our critiques of the SA Climate Ready plan. While no single plan can create the changes in society that we need, it is essential that everything we do works towards these goals. 1. Decarbonize the economy fully by 2030. 2. Democratize control over major energy systems and resources. 3. Center the working class in a just transition to an economy of societal and ecological care. 4. Decommodify survival by guaranteeing living wages, healthcare, childcare, housing, food, water, energy, public transit, a healthy environment, and other necessities for all. 5. Reinvent our communities to serve people and planet, not profit. 6. Demilitarize, decolonize, and strive for a future of international solidarity and cooperation. 7. Redistribute resources from the worst polluters with just and progressive taxes on the rich, on big corporations, and on dirty industry, as well as by diverting funds away from policing, prisons, and our government's bloated military budget. Our Comments on the SA Climate Ready Plan The following comments center four main demands: decarbonize, democratize decommodify, and decolonize. Within each demand, we lay out a critique as it pertains to the SA Climate Ready plan and solutions. Demand #1: We must decarbonize. The Critique The failures of the SA Climate Ready strategies are that they are vague, long term, and that they fail to hold harmful institutions accountable, instead the framing of these strategies centers the individual, a unit within society at which it is near impossible to make meaningful change. The problem of vagueness rears its head notably in strategies 1 (decarbonize the grid), 7 (energy efficiency programs), and 10 (reduce vehicle miles traveled) of the community mitigation strategies. (Note, we'll focus on these goals and how they are to be addressed on the community level because the other three goals at this level (pg. 35) and all six goals at the municipal level represent a comparatively negligible opportunity to reduce greenhouse gas emissions). Strategies 1, 7, and 10 establish goals but have no means for achieving them and in this are emblematic of the failures of the plan as a whole. The necessary solutions to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in San Antonio become clear when you center accountability and a systems perspective, but before that, it is worth remarking upon the timeline these vague strategies set out. They're not soon enough or consistent with the frontloading of emissions reduction that climate scientists say is necessary in the Special Report from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) released last year. The overabundance of greenhouse gasses in our atmosphere is already causing major problems. The straight line reduction proposed in the SA Climate Ready plan does not recognize this fact, nor does it recognize that reducing emissions would not follow a steady decline. As we follow through on mitigation strategies, each new change will cause an immediate reduction, with the biggest reductions coming early in the process if we prioritize correctly. This will do the most good in the shortest time. Rather than doing as the SA Climate Ready	

Comment/Response	Date
plan does and defining near term projects as starting in the near term, a habitable planet requires that mitigation efforts are completed in the near term. Again though, it becomes clear that in order to know what changes to make and when, we need to know who is accountable for the greenhouse gasses currently being put into the atmosphere. One can, as the SA Climate Ready plan does, look at private automobile owners and "stationary" energy consumers and try to change them one at a time. Or, one can take a systems perspective and look at what conditions produce single occupancy vehicles and where the energy in "stationary" buildings comes from. From this perspective it becomes clear that no matter how much insulation you install or how efficient your new buildings are, if CPS Energy is burning coal, it won't make a difference (also, building new things is a fairly carbon intensive process too). Similarly, plans to "accelerate the adoption of electric or other carbon free vehicles" (pg. 38) and "reduce vehicle miles traveled per person throughout the city" (pg. 38) seem absurd from a systems perspective. Producing and distributing a couple million people worth of electric cars is not sustainable or carbon neutral, and any plan to reduce individual traveling times in a city as segregated as this one needs more than just to mention equity at the beginning. In order to proceed in a way that is consistent with the requirements of a 1.5°C compliant plan, we must address the powerful institutions (namely oil companies, automobile manufacturers, developers, and investors) who benefit from the status quo and must change in order for any of us to survive. What must we do then? What are the specific, short term actions we can take to save ourselves and our world while simultaneously holding institutions responsible for the harm they've been knowingly inflicting on our city and the world for decades? The Solution We must decarbonize San Antonio fully by 2030. That means shutting down the remaining coal plant and the natural gas plants, while exponentially increasing investments in utility-scale and community-scale renewable energy projects. We must also support energy cooperatives to ensure democratic control of the shift to 100% renewable energy. It also means expanding public transportation options and limiting private vehicle use. This is a major change, but it's absolutely affordable when you consider current per capita spending on gas, car insurance, car payments, car maintenance, medical expenses related to car crashes and pollution from cars, and the maintenance and construction of automobile infrastructure. It means ending the construction of new gas stations and the expansion of old ones. It means restricting the sale of new cars, electric or otherwise. The oft asked question is, how do we pay for these transformative public works projects? One of the answers to this question is public banking. While a privately owned bank has an obligation to shareholders to maximize profits, a public bank's primary objective is to provide services to the community it serves. The profits of a public bank would be redirected to a general fund, which can then be used to fund public works projects, including renewable energy and public transportation. Demand #2: We must democratize. The Critique CPS Energy accounts for almost 50% of the greenhouse gas emissions that San Antonio releases. The SA Climate Ready plan does not make that clear, not does it directly address CPS Energy. There is no talk of timelines for shutting down the remaining coal plant or the natural gas plants, there is no timeline for increasing investments in renewable energy, and there is no mechanisms in the plan that hold CPS Energy accountable to make sure their workers and ratepayers will be guaranteed a just transition. There is simply a vague, distant goal of "carbon neutrality by 2050" (pg. 32). It is clear that CPS Energy has an enormous amount of influence - both citywide and in this plan. CPS Energy was present in this planning process, but did not participate in discussion or strategy crafting. They did not provide data or modeling and even went so far as to release their own 'Flexible Path' - a similarly vague energy generation plan that estimates using coal into the 2040s and natural gas even longer. Not only is that path incompatible with the SA Climate Ready plan, it is incompatible with maintaining San	

Comment/Response	Date
Antonio as a livable city past the end of the century. We need a new path. One that we craft together. There is an implicit bias that the people who work for CPS Energy - namely the executives who are allowed to make high-level decisions - knows what's best for CPS Energy, for the ratepayers, and for the energy generation of San Antonio at large. This is clearly not the case. We know this because CPS Energy built a coal plant in 2010. We know this because they allow large corporations to have lower energy rates relative to that of poor households, who in turn deal with the burden of high energy bills. We know this because they elect their governing body - the Board of Trustees - in a completely undemocratic way. We know this because their direction is one that is incompatible with IPCC recommendations for avoiding climate collapse. The Solution We need CPS Energy - a publicly-owned energy utility - to actually be under public ownership. When we say public ownership, we do not mean public participation. Public participation means CPS Energy hosting public input sessions 2-4 times a year, at times and locations that are not conducive to mass public feedback, and then doing next to nothing with the feedback they receive, unless it reinforces their existing operational perspective. Public ownership means that San Antonio residents and other non-resident CPS Energy customers shape the direction of CPS Energy. Public ownership means implementing: ● A robust Citizens Advisory Committee with multiple representatives from each City Council District and multiple representatives from each of the geographic regions CPS Energy serves outside of San Antonio. ● A Citizens To Be Heard at each of the Board of Trustees meetings and Citizens Advisory Committee meetings where any resident or nonresident CPS customer can come and speak directly to these governing and advisory bodies. Additionally, these meetings must be held during the evening when more working people are able to attend them and the agenda and details of the meetings must be posted at least 72 hours in advance in an easy to find location on the CPS Energy website. ● A transparent, public, and democratic Board of Trustee selection process and the implementation of a recall process. ● A progressive rate structure that alleviates economic burdens on poor communities, requires corporations and high-energy using residents to pay their fair share, and prioritizes the transition to renewable energy. Demand #3: We must decommodify. The Critique The SA Climate Ready plan is centered around business, making next to no mention of working people aside from empty platitudes regarding "a more vibrant town for our children" (pg. 8). The only time inequity is mentioned is in the "Climate Equity" section, in which we see an acknowledgement that climate change does not affect everyone equally, along with some confusingly laid out graphs and charts. But the SA Climate Ready plan as it is, does not fix those inequities so much as it tries to mask their symptoms. There is certainly no class analysis as to why structural inequality exists in the first place. Acknowledgement of inequity, while still focusing the entire plan around what is best for business does nothing to address said inequity, and only results in an insufficient plan in all regards. Instead of asking what climate change means for business, we should be asking how it is affecting the community, especially the marginalized communities most deeply affected by climate change. We see in the SA Climate Ready plan a larger focus on carbon-free vehicles than we do on improving public transportation, a solution that not only has existing models we can look to for guidance, but will drastically improve the lives of people with no means of private transportation in a large and rapidly growing, city. Another limitation of the plan is that in its interactions with the business class, it relies on incentives to prompt action, but what incentive can the City offer that is stronger than a future for human life and civilization? Implicit in this point is an	

Comment/Response	Date
acknowledgement of the conflict between private and public interests; however, whereas the City's mandate is to represent the public, much of the plan concedes ground to business without any apparent fight. While it certainly may be true that currently the City of San Antonio lacks the power to control business practices in the way that is necessary, we cannot proceed into any meaningful struggle for that power if the City refuses to acknowledge the conflict. The Solution The only solution locally to truly decommodifying survival is an expansion of public services and goods. That means vastly improving public transportation, expanding green spaces and public spaces, and passing local ordinances that support pro-social programs, like paid sick time, free community college, and guaranteed fair wages. The SA Climate Ready plan must prioritize workers and the general public, rather than businesses. Demand #4: We must redistribute. The Critique The SA Climate Ready plan does not provide a structural analysis recognizing that the people most affected by carbon emissions are largely marginalized by current and historical capitalist exploitation and violence, and that this is also the source of present concentrations of wealth. Adding this context should imply the need to divert resources and economic power away from their current concentrations and toward people that are systematically disempowered and made vulnerable the disastrous consequences of climate change. Workers are what makes society run. San Antonio has nearly 700,000 workers that make the city what it is. Many of these workers have to face harsh environmental conditions while working, commuting, and living, in addition to often working for a business that do not prioritize the environment. Corporations are responsible for over 70% of greenhouse gas emissions in the world. This is why we must make the businesses in San Antonio responsible for their part of the SA Climate Ready plan. It is a vital first step for holding corporations accountable. Without remembering that businesses are the ones who most often act without thought for the community we are missing the opportunity for a more nuanced conversation around strategizing against climate change. Additionally, the plan makes no mention whatsoever of the military bases in the city. We see this as a major concern, seeing as that if the United States military were a country, it would be the third largest polluter in world. We know that the occupation of other countries by the United States military has nothing to do with defense of people living within American borders and everything to do with maintaining imperial dominance over other nations and capitalist control of the world's resources. The Solution With funds from a public bank, the City of San Antonio could set up free job training programs for sustainable jobs - such as farming, solar panel installation, social work, nursing, bicycle repair - that provide meaningful work and a livable wage. Additionally, we call on the City of San Antonio to remove military recruiters from all schools in San Antonio. Military recruiters target poor - often primarily students of color - schools and students will often choose to go into the military out of economic desperation. There should be the alternative of a guaranteed job and/or a path towards college that doesn't rely on young people signing over their autonomy and possibly life so that the United States can continue to wage war in other countries for their resources. Conclusion Humankind has reached a moment of existential crisis. Human activity is causing disastrous climate disruption and Earth's sixth mass extinction event, triggering critical losses of biodiversity. We are already locked in for global warming that will have catastrophic effects, and we are on a slippery path to our own extinction. The 2018 Special Report from the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) warns unequivocally that "without societal transformation and rapid implementation of ambitious greenhouse gas reduction measures, pathways to limiting warming to 1.5°C	

Comment/Response	Date
and achieving sustainable development will be exceedingly difficult, if not impossible, to achieve." Yet, the crisis we face exceeds ecological breakdown. Deepening inequality, suppressed democracy, precarious jobs, racial and gendered violence, border hostility, and endless wars make up the terrain on which climate destabilization will be unleashed. The most vulnerable members of society will be hit hardest, first, and suffer most. But, there is hope. It is possible to secure human flourishing for all beyond the critical next decades, not just survival for some. Together, we can recreate a society that abolishes the oppressions of a capitalistic economic system and guarantees the regeneration of a vibrant natural world that is home for humanity—and all forms of life—for many generations to come.	
Have CPS minimize subsidies to the solar programs--upfront and ongoing. It seems that most will only participate in solar if they can save money.	3/26/2019
2018 IECC is already quite stringent for building energy performance. We need to produce more renewable energy in a de-centralized grid which will help with resiliency in times of disruption. The entire issue falls on the back of CPS. We should not allow any new data centers to be built, if we are actually concerned about using too much energy. Poor people can't even afford a COSA building permit or navigate the complex city requirements to improve their house energy performance, so some entity will need to help replace all their windows, roofs, and insulation for them. Every Data Center project should fund the installation of HVAC equipment for low income people because it is going to get hot out there.	3/26/2019
Solar panels with batteries on a house-by-house basis is the only currently available technology that will make a difference. The power would be used by the household, not transmitted to the grid. As consumers leave the grid, CPS can close power plants.	3/26/2019
These Goals do not take into account costs to the everyday citizen. Unless you explain how these goals will be funded none of them are worthy of discussion.	3/26/2019
There is one thing I would love to see added to the plan: reducing or ending the use of leaf blowers, particularly gas powered blowers. They not only exceed the noise ordinance in San Antonio in almost every case, but they stir up dust, pollen, animal feces, and cover neighboring homes with fine layers of dust. They make it unpleasant to be outside gardening or playing. They kill beneficial insects in the soil and can disturb or destroy small nests, like those of hummingbirds. They are extremely harmful to the health of the user and the people outside around the user. "The two-stroke leaf blower was worse still, generating 23 times the CO and nearly 300 times more NMHC than a crew cab pickup. Let's put that in perspective. To equal the hydrocarbon emissions of about a half-hour of yard work with this two-stroke leaf blower, you'd have to drive a Raptor for 3,887 miles, or the distance from Northern Texas to Anchorage, Alaska." Despite these things, I see the city and SARA using industrial blowers to do very small tasks which could be done faster with a broom. Or often, workers just stand around blowing nothing just to be doing something. In the past one of the city agencies, maybe CPS?, offered a rebate if you bought an electric powered lawn mower. Perhaps the city could do something similar, except have people trade in their gas blowers for a free electric leaf blower or, better yet, a set of brooms. Brooms are often much more efficient than blowers anyway! Even if a program like that can't happen, I'd love to see the city use blowers less and only in situations where there are no other solutions. And citizens need to be educated about the very real health risks to the user and to the climate.	3/26/2019
Climate change is a natural earth cycle interdependent with natural heating and cooling sun cycles. Nothing... repeat... nothing we in San Antonio can do will alter these natural cycles.	3/26/2019
Stop destroying habitats. Stop poisoning rivers. Make irrigation systems illegal. Make green lawns illegal. Combat invasive species that threaten our ecosystems. Don't build in the flood plain.	3/26/2019
It is not economically feasible to design for extreme weather events when the magnitude is unpredictable.	3/26/2019
These questions are a trap. There is no detail on how these goals will be implemented and how some of the details may inconvenience the citizens, there are no cost details provided either.	3/26/2019
These questions are even more skewed than the plan, with no distinction between those actions which address carbon emissions and the many other social and ecological challenges we face here in San Antonio. These are all good issues but global warming will impact each negatively if we do not focus on carbon emissions.	3/26/2019

Comment/Response	Date
As a former 60 year resident of Southern California I can assure you our air quality and eco-infrastructure far exceeds anything I have experienced. If it ain't broke, no need to "fix" it	3/26/2019
When your talking about the environment, there are no solutions, only trade-offs.	3/26/2019
Implementing emissions testing of all vehicles would be an easy step in limiting carbon emissions by our vehicles in San Antonio.	3/26/2019
This plan is deceptive about the costs associated with going carbon-free by 2050. The solutions presented in the report will cost much more than San Antonio's budget of $2.8 billion. The plan needs to describe where the money is coming from. For example, nuclear plants are at risk of closure unless they are subsidized, and new plants cost $25 billion. We will need more of them if everyone drives an electric car. Infrastructure costs will be astronomical to design for weather events that are unpredictable is scope. The plan also seems to assume that we can maintain our current standard of living with carbon-free power. Battery technology simply doesn't exist on a large enough scale to provide sufficient energy at night or during calm conditions. There will always be a mix of carbon and non-carbon energy sources.	3/26/2019
In all cases costs to citizens and inconvenience to citizens should be included in any questions and proposals. The current city council is known for proposing things to citizens without detail and costs and getting their ok and coming back and telling them "Well you told us you were in favor of these goals".	3/26/2019
Please decrease the use of leaf blowers by the city, city-related organizations like the San Antonio River Authority, and educate the public about the very real consequences of their use - to people, animals, pets, and the environment. With the industrialization of lawn care, "mow, blow, and go" crews come in and "mow" yards even when the grass hasn't grown (like in the winter and the dead of summer) and then spend another 30+ minutes just blowing around dust and pollen. SARA even has a giant leaf blower on a golf cart which it drives along the Mission Reach while people are trying to enjoy nature and get some exercise.	3/26/2019
William Sam Poland, AIA, LEED AP 2031 Edison Drive, San Antonio, Texas 78201 | 210.621.4050 | wmsampoland@gmail.com March 25, 2019 Mayor Ron Nirenberg and All Council Members City of San Antonio PO Box 839966 San Antonio, TX 78283 RE: San Antonio Climate Action & Adaptation Plan Honorable Mayor and Esteemed Council Members; I write to you today to outline my review of San Antonio's Climate Action and Adaptation Plan. I am in general agreement with the goals outlined in the plan, but feel it is important to address two key issues. The first is that we must distinguish those actions outlined in the plan which have the capacity to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the rest. Global warming threatens our livelihood, and it will require a surgical response focused on the carbon molecule. The other goals presented in the plan are admirable, but will not help us reach the goals outlined in the Paris Climate Agreement. Secondly, the plan does not do enough to prioritize the specific reduction of embodied carbon from operational carbon emissions. The CAAP, as many proposals before it, has yet to properly distinguish those actions which reduce embodied energy from issues such as efficiency which act to improve the performance of systems over time. DISTINCTION OF THOSE ACTIONS WHICH ACT TO REDUCE CARBON EMISSIONS By this time, we have all heard the concern expressed that the regulations related to such climate proposals will increase costs and make it generally more difficult for San Antonio to compete. Although I believe we have the capacity, if not the will, to achieve the goal outlined in the CAAP, I am not here to argue that there will not be costs. If we are realistic; however, and focused on the true measure of our energy use and carbon emissions, we can minimize and mitigate those costs and create a brighter and cleaner future for all San Antoninas. We must prioritize those actions outlined in the plan which have the capacity to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. I am all for clean water and better living, but we need to focus. There are many things in the CAAP we should be doing, but frankly, we are not. On these issues we have reached an accepted level of mediocrity. To tie air-born carbon reduction to those issues is to invite failure. We cannot afford to approach global warming in this manner, for if the predictions hold, and temperatures continue to increase as they have, it will have a devastating effect on all of these issues including our air and water quality, and the impact will not come equitably. PRIORITIZATION OF REDUCTIONS IN EMBODIED ENERGY AND CARBON EMISSIONS It is important to employ a whole-life carbon accounting framework in analyzing the carbon emissions in the products we use, from obvious sources like our building materials and our transportation infrastructure to even the ways we package our food. This includes a careful review of the energy embodied in the efforts we take, not only their operational efficiency. Examples of embodied	3/26/2019

Comment/Response	Date
energy include: the energy used to extract raw resources, process materials, assemble product components, transport between each step, construction, maintenance and repair, deconstruction and disposal. Reductions in embodied energy and embodied carbon emissions are essential to our ability to respond to global warming in a timely fashion. In many ways, the conversations around lowering embodied carbon are even more challenging than the ones we are currently having around operational performance. The building industry is notoriously slow in its response to change, and suppliers have long-term investments in the ways we currently build. I encourage San Antonio to implement an Embodied Carbon Focus Group to review embodied energy and embodied carbon emissions from our unique regional perspective and make recommendations as to how we might address this critical sector of our local economy. In considering ways to mitigate the impact on building costs, like any other impact, the best way is to spread the load. Right now our manufacturers are not carrying the weight equally. For this reason, I further suggest that we investigate a parallel path to our energy use and emissions targets which recognizes the benefits of reductions in embodied energy and embodied carbon. If a developer selects to purchase more efficient equipment, they can receive credit for saving energy, but if the same developer were to select to build in a more efficient manner, they cannot now receive the same credit. This is not because the effort is not valuable, in fact, it is more valuable, but; because we have not quantified the problem, we do not have the standards in place to measure, let alone regulate our processes or the materials the way we have our operational efficiency. The tragedy of this fact is that the reduction of embodied energy is immediate while improvements to efficiency start at zero and only increase gradually over time. "The next 10-20 years are going to be critical years in addressing GHG emissions. If you look at embodied carbon versus operational carbon over the life of a building...the embodied carbon might represent 10%-15% of the overall carbon. But if you consider embodied carbon over the next 20 years it represents more like 30%-60% of total carbon emitted." —Larry Strain, FAIA, Siegel & Strain Architects That was 2005, and for the last 14 years we have seen marked improvements in the efficiency of our buildings and systems, but very little attention placed on how we build. We cannot continue to build the way we have been building. Where we source our materials and the types of construction we select must change. Implementing appropriate measures to curb embodied carbon emissions will motivate that change, and thrust us forward toward the industries of the future. As we move forward, I encourage you all to look toward recent efforts passed in other places like California which recently passed the Buy Clean legislation (AB 262), which calls for the state to create rules for the procurement of infrastructure materials. From recycling and reclamation to a focus on local resources, micro-production of building products, and new material science, these ideas are not the threats they are reported to be, they are the jobs of tomorrow, and they would hope to turn the worst areas of our fine city to the best. Respectfully submitted, William Sam Poland, AIA, LEED AP	
The Urban Heat Island (UHI) is an important facet of San Antonio's urban climate but is not adequately understood. The UHI impacts all of the above issues so effective mitigation and adaptation strategies need to be implemented.	3/26/2019
Why do we need this plan? What infrastructure modifications are going to be required? Where is the cost analyst for this plan? How much will it cost? Who will pay for this plan?	3/26/2019
I have seen businesses wipe every form of vegetation off of the land they are building on , and barely replacing any such vegetation upon completion. I believe these businesses should be required to go back and add back substantial vegetation, and if they allow said vegetation to die it must be replaced or receive a daily fine. Perhaps give incentives to current businesses complying with the regulations, who increase their vegetation on said properties. Those companies who choose to go beyond requirements be recognized in a big way for their efforts. I believe we must increase our tree canopy now for future temperature, carbon emissions, and rainfall . We must decrease our concrete percentage versus vegetation.	3/26/2019
Can't cost a lot of money. If it's expensive, I'm not interested. I'm on a tight budget and an elderly.	3/26/2019
I served on Water & Natural Resources TWG. I think drought planning should receive more emphasis and SAWS should be required to plan for worse than drought of record conditions, which seem likely. EAA is developing modeling for such a future here, and SAWS and COSA should support and use those predictions. SAWS must be willing to institute Stage 3 (and higher) watering restrictions. Its current draft 5 year Conservation Plan is not robust enough to meet future needs to more significantly, and RAPIDLY, reduce gpcd usage. Rainwater harvesting should be linked with better (non-channelized, but instead "slow, spread, and sink") storm and flood water management to	3/26/2019

Comment/Response	Date
develop a full "One Water Management" approach.	
Pg 73 Strategy 7 (or possibly 6) New implement action suggestion: Provide a list of construction materials and construction practices that exhibit very low embodied energy PRIOR to building occupancy. Include funding to educate architects, developers, contractors, structural engineers and other related fields/professions. Pg 73 Strategy 6 or 7 new implement action suggestion: Encourage where possible the upgrade of existing poorly performing structures for re-use in lieu of building new structures. Pg 77 Strategy 20 (also pg 42, M4) Provide vegetated (Intensive, not Extensive green roof assembly) to the top most level of parking garages irrigated by rainwater capture cisterns or adjacent structure air conditioning condensate capture. Pg 74 Increase Circularity (new strategy number): Collect and use for non-potable purposes (ie. toilet flushing and irrigation) air conditioning condensate [Condensate allowed to be discarded into drains is a very considerable amount of water city-wide. Ask Karen Guz at SAWS].	3/26/2019
I am pleased that the City of San Antonio is going through the process of establishing a climate action plan to help the world limit global warming to 1.5 degrees C. and adapt to the damage that has already been done and will be done in the future. Scientists and rational thinkers have been advocating action for the past 40 years. All meaningful change starts with advocacy at the local level. San Antonio has contributed to global warming by building the Spruce 2 coal-fired power plant; its inability to establish an efficient mass transit system to serve the expanding city; and the destruction of natural ecosytems that can help with carbon capture.. These shortcomings are the result of most humans and their institutions inability to look beyond short term needs and interest. I view this proposed plan even with its shortcomings as an inspiration attempt to address the problems that San Antonio and the rest of the world have created. I hope that most Council members, city government officials and business leaders will support and promote a robust climate action plan. I support the recommendations of the Climate Action San Antonio group. The time table for action in the proposed plan is not adequate to address San Antonio's responsibililties in meeting the goal of limiting temperature increase to 1.5 degrees Centrigrade. To address the huge contribution of CO2 from fossil fuel vehicles, I feel that it is especially important that CPS Energy moves to 100% renewable energy ASAP and that the City of San Antonio provides full support and incentives to promote rechargeable electric cars. The love and addiction to cars and trucks is not likely to easily change. I would like to see the City of San Antonio, SAWS and CPS Energy take a much more aggressive approach to mitigating the damage that is being done by removal of native trees and understory shrubs, perennials and grasses in the development process. As a starter, these entities should be using and promoting native trees and plants on their properties and easements. This will help by increasing carbon capture and mitigating the biological desert that is being created by habitat removal in development projects. As an example there was a removal of a well functioning, mostly natural habitat by the Vista Ridge project which used an easement next to and overlapping a CPS Energy easement in the Encino Park area between Encino Rio and Hwy 281 N. Nothing has been done to replace this habitat. The City of San Antonio should be removing non-native invasives from their properties and replacing them with natives that promotes all of the components of natural ecosystems including birds. The City of San Antonio needs a much stronger program to promote the use of long lived shade trees as a mitigation factor for the heat island effect for housing and businesses where solar energy installations are not feasible. The give away program currently in effect has no component to see that the trees are properly sited, installed and survive.. The giveaway programs of CPS Energy and the COSA need to be upgraded and properly funded. I am especially concerned that support be given to the economically disadvantaged because they will be first to be affected by the direct and indirect affects of rising temperatures related to their jobs and housing. These needs should be closed coordinated with the social justice groups that are attempting to represent this politically marginized population.	3/26/2019
I write to you today to outline my review of San Antonio's Climate Action and Adaptation Plan. I am in general agreement with the goals outlined in the plan, but feel it is important to address two key issues. The first is that we must distinguish those actions outlined in the plan which have the capacity to reduce greenhouse gas emissions from the rest. Global warming threatens our livelihood, and it will require a surgical response focused on the carbon molecule. The other goals presented in the plan are admirable, but will not help us reach the goals outlined in the Paris Climate Agreement. Secondly, the plan does not do enough to prioritize the specific reduction of embodied carbon from operational carbon emissions. The CAAP, as many proposals before it, has	3/26/2019

Comment/Response	Date
yet to properly distinguish those actions which reduce embodied energy from issues such as efficiency which act to improve the performance of systems over time. DISTINCTION OF THOSE ACTIONS WHICH ACT TO REDUCE CARBON EMISSIONS By this time, we have all heard the concern expressed that the regulations related to such climate proposals will increase costs and make it generally more difficult for San Antonio to compete. Although I believe we have the capacity, if not the will, to achieve the goal outlined in the CAAP, I am not here to argue that there will not be costs. If we are realistic; however, and focused on the true measure of our energy use and carbon emissions, we can minimize and mitigate those costs and create a brighter and cleaner future for all San Antonians. We must prioritize those actions outlined in the plan which have the capacity to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. I am all for clean water and better living, but we need to focus. There are many things in the CAAP we should be doing, but frankly, we are not. On these issues we have reached an accepted level of mediocrity. To tie air-born carbon reduction to those issues is to invite failure. We cannot afford to approach global warming in this manner, for if the predictions hold, and temperatures continue to increase as they have, it will have a devastating effect on all of these issues including our air and water quality, and the impact will not come equitably. PRIORITIZATION OF REDUCTIONS IN EMBODIED ENERGY AND CARBON EMISSIONS It is important to employ a whole-life carbon accounting framework in analyzing the carbon emissions in the products we use, from obvious sources like our building materials and our transportation infrastructure to even the ways we package our food. This includes a careful review of the energy embodied in the efforts we take, not only their operational efficiency. Examples of embodied energy include: the energy used to extract raw resources, process materials, assemble product components, transport between each step, construction, maintenance and repair, deconstruction and disposal. Reductions in embodied energy and embodied carbon emissions are essential to our ability to respond to global warming in a timely fashion. In many ways, the conversations around lowering embodied carbon are even more challenging than the ones we are currently having around operational performance. The building industry is notoriously slow in its response to change, and suppliers have long-term investments in the ways we currently build. I encourage San Antonio to implement an Embodied Carbon Focus Group to review embodied energy and embodied carbon emissions from our unique regional perspective and make recommendations as to how we might address this critical sector of our local economy. In considering ways to mitigate the impact on building costs, like any other impact, the best way is to spread the load. Right now our manufacturers are not carrying the weight equally. For this reason, I further suggest that we investigate a parallel path to our energy use and emissions targets which recognizes the benefits of reductions in embodied energy and embodied carbon. If a developer selects to purchase more efficient equipment, they can receive credit for saving energy, but if the same developer were to select to build in a more efficient manner, they cannot now receive the same credit. This is not because the effort is not valuable, in fact, it is more valuable, but; because we have not quantified the problem, we do not have the standards in place to measure, let alone regulate our processes or the materials the way we have our operational efficiency. The tragedy of this fact is that the reduction of embodied energy is immediate while improvements to efficiency start at zero and only increase gradually over time. "The next 10-20 years are going to be critical years in addressing GHG emissions. If you look at embodied carbon versus operational carbon over the life of a building…the embodied carbon might represent 10%-15% of the overall carbon. But if you consider embodied carbon over the next 20 years it represents more like 30%-60% of total carbon emitted." —Larry Strain, FAIA, Siegel & Strain Architects That was 2005, and for the last 14 years we have seen marked improvements in the efficiency of our buildings and systems, but very little attention placed on how we build. We cannot continue to build the way we have been building. Where we source our materials and the types of construction we select must change. Implementing appropriate measures to curb embodied carbon emissions will motivate that change, and thrust us forward toward the industries of the future. As we move forward, I encourage you all to look toward recent efforts passed in other places like California which recently passed the Buy Clean legislation (AB 262), which calls for the state to create rules for the procurement of infrastructure materials. From recycling and reclamation to a focus on local resources, micro-production of building products, and new material science, these ideas are not the threats they are reported to be, they are the jobs of	

Comment/Response	Date
tomorrow, and they would hope to turn the worst areas of our fine city to the best.	
Hi- I just read the article on the SAWS Gardenstyle SA website and was thrilled to see all the great suggestions for having a more sustainable garden that helps to combat climate change in this article: Small Changes That Make a Big Impact on Climate Change, which can be found here: http://www.gardenstylesanantonio.com/garden-tips-blog/small-changes-that-make-a-big-impact-on-climate-change/ There is one thing I would love to see added to the plan: reducing or ending the use of leaf blowers, particularly gas powered blowers. They not only exceed the noise ordinance in San Antonio in almost every case, but they stir up dust, pollen, animal feces, and cover neighboring homes with fine layers of dust. They make it unpleasant to be outside gardening or playing. They kill beneficial insects in the soil and can disturb or destroy small nests, like those of hummingbirds. They are extremely harmful to the health of the user and the people outside around the user. "The two-stroke leaf blower was worse still, generating 23 times the CO and nearly 300 times more NMHC than a crew cab pickup. Let's put that in perspective. To equal the hydrocarbon emissions of about a half-hour of yard work with this two-stroke leaf blower, you'd have to drive a Raptor for 3,887 miles, or the distance from Northern Texas to Anchorage, Alaska." Despite these things, I see the city and SARA using industrial blowers to do very small tasks which could be done faster with a broom. Or often, workers just stand around blowing nothing just to be doing something. In the past one of the city agencies, maybe CPS?, offered a rebate if you bought an electric powered lawn mower. Perhaps the city could do something similar, except have people trade in their gas blowers for a free electric leaf blower or, better yet, a set of brooms. Brooms are often much more efficient than blowers anyway! Even if a program like that can't happen, I'd love to see the city use blowers less and only in situations where there are no other solutions. And citizens need to be educated about the very real health risks to the user and to the climate.	3/26/2019
The city of San Antonio has already seen the disastrous effects of climate change through wild fires, storms and extreme heat. As the city moves to implement great plans to aid in the reduction of carbon dioxide emission, San Antonio should be aware of some differences between themselves and the city of Austin's climate plan. It would be of use to take Austin's plan into consideration being that they are a city both similar in size and geography, and their plan has been in action for about two years. Another similarity is the grant the Michael Bloomberg grant both Austin (Menon, 2019) and San Antonio (Corso, 2019). Proving there should be no barrier as to why a plan that reaches for carbon neutrality by 2050 should not be implemented. The first suggestion I would make is including specific definitions of items that will be mentioned throughout the entire plan. This could include Carbon Dioxide, Carbon foot print, Greenhouse Gas Emission. Definition could be found on the City of Austin's plan on page 11. I would find this to be important because it allows those who are unfamiliar to environmental terminology to understand what is being discussed, which can lead them to having a greater interest in wanting the policy to be adopted. I find the community involvement is the key component to an environmentally society, to reach that we need all members of the community to have resources to understand the complexity that is associated with climate change. Second while reading the Climate plan of Austin there were various anecdotes called "How do I FIT IN?" starting on page 17. They showcased nearly each kind of member of the community from a college student, to a family, to small and large business owners. Again, I would find this to be helpful addition to the plan of San Antonio. Including how each person will not only be affected but will benefit from both sacrifices and changes to the community will greatly enhance the likelihood of wanting the plan implemented. I believe that people are more inclined to be involved when they realize how much they will be affected by what will occur if no change is made. I feel the San Antonio Climate Ready Plan should strongly emphasize that each person of the community will somehow feel negative effects from not protecting the planet. I believe understanding the amounts of deaths that are likely to occur due to extreme heat humanizes the efforts this plan is imposing and should also be emphasized. A third suggestion would be adding more specifics in how the plan will be excited. For example, on page 34 of the San Antonio Climate Ready plan one way to reduce green house gas emissions is by "2. Reduce Building Energy Consumption." Although I personally agree, I can see how one might have critiques in the way this would be executed. I foresee one having reservations especially if one owned a building. Including possible solutions that the community would be engaged with will ease those who feel nervous about the many changes San Antonio is proposing. I feel similarly for most of the reduction plans on page 34, a feel that extra piece of information would be helpful for	3/26/2019

Comment/Response	Date
the public to know A fourth suggestion would be would be considering making all of the government buildings in San Antonio to be sourced by renewable energy. As stated in the City of Austin Climate Action Plan on page 24 this is something Austin has already implemented. Not only will provide for effect energy consumption but also set an example for all other building owners in the community. This large goal would show every community member or tourist how serious the city of San Antonio is when it comes to the action, we will take against climate change. My last suggestion would be finding ways to inform the public about this plan. Luckily, I am a college student that shares an interest for environmental policy. So naturally though my Environmental Policy class I discovered this proposal. On the other hand, I know there must be thousands of people who have other various obligations that prevent them from being education on major environmental issues. Specifically thinking of the low-income members of San Antonio. At the same time I also believe if some of this information was shared with these people, and the amount of impact they could have by getting involved, the city of San Antonio would flourish with a tremendous amount of support for this plan. In conclusion while I do not believe the plan should be completely morbid, climate change and its effects are terrifying and should not be taking lightly. We must call attention that as humans we have injured the one place that can be called our home, and through this plan be sure that every single member of the community is aware of that. I believe this is a solid plan that simply needs minor adjustments in humanizing it, in order to reach every member of the community.	
I am grateful to the City Council for its June 2017 resolution supporting the Mayor's National Climate Action Agenda to meet the goals set forth in the Paris Climate Agreement. Although anthropogenic global warming (AGW, or simply, climate change) is, as the name says, a global issue, there is no single entity or small group of entities than can solve this global issue, rather it is thousands or millions of lesser entities - persons, families, non-governmental organizations, and city, county/district/regional and national governments - that must act, independently if they must, but in coordination whenever possible. The City of San Antonio's resolution commits to independent action informed by and supporting other cities' actions. As has been commented by Mayor Nierenberg and others, this is an aspirational document, that is, it neither prescribes nor proscribes actions, but rather points directions towards adapting to the climatic changes already locked in and to mitigating by reducing the emission of the causative agents of AGW, namely greenhouse gasses (GHG). Regulations, codes and ordinances will require enactment to give effect to these aspirations. One aspiration that I am very heartened to see is the focus on equity. I hope that as implementing actions are taken, equity is given its rightful place as a primary factor in these decisions. To meet the goal of a rise of average global temperature of less than 2 Celsius degrees, preferably 1.5 Celsius degrees, requires steep reductions in GHG emissions. Carbon dioxide from fossil fuels is most important quantitatively but methane, a fossil fuel among other sources, is quantitatively significant. The need for major reductions in carbon dioxide emission has been known at least since President Lyndon B. Johnson was briefed on the issue in 1964, 55 years ago. Michael Mann amplified this message in his Congressional testimony 30 years ago. Quite precise quantitative specification of the rate and extent of reduction needed to meet the 1.5 Celsius degree goal was given by the IPCC Special Report (SR5) published five months ago and referenced in the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP). The CAAP aspires to the 1.5 Celsius degree goal but utterly fails. SR5 specifies rapid and significant reduction in the early years, the 2020s, with net negative state by 2050. The CAAP, page 36, shows a linear decrease from 2016 to 2050. Note that global and USA carbon dioxide emission increased between 2016 and 2018, a trend that seems to be continuing into 2019. This requires even steeper reductions in emission that would have been otherwise necessary, if the goal is to be met. The CAAP's achieved aspiration is like aspiring to build a road from here to Austin, but only buying enough supplies to build to San Marcos. Adopting – and implementing - this CAAP does have the essentially useless advantage of delaying any given adverse outcome by perhaps a few years, but it doesn't get us to Austin. To fulfill the City Council's mandate to achieve the Paris Climate Agreement goal, the targets for GHG reduction must be brought into line with the specifications in SR5. As noted above, aspiration gets us nowhere unless implementation measures are enacted and enforced. Cost of implementation of the various aspects of the CAAP has been a major topic in various public forums, including the local newspaper. It must be admitted that costs of implementation of the various programs are indeed substantial. It is also natural that personal and direct up-front costs are psychologically more strongly felt than societal, indirect and future costs (and benefits). A, perhaps the, role of	3/26/2019

Comment/Response	Date
leadership is to evaluate and balance costs and benefits, typically immediate costs versus future benefits as is the case here. Cost-benefit analysis was not done. Instead, the up-front cost of implementation is presented in dollars, in broad bands, but the benefits, usually realized only in the future, are presented only in yes-no format. It would have been more useful to decisionmakers, and for communication to the general public, if the benefits were presented in monetized format. Even better, the ratio should be presented, which would show net benefit. (If a net cost, why would one propose, much less take, that action?) The cost now-benefit later idea generally does not intuitively sit well, but it is not an unusual concept in the public sphere: issue bonds today to build a school or water supply system which will benefit over decades. For honesty, the cost of the status quo must also be prominently included. To select a single (combined) example, Community Mitigation Strategies 9 and 10, page 38, reduce pollution from fossil-fueled internal combustion engines by removing them from, or reducing their use on, roads. Besides nitrogen oxides, carbon monoxide and smog precursors each of which has its own health effects, these engines emit particulates whose health effects include causation or exacerbation of asthma and other respiratory diseases, cardiovascular diseases including heart attacks, diabetes and, possibly, rheumatoid arthritis. These diseases cost both for medical treatment and loss of productivity (jobs!) from lost workdays, disability and premature death. The draft CAAP lists "air quality" and "health outcomes" as co-benefits, but how are decisionmakers, e.g., City Councilors voting on this document, to evaluate whether the air quality and health outcome benefits outweigh the implementation cost? Many more examples could be given. The severity of climate change and the duration of effects, which justify the mitigation and adaptation measures advocated in the CAAP, are poorly presented. "The Cost of Doing Nothing" section is all but buried, not appearing until page 47, and is separated from "Climate Projections: San Antonio's Changing Climate" on page 27. Pages 28 to 31 presents some of consequences, but less helpfully than it might have been. Specifically, the section "What does this mean for business" presents disruptions in the first section followed by opportunities. This psychologically sets up opposition first, thus drowning out the opportunities: the CAAP is set up to fail. The measures to achieve the goal of 1.5 Celsius degrees are scattered. There's a paragraph, the second paragraph of two, thus minimizing impact on the reader, on page 34, and the misleading graph on page 36 with accompanying table on page 37, with bits elsewhere. Even a careful reader can miss the point. The perhaps most critical point is relegated to the last sentence: "Limited GHG emission reductions will require more substantial economic investment to meet the same goal." That is, pay now or pay lots (lots!) more later. The kinetics, or time course, of climate change is poorly appreciated, even by the quite well-informed. A recent statement in San Antonio "The decisions that we make now in the next few decades will essentially determine the climate for the next ten- or twenty THOUSAND YEARS" (emphases mine) was made by Andrew Dessler, Professor of Atmospheric Science, Texas A&M (San Antonio Express-News, 25 March 2019, page A3). This conclusion is not new, but has been known with certainty for at least 50 years. Nowhere in the draft CAAP did I find an explicit statement of this. To be more localized, if we humanity do not reduce emissions substantially and very rapidly- and San Antonio must do its part, San Antonio will have difficulty celebrating its 400th birthday, virtually no chance of celebrating its 500th, and no chance for its 600th.	
SACR – Mitigation Strategy #20 (p.40), Appendix III, Theme #4 & 5 (p.70), Appendix IV, Implementation #20 (p.76)] Our previous studies have established San Antonio's UHI and that its intensity is increasing throughout 1946-2010 (1,2). In these papers, we give recommendations to improve the quality and relevance of SA's UHI studies including: 1) establishing a 2D-spatial grid of measurement stations across the city (e.g., CPS substations), 2) refining the temporal collection of temperature data from only maximum and minimum temperatures per day to hourly (or minute) resolution to enable real statistics of the data, 3) record relevant meteorological data concurrently (e.g., wind, rain, cloud cover, etc.), 4) record concurrent air quality indices (e.g., ozone, pollutants, particulates, etc.), and 5) combine with satellite imagery that records the surface UHI and Local Climate Zones. These improvements are straightforward and would allow meaningful statistical analyses for correlations with CPS power production and usage, transportation data, water usage, green spaces, impervious cover, population, and other GIS information. The ultimate goal would be to develop and apply an advanced model of the urban environment with the relevant physics and chemistry to interpret and understand these data, such as the Urban Environmental Model (2). This would be a valuable tool for urban planning, investigating health issues related to the UHI, and	3/26/2019

Comment/Response	Date
implementation of mitigation strategies. An EPO UHI plan would include teachers, students, and citizen scientists to assist in gathering and analyzing data, computer coding, and the development of inexpensive instruments, possible using Raspberry Pi computers. 1) Boice DC, Huebner WF, Garcia N. The urban heat island of San Antonio, Texas (USA). In: Caussade B, Power H, Brebbia CA, editors. Air Pollution IV: Monitoring, simulation and control. Southampton: WIT Press / Computational Mechanics Publications. pp. 649–656, 1996. 2) Boice DC, Garza ME, Holmes SE. The Urban Heat Island of San Antonio, Texas, from 1991 to 2010. Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International, 17(2): 1-13, 2018. DOI: 10.9734/JGEESI/2018/43367	
I really appreciate the overall theme of community throughout the SA Climate Ready Plan. As a resident, reading about how climate change can negatively impact other members of my community really puts these issues into perspective and helped me realize just how important it is to act on climate change now. I believe that other members of the community will connect to this as well. The quote on page 15, "Water should be free. I just want to stay cool," from the man who is experiencing homelessness and the quotes from the community resident were so important to include. If possible, I would add even more personal quotes like this one to help others understand how communities of color and low-income individuals are impacted by climate change and why this plan will make a difference in those communities. The Glossary and Methodology sections were also very helpful. Some of the topics addressed in the plan may not be very familiar to people reading so including these sections give a message of inclusion. I can tell that you want the community to be a part of this process and making the plan accessible to the public really invites them in to provide their own feedback and play a role in this massive step San Antonio is taking to make a positive change in our community and state.	3/26/2019
I would like to comment that San Antonio currently has a city ordinance that prohibits vehicles 14,000lbs or higher to idle their vehicles. My comment would be, why just limit it there, and not apply this ordinance to all vehicles or things that produce GHG's (transportation wise). It also seems as if the city as long as other organizations are doing more to limit GHG's on stationary objects rather than concentrate on the two biggest pollutants that San Antonio has. Have a wonderful day!	3/26/2019
This whole plan is a solution in search of a problem. There is absolutely no proof that man-made global warming exists: temperatures have varied considerably over the centuries without man as a factor. The feel-good language hides the ominous plans to take away everyday citizens' freedoms and substitute it with bureaucratic nightmares. Your department says it will not take away our cars but the language doesn't specify just how it is going to implement 100% carbon-free vehicles. I do NOT want the high-occupancy lanes prohibiting my driving my car, lanes that I paid for; nor the attempts at "traffic-calming" just to accommodate bicyclists in 100 degree temperatures. I don't like the high-density housing plans that remind me of tenement styles. None of the various sections specify just how this is all going to be implemented but the educated guess is, as shown by past government actions, is a heavy-handed force of taxation or other restrictions on our freedoms. The plan is bad overall; it should be rejected outright.	3/26/2019
On January 25, 2019, the City of San Antonio's Office of Sustainability released the SA Climate Ready: A Pathway for Climate Action & Adaptation Plan (CAAP). The AIA San Antonio (AIA SA) and the Committee on the Environment (COTE) were grateful to have been a part of such a progressive movement. We praise the actions the City of San Antonio has taken to reduce carbon emissions, as San Antonio was the first city in Texas to adopt the International Energy Conservation Code (IECC) standards in 2015 and now CAAP in 2019. CAAP was a tremendous effort, involving tremendous talent which covered a lot of territory from energy, transportation, water, waste and climate equity. We strongly urge Mayor Nirenberg and City Council to approve this Plan with the following considerations: The current CAAP draft is strong but does not express the sense of urgency and rigor that will be required to execute this plan. CoSA's CAAP should include a comprehensive timeline for stakeholders within the community to include: the general public, businesses, governmental and environmental agencies. Short-term action and adaptation goals should be broken down into one-year (2020), two-year (2021), and five-year goals (2024). Longterm action and adaptation goals should be more clearly defined to include 2030, 2040, and 2050. This will help the CoSA create the momentum needed and clearly identify the individual and community stakeholder efforts needed to accomplish the Plan's goals and avoid irreversible environmental damages. Also, there must be synthesis between CoSA's CAAP and CPS's Flex Plan. The success of both agencies and their respective Plans are interdependent. While CPS Energy has	3/26/2019

Comment/Response	Date
partnered with CoSA to develop CAAP, their direct actions to mitigate increasing carbon emissions are not explicit. There is not enough detail within CAAP to show to what degree CPS has agreed to increase their use of renewable energy and decrease dependency on fossil fuels and show how their Flex Plan will work in coordination with CAAP to meet the Co SA' s goals. We applaud the Plan's goal of Zero Net Energy for all new buildings and substantial renovations by 2030 to include municipal buildings by 2040. We also applaud the Plan's effort to expand the energy efficiency and green building programs with the goal of reducing city-wide annual building energy use (for existing buildings) 15 percent by 2030 and 40 percent by 2040. Moving forward, the AJA San Antonio and COTE urge the city officials to continue to support the Office of Sustainability surrounding Climate Action. The people of San Antonio, present and future generations, are depending on you for their health, safety, and welfare.	
We, the undersigned faculty and staff from the University of Texas at San Antonio, write in support of city's work on the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan. Absent major changes in how we produce and consume energy, the Southern Great Plains region, which includes Texas, will experience more frequent and intense droughts and heatwaves, sealevel rise, and billions of dollars in damage to coastal properties. If we hope to avert the worst impacts of global warming, we need to take big action now, and building a thorough and ambitious climate plan is a great place to start. We strongly support the goal of achieving citywide carbon neutrality, and urge the city to make the transition to 100 percent clean, renewable energy as fast as possible. We encourage the city to begin working towards the goal of 100% by starting with its major institutions, including the University of Texas at San Antonio (UTSA). As a hotspot of innovation and technical expertise, as well as a campus with a wealth of student support for clean energy, our university can lead by example and demonstrate the importance of climate action to communities across San Antonio. Moreover, according to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change's most recent report, "Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5°C", we need to drastically reduce our greenhouse gas emissions by the year 2030. If we hope to avoid a 1.5 degree temperature increase, and its accompanying severe and irreversible effects upon the Earth's climate, we need to take sweeping action, and do so quickly. This is why we recommend that the City of San Antonio revise its interim renewable energy goal to source 80% of CPS Energy's energy supply from clean, renewable energy sources by 2030. As leaders in academic excellence, we know that achieving landmark progress begins with setting ambitious goals. We need to challenge ourselves to do more on climate change, and deliver our citizens, especially our young people, with the future they deserve.	3/26/2019
Bottom line if you want to reduce climate issues the first thing to work on is to free the freeways and roadways from congestion, that is add more lanes for traffic, in the city of San Antonio. The city has been behind on correcting this for many years. It's one area the city is always been lagging behind in correcting, and the additional pollution caused by numerous cars, trucks, etc. idling doesn't help our citizens of this city. Secondly, the climate change people should be working at trying to fix the other areas of the world that are more pollutant responsible than the USA. The US is only 3% of the worlds pollution, our climate and environmental specialist should go to India, China, South America, and other areas of the world and effect changes in these areas. It doesn't do us any good to reduce when we are not the chief contributors. We should work towards improving the Ozone reducing technologies current in place. So, at this point I am against any city proposal which reduces my ability to travel freely, or costs me more money to live in my home.	3/27/2019
Training must be provided for staff and only trained staff will be allowed to manage/maintain public opens spaces especially environmentally sensitive areas;	3/27/2019
Not sure how effective education is. People may need to be "forced" by policy. I'm not familiar with natural ecosystems/reducing carbon impacts.	3/27/2019
Let's please do something about people sitting in idling cars with the AC on. It's ridiculous! Also, stores should be encouraged not to leave their doors open with AC blasting in the summer just to entice customers with cool air.	3/27/2019
More efficient public transportation	3/27/2019
There are plenty of options to run our world! Make it illegal for people to buy out more efficient ways!	3/27/2019
Hospital waste should be recycled i.e. surgical instrument "blue wraps", plastic saline bottles/bags, etc..	3/27/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Study Venus Project	3/27/2019
I hear from many of my students that they don't know what they can do to help prepare for climate change.	3/27/2019
Sustainability is vital in such a large growing city!	3/27/2019
Roof gardens & pocket gardens should be encouraged & incentivized. Farmers markets should be brought to areas lacking fresh food sources.	3/27/2019
See my comments above. We have too many other items to work on in this city, before we address this issue. The earth's climate changes year over year like the eb and flows of any eco-systems. It was recently reported the ice caps in Greenland were growing again.	3/27/2019
The City of San Antonio needs to lead by example. By training city staff to be leaders, the message will be more rapidly disseminated especially into under served populations.	3/27/2019
School lunch reform. Need more information on equity.	3/27/2019
Address food deserts	3/27/2019
Knowledge is power! Water and food is all we really have to survive. It's important to take care of our natural resources!	3/27/2019
TAKE CARE OF OUR PLANET	3/27/2019
Fix the problems don't adapt to them.	3/27/2019
I have a concern of future clean water service. KAFB closed water contamination has not been completely cleaned. I was on Restoration Advisory Board Community Representative.	3/27/2019
No new taxes!	3/27/2019
Health Equity for ALL Quality education equity for ALL	3/27/2019
1. Environmental protocols developed that focus not only on a reduction of emissions but also promotes and economically supports adaptation and mitigation strategies that result in multiple benefits. Such protocols will: a. Prevent the ability of a Council person (especially one up for re-election) to use their position to force city staff into immediate action on an issue which will have long term detrimental effects to the environment/ecology of San Antonio. b. Ensure City adoption of using green infrastructure and soil and vegetation management practices that improve ecological functioning of green spaces and waterways. Initiate a creek and river restoration program where property owners and the city are given carbon tax credits. 2) Codes UDC or COSA ordinances are reviewed to evaluate impact to resiliency; air and water quality. Modify where possible and amend where needed. Example: the provision that allows an apartment project to use their private club house and or swimming pool to meet the City's Park and Open Space requirements. This original ordinance was created to ensure sufficient green space per capita and to support mitigation of the negative impact from urban development. This is an example of an ordinance that was adopted for resiliency, but highjacked at a later date. 3. Staffing: a. Create a department with overview/authority to ensure protocols are implemented and followed. Require that each department fills a position with a staff person that has sufficient knowledge and skills to accomplish goals. b. TCI and Parks must hire staff with ecological and environmental knowledge even for urban parks and especially for the linear creek parks. Stormwater especially needs an ecologist/ geofluvial morphologist. c. Training must be provided for staff and only trained staff will be allowed to manage/maintain public opens spaces especially environmentally sensitive areas; Past examples to avoid in the future. 4. Each department calculates its carbon footprint (using the same model/calculations) and then sets goals for reduction within years 1, 3, 5 and 10. 5. Better use of volunteers to work in environmentally sensitive areas. All work in riparian areas is to be reviewed by an ecologist/fluvial geomorphologist including new development and TCI/Bexar County projects. Variances to floodplain and tree preservation ordinances must be reviewed with long term impact including air and water quality. 6. City projects are to be reviewed for their carbon footprint and impact to air and water quality before going to council for approval - part of the packet. 7. All new City Council persons are to be briefed on these protocols and issues.	3/27/2019
Food production and affordable organic produce is the best way to capture public interest in climate change since health and eating are aspects of sustainable living that people will most readily relate to.	3/27/2019
Why is SA not plastic bag free?	3/27/2019
1604 and 37 South. Lamb Rd. Compost Plant!!	3/27/2019
We are a girl scout family	3/27/2019
We need more recycling bins/containers at most city locations (business, stores, HEB, etc.)	3/27/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Focus less on air quality and more on company energy use. Water use by large companies and gold courses.	3/27/2019
I walk for exercise at work and the adaptation part of the plan should include an anti idling campaign. Large numbers of people sit in their cars for the lunch hour with the engine running. If they could be convinced to shut off the engine, or if weather were inclimate, if businesses could provide conditioned spaces for employee breaks, this could reduce a lot of auto emissions.	3/27/2019
Add as strategy: Stop unsustainable population growth in and around San Antonio. We cannot afford to grow by 1 million when people and their housing, commuting, and workplace requirements cause so much of the Climate Change problem we face. As best I can tell, the importance of stopping population growth as a strategy to mitigate and control effects of Climate Change was not even considered in the CAAP. We cannot just assume San Antonio and its surrounding area will somehow add 1 million people (or more) over the next few years without effect. Therefore, the CAAP should address specific steps to limit population growth which, if left unchecked, will make the adverse effects of Climate Change much worse than would otherwise be the case.	3/27/2019
The board of directors of the Alamo Asian American Chamber of Commerce (Alamo AACC) have recently kept abreast of the impending proposal of the city's Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP). We are grateful of the city's best intentions to create a safe environment for our people, young and old. It is truly investing in the wellness of our children, grandchildren and future generations to come. It is our opinion that the processes displayed in the CAAP proposal appear flawed. The timeline stipulated for implementation maybe rushed and will cost our constituents billions of dollars in the near term. There must be considerable steps in carving out the true nature of the plan without compromising taxpayers dollars. We are a city that maintains high bond rating because we always make thoughtful decisions in implementing projects and initiatives. Alamo AACC, as an organization, stands firm on our proposal to postpone finalization and approval of the CAAP until further detailed review. The stakes are high and there is considerable amount of money that will be spent in its implementation. San Antonio deserves the right plan.	3/27/2019
(On post about SACR delay for fall) The CAAP would have made San Antonio carbon neutral by 2050. Not aggressive roles but a start.	3/27/2019
Delay delay DELAY!! SA people need to pay attention as to who they vote for!! Please KNOW who your candidates stand on this issue!	3/27/2019
I am thrilled that our city has taken the lead in Texas to combat the dangers posed by climate change. Just because you don't see it now doesn't mean it is not in our future. The sea levels are rising which poses a great threat to the Gulf Coast!	3/28/2019
Thank you city of SA including mayor and city council for having the foresight to handle the changes which are coming!	3/28/2019
But we shall see if this is an attempt at greenwashing and watering down the plan even further, the community coalition goals that need to be in the plan are No Coal Plants by 2025, No electricity generated by fossil fuels by 2030 and Net Zero by 2040. These are good reasons to delay, appeasing the corporate 1% of San Antonio is not a good reason to delay.	3/28/2019
I blame Mayor Nirenberg and the rest of Council for letting a minority of members derail the adoption of the plan. The vote shouldn't ever have been delayed the first time. That just bolstered the opposition, which won't ultimately support the plan no matter what because climate action threatens the oil gas industry.	3/28/2019
The Climate is ALWAYS changing!!! This is a ridiculous waste of money.	3/29/2019
There will be hesitance or resistance, but we need to be moving toward sustainability.	3/29/2019
Warning: When I say clean engergy I include nuclear power in the equation. Without it there will not be a reverse of the addition of carbon dioxide to the atmosphere. There certainly won't be any active sequestration of carbon dioxide except perhaps through increasing the biological life in the soils in agriculture and horticulture.	3/29/2019
This is being used as a TAX INCREASE apparatus to up our taxes for the benefit of the few, not the many. With the world ending in 12 years as they say, we shouldn't waste our money.	3/29/2019
When scientists can better predict weather patterns before they happen (not after), then I'll be all in for spending more money on "preparing" for climate change. To date, their evidence is far too suspect and inconsistent. Their data seems to change like that which it measures (weather), but their conclusions never do.	3/29/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Stating that you do not want combustion engines when Valero, NuStar, Eagle Ford Shale and other oil and gas companies provide so many jobs to our community is offensive.... I agree that exploring alternative forms of energy is a great idea but the average citizen cannot afford a Tesla or even some of the hybrid autos. The batteries cause pollution and expenses of their own that would need to be addressed. If people are promoting solar that is fine but don't hide the ugly side of it - the birds are sacrificed plus I wonder if the heat that is present in the solar panels also creates hot spots in our communities. I think wind is great but there is also an issue with the birds. Don't forget that the birds take care of the insect problems.... and if we do promote wind then quit purchasing them from Europe. Why don't we investigate the tides producing energy? I believe that is being researched in northern Europe. No source of energy is perfect.... but don't screw up what we have and kill the economy. IF you like the government doing everything for you then go to Cuba and Venezuela.	3/29/2019
I mentioned above the adoption of nuclear power (recognizing all its risks) and sustainable agricultural policies to allow microbes to sequester carbon in the soil. Nuclear power in addition to renewable sources of power are the only possible path to actual mitigation of atmospheric carbon. We are not getting research/studies to give us actual numbers giving us some basis for setting achievable goals. The body politic must be brought along on this adventure. Without its consent carbon is going to continue to accumulate. The sacrifices to our economy and even our personal comfort and welfare must be made clear as we go along. I'm 77 years old, so "Bon Voyage".	3/29/2019
There are so many more important and helpful things that you can spend time and taxpayers dollars for.	3/29/2019
This reads more like a political diatribe than a survey. Flooding and extreme heat have been part of life here long before global warming became fashionable. Unrestrained growth and urban sprawl have caused more flooding than global warming. It would have been better to ask about priorities without adding "due to climate change" Portrays the bias of the proponents of this survey.	3/29/2019
Man made climate change is a hoax, money grab and population control mechanism. I am for reducing pollution, but do not support anything that is under the guise of 'climate change'. The earth has always gone through cyclic changes and there is nothing that we can do to stop that.	3/29/2019
Our district position on green issues does not represent many of us. As an Engineer I am amazed at the ignorance reflected by your publications. Of course there is climate change. That is why we are not covered by glaciers. Man contributions is negligible. Just do the math. Volume of the atmosphere compared to pounds of CO2 emitted annually. Why join the herd of lemmings that do not pursue the facts but listen to people with an agenda that is selfish driven. We do not need to throw our selves over a cliff. It's Political Sir.	3/29/2019
Thank you for the time and effort you have put into preparing the draft SA Climate Ready plan. The San Antonio 2030 District is a non-profit initiative comprised of businesses and property owners who are voluntarily implementing measures to mitigate the effects of climate change at the local level, so we clearly have an alignment of goals and priorities with SA Climate Ready. In light of the extended timeline for revision ·and public comment, we would like to offer our assistance in developing the update on the section for the business case for action. The San Antonio 2030 District is proud to be listed as a partner agency on page 39 of the plan to continue to support and expand the energy efficiency and green building programs functioning within the City. We look forward to working with you to help implemerrt the plan. A draft copy of the SA Climate Ready plan is enclosed, with notes highlighting the measures that our group can support - either directly through implementation, or indirectly through education and advocacy. Here are a few highlights: • Benchmarking- Our members voluntarily benchmark energy and water use. • Zero Net Energy Building Code - Our goal is for new buildings to be carbon neutral by 2030. • Business Incentives / Financing - Our programs feature case studies of local businesses demonstrating the economic case for sustainability. • Transportation Emissions - With support from Alamo Area MPO, VIA Metropolitan Transit, and other local organizations, we are developing a regional baseline and benchmarking methodology to track CO2 emissions from transportation related to buildings in the district. • Water Conservation - With support from the San Antonio Water System (SAWS), we are developing a regional baseline and benchmarking methodology to track water consumption in member buildings.	3/29/2019

Comment/Response	Date
• Land Use - Our members are voluntarily implementing · best management practices for Low Impact Development (LID) in San Antonio's downtown core. • District-Scale Clean Energy Projects - Our small geographical boundary is conducive to the potential for member participation in future district-scale energy projects, such as expanding the Hemisfair chilled water loop, or community solar projects. The San Antonio 2030 District should be held up as a community model of voluntarily working towards the SA Climate Ready goals and measures. We would like the plan to restate or incorporate the SA Tomorrow goql, (NRES A 17) to "expand SA2030 District beyond downtown." With the city's help and support we would like to grow membership in the downtown district, but also someday spawn satellite 2030 Districts in some of the activity centers identified in SA Tomorrow, for example, the Medical Center. You may also consider the following comments: • On page 8, in the list of achievements under the paragraph that begins "with a goal of carbon neutrality by 2050, the city has already achieved ... " Consider adding a bullet point for the "Establishment of the San Antonio 2030 District, a non-profit initiative COD7prised of businesses and property owners in the urban core who are voluntarily implementing measures to mitigate the effects of climate change." • On page 3, in the Opportunities section, under the heading "Increased Profitability, Reduced Costs, and Efficiency," consider mentioning that participating building owners in the Son Antonio 2030 District achieved a 19 .3% aggregate reduction in Energy Use Intensity (EUI) in 2017, resulting in significant cost savings. • On page 31, in sidebar titled "How Businesses are Getting Involved," consider mentioning "2030 Districts: (http://www.2030districts.org/) are organizations led by the private sector, with local building industry leaders uniting around a shared vision for sustainability and economic growth - while aligning with local community groups and government to achieve significant energy, water, and emissions reductions within our commercial cores. San Antonio was the 11th city in North America to establish a 2030 District." • On page 37, if the straight-line approach will not be enough to limit global temperature increase to 1 .5°C, then perhaps the minimum goal should be more aggressive. • On page 36, it may be more informative to include the more granular, short term curve graph to demonstrate that time is of the essence. The City of San Antonio has been an active member in good standing with the district since 2016, and we appreciate your support of our mission. CPS Energy and SAWS are also members in good standing, and, along with the city, have representatives serving on our board, as well as participating in our committees and technical working groups. The San Antonio 2030 District Board of Governors unanimously advocates for the adoption of SA Climate Ready by city leadership. A signature page is attached to demonstrate the support of our membership. We look forward to expanding the 2030 District concept to help implement the objectives of the SA Climate Ready plan. Please feel free to call our executive director, Elizabeth Kertesz, at (21 OJ 303-1107, or email elizabethkertesz@2030districts.org if you have any questions.	
Enforcement of no idling - parents/guardians wait for an hour plus outside schools to pick up their little ones all while running their car the entire time! Having a policy to stop this would be impactful.	3/30/2019
Texas already leads the way in wind power. Let's continue that trend.	3/30/2019
Climate change in my opinion is nothing more than a big lie and used as a power grab by socialists.	3/30/2019
The earth is not dying. We do not have an environmental emergency. There is no energy crisis. If alternate energy is affordable, I support moving to it, but only if it is less expensive than fossil fuel. I do not support spending tax dollars on a unproven ideology.	3/30/2019
Quick win with low hanging fruit. Enforce current emission standards and cite those (public citizens) who operate vehicles that's are clearly non smog compliant, smoking emiting visual pollutants, enforce more recycling from homes and businesses. We DO NOT add more TAX PAYER money to pay for new programs,contracts or systems when we can not manage what we all ready have.	3/30/2019
Enforce the existing residential and building codes will go a long way to enabling citizens to promote a cleaner environment.	3/30/2019
There is a lot more of us in this area who disagree with the whole premise of climate change... other than just the normal cycles.	3/30/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Let private citizens express their views with the own money and effort. Public tax dollars must be spent on proven, reliable activities.	3/30/2019
Again, let's get back to basics and enforce what we already have. What I would like to see is an enforcement of current federal, state and city laws and regulations and for city council to stop making closed decisions about our future.	3/30/2019
The plan is a joke	3/30/2019
Pages 13-19 specifically reference historical racism. I am opposed to spend tax dollars to correct racism done by politicians years ago. If you feel the need to punish someone, punish the heirs of those politicians that acted badly. Government sponsored racial policies and discrimination are illegal, and has been illegal for 60 years. No effort should be made to correct racism in the past. No one alive has ever been a slave or slave-owner. The Plan says this is the heart of the entire plan! Then I question the motives of the authors.	3/30/2019
I think this entire focuse is out of touch with what San Antonio really needs. We need a city council that will help make the city stronger, improvement the current infrastructure (not sure if any of you have been on Stone Oak Blvd around 4-5 pm on a weekday. If you want to impact the environment let stop packing so many people in one area (stop allowing developers to over crowd a development).	3/30/2019
I saw no real cost to the CAAP other than some $ symbols that are vague. Let's watch how other cities implement their plans and evaluate their expenses then apply their best practices.	3/30/2019
Carbon free energy Article about meeting on facebook-- [They called on CPS Energy to retire its coal-fired power plants by 2025 and its natural-gas-powered plant by 2030, well ahead of an expected 2040 closure.] SA should seek to realistically green the city where and when it can be easily and affordably for all. Just doing that will help tremendously. I caution going "overboard". Example---Where will the main power for EVs come from?? The truth is that it will still be from coal and gas plants for a few more decades. Wind and solar can power the few EVs on the road now but as the masses start buying them in 2yrs the power needs will turn to coal/gas once more to meet demand. So dont cripple the city growth and economy based on unreachable goals. Cutting any major city off fossil fuels sounds great but its not reality just yet or even in 10/15 yrs. Thus, SA must accept this reality and change where it can…ie more public transportation, more EV rebates, more water reduction, more trees etc. Every initiative adds up to counter balance the massive need for energy. I propose at least two WTE plants for the city that can capture energy and reduce waste. Texas needs them and if SA wants to lead and do right for the city that is the way other than nuclear to get off some coal.	3/30/2019
The goals are great but reality is not. Getting off coal is a great goal. However, clean coal is not as horrible as its predecessor . And not using Natural gas is not wise. It is cleaner/ better than any coal. It can produce hydrogen as well. Our vast energy needs in the future will have to turn to natural gas and nuclear, WTE, along with solar and wind. We are not there to only use wind and solar to meet our needs. An EV is superb but when there are millions on the road---natural gas, coal, etc will have to be used to power them. However, the smog in cities will be reduced by EV use so it does balance the equation. Besides, our cities and governments as this plan are all mostly going after the wrong culprits and then trying to claim a "win" with their plans. No matter what our major cities do these two matters still dwarf the changes. https://medium.com/.../heres-how-much-pollution-shipping...one container ship produces the carbon of 50m cars.	3/30/2019
In my opinion, education should focus on the negative health effects of carbon dioxide and how we can reduce emissions through more efficient transportation. Try incentivizing mass transit.	3/31/2019
Promote community gardens. Be aware of needs of elderly, homeless, mentally ill, disabled.	3/31/2019
The most important thing to me is making sure it is easy for people to recycle and reuse so we don't have so much waste. Controlling pollution is important to me as well. I have a hard time believing in climate change and the world catching on fire in 12 years. There is no evidence of this, just politics as usual.	3/31/2019
Extreme heat: utilize cooling centers, make sure elderly have transportation. Implement neighbor checking on neighbor program.	3/31/2019
I would appreciate you including the following comments on the City of San Antonio's Climate Action and Adaptation Plan in the public record and allowing them to help shape the finalized plan. As one who has read about and observed climate change for several decades, I am very disturbed that in 2019 there are still climate change deniers who cannot accept the reality of that	3/31/2019

Comment/Response	Date
largely-human-caused phenomenon. I attended the League of Women Voters meeting on March 30, 2019, at the Brook Hollow Library and the presence of two such deniers reminded me that we Americans have a long way to go when it comes to informed thinking. I applaud the City for taking the initiative to develop a climate plan. While I have not read the plan I have read a synopsis and have read about the plan, as well as attending various meetings about it. Climate change must be addressed without further ado. Decades in the making, we cannot afford to delay efforts to combat it. The longer we wait, the more expensive the remedies become, and the more human and other victims it claims. I do not find fault with the language about racism (which itself I have not read - only had quoted to me) but it can I'm sure be reconfigured so as not to offend. As far as cost for climate-change remedies is concerned, the longer we wait, the higher the cost. And precise figures I'm sure are not readily available at this time for the multitude of solutions that have been and will be proposed. I highly endorse adding a climate change component to school curriculam, which is something I assumed had been done decades ago. I ask that City personnel keep abreast of recent developments in technology and science which would help deal with climate change. For example, the use of hydrogen fuel-cell vehicles in the City's, CPS's, and SAWS's fleets, and rebates for fuel-cell vehicles for the public. The aluminum air battery, solar catalyst technology, and other promising modalities should be investigated for energy storage. The City and CPS should also investigate decoupling as a means of preserving needed City revenues and saving energy. The City Manager and Council people should be more involved in and practice greater oversight of CPS and SAWS actions. The City should by no means consider nuclear energy to be a solution to climate change. I have studied that modality longer than I have climate change. Nuclear power is a dangerous and expensive way to generate energy, especially when decommissioning costs are factored in. Baby-teeth and other studies have shown that children living near nuclear generators have ingested radioactive materials and been affected by them. And radioactivity, routinely emitted by nuclear plants, are not a good substitute for dirty air. The City should also not fear to call upon over-indulged citizens to make small sacrifices for the greater good: Not using heat or air conditioning during shoulder seasons, using water more wisely, driving more efficient cars more efficiently, not idling a car for more than 1-2 minutes, turning on lights only when needed and turning them off in rooms not occupied, etc., etc. To paraphrase John F. Kennedy, "Ask not what your planet can do for you; ask what you can do for your planet."	
Please adapt this ADAPTATION PLAN! Go out there and save the children and the real "stakeholders"	4/1/2019
Cmon Ron, you need to lead in this unifying issue!	4/1/2019
It is important, through compatible and affordable development practices to help maintain neighborhood resiliency that exists in communities now and to help create it in the future.	4/1/2019
Many of these are interesting ideas and I think there is potential to do some good here. I am very concerned however about the cost of implementation on local business and residents. In particular those items that are a "greater than $1 billion investment through 2030." This is a very open-ended metric and a ceiling or cap on investment should be included. While "going green" is a popular public sentiment, if the price of doing so is anticipated to be too high, existing businesses may choose to relocate outside of the City to save costs. This could end up in taking away good local jobs. For the planning purposes of business, more specific expected costs should be made available. In implementing this Plan, it is important that it be done in an equal way throughout the City. Specific information on anticipated energy, water, and tax rate hikes to pay for these programs should be made available to the public prior to voting on this Plan. Any tax or energy, or water rate hikes should be equally implemented across all customers. Businesses and residents in middle class and affluent areas of town should not be expected to pay higher rates subsidize the less affluent areas in order to fund projects.	4/1/2019
plant trees	4/2/2019
no additional services are necessary.	4/2/2019
So far the survey has been poorly crafted. Boldfaced items don't match normal text. Truth is mixed in with fiction.	4/2/2019
Vote against it vote against it	4/2/2019
no additional services or plans are necessary.	4/2/2019
Again, too much truth mixed with fiction. Also government should not be part of the solution. People and businesses.	4/2/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Plant trees that are community friendly. Avoid oak trees.	4/2/2019
Stop expansion of city government. focus on core services and lowering taxes for citizens.	4/2/2019
Climate change plans have nothing to do with climate change. What kind of snake oil are you selling. I believe in recycling, sustainable design (as an Architect, I endorse LEED standards), clean water, clean air, but the "climate change" movement only uses those topics to gain some small credibility...mix a little fact with the fiction. If you think you or mankind can control the climate or environment, you have a more serious problem that needs to be dealt with, not weather. Also, I studied how to construct surveys in Business School (UTSA) and this one is poorly done. Too much bias is built in to your questions. Gender is incorrect. What you are fishing for is "sex" and there are only two choices. PC has no place in a survey searching for true answers.	4/2/2019
I feel the education portion would make a huge difference. Something family friendly like the cards.	4/2/2019
Let's do away with motorized vehicles, especially buses!	4/2/2019
Better, nicer, cleaner, faster	4/2/2019
Vote against it or I will vote against you	4/2/2019
Please find below a link to a recent article in Principia-Scientific regarding the climate change agenda. It's been scientific articles like this one, written by qualified professionals and providing public-access statistics, charts and facts, that's convinced me that trying to effect actual climate control through human efforts driven by politically correct legislation is a fool's errand. The proposed San Antonio CAAP program is a noble endeavor, and I support its practical, achievable and cost-effective goals, but not its aspirations for dealing with "climate change" as expressed in the Rivard Report: human-caused carbon-based emissions are not what's determining global temperature fluctuations, the sun is; by all means, let's rid San Antonio of pollution in our air and water, but CO2 is not a pollutant, it is necessary for plant life, thus human life, on earth. Sun spots are what drive the releasing of CO2 captured in our oceans into the atmosphere; human activity has no affect on the sun's activity. CO2 comprises just 0.04%, or four hundredths of a percent, of our atmosphere; the human-derived percentage of that percentage is 10%, which is 0.004%, or four thousandths of a percent!; to believe that the human-derived percentage of CO2 in the atmosphere is driving climate change is beyond risible. Don't squander taxpayer dollars on programs that can never achieve their stated goals.	4/2/2019
All great ideals I would want to know cost prior to deciding how strongly I would support each item	4/3/2019
Reduce footprint from buildings should be atop priority for the city.	4/3/2019
Again what is the plan and what does it cost?	4/3/2019
The two most critical aspects of the plan are reducing energy use in our buildings and reducing carbon in our transportation. Our ability to truly adapt to a changing climate rests on these two things. Choosing to build more compactly and with a greater emphasis on net-zero and net-positive building designs will ensure we can still grow our population in the future without relying so heavily on paving over the hinterlands. Additionally, being serious about mass transit and walkability will get more people out of their cars. Arguing about what has already been proven to be effective is a waste of precious time.	4/3/2019
I really hope SA Climate ready passes so that our children and their children have a safe city to live in!	4/3/2019
Climate change is a fake game they want to play to make everyone go under the new world order... there is no climate change...get over it...	4/3/2019
Employ several strategies to educate citizens, including public meetings, emails, and mailings. Many work two jobs and have limited time.	4/4/2019
Creating a circular economy by creating a way for industries to work together and having the city committed to buying cradle to cradle certified products would help.	4/4/2019
Community gardens and gardening workshops so people can grow their own food. Vulnerable community members incluse homeless, elderly and vey young. Utilize cooling centers for them during extreme heat. Implement a neighbor checking on neighbor program.	4/4/2019
The implementation date needs to be moved up. 2050 is too late with Climate Change staring us in the face. According to the Green New Deal proposed in the US Congress, we have a little more than 1 year to cut carbon in the atmosphere in half.	4/4/2019
One easy and low cost way to reduce carbon emissions and provide a host of many other benefits is by building a connected, safe bike network with protected bike lanes. We also need more	4/4/2019

Comment/Response	Date
sidewalks. This is a big bang for the buck and increases the well being of citizens!	
Dear Mayor Nirenberg: The South Texas Energy & Economic Roundtable (STEER) is a non-profit corporation representing the oil and natural gas industry in the South Texas region known as the Eagle Ford Shale. The membership of STEER produces in excess of 80 percent of the Eagle Ford's crude oil and natural gas. In fiscal year 2018, the oil and natural gas industry supported more than 348,000 direct jobs and paid just over $14 billion in state and local taxes and state royalties, funding our municipalities, state's schools, roads and first responders. The Eagle Ford Shale alone has contributed well over $300 billion in economic impact to the South Texas region, traditionally the poorest region with the highest unemployment and worst access to Healthcare prior to this energy renaissance (https://ccbr.iedtexas.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/06/efs-report-19-june-2017.pdf). We are writing to respectfully express concern with the City of San Antonio's draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan, which includes mitigation strategies that will threaten reliable, affordable energy, increase transportation costs, and put San Antonio's residents and economy at risk. Our members agree that working toward climate progress is a global responsibility. Oil and natural gas companies are investing heavily in new technology that will continue to make a difference in reducing air emissions and protecting the environment. In fact, the U.S. oil and natural gas industry is the leading investor in zero- and low-carbon technology, investing more than $301.5 billion in greenhouse gas mitigating technologies between 2000-2016. That's more than double the investments by other private sector industries and the federal government, combined. Oil and natural gas companies' investments in innovation have resulted in a 14 percent reduction in methane emissions from oil and natural gas systems since 1990. Domestic natural gas production increased by 50 percent during the same period. Natural gas, now America's leading source of electricity generation, is credited with driving U.S. carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions to their lowest levels since 1992. The U.S. Global Change Research Program reports that North American CO2 emissions from fossil fuel combustion have declined on average by 1 percent per year over the last decade, "largely because of reduced reliance on coal, greater use of natural gas, and increased vehicle fuel efficiency standards." These environmental achievements are proof that we don't have to sacrifice economic growth to protect the environment. We can and will continue to do both. We have significant concerns with the estimates in the draft plan that suggest mitigation strategies could cost more than $14 billion between now and 2030. That total is a conservative estimate based on limited information contained in the plan, and city officials have yet to share their calculation of the true cost over the next 11 years. Without a clear understanding of implementation costs, there is substantial risk that families will face higher prices for electricity, housing and transportation, and local businesses, who provide high quality jobs for San Antonio families, will have a harder time competing in the future. From Germany to Georgetown, Texas, we have seen the negative impact of hasty shifts toward renewable energy sources. In both places, residents are struggling with electricity bills that have skyrocketed. Germany has seen a 51% increase in electricity costs since switching to solar and wind energy, and Georgetown residents will see an average increase of $153 in their electricity bill this year. Other areas that have switched to an all renewable energy plan include California, whose move to solar increased electricity costs by 24% from 2011 to 2017, and Denmark where the reliance on wind energy has forced electricity costs to go up 100% since 1995. While it is unclear what costs will be shouldered by San Antonio families, businesses and organizations by making the changes required by the plan, what we do know is that increased domestic production of natural gas has brought the price of energy for the average U.S. household down by almost 15% in the last decade. Furthermore, renewable energy sources are unreliable. Not only can solar and wind not fully meet the capacity of American energy needs, but these alternative energies can only deliver when the sun is shining or the wind is blowing. While the City's plan is being cast as a long-term plan, half of the mitigation strategies are slated to begin by 2021. On top of exorbitant electricity costs, imagine how San Antonio residents would react with a new mandate to replace their vehicle with an expensive electrical vehicle that requires a costly home charging station. Under the draft plan, we wonder too what will come of the city's future transportation plan, Connect SA, which would include dedicated lanes for LNG-powered mass transportation. This type of incongruent government planning ends up wasting taxpayer dollars and hits families in the pocketbook. It's a signal that the city should step back and evaluate its climate plan with objectivity. We are encouraged that engaging with the local business community appears to be a priority for the City in further development of its plan. We welcome the opportunity to be part of the process to ensure that the City's plan is based on facts,	4/4/2019

Comment/Response	Date
includes concrete cost estimates and funding mechanisms, and is clear-eyed about the economic impact for San Antonio residents and its employers. Sincerely, Christopher P. Ashcraft President, South Texas Energy & Economic Roundtable CC: Councilman Roberto C. Treviño Councilman Art A. Hall Councilwoman Rebecca J. Viagran Councilman Rey Saldaña Councilwoman Shirley Gonzales Councilman Greg Brockhouse Councilwoman Ana Sandoval Councilman Manny Pelaez Councilman John Courage Councilman Clayton Perry	
It is hard to figure out what to do about recycling since single-stream is a problem. And the US cannot send recyclables to China anymore.	4/5/2019
Global warming is endangering human civilization. Preparation for coming challenges is imperative to the survival of our citizens. This is an international emergency. We must act if our city is to survive.	4/5/2019
Wish I could give a 10 to "Enhance Emergency...Preparedness. I'll bet we are going to get another bad flood.	4/5/2019
The changes brought on by climate change will be cataclysmic. We need to prepare for emergency conditions .	4/5/2019
My problem is that I am a 72-year old shut-in. I can't do much to help... This plan is very well thought out; it covers all the most important areas of climate issues.	4/5/2019
Climate change is a scientifically proven reality and will bring about disastrous situations to communities who do not prepare. We must take action now to prepare for the significant changes to the environment and weather as a result of these changes.	4/5/2019
I have taken the survey in the past. I wish to address this comment to the need to educate the public about Climate Change. This will be a challenge with so many families working 2 or 3 jobs to get by. This is a new suggestion. The city needs to enlist the aid of TV weather forecasters. They can provide information and make public service announcements.	4/5/2019
I believe it is our sworn duty as Americans and humans of earth, to protect our environment , and educate everyone about climate change and the toll everyday life can take on the planet.	4/6/2019
As far as recycling goes I think it should be a law requirement for every public place to have recycle bins. It's a shame to see so much trash on the ground of our beautiful city just because many public places do not have access to recycle bins. It would create more jobs if people had to sort and recycle more plastics coming in.	4/6/2019
Its an overall great plan for the city but the city plan draft does not call for a permanent SA Council charged with a voice and support along with teeth that steadily seeks more national, state, and private climate changes plus more change for the World. It is alluded to… but no metrics/definitions/actions are involved----equals no true plan to State, national, or world climate matters. So we are still in trouble. Texas is way behind other states and the U.S. is way behind other countries even with the most basic initiatives. Our cities and governments are all mostly going after the wrong major culprits and then trying to claim a "win" with their plans. No matter what our major cities do these two matters (containers and freight trucks) still dwarf the changes and still cause harm. https://medium.com/@victoria27/heres-how-much-pollution-shipping-containers-and-freight-trucks-cause-b358cb034c70?fbclid=IwAR30uXfXgnMbm6wKT0thiGHH7XIbnDw84mxJ6RxbO3YdWroHPxYzB2eCOxs The plan does not state that a robust council will be formed for more concrete action as citizens of SA do their good deeds. If not stated and projected to be measured--not done or will fall by wayside. I remind you that these projected austerity measures have caused difficulty in Europe when they look and see that no one else is doing their part.	4/6/2019
Access to clean, cheap water in the future is a HUGE part of climate change for any city. I do not readily see it in this draft with accompanying actions and metrics for SA. I repeat once again access to clean, cheap water in the future is a HUGE part of climate change for any city especially one that plans to take another million citizens. Yet, no mention of rain reservoirs to store water, desalination plants, more nature vegetation around city…etc.. Water is vital to life more so than actions to decrease heat. https://www.expressnews.com/news/local/article/Report-Edwards-Aquifer-at-greater-risk-with-13454739.php	4/6/2019
Cutting any major city off fossil fuels sounds great but its not reality just yet or even in 10/15 yrs or even by 2050. Thus, SA must accept this reality and change where it can…ie more public	4/6/2019

Comment/Response	Date
transportation, more EV rebates, more water reduction, more trees, bust up concrete etc. I do accept the need for a strong push and demand to get off coal----- but it is not reality. Every initiative adds up but falls short to counter balance the massive need for energy which increases every year for the U.S. I propose at least two WTE plants for the city that can capture energy plus reduce waste to help meet other metrics. Texas needs them and if SA wants to lead and do right for the city that is the way to go. SA should also develop a council within this plan to push for Texas/U.S. to have more nuclear plants to help get off some coal. Molten salt reactors are safe. Otherwise, we still are going to use coal and tons of it. Thus, your plan is already flawed and unrealistic for zero carbon if no technology disruption happens during the next 30 years. A plan wording that states a "steady carbon reduction" of given amounts that are based on feasible data and revised studies q 5 years is more accurate.	
Maybe its implied--- but I do not see metrics and actions for true smog reduction. Its true that EVs will help but what about the smog already present--do we wish it away or use machines to capture it like china does? It may be just a technicality from me--- but then all government action is based upon such. https://www.mysanantonio.com/opinion/editorials/article/Smog-finally-catches-up-to-San-Antonio-13153268.php https://www.fastcompany.com/40421177/this-giant-smog-vacuum-cleaner-in-china-actually-works	4/6/2019
We need to eliminate plastics as much as possible, especially single use.	4/8/2019
I do not buy into man made climate change as much as many, but do think we can do a better job at reducing waste and pollution.	4/8/2019
Please include how we will eliminate or minimize use of plastics and how we will maintain integrity of our drinking water supply.	4/8/2019
I have studied the issue and have found that the best way to lower our dependence on fossil fuels is to go to nuclear power, but first we must educate the public on how it is much safer than the public believes it to be. They have made huge improvements over the last 50 years. Secondly, despite what Sandoval says, science shows that climate change is a natural cycle and limiting carbon emission will not stop climate change - it will be a waste of resources.	4/9/2019
Do you know the cost of these implementations?	4/9/2019
The Earth has been changing for 2 billion years. it will always be changing. silly humans	4/9/2019
The statement that minorities and poor people are more vulnerable to climate change than others is just more political "hay making"	4/9/2019
Do you know the cost of these implementations?	4/9/2019
You cant stop change. too many factors involved. about every 100000 years the earth goes into an ice age. we are about 98000 years from the last one. prepare for that.	4/9/2019
These all are very generic ideas that sound like a great idea unless you attach the cost of such actions.	4/9/2019
We briefly spoke last Saturday at the March for Science rally in SA. I wanted to provide some quick feedback on a small part of the CAAP related to "CO2e" ... On page 13 it says: "Standard GHG accounting methodologies generally track six key GHGs: CO2, CH4, N2O, PFCs, HFCs, and SF6. These GHGs differ in their ability to absorb energy and the amount of time they will remain in the atmosphere, so they are all converted to a common unit for comparison: carbon dioxide equivalent (CO2e) which is generally called the Global Warming Potential (GWP). The larger the GWP of a GHG, the higher its contribution to global warming." This is not strictly correct. Neither is CO2e equivalent to the GWP, nor is a GHG's contribution to warming only a function of its GWP. GWP is calculated from the residence time of a trace gas in the atmosphere and its IR absorptivity. It is listed as the time-integrated (radiative forcing) effect of one kilogram of the gas over time relative to the effect of one kilogram of carbon dioxide emitted at the same time. So a better description would be: "Standard GHG accounting methodologies generally	4/9/2019

Comment/Response	Date
track six key GHGs: CO2, CH4, N2O, PFCs, HFCs, and SF6. These GHGs differ in their ability to absorb energy and the amount of time they will remain in the atmosphere, so they are all converted to a common unit for comparison: carbon dioxide equivalent (CO2e) which is calculated using the GHG's Global Warming Potential (GWP). The larger the GWP of a GHG, the higher its relative contribution to global warming as compared to the same amount of carbon dioxide." Further below its says: "Using the 20-yr GWP significantly increases the emissions from solid waste, driven by the high proportion of methane contributing to this emissions category." That should obviously (since actual emissions are not affected) be changed to: "Using the 20-yr GWP significantly increases the relative contributions (CO2e) from solid waste, driven by the high proportion of methane contributing to this emissions category." Lastly, I suggest to modify the GWP definition on page 59 slightly: "Global Warming Potentials (GWPs): A universal measure of comparing GHGs, expressed by relating the global warming impact to one unit of carbon dioxide mass. Used to evaluate the relative impact of various GHG emissions." And let me make one more suggestion for an addition ... on page 60, under the definition of ozone, I would add: " ... In the lower atmosphere (ground-level or tropospheric ozone), ozone is a GHG, and an air pollutant with harmful effects on human health."	
Thank you for raising public awareness and education!	4/10/2019
Would like to see a plan of action.	4/10/2019
Reduce transportation emissions: many newcomers to the city would like public transportation options. Especially those coming from other metro areas!	4/10/2019
Waste management strategies and community outreach teaching citizens how to use resources is needed.	4/10/2019
I find all these initatives to be wonderful however, when implemented in the SA Ready Plan I hope to see more detail on how it will be done.	4/10/2019
We need to go from being a reactive to a proactive society on environment issues. Yes!	4/10/2019
There are huge negative environmental impacts of wind and solar energy. Nuclear power and natural gas will provide the base load requirements. Wind and solar are unreliable! How will you water the green roofs in the summer? New trees require a lot of water until they are established. Most that were planted in District 8 in the past are gone!	4/10/2019
It is very important to start implementing these strategies, the city needs to lead by example and start putting these goals in action, ex. compost bins in municipal buildings and around the city, advocate for healthy options in our public food courts/schools etc. and promote waste reduction through air time on news/media outlets.	4/10/2019
Thank you for your visionary and wise work!	4/10/2019
I find SA needs more education/outreach to promote how this will be done and how each member of the community will be affected.	4/10/2019
If San Antonio disappeared from the face of the earth, there would be no impact on world climate. You ignore the impact of innumerable factors that affect global climate. There are more variables than CO2.	4/10/2019
These are all good. What are some of the examples of the strategies to achieve this?	4/10/2019
Poor people of San Antonio are out greater risk of suffering because of climate change. Make it easier for low-income community members have all the resources available to adapt to changing	4/10/2019

Comment/Response	Date
climate.	
As someone who works downtown as a civil engineer, everyday I am reminded of just how much space we use for vehicle parking. When a city allows a large footprint to be taken and used only as a ground level parking lot it can be an immense waste of space. Solutions to a few of the above topics would be, in part, to regulate these areas better: Requiring multi-floor parking to be built. (or) Promoting a lot to have car ports with solar panels. Require more frequent cleaning and maintenance of lot. Submittal plans to reduce runoff/erosion. Beautification of the lot.	4/10/2019
If you guys need any supprt from the UTSA SACNAS chapter, feel free to contact me at the email I provided.	4/10/2019
Climate change should be top priority. Global warming is REAL.	4/10/2019
Keep up the good work.	4/10/2019
It would be a huge shame to act like climate change isn't happening and to not take action to correct/reverse at least some of the damage we are responsible for. Good for SA and SA Climate Ready for their positive actions!	4/10/2019
Completely agree that there needs to be an increased focus on energy conservation.	4/10/2019
I would love for more individuals and others to use their recycling bins.	4/10/2019
Vote democrats so we can save our planet!!! Please.	4/10/2019
Are the seed card give-aways native Texas wildflowers? Thanks for putting this plan together and working to make our city more sustainable!!!	4/10/2019
A money pit with no demonstrable impact on global climate. Industries will abandon San Antonio. The military will be lured away during another round of BRAC, due to the uncertainties.	4/10/2019
Build cleaner!	4/10/2019
Cleaner energy sources is very important to me to ensure a better future.	4/10/2019
As an architecture student we want to build cleaner buildings.	4/10/2019
I think it is great that the city is taking initiative to create a pathway for SA to become a more sustainable place. I understand the challenges that we will be facing, especially fighting socio-economic issues. What about our rate of development? As I type this, we are still currently cutting down trees to allow for more buildings/apts/shopping centers. No where in these new buildings do I see the consideration of land use or green building. Will future drafts of the plan address sprawl and offer any strategies on how to combat it?	4/10/2019
I moved here from the Midwest. San Antonio, and Texas in general, is *so* wasteful. From people leaving garbage like diapers in the streets and parking lots to the lack of reliable public transportation options, this has to be one of best examples of how *not* to be a forward-thinking, future-oriented big city. Then to see how many "climate change denialists" are in charge of the state legislature and administration actively working against sensible measures like reducing plastic waste is just shameful. Reduction is the only way forward now that recycling is becoming less viable.	4/11/2019
Green infrastructure is not incorporated into master planning. Policy should stress and quantify GI to ensure implementation and incorporation in all development and redevelopment in the city and etj	4/11/2019
This plan is a ridiculous waste of money. San Antonio has plenty of other infrastructure issue that need to be addressed before climate change.	4/11/2019
The draft SACAAP doesn't seem to care about the financial impact will have on local businesses and those of us who pay monthly CPS and SAWS bills. And it appears that economic development and business growth are considered to be bad when looking at the environment. You plan to implement at least half of your mitigation strategies within two years but offer no explanation how they will be financed. Let's slow down and take a more considered approach to improving our environment while considering all stake holders, not just those considered important by the Sierra Club and other environmental extreme groups. Also, not takin into account the impact on some of our city's outstanding companies in the energy business that give back so much to the community and employ thousands of San Antonians is really myopic. Take the time to engage with them and get a more balanced view of addressing climate action.	4/11/2019
All efforts should be taken to inform and engage each person in all communities	4/12/2019
Focus on local issues.	4/12/2019
The benefits of green infrastructure to include preservation and planting trees in all communities will result in a 'triple bottom line' win for all.	4/12/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Focus on issues that matter.	4/13/2019
There are about 40 cities in the U.S. that have stopped their recycling programs because the cities have been losing money each year of their recycling program. And since the Chinese have stopped buying our "recyclables" where is San Antonio sending recyclable material? How much money does San Antonio lose each year because of its recycling program?	4/13/2019
It's not the city's responsibility to tell people to drink water, turn on a fan, and stay out of the sun during a San Antonio summer. Good grief, this is typical of the Left: they want to micromanage everyone's life.	4/13/2019
Vulnerable community members include the homeless. the very young and the elderly. Many children have asthma related to air quality. Many elderly and homeless have COPD. All will be affected by extreme heat.	4/13/2019
The biggest problem is that this "proposal" is being pushed by people who know NOTHING about environmental science, but rather listen to idiots like Al Gore or the dimwit Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez. Besides, if Gore, Ocasio-Cortez, and now even the super-dimbulb Robert Francis O'Rourke say we only have 10 YEARS TO LIVE! What's the point of even addressing all this climate nonsense? Has ANYONE conducted any research into the sheer number of "expert predictions" on climate that have been wrong? Has ANYONE ever asked, "Cui bono?" from all this climate nonsense? Has ANYONE even wondered why the Paris Climate Accord is dead? Or why even German Chancellor Angela Merkel has pulled Germany out of the Paris Climate Accord and instead has built 39 new coal-powered plants? Has ANYONE even asked the Paris Green Climate Fund has not received any additional "donations" since 2012? Or has ANYONE even wondered where the $1 billion went that Obama gave the climate fund? San Antonio suffers from a lack of diversity when it comes to political thought. We're run by a bunch of Leftists who want to be seen as cool, rather than practical. Proof? Just look at that absurb City Council vote on Chick-Fil-A revealed about the intolerance and hate - and LACK of inclusiveness of the San Antonio City Council.	4/13/2019
With so many families in SA working at least 2 jobs, educating the public will be a challenge. One idea is to enlist the aid of weather forecasters on the TV news	4/14/2019
As important as educating citizens can be, the vast majority of climate change is caused by large companies. Holding large corporations and factories in SA accountable for their contributions to climate change should be priority over individual households.	4/14/2019
Hurricanes Katrina and Harvey show that we need to be ready for major disasters. They also show that the people most likely to be left behind are the most vulnerable in society.	4/14/2019
Emergency prevention and preparedness, as well as addressing inequity are vital.	4/14/2019
Re: vulnerable community members, we need to utilize cooling centers and have medical teams on site, similar to what we did when we housed Hurricane Katrina evacuees. I am an RN who volunteered at the Kelly Airbase site.	4/14/2019
As stated above, please include wording that holds corporations accountable, not solely individual citizens. Getting individuals to recycle will not mitigate climate change if the most powerful among us are not persuaded to make infrastructural changes to reduce their carbon footprints. I realize that that's seen as an anti-business stance by many, but frankly, big business is much of the reason that global warming is such a threat--this is a pattern that started with the industrial revolution. If SA corporations are going to hold power and make money in our city, then they need to do it responsibly.	4/14/2019
Make sure to equally spread out the work and sustainability in poorer neighborhoods!	4/15/2019
Push for a real functional public transportation, besides via.	4/15/2019
More traffic along highways and major streets- majority are due to unorganized city employees doing construction work-taking over more lanes- prolonging projects during the week instead of weekend, maybe addressing work during night hours?	4/15/2019
Living outside of San Antonio I would hope that these strategies towards promoting recycling and composting would eventually extend out towards Bexar Coutny.	4/15/2019
For the city: Education about cleaner practices to ensure preservation of our natural Eco systems and why should begin with advertising, through online platforms. Meet YOUR public where they are at. Both in English and Spanish.	4/15/2019
Great post, I conceive blog owners should larn a lot from this weblog its real user pleasant. So much great info on here :D.	4/15/2019
These is the most difficult thing to accomplish due to the nonchalant attitude of a majority of	4/15/2019

Comment/Response	Date
people that feel they should be served instead of being of service. Such as if a do not trash 20 other don't care.	
Also help fight food deserts.	4/15/2019
Food security= BIG. Focus on that.	4/15/2019
A lack of certainty in the conclusions of cited studies provide little evidence that current actions are deficient.	4/15/2019
More parks-less buildings, Why? Because more heat is absorbed around buildings. Downtown is less Eco-friendly now.	4/15/2019
For manufacturers and producers and sellers: It would also help if alternative energy, efficient alternatives for transportation and energy sources, are affordable to the middle class.	4/15/2019
Great post, I conceivce blog ownerss should lzrn a lot from this weblog its ral user pleasant. So much great info on hewre :D.	4/15/2019
People maybe included but they feel entitled to their choices whether wrong because is their freedom of choice; gov wont tell them what to do.	4/15/2019
This is all important, I just hope we apply enough prevention that this becomes less necessary.	4/15/2019
Educating and involving the community must be done to allow people to have a say in policies that will greatly impact them and their communities. We especially need to look out for our lower-income communities who tend to be disproportionately affected by environmental issues such as pollution and a lack of access to clean water.	4/15/2019
Public Tranportation!!! Bike Lanes.	4/15/2019
We need to move away from coal-produced electricity!	4/15/2019
Make sustainability equal in ALL neighborhoods and communities.	4/15/2019
Investments into public transportation.	4/15/2019
I would like more resources to support elementary aged children.	4/15/2019
Promote mass transit options by reducing access to cars in urban core. Smoother roads-potholes and curbs are dangerous for scooters.	4/15/2019
Increase social infrastructure through libraries, parks, plazas, public spaces and urban development.	4/15/2019
San Antonio is growing- it is obvious during the prolonged commute hours m-f 7am-10am as before in 2005 the work commute- traffic- only lasted 1 hour on the highways (7a-8a); traffic has now extended- same hours (7a-10a)- to every major street (ex. Bandera, McCullough,Brooklyn, etc.) A big help can also be updated on street signage (ex. lanes for right turn only to include and repaint arrowson pavement) streets without proper street lanes for bikes, and now scooters, need to be addressed.	4/15/2019
I would like to see recycling at all city entities.	4/15/2019
Great post, I conceive blog owners shoud learn a lot from this weblog its real user friendly. So much great info on here :D.	4/15/2019
Hi - I know this is a draft and you've probably caught this by now, but the 7th word in the section "A Message From The Mayor" is misspelled ("fasted" instead of "fastest"). Also, the Oxford comma is recommended to reduce ambiguity / confusion. It's being used in certain sections but not consistently throughout the plan. Lastly, on page 26 the "Transportation" section does not total 11%. I believe you have a typo on one of the line items below it. (I'm actually incredibly curious which item isn't correct - can you let me know?) If you need an additional set of eyes to do technical editing, I am happy to offer my services.	4/15/2019
I agree that The City should consider the environment when making decisions to invest in our city and be good stewards of the Environment over all. Taking steps to reduce a carbon foot print by purchasing cleaner vehicles building smarter facilities, and preserving our rivers and parks are all great ways to do this, but only if done in a manner that is reasonable. Tax payer money should not be spent on programs that do not directly impact the community as a whole and should not be directed to benefit individuals directly. For instance, installing solar panels on our community library impacts everyone. Installing them on an individuals home in an economically stressed neighborhood does not. Replacing aging buses with CNG technology as needed is a reasonable plan, but simply replacing all of our buses at once wouldn't be reasonable. Make economically sensible decisions and keep the focus on the community as a whole. The biggest impact to our climate in San Antonio is the vast amount of concrete that has replaced our natural areas. The	4/16/2019

Comment/Response	Date
COSA needs to set more land aside for parks and natural areas, and invest in this area.	
"I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply. "	4/16/2019
Thinking about some of the issues you mentioned in the meeting today, I have a few suggestions and questions about the discussion draft: 1. The businesses section on page 30 does not at all discuss the possibility of regulations and the cost of any climate regulations that businesses will have to incur. This leaves the business community uncertain, and assuming they'll be pretty big. Perhaps we can manage that uncertainty by adding some language on how these strategies may not necessarily require new taxes or regulations upon businesses, but rather could be pursued through public-private partnerships that could foster job growth, agency policy shifts that do not disrupt current businesses practices, and community actions. We could also include some language here on the cost of doing nothing (in dollar amounts, as much as feasible) upon businesses in comparison with the costs of acting on climate, that way they can readily observe that the CAAP will provide them a net benefit over time. I know we have a section focused on BAU costs in the Adaptation portion, but that focuses more on the costs that will be incurred on a regional scale. I'm not sure that comes across as costs that businesses will incur. The page currently reads as a warning to businesses about climate change in general. While that is certainly helpful for businesses to know, I think those actions may come off as imposing on businesses. However, in my understanding of the plan, this CAAP does not impose all of these best practices as a requirement to businesses. It might be best to reorient these two pages to make sure it is clear that businesses will not be required to do a lot as a direct result of this plan, but they are susceptible to great harms in case they don't join the collective fight. Of course big oil businesses like Valero and New Star will always oppose big plans like these, but at the very least adjusting the language might show workers and fiscally conservative residents that this won't, in fact, place a huge burden on businesses themselves, and won't result in losing jobs. I'm sure opposition will continually claim that this Plan will be detrimental for the economy, even if public feedback is at 70% right now. I think it'll be helpful to be as clear as possible to the community as much as possible. 2. To help with explaining the governance issues, it may also be helpful to make extremely clear what the exact repercussions will be if City Council approves this plan. I know you mentioned today that you'll be writing about what this plan is, but I just want to note that I hope this also encompasses what the direct result of this plan will be upon adoption. My assumption (which could be wrong, and if so please let me know!) is that the actual strategies listed within this plan are not	4/16/2019

Comment/Response	Date
going to become immediate regulations. I showed this Draft plan to my UCLA law students who commented to me how unclear the CAAP's jurisdiction would be. My reading of the CAAP is that the Implementation section is the only portion that will be required at the passage of this plan. That is, at the behest of City Council, the Office of Sustainability will only be required to ensure submit the reports and convene the various committees and other stakeholder groups that will review its progress. The Office of Sustainability will also help coordinate implementing these strategies and actions with the various city agencies and other stakeholders, but any strategies listed will only be implemented incrementally over time - not all at once - at a predictable rate as per the rough timeline provided within the plan, and in full collaboration with government and stakeholder involvement. This collaboration opens up the possibilities for how these strategies will be implemented, and the collaborative effort will ensure that businesses will be able to play an influential role in implementing these strategies so that they can mitigate any fears and uncertainties they have about I say this because it's not clear to me upon first reading that the Implementation portion of the plan is its most direct and practical output. And, I think once those expectations become clearer to the community, businesses may feel more comfortable with it. I'm also happy to pitch an op-ed in a newspaper that may help explain these governance issues to the public so that this can be ironed out for the short term, if that helps. 3. Generally as I read this CAAP, I notice that it explains more about the threats of climate change upon various stakeholders throughout the city. This was probably a strategic decision made on your end, and I don't object to its value. But I also think that people are more receptive to language that presents the specific opportunities available in acting on climate. For example, I noticed that Mayor Nirenberg at a town hall debate framed this climate plan as an opportunity to clean water and air for San Antonio - which I think gained some good reception from the crowd. Anita Ledbetter's idea of using this as an avenue to make San Antonio a smart city was also another great opportunity coming out of climate planning. If we could reorient this plan to show that it's less doom-and-gloom and more of big opportunity to make San Antonio a global, modernized city, I think that might cultivate more excitement for this plan across the board. I don't want to be someone who criticizes without offering to help, so I'd also be happy to provide language to add to the draft if you're interested in sending a Word document version over to me. I've also been helping Los Angeles County draft it's own Sustainability Plan if that helps with any of my credibility in doing this!	
Just that the sooner, the better, and that prevention will be key to mitigating the damages of climate change in the long run. I believe in us.	4/17/2019
Education is key but not just education on the facts. Part of the problem is the SA Culture -- wonderful but not thinking about the future. We need to educate not just facts but about forward thinking, of leaving a better world for our children.	4/17/2019
In January, the city of San Antonio announced a bold initiative called the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP). While TPA and Texas' midstream industry greatly respect community-driven efforts to reduce CO2 emissions, we encourage San Antonio to approach the discussion in a manner that avoids putting at risk one of the state's most vital industries and does not impose undue costs on city residents. Switching to a renewable-dependent energy model, as outlined in CAAP, will threaten to undermine an existing stable and affordable energy portfolio. The possibility of future rate hikes, which would hit low-income residents the hardest, will only increase. On the other hand, through the use of state-of-the-art technologies to reduce emissions, the oil and gas industry continues to deliver clean, reliable and inexpensive energy to the community. Over the last two decades, for example, companies in the midstream oil and gas sector have substantially reduced methane emissions – both on an absolute basis and on a per-unit of gas-produced basis. These reductions have been achieved by, among other things, voluntary efforts to integrate emission reduction practices into company operating procedures, participation in voluntary industry programs focused on reducing emissions and installation of more efficient, lower-emitting equipment. Citing data from the EPA's Inventory of Greenhouse Gases, a 2016 Natural Gas Council report states that methane emissions from the natural gas industry have been declining steadily since the early 1990s, with absolute emissions declining by 15 percent between 1990 and 2014 and methane emissions per unit of gas produced declining by 43 percent in the same period. Unfortunately, many of the purported benefits outlined in CAAP far overstate any real benefits, and at great cost to taxpayers. Georgetown provides a great example of what can happen when	4/17/2019

Comment/Response	Date
ambitious mandates can turn into costly mistakes. TPA and its member companies continue to support rationally-crafted initiatives that will help make our environment cleaner. CAAP, however, is not the answer, as it will lead to a disruption in energy availability while driving energy costs higher for all San Antonians, especially those who can least afford it.	
Thanks for your presentation last night at Temple Beth-El. Some thoughts and observations for your consideration. I doubt that they are unique or innovative, but at least they may reinforce some similar suggestions. Demand response as part of a peak energy reduction strategy seems to be a low priority for CPS. One point of resistance is intrusion of the control device into the home via the internet which many consumers view as a risk. There was, however, alternative technology that was deploy by HL&P in the 1980s that used radio frequency control devices attached to the residential AC compressor that could be an easier, less expensive alternative that may offer wider acceptance at a lower price point. Residential usage between 4-7PM accounts for a fairly large potential savings as AC units start up during the late afternoon in many homes in which the residents are still at work…greater incentives and alternative technical solutions should be explored besides WiFi based, remotely controlled thermostats. Advanced water metering also seems to be a slow moving project for SAWS. Advance water metering, like its power counter part, means fewer vehicles making trips to read meters, higher accuracy, and it supports leak detection before a minor leak becomes a larger waste of water that breaks through the street's paving. Rainwater capture along with better landscape can help reduce water usage. Rainwater capture could be incorporated into new construction building codes, and given the rate of construction and population growth could have a significant impact down the road. Granted SAWS encourages landscape that is water friendly, but city code (along with water rates) can influence residential and commercial behavior and landscape designer trends. Run off from pavement gets little attention in the report. It adversely effects flooding and aquifer alike. Permeable paving of parking areas and greater use of shade trees and water retention in parking lots can significantly cool the environment while reduce rapid runoff. This could also be part of the commercial building code for areas in which it is most appropriate (depending on slope, hydrology, and geology). Construction waste can certainly be better managed. Rather than demolition, SA could make better use of deconstruction that leads to more recycling of valuable building materials. Likewise construction waste can be separated into clean lumber waste and trash…there are huge amounts of clean lumber cuttings that get sent to landfill instead of chipped (for Oriented Strand Board or particile board) or pulped for paper products. Lastly, use of municipal office and parking garage rooftops may offer ideal spaces for PV installations. Garage roofs are especially appealing since the added load (static load of the equipment and wind load) are unlikely to burden the structure. Unlike residential installations, the larger area of the parking garage roof top makes it more economical to install and maintain equipment. Depending on design, the installation wouldn't necessarily impact the total available parking slots. Thanks again for your thought provoking presentation. I hope that you can make progress on identifying and valuing the tangible benefits side of your equation to counter balance your costs.	4/17/2019
I would love to see some citizen education on the benefits on cutting down meat intake for health and the environment. It's also really important that public transportation is easier to use and that electric vehicles become more commonplace, while increasing our reliance on renewable energy as opposed to coal and natural gas. Getting energy from fossil fuels is no longer practical and we need to invest a whole lot more in other energy sources and transition workers over to those industries. I'd like to see San Antonio carbon neutral by 2040. Thanks for all the hard work you guys have put in to this entire plan! It's great to see this in the works.	4/17/2019
We owe this to our children...to try and overcome the horrible errors of our parents and and our parents parents in order to restore our environment.	4/18/2019
Education is key but not just education on the facts. Part of the problem is the SA Culture -- wonderful but not thinking about the future. We need to educate not just facts but about forward thinking, of leaving a better world for our children.	4/18/2019
Please address plastic bag waste. Bring back incentives for hybrid/electrics. Prevent smog/promote smog checks.	4/18/2019

Comment/Response	Date
I grew weary of people attempting to make economic arguments based on false paradigms in order to defend polluting the planet in the name of jobs. We NEED NEW THINKING in order to reverse the harms that have been inflicted on our Earth and societal structures and norms... It is NOT old thinking that will help our future. Enough is enough.	4/18/2019
Education is key but not just education on the facts. Part of the problem is the SA Culture -- wonderful but not thinking about the future. We need to educate not just facts but about forward thinking, of leaving a better world for our children.	4/18/2019
SA Auto Dealers ("SADA"), an organization representing more than 74 new car dealers in the San Antonio area, has reviewed the proposed City of San Antonio ("City") Climate Action & Adaptation Plan ("CAAP"). On behalf of our members, who cunently employ nearly 6,000 hardworking people in our community and represent over $680,000,000 annually in local economic impact, we submit this letter with our comments on the CAAP as a follow up to our letter to you on this subject dated February 19, 2019. As noted in our February 19, 2019 letter, SADA appreciates the City's outreach to community stakeholders. The purpose of this letter is to identify the sections of the CAAP that directly impact our industry, and to suggest revisions that better reflect the feasibility of implementation of the CAAP goals. Here are our recommendations: Delete references to "carbon-free vehicles" on pages 20, 36, 38, 42, 74, 78 and 79, and replace with "lower carbon footprint vehicles". On page 38, change "CARBON-FREE VEHICLES. Transition to carbon-free transpmi by implementing strategies to accelerate the adoption of electric or other carbon-free personal vehicles, trucks, transit, and freight to reach 100% penetration by 2050." To "REDUCE VEHICLE EMISSIONS. Reduce emissions from transpmiation by implementing strategies to accelerate the adoption of vehicles with a lower carbon footprint. Lower carbon footprint vehicles may be electric vehicles or cleaner internal combustion vehicles." Insert on page 55, "No ordinance, regulation, rule or policy to implement this plan may be adopted by the City or any City agency, including SAWS and CPS Energy, without a full analysis of the costs and benefits of such ordinance, regulation, rule or policy. The cost benefit analysis shall be made available to the public prior to the adoption of any such policies or regulations, and shall take into account the impact on prope1iy owners, rate payers, businesses and affordability of housing. The cost benefit analysis shall also review the effect of any such regulation or policy on San Antonio's economic competiveness." Insert "Vehicle technology is continuing to evolve, resulting in cars with a lower carbon footprint, whether such cars are electric vehicles, hybrids or have cleaner internal combustion engines. As the technology continues to evolve, such vehicles will become more affordable to the general consumer." We appreciate your consideration of these comments and look forward to continuing to work with you to develop a plan that makes sense for all San Antonians.	4/18/2019
Please take note of animal agriculture being the 2nd leading cause and contributor of climate change. If a focus is on educating consumers, then educate the role a plant based diet or primarily plant based diet as the most effective means of impacting climate change. Animal agriculture account for more greenhouse gases than all cars, trains, buses, airplanes and transportation combined.	4/19/2019
Climate change is rapidly destroying our planet. Any changes the city can implement to lower our carbon footprint has my 100% support.	4/19/2019
Please offer ongoing community discussions to keep people involved and invested in these matters.	4/19/2019
Protect wooded shrub areas of the city to create natural wildlife "bridges" and revamp unused/abandoned previously paves commercial areas for future use.	4/19/2019
I am concerned about runaway growth and commercial development, especially on the NE corner of San Antonio. Resources are limited.	4/19/2019
Please don't delay with proactively addressing climate adaptation & mitigation!	4/19/2019
Keep working	4/19/2019
Cleaner air and water.	4/19/2019
We all need to be educated and responsible in taking care of all of God's creations/gifts.	4/19/2019
Hello and thank you for posting the draft CAAP for the public. I would like to see a plastic bag ban	4/19/2019

Comment/Response	Date
in San Antonio. I would also like for the city to take the lead on a campaign against single-use plastics. We need to implement the five R's: Refuse, Reduce, Reuse, Recycle, and Rot (compost).	
Education is the first step! If no one knows, no one can do anything.	4/22/2019
CPS should take responsibility for moving towards clean energy sources. Let auto industry promote cleaner more efficient vehicles.	4/22/2019
Climate Change is Real!	4/22/2019
Very important to protect our city from natural disaster.	4/22/2019
Very bad sink holes on bumps on Royston street, they hit bottom of car.	4/22/2019
Most of these initiatives are not needed at all. Protect from flooding, yes - extreme heat? - Climate emergencies? Protecting food supplies? Including and prioritizing vulnerable community members as we adapt to a changing climate? This program sounds like a waste of city resources and tax dollars.	4/22/2019
Provide better VIA bus system- free or heavy downtown use days.	4/22/2019
There is not enough being done to educate our community on the long term effects of climate change. Educational sessions or other ways to distribute knowledge is very much needed. Making the city safer for bikers and pedestrians with sidewalks and bike lanes.	4/22/2019
Support the Bowen Center (210)- 531- 9497.Natural flood plains need to be protected and not covered up with new homes, seasonal under found streams need to be addressed like the one on Goliad and Golden Crown. Taxes on the south east side need to be lowered so that residence that have lived there all their lives can continue to do so.	4/22/2019
Alternative energy that is more affordable.	4/22/2019
Light rail! Need alternative to driving, rail is option that more people choose than bus.	4/22/2019
More information on composting/recycling. I know composting exists but don't know how to do it!	4/22/2019
Don't understand very good, but I'll try.	4/22/2019
Improve drainage on Carroll st. and Palm Heights area. Fix streets on Palm Heights area.	4/22/2019
Designated commuter bikeways.	4/22/2019
I don't believe this survey is designed to identify specific goals at all! When you combine two goals into a single initiative you make it difficult, or impossible to rank each goal effectively. Re write this survey to be more effective by only listing a single goal to be ranked in order of importance.	4/22/2019
Below is an article I copied into my drafts folder back in March, and promptly forgot about until tonight. The author makes some very important points about what ideology is driving the international effort to force compliance with the UN's IPCC "climate change" policies, and it's not environmentalism. In my first email to you I mentioned that a couple of UN climate big-wigs revealed the real reason they're pushing compliance with the IPCC's carbon regulations, but I didn't have both their names then; I do now. Go to the bottom of the article to read the candid statements of Ottmar Edenhofer and Christiana Figueres, then ask yourself, "Do the climate change-mitigation promoters sincerely want to Save The Planet™, or to use that pretext to redistribute wealth?" San Antonio's CAAP program will not, can not, affect the amount of CO2 in the atmosphere, but it will waste millions of taxpayer dollars in the ongoing effort; it will be siphoning money from taxpayers that would otherwise be spent by them on the local economy, supporting businesses and improving the quality of life for its residents. San Antonio taxpayers can't afford their politicians' wasteful virtue signaling on an environmental non-problem.	4/22/2019
Would like to see more emphasis on sustainable landscape practices like rain gardens that the public can do to help with stormwater runoff.	4/23/2019
Utilize the living community challenge.	4/23/2019
Would like to see opportunities to provide incentives to developers that incorporate low impact development practices to protect against runoff and impermeable surfaces that can cause flooding	4/23/2019
I don't IDENTIFY as male. I AM male. My DNA proves it.	4/23/2019
I was disappointed to see that the majority of the plan was focused on just carbon emissions. I would like to see other ways to incorporate sustainable practices like low impact development, recycling and trash collecting to beautify our green spaces incorporated as well so that the community can see that there are many ways we can all work together to combat the effects of climate change. I think partnering with agencies and organizations that already have initiatives that promote low impact development and the importance of keeping our green spaces clean	4/23/2019

Comment/Response	Date
can help engage the community. I would also like to see more incentives to encourage developers to build with sustainability in mind as flooding is becoming more of a concern due to climate change.	
Greetings, following are some of my CAAP observations and questions. Page 36, NEAR-TERM PRIORITY ACTIONS Transition to carbon-free vehicles has no cost or process details on how to accomplish this. Most likely method is emissions testing vehicles at annual inspection resulting in not fit to operate vehicles. Who will subsidize my transition to a carbon-free vehicle? Page 39, DECARBONIZE THE GRID No real dollar estimates on shutdown of existing electric grid generation and dollar cost to build out/increase non-carbon grid sources. The operating license of the South Texas Nuclear project will expire before 2050. San Antonio obtains about 40% of its electricity from the STNP. What is the cost to replace that source for CPS rate payers and how does the elimination of STNP impact the CAAP? Page 39, ZERO NET ENERGY BUILDING CODE Is CPS Energy applying the IECC to their new headquarters? If not, then we should stop a reassess this construction and apply the IECC building code.	4/23/2019
Howdy, following are some of my CAAP observations and feedback; Page 38, CONNECTIVITY / WALKABILITY Existing Bike lanes are a joke! There is no law to prevent car parking in a bike lane. Maintenance of markings is non-existent. Let's not clog developed bike lanes with motorized scooter traffic. Page 38, SUSTAINABLE LAND PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT Sounds like high density residential development within a highly connected mass transportation system. Doesn't do anything for existing residential areas. Best thing to do now is to stop granting permits for the rampant residential development that has contributed to our traffic and increased emissions. Pages 38 through 40 Simple $ signs don't provide any real cost associated with the CAAP. What is the actual cost impact to the citizens of San Antonio? Cost of EV, installation of Level 2 EV charging systems in home, upgrading appliances and lights, replacement cost of home HVAC system, upgrade existing residential building (windows, insulation, roofing, paint color, etc…). This will also increase value of home which results in increase of property tax.	4/23/2019
A lofty goal of zero net emissions may not end up being attainable, but it is a solid strategy to shoot for the stars. Doing so will allow San Antonio to become a climate change leader -- in the medium to long term this will enhance our competitiveness for capital investment and jobs.	4/24/2019
I am very passionate about climate action. The time for San Antonio to take climate action is now!	4/24/2019
Ensuring equity in adaptation seems to be particularly important. We can't let our most vulnerable citizens shoulder the burden of climate change effects.	4/24/2019
Equity is essential! Our decision-making must ensure the welfare of San Antonio's most vulnerable residents.	4/24/2019
This is an ambitious, but thoughtful, plan that positions San Antonio well for the future. Our city leaders must focus on intermediate to long-term goals and not mortgage our children's future.	4/24/2019
The last IPCC report called for immediate rapid reduction of carbon emissions, we need to be informed by the most update science. I support a strong CAAP. I have been contact my city council member for 2 years (D10) and he continues to vote against CAAP initiatives.	4/25/2019
Resilience, equity, security, innovation these are all possible with a strong CAAP.	4/25/2019
I think we should work to make San Antonio a more beautiful place and this plan is a part of improving our air quality, natural systems, and future for the city.	4/25/2019
I support the passing of the CAAP. I do think it has limitations, but the deadlines are not soon enough or it doesn't go far enough. We should have had these changes 10 years ago, but we have an ethical responsibility to act. We need to be even more aggressive than what we are planning and more rapid reductions. Anthropogenic warming must be addressed. The positive responses are double the negative responses, and generally those people refute climate science as asked in the survey. Science should be informing this decision, not people's personal beliefs. I was on the equity working group, I support the strong, defined, and meaningful definition that came out of the equity working group. We also need to finish up the screening mechanism and make equity a consideration (column) on mitigation/adaptation measures.	4/25/2019
We, the undersigned local businesses, write to express our support for the adoption of a bold, ambitious Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP) for the City of San	4/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Antonio. We applaud the city for laying out a vision for achieving 100 percent renewable, carbon-neutral energy by 2050 in the current draft plan, SA Climate Ready: A Pathway For Climate Action & Adaptation. San Antonio needs ambitious goals that match the scale of the environmental challenges our community faces. Ensuring a healthy community and liveable climate for generations to come starts with a commitment to leaving dirty energy behind, and instead powering every aspect of our lives with clean, renewable energy. By adopting the plan's commitment to achieving 100 percent renewable energy, our city will join over 100 others nationwide in setting bold commitments to clean, renewable energy. San Antonio's business community faces great risks due to climate change. As the draft plan currently describes, climate change means "higher energy bills, reduced opportunity to work or play outside, more frequent water restrictions, impacts on supply chains, property damage and less productivity." Adopting a commitment to 100 percent renewable energy will protect our businesses and the contributions we make to our city's health and prosperity. We also support the City in implementing the full range of policies and programs needed to achieve its clean energy vision, from initiatives and incentive programs to expand local distributed and utility-scale solar energy capacity, to cutting edge building efficiency codes, to efforts to power municipal operations with clean energy. Such policies and programs will not only help residents access renewable energy, but will help our businesses benefit from the clean energy and bill savings renewable sources like solar have to offer. San Antonio is ready for a swift and steady shift to clean, renewable energy. Adopting a bold, ambitious CAAP and vision for achieving 100 percent renewable energy will not only set that transition in motion, but it will also contribute to the strong local economy, vibrant community, and healthy environment upon which our business rely.	
CPS Energy appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on the City of San Antonio's (COSA's) draft Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP). Addressing climate change and mitigating the impacts of global warming, are complex challenges for our local community. In turn, the CAAP is a key milestone effort in understanding and potentially managing these challenges. As San Antonio's municipally owned electric and natural gas utility for the last 76 years, we are motivated to create and deliver value-added services that improve the quality of life for the present and future generations in our community. Our mission is to provide world-class energy solutions to meet the diverse and unique needs of our customers, while acting as an economic engine to drive value and growth in our community. Given our role as the community's primary utility energy provider, we at CPS Energy, are in the business of thinking both strategically and long-term, about: • Securing and safeguarding our community's assets; • Driving consistent service reliability and resiliency; • Protecting our environment; • Igniting broad and continual innovation; • Ensuring the short-, medium-, and long-term affordability of our services; • Supporting education and life-long learning; and • Working with other organizations across San Antonio to enable more economic development.CPS Energy has already embraced the transition from traditional fuel sources to renewable energy, and we understand our role in helping our customers think about energy differently. As a matter of fact, we have been steadily controlling energy usage and reducing our carbon intensity for decades. Additionally, we launched our "Save for Tomorrow Energy Plan" (STEP) that encourages and rewards interested customers for their conservation and efficiency efforts. STEP has helped our community avoid building another large generation plant that most likely would have been coal fueled. See the attachment. CPS Energy has taken the time to internally discuss the proposals in the CAAP, including the potential far reaching impact on our customers, community and employees. Please see our comments that follow. MITIGATION STRATEGIES 1-3: INCREASE CARBON-FREE ENERGY PROPOSED NEW ADVISORY COMMITTEE:	4/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
The CAAP states the City will initiate an on-going energy planning process with its key partners, including CPS Energy, key stakeholders and the general public to ensure continued collaboration towards carbon reduction goals. We unequivocally welcome and value feedback. This said, creating a new governing committee, solely focused on our environmental generation planning is problematic and presents significant challenges to our historically highly effective business model and approach. As the entity traditionally charged with ensuring reliability, resiliency and affordability for all customers, it has been invaluable that we make decisions that address multiple dimensions of consideration. While they include making the environment increasingly better, we are still a business owned by a community. We must consider all of our investors who are our customers, as well as those who have prudently loaned us money to maintain and improve our complex systems In fact, financial investors have actually helped us create a funding pathway to be the: • #1 Utility in solar in the State of Texas, according to Environment Texas Research and Policy Center; and • Top Environmental Champion, for the 3rd year in a row, according to Market Strategies. Accordingly, it is critical that we are able to make the decisions that keep us on track to achieve progressive, definitive, and prudent improvements. Planning for generation is a continuous and comprehensive process. We look at our resources through multiple planning horizons, including 15-minutes, hourly, daily, weekly, and seasonally, as well as strategically from 1-to-25 years. Furthermore, we currently have and will continue to have many opportunities and channels for our community to engage with us such as: • Our Board of Trustees, who host multiple Public Input sessions each year on a variety of topics. • We have a 15-member Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) that was created by the City Council in 1997 to enhance public participation. To this day, the City of San Antonio council members nominate 10 of the 15 members, one representing each of the 10 districts. The remaining five members are selected at-large. The CAC members are citizens who volunteer from across our service territory and who serve as individual and collective conduits for sharing information between CPS Energy, the Council, and all of our customers. • We host a variety of stakeholder meetings for the purposes of information sharing and receiving constructive feedback. As an example, since 2012, we have held Quarterly Environmental Stakeholder meetings. • Additional stakeholder groups are being developed, which will focus on solar, business, and tech community inputs. • Our People First Customer Care Fairs, are held throughout our community and allow for face-to-face engagement with our general public and for input via brief written surveys. • We utilize multiple social media outlets, designed for customer convenience. We actually encourage our Call Center Energy Advisors to spend more time on the phone with our customers, ensuring all of their concerns are thoroughly addressed. The use of all of these communication channels, will continue to be strong and helpful sources of input. In turn, management will make balanced, yet progressive recommendations to our Board of Trustees and the City Council. As usual, those recommendations will consider all city, state, and federal policies, regulations, and legislations. Also, at the Council level, customers have avenues for input. We pay close attention to those comments, promptly addressing issues and improving our approach along the way. The last major consideration on this topic is creating a new governing body outside of our historical norm could cause concerns from the Ratings Agencies. Currently, our strong credit ratings are significantly driven by our strong management team, as well as our balanced strategic approach. ZERO EMMISSIONS GOAL: 2. Next, there is a proposed mitigation strategy to reduce the emission factor of supplied electricity to 0.0 kg CO2e/kWh by 2050. An in-depth analysis of the costs related to increasing the penetration of renewables to supply 50% by 2040 and 100% by 2050 needs to be performed. It will need to include not only the cost of the renewables, but also the cost to reliably back them up, as well as the cost of phasing out fossil fuel generation over time. Importantly, the speed of generation matters. Further, it must be pointed out that, our traditional generation assets continue to be an important bridge to the future to ensure reliability to our customers. Managing the transition prudently will absolutely matter. Over the years, we have been thoughtful about adding renewables, while ensuring that we remain	

Comment/Response	Date
a reliable and affordable energy company. We have to be specific about what, when, and how to make sure we have enough flexible, real-time generation that is "dispatchable" (e.g., available on demand), considering power needs naturally fluctuate to meet the needs of a flourishing and thriving community. Renewable energy sources must be firmed up to adjust for their intermittency that is caused by a lack of around-the-clock sun or wind. Even so, as some of our older fossil fuel power plants reach their retirement dates, we will be thoughtful about how and when to replace that generation. We are exploring and planning for additional sources of firm back-up such as energy storage. We are therefore evaluating various scenarios as technologies evolve and become both readily available and affordable. Part of providing reliable power also means having resiliency during extreme weather events. They range from today's storms to those that are projected to be more intense as global climate changes manifest. Without solid firming capacity, renewables by themselves will not be able to function well in any type of bad weather scenario. For these reasons, the CAAP timeline needs to provide enough flexibility for the current undefined technology wedge to become more tangible and effective. As we decarbonize the grid and transition away from traditional fossil generation, we will need to thoroughly evaluate the benefits and risks associated with future technologies. Every year going forward, we will therefore estimate actual costs, as they change. This will inherently pose challenges in making preliminary assumptions about those costs. Even so, these are challenges we can address, working together as a community. INCENTIVES: 3. Third, the Community Mitigation Strategy calls for promoting and incenting the comprehensive switch from natural gas to electricity for existing buildings, including industrial, production, and manufacturing. This will require more thoughtful and detailed discussion in our community. As an example, San Antonio is a culinary city that often prefers gas cooking over electric or even induction methods. 100% switching from natural gas might be problematic for the restaurant and food industries. Further, many of our industrial and commercial customers depend on natural gas use for their processes and do not have a readily available secondary source of power. This for example may apply to customers who reside outside of the City of San Antonio boundaries. Impacts to customers' bills and business operations must be considered. The CAAP therefore needs to ensure that: • All customers are part of the conversation; • Customer preferred fuel choices are considered; and • The impact on their bills and economic viability is considered. MITIGATION STRATEGIES 4-8: CONSUMPTION REDUCING BUILDING ENERGY Mitigation Strategies have the goal of reducing building energy consumption. Through CPS Energy's "Save for Tomorrow Energy Plan" (STEP), both residential and commercial customers, have been reducing their consumption. This program has helped our community avoid building another large fossil-fueled asset. This program has, therefore, been quite successful. STEP is on track to achieve its savings' goals ahead of schedule and for less than the planned funding. Several of the initiatives in the CAAP could be addressed through a second phase of the STEP, currently informally referred to as version 2.0. Our STEP 2.0 Program is early in its development phase. Our goal is to gather public input and thoughtfully plan for the next stage of effective incentives that will help reduce our customers' overall energy demands. The CAAP also has city-driven energy efficiency reduction measures such as: • Commercial and multi-family benchmarking along with disclosure requirements which will most likely be enacted by ordinance; • A commercial and residential energy rating system; and • Zero net energy building code. It should be noted that our STEP programs take into account reductions that are above and beyond the current building codes. Conversely, as the building codes get tighter, our STEP programs may not be able to perform at the same level they have in the past, technically reducing the benefits we have achieved thus far. This may also be true as appliance codes rise. CPS Energy will need discretion in designing and implementing energy efficiency programs that reach, maintain, and grow our community's net efficiency improvements. Each strategy will need a separate evaluation to make sure that the energy efficiency and demand-side management	

Comment/Response	Date
programs that are offered, are in fact cost effective. These programs will need to be inclusive of all of customers to include: • Residential; • Small Business; • Commercial & Industrial; • Multifamily; and • Other Customer Segments. SECURITY Commercial benchmarking will decrease consumption, but CPS Energy will need to consider privacy issues to ensure protection of each customers' information. Therefore, any implementation of Mitigation Strategy Number 4 or 5, regarding benchmarking, will require more thought. Creating rating systems and disclosure of energy use have inherent privacy issues that will need to be addressed, as those Mitigation Strategy implementation plans are evaluated. Our STEP program has been very successful to date and we have had approximately 20% participation from commercial customers. The forward looking goals in the CAAP would require 100% participation to achieve the proposed targets. On the residential side, rooftop solar alone will not achieve a zero net energy home, and our customers will need to make changes in behaviors. MITIGATION STRATEGIES 9-13: REDUCE TRANSPORTATION ENERGY CONSUMPTION The next section of Mitigation Strategies are aimed at reductions in the transportation sector by supporting vehicle electrification, including education of its benefits, plus promotional programs and incentives. CPS Energy has installed over 100 Electric Vehicle (EV) chargers throughout our service territory, and have purchased EVs within our own fleet. This is another strategy that is highly dependent on the speed of technology and innovation. Even so, at this time, the technology to allow for the complete transition to electric vehicles for all of our fleet is not available. In addition to doing our part to promote EV and carbon-free technologies, we also have to consider the impact of these new technologies on our current infrastructure and generation load forecasts. The CAAP calls for a transition to 100% penetration of carbon-free vehicles, trucks, transit and freight by 2050. No current industry source of modeling or projections reflects 100% EV / carbon-free adoption - even in the most aggressive forecast. There is uncertainty over the velocity of vehicle electrification, which is driven by several factors, including population growth, EV introduction, and vehicle turnover rates. Many industry stakeholders will factor into this evolution beyond cities and utilities. We will need the involvement of automobile manufactures, for passenger, industrial and mobility companies. We will also need the involvement of fueling companies, as well as skilled technologists. There is much work to do. MITIGATION STRATEGIES 14- 21: INCREASE CIRCULARITY & PROMOTE BIODIVERSITY AND HEALTHY ECOSYSTEMS We are supportive of the strategies to reduce waste that goes to landfills. We have prudently managed our waste streams over the years, remaining consistently committed to recycling along the way. As we decarbonize the grid, we at CPS Energy will continue to lower our environmental footprint in regards to implications to air, waste and water sources increasing over time. However, other future technologies that will replace traditional generation sources could still have some environmental risks, and result in corresponding environmental regulations. For example, there are no options yet defined for disposing of used solar panels or exhausted batteries. MITIGATION STRATEGIES 22-28: EDUCATE AND ENABLE Mitigation Strategy 24 proposes working with partner organizations to develop workforce training programs. This is a critical strategy going forward, since we will need to consider the implications of early retirement of assets and plant closures on our workforce. We are already beginning to prepare our employees to take on new roles in our industry. There will need to be a community-wide effort as the significant shift in infrastructure will require not only the hiring of new skilled labor, but the re-skilling of current employees. Mitigation Strategy 25 specifically asks to evaluate the electric and water rate structures to support Green House Gas (GHG) reduction. CPS Energy's rate structure includes many factors and policies that will need to be carefully considered. While achieving the objectives of reducing GHGs, rates structures must also:	

Comment/Response	Date
• Align with common rate structure principles such as being fair and equitable to all customers; • Allow for adequate recovery of costs; • Be competitive; • Be easily understood; and • Enable appropriate regulatory and legislative compliance. ADAPTATION STRATEGIES The CAAP also has strategies for adapting to a changing climate. CPS Energy has processes in place to regularly assess the impacts and changes in weather. Emergency and restoration plans are routinely updated and are part of our normal business model utility preparedness plans. With regard to the resilience of San Antonio's electric grid, CPS Energy plans for adequate resources over a 25-year outlook, and plans for the security and reliability of the transmission and distribution systems over a 10-year outlook. Seasonal preparedness plans are also created and executed annually. CPS Energy ensures infrastructure can remain operable in extreme temperatures and weather conditions by evaluating effective system responsiveness to both typical and extreme events. Real-time monitoring of system conditions ensures infrastructure is not operated beyond system limits, especially during extended exposure to very high or low temperatures. CPS Energy has established processes to replace aging infrastructure and minimize equipment failures. Health and wellness implications to the public and our employees resulting from more extreme weather conditions could cause more complexities and changes to the scopes of work. FUNDING CHALLENGE Everything costs money. Accordingly, the most significant challenge that we have locally, as well as across our state, nation, and globe is how to fund new environmental goals, while ensuring we continue to securely and safely keep the power flowing, 24/7/365. While some funding will come from passionate individuals and organizations, there is no comprehensive existing pool of financial resources that is waiting to be utilized. To keep from causing rate shock, we at CPS Energy are committed to rational increases that are affordable throughout our broad community. We will focus on ways going forward, to cover our normal operating costs while managing through new environmental objectives that will understandably develop over time. CONCLUSION CPS Energy is honored to serve our San Antonio metropolitan community. We continue to consult with and listen to our customers, partners and stakeholders on their energy requests and needs. Our goals and objectives will continue to be reflective of what our council, community and customers value. We look forward to this open dialogue continuing. We understand that the CAAP work is part of a lengthy and inclusive community engagement effort. As such, CPS Energy has not come to any conclusions as to the exact future mix of solar, wind, and/or energy storage capacity available to and afforded by our customers. We have strategically contemplated that our path forward will need to be flexible to accommodate technology and new solutions as they emerge. Our Flexible Path Strategy positions us with the ability to embrace the changing landscape that will develop over time. This said, should you have any questions about the comments in this or our preceding letter, please do not hesitate to contact Angela Rodriguez, Cris Eugster, or me, at your convenience.	
We write to urge the City of San Antonio to abandon its misguided Climate Action and Adaptation Plan. While the CAAP does have some worthy goals for disaster preparedness, goals the city should be addressing already, this plan is largely predicated on the false premise that San Antonio must become carbon neutral by 2050 to stave off future catastrophe. Even if the San Antonio's actions were combined with stronger international actions than the Paris Agreement, which by itself would only reduce global temperatures by just 0.3°F in 2100 if fully enacted, forcing San Antonians to pay billions in taxes to fulfill this proposal will do far more harm than good to the city's economy and quality of life. The reality is that the Alamo City has thrived as global temperatures have risen more than 1.5°F over the last 150 years, thanks in large part to the climate readiness and human prosperity made possible by abundant, reliable, and affordable energy — much of which comes from San Antonio. Claiming that a similar temperature increase during this century compels the city to restrict its energy choices to wind and solar is pure folly.	4/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
One need only drive up I-35 to Georgetown to see this folly in action. Georgetown has seen its purchased power costs rise 30 percent over the past three years and recently had to saddle its residents with an average increase of $12.82 per month to cover those costs. The average electric bill in Georgetown is now 51 percent higher than San Marcos, which maintained its diversified energy contracts with LCRA. Furthermore, the plan would leave stranded the infrastructure just built for VIA to power its entire fleet with Texas natural gas, a clean and efficient fuel source for heavy-duty vehicles. Going forward, the city will be forced to abandon the millions spent on VIA's upgrades to buy electric buses, a market that is dominated by China and generates plenty of its own pollution. In an era where San Antonio is already becoming more and more expensive, with median home price up nearly 50% and poverty rates worse than Washington D.C. and even Detroit, why would the city add insult to injury with higher electricity and fuel prices, with negligible environmental benefits? Instead of the CAAP, we offer two solutions to improve San Antonio's environmental quality and help its poorest residents. First, the city can allow more competition in its electricity market. Rather than use utility bills as a way to extract more money from its citizens—CPS Energy added $367 million to the city budget last year—and to pursue infeasible renewable energy mandates, it should let its citizens and the free market determine where their electricity comes from. Not only is the Texas electricity market dramatically reducing its emissions of pollutants that are actually harmful to human health, it has also reduced retail electricity prices over the past 10 years despite the state's incredible growth. Second, the city can streamline permitting and reduce regulations that drive up housing costs, push residents further from the city core and places of business, and force them to drive more. Our energy and transportation future will not be made by heavy-handed mandates and propping up failed technologies with costly subsidies under the false premise that we can reduce the rise in global temperatures. Our future will come from new ideas and technologies and the work of entrepreneurs operating in free and competitive markets.	
San Antonio's climate draft stats versus Houston's climate action plan Starting off with programs that both cities have organized to uphold greener ways of living, San Antonio passed the mayor's national climate action agreement to uphold the Paris climate agreement. Both cities have made a point to uphold the Paris climate agreement. SA Tomorrow plans to focus on neighborhoods, transportation and sustainability. The city of Houston's bikeways program is being enacted to help Houstonians reach destination by bike. Houston's Brownfield's redevelopment program mission is to revitalize core neighborhoods. These are projects that reduce and control the potential exposure of toxic chemicals to human and ecological receptors. This includes the cleanup of contaminated sites in neighborhoods disproportionally impacted by multiple brownfields sites will greatly reduce the adverse human health and environmental impacts in these neighborhoods. Both cities' main goal is carbon neutrality. Which is to promote big businesses eliminating as much carbon dioxide as they put into the atmosphere. San Antonio is taking a commitment into the effort to eliminate greenhouse gasses in the atmosphere, in fact according to the draft, there has been a 10 percent reduction in total greenhouse gas emissions from 2014 to 2016. In Houston, the C40 Climate Leadership Group is a partner used to aid the greenhouse gas management and ensure compliance with the Paris Agreement. As shown, both cities have taken great steps into assuring a better, greener earth for years to come, but as far as planning goes, San Antonio is many steps ahead when it comes to planning and development. I feel as though Houston could learn a lot when it comes to better planning about how to go about poor air quality in neighborhoods.	4/25/2019
El CAAP en su forma actual no hace lo suficiente para combatir el cambio climático y no cumple con los requerimientos establecidos en el Acuerdo de París para contener el aumento en la temperature por debajo de 1.5°C. A pesar de lo bueno que propone el CAAP en muchos aspectos, lo que omite es que no exige una reducción de emisiones de GEI lo más rápido posible. Por ejemplo, como se puede apreciar en la gráfica en la página 36, las reducciones necesarias a corto plazo no pueden seguir una trayectoria lineal sino que urge una reducción mucha más rápida y a corto plazo para poder alcanzar las metas establecidas en el Acuerdo. Urge una política de reducción de emisiones mucha más estricta. Como muchos han mencionado, es	4/25/2019

Comment/Response	Date
sumamente importante eliminar por completo el uso de carbón para la generación de energía eléctrica antes del 2025 y además eliminar el uso de todo combustible fósil en la generación eléctrica para el 2030. Para el 2040 debemos alcanzar un saldo de cero emisiones en todos los sectores. Además, no creo que el CAAP haya tomado en cuenta emisiones de alto potencial de calentamiento global como lo es el óxido de nitrógeno (NOx). Finalmente, para tener un buen manejo en la implementación del plan, tendrá que haber un mecanismo de revisión y ejecución de las diversas iniciativas. Dudo que la oficina de sustentabilidad del gobierno municipal cuente con los recursos y capacidades necesarias para gestionar este plan a gran escala y a largo plazo. Debe haber una comisión independiente ciudadana para revisar la implementación del CAAP cada cuatro meses para asegurar un buen manejo y estar al tanto de la gestión del plan. Por lo general, el CAAP no da mucha confianza. Falta muchísimo por hacer. Es un buen intento para hacer un bosquejo de un plan climático pero temo que los responsables del proceso no sientan la urgencia de actuar y de ser atrevidos y de tomar las decisiones difíciles y necesarias para enfrentar esta terrible amenaza. Para esto hay que tener las ganas y temo que no las tengan.	
Considering time available, I'd put this above first - above all else	4/26/2019
Need to be defined better - ie No unfair limitations (not welfare though)	4/26/2019
Embrace nuclear power. Don't give NIMBY city council members and county commissioners the upper hand. Ask your local, expert scientists for their support and to contribute important information. Invite us to town halls. Support experts when they explain what we can safely do to handle spent nuclear fuel.	4/26/2019
Below are links to other cities solutions to handling storm water and reusing water, instead of trying to quickly remove storm water. Rain gardens, green roofs, wetlands, and other infrastructure to capture stormwater. The goal is to prevent runoff from overwhelming sewers and polluting waterways. This approach can help in many different ways such as creating diverse plant areas to help the declining insect populations, mitigating the resources and cost of treating storm and sewage water, and help in creating a more beautiful landscape for san antonio. https://e360.yale.edu/features/with-a-green-makeover-philadelphia-tackles-its-stormwater-problem https://www.houstonchronicle.com/local/gray-matters/article/harvey-houston-green-natural-infrastructure-13030407.php https://www.citylab.com/design/2015/11/why-china-wants-to-build-sponge-cities/417114/	4/29/2019
Below are links to other cities solutions to handling storm water and reusing water, instead of trying to quickly remove storm water. Rain gardens, green roofs, wetlands, and other infrastructure to capture stormwater. The goal is to prevent runoff from overwhelming sewers and polluting waterways. This approach can help in many different ways such as creating diverse plant areas to help the declining insect populations, mitigating the resources and cost of treating storm and sewage water, and help in creating a more beautiful landscape for san antonio. https://e360.yale.edu/features/with-a-green-makeover-philadelphia-tackles-its-stormwater-problem https://www.houstonchronicle.com/local/gray-matters/article/harvey-houston-green-natural-infrastructure-13030407.php https://www.citylab.com/design/2015/11/why-china-wants-to-build-sponge-cities/417114/	4/29/2019
Here's another article addressing the foolhardiness of attempting to control greenhouse gases, especially CO2. All that tax payer money flushed down the drain in a futile attempt to control the effects of sun spots, which we can't control. Cost effectiveness doesn't even seem to be a consideration. In a column for The Patriot Post in August of 2015, meteorologist and climate analyst Joe Bastardi asked, "All This for .01 Degrees Celsius?" in reference to Barack Obama's scheme "to reduce greenhouse gases to save us from an apocalyptic atmosphere." Bastardi's point was to show that Obama's carbon-emissions-reduction methods would accomplish virtually nothing. But don't take his word for it. He cited former EPA Administrator Gina McCarthy, who at the time "admitted that the steps being taken would only prevent .01 degrees Celsius of warming, but it was the example that counted for the rest of the world." The Green New Deal is no different — both in terms of its bloviators and its influence. According to the American Enterprise Institute's Benjamin Zycher, the Left's newest climate and socioeconomic monstrosity would similarly accomplish nothing — except to promote socialism. "Notwithstanding the assertions from GND proponents that it is an essential policy to confront purportedly adverse climate phenomena," he writes, "the future temperature impacts of the zero-emissions objective would be barely distinguishable from zero: 0.173°C by 2100, under the	4/29/2019

Comment/Response	Date
maximum Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change parameter (equilibrium climate sensitivity) about the effects of reduced GHG emissions." He adds, "Under an assumption consistent with the findings reported in the recent peer-reviewed literature, the effect would be 0.083°C by 2100." Coming back full circle to Gina McCarthy's ultimate objective, The Daily Wire's Emily Zanotti says, "Green New Deal proponents, including Rep. Alexandria Ocasio-Cortez (D-NY), have long claimed that the GND ... would be worth it if it such extreme measures would in the long run lessen our impact on climate." Yet Zycher reports that "the annual economic cost of the GND would be about $9 trillion." That's a whole lot of nothing for a "deal" that will cost the economy $9 trillion annually and not really lessen our impact on climate. Whether it's the Clean Power Plan, Paris Climate Accord, or Green New Deal, the Left believes that spending oodles of money will solve the "problem" (whatever that is), even though some of them have conceded that temperatures won't really change all that much. As Zycher summarily puts it, "The GND's real goal is wealth redistribution to favored political interests under the GND social-policy agenda and a dramatic increase in government control of resource allocation more generally."	
I would like to see a ban on plastic bags in San Antonio. I would also like to see additional sidewalks and bike lanes. Please plant more trees along Bandera Rd.	4/30/2019
Work to make electricity as reliable and more affordable than it is today. According to the IPCC your plan would have no benefit to the a "changing climate" but it would increase costs significantly. Make SA more affordable.	5/1/2019
I think its great that S.A. is taking steps to improve the enviroment that we all live and breath in. But before anything that we do effects our breathing clean air or even to discuss climate change, the fact that needs to be addressed is the blatant spraying of toxic chemical in our sky and the weather manipulation called geoengineering. This is a crime against humanity and needs to stop now before its to late. Because all your hard work and good intentions will not matter if this SRM program continues over our great and beautiful city and the rest of the world for that matter. Please address the elephant in the room, look up!	5/9/2019
What about light pollution??	5/10/2019
Great program for a successful result for community.	5/10/2019
Educate people on how to recycle. Charge taxes for products that use plastic. Encourage people to consume better products that pollute less or do not pollute. Support business that bring better alternatives for cleaner less polluted world.	5/10/2019
I am glad to be proactive to improve our community! Great job!	5/10/2019
Thank you for putting this together! Thank you for voicing our concerns!	5/10/2019
The environment is priority #1	5/10/2019
Recycle mattresses!	5/10/2019
Earth is awesome.	5/10/2019
Creating an eco-friendly city where buildings run at their peak efficiency and the community is educated on climate change will surely make San Antonio a star in the nation.	5/10/2019
Educating citizens is the most important first step.	5/10/2019
People don't understand/take climate change/preservation seriously. Do seminars at schools? Our future generations have the gate of our Earth's health in their hands!	5/10/2019
Thank the people who organize these efforts in the field.	5/10/2019
Please let's do all we can to reduce, reuse, recycle!	5/10/2019
How can you plan for the future if you haven't researched the past. Human nature develops better with kind hearts. Jesus can make those changes. Who are the vulnerable communities? (low income and homeless)	5/10/2019
You probably already have or are doing this: Promote protection of the climate to young people who will make a bigger impact starting now and lasting toward the future. Thanks.	5/10/2019
Go SA Go! Thanks for all you do!	5/10/2019
I would love to learn about less/zero plastic waste!	5/10/2019
Enforce code compliance	5/10/2019
Will it happen?	5/10/2019
There is global warming Mr. Trump.	5/10/2019
Toda esta informacion es buena para los adultos, pero tambien para los jovenes en la casa, escuela y iglesia.	5/20/2019

Comment/Response	Date
It's very important to conserve. Big companies waste a lot of energy and resources and also they pollute a lot.	5/20/2019
Promote cleaner, more efficient car-automobile.	5/20/2019
Questions imply a belief that heat and flooding is affected by climate change. Climate is always changing	5/20/2019
Organic recycle not user friendly. Shoving food into can and picked up only once a week creates flies (big prob).	5/20/2019
Home effects of climate.	5/20/2019
Yes we need all this awareness to help us with our ecosystems.	5/20/2019
I think to make the task smaller, you focus on educating board members of HOA's so that the information can get passed down. I am more likely to feed off my neighbors good behavior, etc. I'm sure there's quite a few HOA's in SA.The west side of SA seems to be growing rapidly, so getting them off to a healthy start would be good. My community is 12 years old, in Helotes and we could use some encouragement or community activities.	5/20/2019
Please promote community gardening	5/20/2019
That we all should be better for the planet.	5/20/2019
It's good info coming to our senior center	5/20/2019
Improve quality of life for everyone.	5/20/2019
Our climate is very important	5/20/2019
Nice to know SA ClimateReady is letting people know that some people are intervening in climate.	5/20/2019
Que me sale demasiado el vil poreso me la paso en el cinio para economisar eletrisidad porque ya no muy poquito	5/21/2019
Keep the Faith. Keep Cool. Keep Walking.	5/25/2019
CAAP cost projections are non-existent. What does a series of $$$ mean. It may work for restaurants, but means nothing for CAAP.	5/27/2019
Where is the interim feedback that was supposed to be posted on this page, according to the city press release? I can't find any real updates since January, and the revised plan in due out tomorrow Can you please point out where on SAClimateready.org the interim releases of comments-to-date and the high level summaries of revisions and additions to the Climate Action and Adaptation Plan are? If they are there, then I apologize because I looked but couldn't find them. In a March 27 press release you wrote "Over the next few weeks, material will be released on saclimateready.org that documents comments received to-date and a high-level summary of revisions and additions to be made." I have checked the site and do not see anything like that. I am interested in seeing some of the public comments before the release tomorrow so that I may gauge the effectiveness of the City Staff in incorporating the feedback into the updated document. I feel it is very important to see the plan on time. I intend to use the release of the updated CAAP to make my decision on who, or whether, to vote for mayor. If the plan is not released tomorrow, then I will vote for Greg Brockhouse, since Ron Nirenberg will have proven himself to be an ineffective manager and absent of leadership qualities by failing to get this revised, edited and released on time. If the plan is released tomorrow and it has been substantively improved along the lines discussed in the press release, then I will vote for Ron Nirenberg, since Greg Brockhouse has pre-emptively stated he will cancel the plan without even reading the revisions and is therefore a clearly unreasonable person. But if it's released tomorrow and isn't much different than before, then I will probably not vote at all, since it will be indicative that it matters little who the mayor is since the City Staff is unresponsive to public input and plods along in the same fashion that I find unsatisfactory. I'm very serious. If the city officially says it'll post interim updates, then it should post those updates. If the city says it'll update the plan and release it by a specific date, then it should do that. I've searched the news and looked for any information about any reason for any delay and I can't find anything about that, therefore I have every reason to expect it'll be released in a new form tomorrow. Thank you, and I look forward to your reply.	5/29/2019
We have solar at the house and it has worked great!	5/30/2019

Comment/Response	Date
Anything and everything to protect our environment and community is a good project.	5/30/2019
Clean, vacant property grass out A city organic weed kill sidewalks and driveways and in between fence on property lines	5/31/2019
Promote Recycling.	6/3/2019
Better traffic flow!	6/3/2019
The current routes in my neighborhood are insufficient. Will increased carbon-free energy increase my energy bill? If so, no. Increased bill for carbon-free is not applicable/important.	6/3/2019
All of these are important to me but I can't help but wonder at what cost?	6/3/2019
More goal programs.	6/3/2019
This is a segregated city, I see the differneces in city's programs/projects. I have no hope in things improving.	6/3/2019
Again, at the cost of what?	6/3/2019
Incorporate nature in more industrial spaces, beautification in all spaces.	6/3/2019
Go Solar with Solar Electric Texas	6/3/2019
Go solar with Solar Electric Texas!	6/3/2019
More bike lanes.	6/3/2019
Close Pearsall park/landfill. Give the southside a park like kardberger park. Put blue light boxes in parks.	6/3/2019
I don't believe climate change is a real issue.	6/3/2019
City lines and county lines is not inclusive to people to eceryone when you still pay city utility and water.	6/3/2019
Increase bike lanes and make it safer for cyclists that use bikes.	6/3/2019
Don't burden taxpayers with additional regulations.	6/3/2019
Mailed to Metro Health: We understand your organization has been in contact with the City of San Antonio regarding its proposed Climate Action and Adaptation Plan (CAAP). To ensure you have all the facts, we are writing to share the CAAP's financial ramifications, lack of environmental benefit, and lack of public support. As you may know, the CAAP, which will cost billions to implement, proposes converting CPS Energy to exclusively wind and solar energy and eliminating gas-powered vehicles. Both of these ideas have significant flaws. The CAAP ignores the reality that the cost of energy has risen significantly in every community that's tried to mandate renewable energy, both in the United States and abroad. Germany's electricity costs have increased 50% since attempting to switch to 100% renewable energy. Higher energy prices inevitably lead to higher prices on everything we buy, eat, wear, and use -which hits low-income families, who spend a higher proportion of their income on electricity and fuel, particularly hard. In a city with a poverty rate worse even than Detroit's, turning a blind eye to the CAAP's financial ramifications on the taxpayers, and especially on low-income families, is a disservice to San Antonians. Additionally, pressuring citizens to give up their cars and trucks in favor of electric vehicles is not the proper role of government and not a suggestion San Antonians will take lying down. A recent poll indicates that most San Antonians don't know about the CAAP, but when they learn about this portion, fully two thirds oppose it. Although the CAAP claims to prioritize disaster preparedness and environmental quality, it will have no effect on the environment. Even if the entire U.S. power sector eliminated carbon dioxide emissions in the next 10 years, the United Nations' worst-case scenario models project global temperatures would decrease by at most 0.04 degrees in 2100. Adding needless financial burden to the citizens of San Antonio will have no impact. The CAAP will needlessly drive up energy costs for consumers and businesses, and the impacts	6/7/2019

Comment/Response	Date
could be felt well outside San Antonio's borders. Last year CPS Energy was able to sell $1 million in excess electricity to ERCOT, which manages Texas' electric grid. ERCOT is preparing for record-breaking electricity demand this summer, which could result in brownouts. Without reliable energy, San Antonio won't be able to contribute to keep Texans' homes and businesses powered through the hottest days of the year. We urge you to join us in opposing this misguided plan, which city council plans to vote on in the fall.	
Fix it so the water will runoff when it rains.	6/10/2019
Senior center- Promote recycling during lunch service.	6/10/2019
Keep it fair!	6/10/2019
When it comes to informing the community, please include the poor.	6/10/2019
Promote use of mass transportation to reduce emissions.	6/10/2019
Include school age education and training ie. school curriculum	6/10/2019
Very good.	6/10/2019
Pay attention to DOE rulings at state and local govt. acquisitions. Increase marketing and education material and availability by location.	6/12/2019
Offer support to civilians in high-traffic pedestrian areas (water cooling) locations. Inform visitors and residents of weatherization modifications to homes/businesses/and local facilities.	6/12/2019
SA is a very rapid growing city!	6/12/2019
I agree with outreach education and awareness but do not want "more" government/institutional control over my day to day life.	6/12/2019
Pecan Valley and Goliad area high flooding.	6/12/2019
The flodding and the way independent commercial companies save and do their part.	6/12/2019
Lets keep San Antonio climate ready. Our kids' future depends on it.	6/12/2019
SAPD should stop cars throwing out smoke, give them a warning then a ticket. "If we could be tough on crime, why not our environment?"	6/12/2019
This is a great way to engage the community!	6/12/2019
Thank you! Keep up the good work! God bless!	6/12/2019
Perfecto?! WE are humans consumed by an accessible and technologically advanced world. We have to adapt to our future if we plan to preserve our world and natural resources.	6/12/2019
Recycling at the moments is inefficient. Waiting for a better process will be a better allocation of funds.	6/21/2019
An efficient rail system to and from downtown would be amazing. Also in between San Antonio and Austin. Educating and enabling citizens is essential. Many people are uneducated on how climate change impacts their lives and the things they care about.	6/21/2019
We compost!	6/21/2019
I find it hard to rate the different priorities separably since they're inter-related and influence each other. For example, as people become more aware of the changes they're more likely to adapt--but adapting increasing infrastructure resilience increases awareness.	6/21/2019
We all need more education-please visit our schools!	6/21/2019
Would absolutely implement these changes to make our city better.	6/21/2019
I think we need to promote how to help people without access to heat/cold during extreme heat or cold.	6/21/2019
Clean air quality is a big selling point when deciding what city to live in. Especially since air pollution is connected with Alzheimer's disease and other diseases.	6/21/2019
No comments. Keep up the good work!	6/21/2019
Provide education and incentives to promote recycling and investing in solar and other efficient ideas.	6/21/2019
Please include recycling bin for metal, glass, etc.	6/21/2019
I'm writing to ask that you significantly strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan before adopting it. While I'm very supportive of the city adopting a climate action plan, we have no time to waste with weak commitments. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming	6/30/2019

Comment/Response	Date
decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. And yet, the SA Climate Ready plan includes no emissions reductions goals before 2030. Likewise, scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions -- as presented in the SA Climate Ready plan -- aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. The most obvious and affordable way to make these significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply	
The future is important.	7/1/2019
Multi-modal transportation is essential to get people out of their cars and into a healthier more sustainable mode of transportation.	7/1/2019
Resources and education should be on the for front. I also believe incentives are important, because sadly, it cost more money to live a cleaner lifestyle.	7/1/2019
The city should pay us to recycle.	7/1/2019
You can educate people but people are "stubborn" and won't listen and follow through.	7/1/2019
Get more businesses involved with incentives for reduce reuse recycle.	7/1/2019
Save the World	7/1/2019
Needs easy tips.	7/1/2019
Texas used to be under water in many areas millions of years ago. We did not cause that climate change. As a result, I am not convinced we are causing current changes. However, we should always reduce waste and protect our environment within reason.	7/1/2019
None for now.	7/1/2019
Education and awareness to the community is extremely important for our overall well being!	7/1/2019
San Antonio's infrastructure is in bad shape. However, the city leaders seem committed to improving it.	7/1/2019
Below is a link to an informative article on the economics21 website, entitled Inconvenient Energy Realities. Before San Antonio makes irreversible decisions regarding CAAP and other environmental programs based on what the article calls “an exercise in magical thinking”, the Council needs to fully apprise the realities of the practicality of reaching its stated goals for our city. There has to be a demonstrably clear cost/benefit to San Antonio before spending any taxpayer dollars chasing an environmental chimera; spend tax dollars on achievable goals. To do anything less is an act of malfeasance by our elected representatives.	7/2/2019
Please provide more incentives for people to get rid of their grass and their mow and blow services. One gas-powered leaf blower puts out 20 times the pollution of ONE car. The city uses them (as does SARA) way too much and often for no reason other than the employee trying to look busy. They are terrible for the elderly, asthmatics as they blow up pet feces, dust, allergens, fertilizers, etc while making an ear-splitting noise. The city pays for health insurance for their employees - the use of leaf blowers is killing them, the environment, the ozone layer, and the citizens around them. Plant more trees and enforce the tree ordinance fully, including with developers. Increase fines for developers substantially to make it too expensive to cut down trees and hope to not get caught - which is what happens. "Planting a trillion trees could be the "most effective solution" to climate change, study says" https://www.cbsnews.com/news/planting-a-trillion-trees-could-be-the-most-effective-solution-to-climate-change/ Scooters are not going to solve "last mile" transportation issues for many people, including the elderly (the idea that scooters are needed for the "last mile" is a tech bro/scooter company soundbite and should not be taken seriously. Scooters are a PUBLIC HEALTH HAZARD - wherever they are ridden. PERIOD. What WILL help with last mile issues is planting more trees downtown and across the city to make walking to work cooler and more pleasant. The	7/9/2019

Comment/Response	Date
city will also be much more attractive.	
No scooters - they are a problem and not a solution. More trees, hire more people to enforce the tree ordinance, especially with developers, stop city and SARA use of gas-powered leaf blowers, they pollute at 20 times the rate of cars. Educate on the negative effects of leaf blower use and fertilizer/pesticide use on lawns. Stop letting developers pave everything with concrete - encourage surfaces (like bricks, etc) that allow runoff to be absorbed into the soil. Question below: Race and ethnicity are two different things. Someone who is Hispanic/Latino can be different races - white, black, etc.	7/9/2019
Any information is useful.	7/15/2019
Very good changes.	7/15/2019
We need a senior center between 35 and 410 south	7/15/2019
Enforce the laws in the low rate apts. Increase minimum wage, lower taxes.	7/15/2019
Thanks, keep up the good work!	7/17/2019
Lower utility bill of households that recycle regularly. May need to weight bins.	7/17/2019
I truly hope that this type of communication will help us head in a healthier direction.	7/17/2019
Compost more reduce plastic bags, etc.	7/17/2019
God is love.	7/17/2019
Thank you for being concerned about our climate with the changing times as there are increasing with fury.	7/17/2019
I hope what ever you'll do will help us. Thank you, God Bless.	7/17/2019
Air quality control for air pollution prevention of allergies and sinuses.	7/17/2019
I believe that this is the most important issue we are facing today. (climate change and sustainability).	7/17/2019
Go Green!	7/17/2019
I personally need help knowing what can and cannot be recycled.	7/17/2019
I have walking routes with the post office and this is very helpful!	7/17/2019
Go Green!	7/17/2019
Todas ideas son muy buenas.	7/22/2019
More community engagement, especially in lower income areas. I found out about you guys through myself seeking out environmental sustainability organizations domestically so I'm not sure how one would find out about you all organically.	7/23/2019
Focusing on bicycling and pedestrian infrastructure would be ideal to reduce automobile traffic and promote healthy lifestyles; such as connecting Greenway trails through the HOWARD W. PEAK "Trail Design Strategy", this plan should be expanded upon and connect more trails together across the city, particularly in more vulnerable neighborhoods. In addition, these trails can be expanded upon similarly as the Highline in NY, with native plants, community/urban gardens, benches, and other park/recreational areas. For more longterm solutions to rising temperatures, development should consider more underground development downtown while still preserving the safety of the Aquifer. Furthermore, more sensors can be established around the city to monitor air and water quality. The city should educate and commit to a sustainable light-rail plan to connect disparate parts of the city (such as colleges and universities).	7/28/2019
I feel this would better our city.	7/29/2019
Time SA got to work on the future of the city with respect to global crises.	7/29/2019
Nothing, good luck.	7/29/2019
Ya'll are doing a good job so far. Keep up the great job.	7/29/2019
Educate.	7/29/2019
Every year the world is dangerous and 50 yr from now the times are getting worse if we don't start	7/29/2019

Comment/Response	Date
getting things better its going to get worse. People will starve. Better to educate people now for the future.	
I do enforce recycling at my family home. Spray/neuter pets/strays. working together keeps neighborhood /communities clean. Cost effective energy.	7/29/2019
Especially bicycling for short trips in town. Need ways to make it safer.	7/29/2019
May I suggest more bike friendly lanes. Higher presence of reflectors for the safety of walkers, joggers, and bikers. Enforcement of fines for owners of stray animals.	7/29/2019
Increase transport options.	8/5/2019
All enviro stuff is important.	8/5/2019
Community and urban agriculture initiatives, please!	8/5/2019
Ron Nirenberg should have implemented this in his last term as he promised.	8/5/2019
Electric cars should be way more affordable. :(	8/5/2019
Via needs to improve greatly to encourage more users.	8/5/2019
The environment is very important to me and my family recently because my sister gave birth to a new baby boy. The environment needs to be livable for him.	8/5/2019
More sustainable programs/penalties for companies eg. No more plastic bags/straws. Thanks, guys!	8/5/2019
Everything that clears up our environment is always important.	8/5/2019
More elderly and disabled access for bike riding.	8/5/2019
Any programs promoting green spaces and parks are great and should receive funding.	8/5/2019
The city of San Antonio needs recycling at the south/south of San Antonio passing S. 1604 Loop	8/5/2019
Water and solar energy would benefit SA. Windpower could benefit the outer living small cities as well.	8/5/2019
Reduce water and electrical usage.	8/5/2019
Educate and make it easy for people to want to learn.	8/5/2019
Please help access the accessibility for the handicapped.	8/5/2019
Any action for climate change is so great and inspiring! Keep up the movement!	8/5/2019
I've been looking through the CAAP draft, especially where food security and FPCSA are mentioned. I'm okay with what's there under Adaptation, but disappointed by a gap in the Mitigation section. Increasing soil organic matter (SOM) has tremendous mitigation potential. I turned in comments on this as I was able to in earlier drafts, but never saw them adopted. I'm happy to see my repeated comments on green roofs made it in. We need to do more of the same on the ground. I think because of the siloed nature of the TWGs, and the fact that Kevin Ellis, FPCSA's representative on the Natural Resource TWG, moved away during the process, that concept didn't make it into the plan. It's becoming more widely acknowledged nationally, and our plan would be stronger for including SOM under Mitigation. Some benefits of SOM: • Carbon storage • Combatting the urban heat island • Increased water retention and improved water quality (I have a statistic somewhere about additional volume stored per 1% increase in SOM—NCAT would also have it) • Flood resilience (because it captures and retains water) • Drought resistance (because it has retained water) On another front, I know our focus had to be on San Antonio, and so agriculture and its associated transportation were largely excluded (being mainly done elsewhere). However, increasing the local food supply would lower food miles locally, and provide other mitigation benefits. Is it too late to have it added in Mitigation as well as Adaptation? In retrospect, I wish I had asked to move from Equity to Natural Resources when Kevin left. I think these ideas might have been included if I'd been in the conversations. Let me know if it's too late now. I hope not! Thanks to you and the department for all your work on this.	8/7/2019
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate	8/7/2019

Comment/Response	Date
change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio.	
I'm writing to ask that you strengthen the SA Climate Ready plan and adopt it on April 11. Climate change is happening right now, and our community is already feeling the impacts of hotter summers, more drought and worse flooding. The science is clear that large reductions in greenhouse gas emissions are needed in the coming decade if we are to preserve a livable climate. Near-term emissions reductions goals need strengthening in the plan. Scientists have stated clearly that a gradual reduction in emissions aren't sufficient. We must make large emissions reductions now. Please let science, not politics, determine our path. C40 Cities - the leading organization on city climate planning - has already done the work of translating the science to an emissions reduction path for cities like San Antonio. Please use that methodology to set near-term and medium-term goals for San Antonio. The most technically feasible and affordable way to make significant near-term emissions reductions is by switching to renewable sources of energy for electricity production. This is another area of the plan that needs significant improvement. The plan shows that CPS Energy would keep using fossil fuels for electricity production until 2050, but other utilities are making the switch to renewable energy now -- and saving money for their customers at the same time. CPS Energy should start planning now to stop burning coal by 2025 and close its natural gas-burning power plants by 2030. These goals should be included in the SA Climate Ready plan. We, the people of San Antonio, own CPS Energy, so we should be able to choose affordable renewable energy instead of continuing to rely on polluting fossil fuels. Furthermore, the latest IPCC report showed that the longer we wait to reach net-zero greenhouse gas emissions the smaller the chance we have to keep warming to 1.5 degrees Celsius. Please move the carbon neutral goal for San Antonio from 2050 to 2040 to reflect what the science shows we need to do to preserve a livable climate. Thank you for your consideration of my comments. I look forward to your reply.	8/7/2019
I like the plan of having the city go 100% green and the idea of having carbon free transportation systems by 2050. But if we want to really combat climate change this has to be implemented before 2020 to have any real effects, and there are many technologies available that can make this easily happen.	8/8/2019
More bike lanes.	8/9/2019
Please see front- I'd like to see more bikes, less cars.	8/9/2019
More EV charging stations. More bus routes. More bike lanes. Purchase incentives for bikes/EVs.	8/9/2019
I have questions about the specific goals and solutions being passed for said changes.	8/9/2019
The fact of the matter is, all of this boils down to the government usurping more power and control over those governed by said government. This power and control includes being able to decide who lives and who dies. Who has clean water and who doesn't. Who has food to eat and who doesn't. Those who fall in line with this new government will have all of these. Those who don't will be outcasts. How any of this can be accomplished without raising taxes is beyond me. Raising taxes is also a very self serving action (self serving of the government that is). When taxes are raised, this takes more power, freedom and individualism away from the individual. In doing so, the individual becomes increasingly dependent upon that which is taking their money/livelihood. Thereby requiring more services to be provided by the government and therefore more taxes. Hence, self serving. I implore you to please not fall in line with the new world order cabal and to stand up for San Antonio's independence. Our lives, and that of our children's (and so on), are dependent upon it. Remember the Alamo!	8/10/2019
I believe we must take climate change more serious, after all, we only have one planet or home,	8/12/2019

Comment/Response	Date
there is no second chance.	
Education on food choices and reusing items or multiuse items.	8/12/2019
It is important t specifically for underserved groups.	8/12/2019
Build cars with better emissions.	8/12/2019
School education- seminars would be great at local schools from time to time. (awareness)	8/12/2019
I wish I had access to the composting that has been made. I live on the south side of SA and it would be great if I had access to the composting material. If I compost I will be given so much compost when it is finished.	8/12/2019
I was born here. I spent 40 years out of the city with the military, never found any place better than my hometown, I live here, I vacation here.	8/12/2019
Open door policy at city hall level on what is planned for the city's future, "EDUCATION"	8/12/2019
Whatever measures we can take to help reverse or slow climate change is a priority.	8/12/2019
I wish certain political parties cared more about our planet and people than their wealth and image.	8/12/2019
I think that Texas should be more innovative when it comes to protecting the environment.	8/12/2019
More recycling trash bins located on the riverwalk.	8/12/2019
Reciclar todo lo que se necesita para mejorar el medio ambiente y no tirar basura en la calle.	8/12/2019
I believe we must take climate change more serious, after all, we only have one planet or home, there is no second chance.	8/12/2019
Education on food choices and reusing items or multiuse items.	8/12/2019
It is important t specifically for underserved groups.	8/12/2019
Build cars with better emissions.	8/12/2019
Whatever measures we can take to help reverse or slow climate change is a priority.	8/12/2019
I wish certain political parties cared more about our planet and people than their wealth and image.	8/12/2019
I think that Texas should be more innovative when it comes to protecting the environment.	8/12/2019
We need rail system.	8/14/2019
I think what y'all are doing is great! It is very important the city does its part to combat climate change.	8/14/2019
#savetheturtles	8/14/2019
Jesus is alive!	8/14/2019
I think it's very important to be aware of our climate and we should be prepared and safe what can happen.	8/14/2019
I see people online, like on Facebook, saying they want to see more community gardens. Perhaps we could incorporate some into our public parks?	8/14/2019
San Antonio needs immediate acclimation to non-fossil fuel powered forms of transportation.	8/14/2019
Move toward clean, sustainable, environmentally friendly energy sources and move away from fossil fuels. Global warming is real!	8/14/2019
Protecting our climate is one of the important things people should be made more aware of. The community needs to be made well informed of our environment.	8/15/2019
Food is something that seems to be missing in this.We should promote eating local foods and plan-based foods as much as we can.Animal agriculture is responsible for greenhouse gas + huge water consumption.Educating the community and making them aware of this is also a step toward the future that most developed countries have made already.	8/16/2019
the survey is guided.Of course I want all this, but what will it cost, and how will it impact my income.	8/17/2019
Policies should be implementto promote affordable green and sustainable building materials and electric car availability and its charging infrastructure. Clean energy public transportation	8/17/2019
Ensure our City is properly prepared to handle climate emergencies and natural disasters. If we look at the history of natural disasters we've seen that victims have relocated to San Antonio. Therefor what have we done to enhance the city in a way to limit vehicle omissions because now our highways have become more congested. People are not living in a city where they work, the city line has been pushed to outside 1604 and we have built more homes in the last 5-10 years and nothing drastically has been done about our interstate systems except maintenance and repair. Building a bullet train or a highway that allows commuters to go from one side of the city to the other, would enhance the buy in for residence to use that to use less gas and time being stuck on	8/17/2019

Comment/Response	Date
281/1604 traffic from stone oak to downtown for 45 minutes.	
More Green Spaces!	8/17/2019
Here's a video I think Councilman Courage needs to view. This video explains how manipulating temperature data from monitoring stations is responsible for the apparent increase in atmospheric temps. Visit the web site https://realclimatescience.com for articles on other climate-related subjects. There's more than one viewpoint on the credibility of claims about climate change; this video presents a very solid argument against claims that atmospheric temps are increasing, and at an accelerating rate. Before responsible legislators enact costly and quality of life changing-ordinances, they need to avail themselves of evidence both supporting and debunking climate change claims. The proposed CO2 mitigation plans for San Antonio are going to cost taxpayers many millions without any measurable benefit. Don't squander tax revenues to "prepare for a changing climate", as per CAAP. The video demonstrates how climate change data can be, is, manipulated to achieve pre-determined results. As the video point out, big metropolitan areas are heat islands, and don't reflect a general atmospheric temperature increase outside that area; San Antonio is a heat island, and doesn't represent a legitimate rise in atmospheric temps everywhere else.	8/18/2019
I would like someone to go door to door for free energy-saving work for homes.	8/19/2019
It is very important to assist in other programs.	8/19/2019
All of these needs to be done.	8/19/2019
All this is important for all of us.	8/19/2019
Id like updates on renewable and reusable forms of energy.	8/19/2019
Provide education to school kids.	8/19/2019